

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REDFIN CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case CBM2014-00027
Patent 5,361,201

Before MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, JONI Y. CHANG, and
BRIAN J. McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ADVERSE JUDGMENT
37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(2)

BACKGROUND

Redfin Corporation (“Petitioner”) filed a petition for a covered business method patent review claims 1–4 and 6–10 of expired U.S. Patent No. 5,361,201 (“the ’201 Patent”) that was accorded a filing date November 3, 2013. Paper 3 (“Pet.”). Corelogic Solutions, LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a Patent Owner Preliminary Response on December 23, 2013. Paper 11. (“Prelim. Resp.”). On March 20, 2014, we entered a Decision to Institute on a covered business patent review on Petitioner’s challenge to claims 2–4, 7, 8, and 11–20 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. We did not institute a covered business method patent review on Petitioner’s other challenges to claim 1, 6, 9, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, and 103 because these claims were determined to be unpatentable in our final written decision in *Interthinx, Inc. v. Corelogic Solutions, LLC*, Case CBM2012-000007 slip op. (PTAB Jan. 30, 2014)(Paper 58)(Final Written Decision).

Under our Amended Scheduling Order (Paper 15), a Patent Owner Response was due in this proceeding on July 11, 2014. Patent Owner did not file a Patent Owner Response. On August 15, 2014, Petitioner filed a “Petitioner’s Reply” indicating that the parties to this proceeding had reached a settlement in co-pending district court litigation. Paper 16.

On March 10, 2015, we conducted a teleconference with the parties concerning the status of this case. On March 12, 2015, we authorized Patent Owner to file a Motion for Adverse Judgment. On March 12, 2015, Patent Owner filed its Motion for Adverse Judgment, in which Patent Owner disclaimed claims 2–4, 7, 8, and 11–20. Paper 18. Having disclaimed all

the claims involved in this proceeding, Patent Owner's Motion for Adverse Judgment is GRANTED and claims 2–4, 7, 8, and 11–20 will be cancelled.

ORDER

In consideration of the above, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for Adverse Judgment is GRANTED; and

FURTHER ORDERED, that claims 2–4, 7, 8 and 11–20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,361,201 shall be cancelled.

PETITIONER:

Richard Black
blacr@foster.com

Joel Ard
ardo@foster.com

PATENT OWNER

Walter Renner
axf@fr.com

David Holt
Holt2@fr.com

Thomas Rojlowicz
rozylowicz@fr.com

CBM2014-00027

Patent 5,361,201