

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

MESSAGE NOTIFICATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00258
Patent 5,944,786

Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, KARL D. EASTHOM, and
TRENTON A. WARD, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

EASTHOM, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Termination of the Proceeding
35 U.S.C. § 317
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.73, 42.74

The parties filed a joint motion to terminate this proceeding (“Motion”) and a true copy of their written agreement (“Agreement”) in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b) and 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). Paper 15; Ex. 1011. The Motion states that there are no oral or other written agreements associated with this settlement and that the Agreement “resolves [the parties’] disputes in the Patent Office and in the district court.” Mot. 1. The Board does not have before it full briefing on the trial issues and has not entered a final decision. Accordingly, it is appropriate to enter judgment terminating this proceeding, without rendering a final written decision. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.2; 42.72; 42.73(a).¹

It is

ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate IPR 2014-00030 is *granted*;
and

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeding is *terminated*.

¹ A judgment “means a final written decision by the Board, or a termination of a proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

IPR2015-00258
Patent 5,944,786

PETITIONER:

Rick McLeod
Andrew Mason
rick.mcleod@klarquist.com
andy.mason@klarquist.com

PATENT OWNER:

David Judson
Larry Thompson
Zachariah Harrington
mail@davidjudson.com
larry@ahtlawfirm.com
zac@ahtlawfirm.com