

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD,
Petitioner,

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01357
Patent 6,755,547

Before MIRIAM L. QUINN, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, and BEVERLY M.
BUNTING, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GIANNETTI, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT AND FINAL WRITTEN DECISION
35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)

BACKGROUND

The petition seeks *inter partes* review of claims 1–4, 16, and 26 of U.S. Patent 6,755,547. Paper 1. Patent Owner filed a preliminary response. Paper 7. We granted the petition and instituted *inter partes* review of all challenged claims. Paper 11. Now Patent Owner requests adverse judgment on claims 1–4, 16, and 26 under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(2). Paper 21. No opposition has been filed by Petitioner.

DISCUSSION

A party may request entry of adverse judgment against itself at any time during a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b). Here, Patent Owner has requested cancellation of each claim for which *inter partes* review has been instituted, and thus Patent Owner has no remaining claim in the trial. *Id.* § 42.73(b)(2). Under these circumstances, the entry of judgment adverse to the Patent Owner and cancellation of the claims as requested is appropriate.

CONCLUSION AND ORDER

Patent Owner’s request for entry of adverse judgment is *granted*.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that adverse judgment against the Patent Owner is entered under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b);

FURTHER ORDERED that this constitutes a final written decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1–4, 16, and 26 of U.S. Patent 6,755,547 are CANCELLED.

IPR2014-01357
Patent 6,755,547

For PETITIONER:

Robert G. Pluta
Amanda K. Streff
Baldine B. Paul
Anita Y. Lam
MAYER BROWN LLP
rpluta@mayerbrown.com
astreff@mayerbrown.com
bpaul@mayerbrown.com
alam@mayerbrown.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Justin B. Kimble
BRAGALONE CONROY P.C.
jkimble@bcpc-law.com