

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION and DSI-ITI, LLC,¹
Patent Owners.

PGR2016-00044 (Patent 9,225,838), CBM2017-00034 (Patent 7,783,021),
IPR2017-01177 (Patent 9,521,250), IPR2017-01279 (Patent 9,509,856),
PGR2017-00005 (Patent 9,307,386), IPR2017-01606 (Patent 7,123,704),
IPR2017-02169 (Patent 7,826,604), IPR2017-02203 (Patent 6,895,086)²

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, MICHAEL W. KIM, THOMAS L.
GIANNETTI, BARBARA A. BENOIT, GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, and
MATTHEW S. MEYERS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings Pursuant to Settlement
35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72–42.74

¹ The parties acknowledge that DSI-ITI, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Global Tel*Link Corporation.

² We use this caption in this paper to indicate that this Order applies to, and is entered in, all of the indicated cases. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

Cases PGR2016-00044, CBM2017-00034, IPR2017-01177, IPR2017-01279, PGR2017-00005, IPR2017-01606, IPR2017-02169, IPR2017-02203 Patents 9,225,838; 7,783,021; 9,521,250; 9,509,856; 9,307,386; 7,123,704; 7,826,604; 6,895,086

On December 6, 2017, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a), the parties filed a Joint Motion to Terminate in each of the above cited proceedings. *See* Appendix (“Joint Motion”). Along with the Joint Motion, the parties filed a Settlement Agreement (Ex. 2100),³ and a Joint Request to Treat the Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information, in each proceeding. *See* Appendix (“Joint Request”). We authorized the above filings in an email sent to the parties on December 4, 2017.

The parties represent that they have settled their disputes and memorialized their settlement in the written Settlement Agreement submitted in each case. In the Joint Motion, the parties also represent that the Settlement Agreement resolves all disputes between the parties in the post grant proceedings and the related lawsuits. The Settlement Agreement appears to be a true copy of the agreement between the parties, specifies the instant proceedings, and identifies other matters that are to be settled between the parties. Ex. 2100. On this record, no motion by any third party for joinder with these reviews is pending.

The proceedings are at different stages, with some cases having a trial instituted therein, and in other cases where no decision on institution has yet been made. Although at different stages, upon consideration of the facts before us and the arguments presented in the Joint Motion, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate the proceedings with respect to both parties. *See* 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a); 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.74.

³ The Settlement Agreement was filed with the same exhibit number in each of the above-listed proceedings.

Cases PGR2016-00044, CBM2017-00034, IPR2017-01177, IPR2017-01279, PGR2017-00005, IPR2017-01606, IPR2017-02169, IPR2017-02203
Patents 9,225,838; 7,783,021; 9,521,250; 9,509,856; 9,307,386; 7,123,704; 7,826,604; 6,895,086

Therefore, the Joint Motion is granted. This paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 318(a) or 328(a).

ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings in PGR2016-00044, CBM2017-00034, IPR2017-01177, IPR2017-01279, PGR2017-00005, IPR2017-01606, IPR2017-02169, and IPR2017-02203 is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Settlement Agreements, Exhibit 2100 in each proceeding, be treated as business confidential information, be designated “Board Only,” and be kept separate from the file of the involved patent under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(b), 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

Cases PGR2016-00044, CBM2017-00034, IPR2017-01177, IPR2017-01279,
PGR2017-00005, IPR2017-01606, IPR2017-02169, IPR2017-02203
Patents 9,225,838; 7,783,021; 9,521,250; 9,509,856; 9,307,386; 7,123,704;
7,826,604; 6,895,086

APPENDIX

CASE	Joint Motion	Joint Request
PGR2016-00044	Paper 39	Paper 40
CBM2017-00034	Paper 24	Paper 25
IPR2017-01177	Paper 9	Paper 10
IPR2017-01279	Paper 9	Paper 10
PGR2017-00005	Paper 20	Paper 21
IPR2017-01606	Paper 9	Paper 10
IPR2017-02169	Paper 5	Paper 6
IPR2017-02203	Paper 5	Paper 6

Cases PGR2016-00044, CBM2017-00034, IPR2017-01177, IPR2017-01279,
PGR2017-00005, IPR2017-01606, IPR2017-02169, IPR2017-02203
Patents 9,225,838; 7,783,021; 9,521,250; 9,509,856; 9,307,386; 7,123,704;
7,826,604; 6,895,086

FOR PETITIONER:

Erika H. Arner
Brandon S. Bludau
Justin N. Mullen
Benjamin A. Saidman
Jason E. Stach
Daniel C. Tucker
Guang-Yu Zhu
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
erika.arner@finnegan.com
brandon.bludau@finnegan.com
justin.mullen@finnegan.com
benjamin.saidman@finnegan.com
jason.stach@finnegan.com
daniel.tucker@finnegan.com
yu.zhu@finnegan.com
guang-yu.zhu@finnegan.com

Jeffrey R. Bragalone
Brian P. Herrmann
Justin B. Kimble
Nicholas C. Kliewer
Daniel F. Olejko
Jerry D. Tice
BRAGALONE CONROY P.C.
jbragalone@bcpc-law.com
bherrmann@bcpc-law.com
jkimble-ipr@bcpc-law.com
jkimble@bcpc-law.com
nkliewer@bcpc-law.com
dolejko@bcpc-law.com
jtice@bcpc-law.com

Cases PGR2016-00044, CBM2017-00034, IPR2017-01177, IPR2017-01279,
PGR2017-00005, IPR2017-01606, IPR2017-02169, IPR2017-02203
Patents 9,225,838; 7,783,021; 9,521,250; 9,509,856; 9,307,386; 7,123,704;
7,826,604; 6,895,086

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Richard M. Bemben

Salvador M. Bezos

Ross G. Hicks

Joseph E. Mutschelknaus

Kavon Nasabzadeh

Michael B. Ray

Lauren C. Schleh

Michael D. Specht

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC

rbemben-PTAB@skgf.com

sbezos-PTAB@skgf.com

rhicks-PTAB@skgf.com

jmutsche-PTAB@skgf.com

knasabza-PTAB@skgf.com

mray-PTAB@skgf.com

lschleh-PTAB@skgf.com

mspecht-PTAB@skgf.com