

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VEEVA SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PROLIFIQ SOFTWARE INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2014-01253 (Patent 7,634,556 B2)
IPR2014-01467 (Patent 8,296,378 B2)
IPR2014-01472 (Patent 7,707,317 B2)
IPR2014-01473 (Patent 7,966,374 B2)
IPR2014-01474 (Patent 8,171,077 B2)

Before BRYAN F. MOORE, JENNIFER S. BISK, and
DAVID C. MCKONE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MCKONE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Termination of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.73

IPR2014-01253 (Pat. 7,634,556 B2); IPR2014-01467 (Pat. 8,296,378 B2); IPR2014-01472 (Pat. 7,707,317 B2); IPR2014-01473 (Pat. 7,966,374 B2) IPR2014-01474 (Pat. 8,171,077 B2)

On November 26, 2014, the parties informed the Board that the parties had settled the proceedings and that the parties sought authorization to file a joint motion to terminate the proceedings. On December 1, 2014, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, the parties filed in each proceeding a joint motion to terminate. *See, e.g.*, IPR2014-01253, Paper 10. In the joint motions, the parties represent that the settlement agreement filed is a true copy and resolves all Patent Office and District Court proceedings between the parties, including related case *Prolifiq Software, Inc. v. Veeva Systems, Inc.*, Case No. 3:13-CV-03644-SI (N.D. Cal.). *Id.* at 1–2.

These proceedings are at an early stage. Patent Owner has not yet filed a Preliminary Response and we have not yet instituted a trial. In view of the early stage of this proceeding, and the concurrent settlement of the district court litigation, the Board determines that it is appropriate to terminate the proceeding with respect to both parties. Based on the facts of these cases, it is appropriate to enter judgment.¹ Therefore, the joint motions to terminate the proceedings are granted.

The parties also filed in each case a joint request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). *See, e.g.*, IPR2014-01253, Paper 11.

¹ A judgment means a final written decision by the Board, or a termination of a proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

IPR2014-01253 (Pat. 7,634,556 B2); IPR2014-01467 (Pat. 8,296,378 B2);
IPR2014-01472 (Pat. 7,707,317 B2); IPR2014-01473 (Pat. 7,966,374 B2)
IPR2014-01474 (Pat. 8,171,077 B2)

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties' joint request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, to be kept separate from the patent file is *granted*.

FURTHER ORDERED that the joint motions to terminate the proceedings are *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceedings are *terminated*.

PETITIONER:

Brian C. McCormack
Brian.McCormack@bakermckenzie.com

William D. McSpadden
William.McSpadden@bakermckenzie.com

PATENT OWNER:

Heath Briggs
briggsh@gtlaw.com

Jonathan Ball
ballj@gtlaw.com