Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 20
Entered: July 1, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WTS PARADIGM, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

EDGEAQ, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00054
Patent 7,461,049 B2

Before TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, and BETH Z. SHAW, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

SHAW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Termination of Proceeding
35 U.S.C. § 327(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72

On June 28, 2016, the parties filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the instant proceeding. Paper 19. In support of the Motion, the parties inform us that they have agreed to terminate the proceeding pursuant to a settlement agreement. *Id.* at 1. The parties filed a copy of the settlement agreement, which the parties contend constitutes the agreement required under 35 U.S.C. § 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). *Id.*; Ex. 1025. For the reasons set forth below, the joint motion to terminate is granted.

Upon consideration of the request before us, terminating the instant proceeding promotes efficiency and minimizes unnecessary costs. In particular, since institution of this proceeding, no oral hearing has been held and the Board has not yet decided the merits of the proceeding. Based on the facts of this case, it is appropriate to terminate this trial and enter judgment.[1] *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate CBM2015-00054 is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the instant proceeding is hereby *terminated* as to all parties.

[1] A *judgment* means a final written decision by the Board, or a *termination of a proceeding*. 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

PETITIONER:

Michael Jaskolski
Michael.jaskolski@quarles.com

Martha Snyder
Martha.snyder@quarles.com

PATENT OWNER:

Edward Ramage
eramage@bakerdonelson.com

Samuel Miller
smiller@bakerdonelson.com