Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper No. 51
Entered: August 17, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

FUTURE LINK SYSTEMS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01398 (Patent 7,743,257 B2)
Case IPR2016-01401 (Patent 7,917,680 B2)
Case IPR2016-01402 (Patent 7,685,439 B2)[1]

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, and KEVIN C. TROCK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Joint Motion to Terminate
37 C.F.R. § 42.72

[1] This Decision addresses issues that are identical in all three cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

On August 16, 2017, the parties filed, in each of these proceedings, a Joint Motion to Terminate (Paper 50[2], "Joint Motion"), a true copy of a Settlement and Patent License Agreement (Exhibit 1017, "Settlement Agreement") and a request to treat the Settlement Agreement as business confidential information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) (Paper 49).

Although Patent Owner has filed its Patent Owner Response and Petitioner has filed its Reply, we have not yet held a hearing in these trials and, therefore, have not yet decided the merits of each proceeding.

In the Joint Motions, the parties indicate that they have settled their dispute regarding the challenged patents. *See, e.g.*, Joint Motion 1. The parties represent that the only pending district court litigation regarding the challenged patents is *Intel Corp. v. Future Link Sys., LLC*, C.A. No. 1:14-cv-00377 (D. Del.) and that the parties have fully resolved their dispute in that case per the Settlement Agreement. *Id.* at 2. The parties do not anticipate any further litigation or proceeding between them involving the challenged patents. *Id*. The parties represent that there are no pending related proceedings regarding the challenged patents before the Board. *Id.*

Under these circumstances, we determine it is appropriate to terminate these proceedings without rendering any further decisions. Therefore, each of the Joint Motions is GRANTED.

---

[2] Citations are to IPR2016-01398 unless otherwise noted. Substantially identical papers were filed in the other proceedings.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties' joint request to treat the Settlement Agreement (IPR2016-01398, Ex. 1017; IPR2016-01401, Ex. 1018; IPR2016-01402, Ex. 1024) as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), is GRANTED; and

FURTHER ORDERED that each of the Joint Motions to Terminate is GRANTED, and each of these proceedings is hereby terminated.

Case IPR2016-01398 (Patent 7,743,257 B2)
Case IPR2016-01401 (Patent 7,917,680 B2)
Case IPR2016-01402 (Patent 7,685,439 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Robert A. Appleby
Eugene Goryunov
Mike W. De Vries
Gregory S. Arovas
James E. Marina
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
robert.appleby@kirkland.com
eugene.goryunov@kirkland.com
michael.devries@kirkland.com
garovas@kirkland.com
james.marina@kirkland.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Kenneth Weatherwax
LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP
weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com

Boaz Brickman
IPVALUE Management, Inc.
Boaz.brickman@ipvalue.com