

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARRIS INTERNATIONAL PLC, et al.
Petitioner,

v.

SONY CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2017-01699 (Patent 8,032,919 B2)
IPR2017-01820 (Patent 6,556,221 B1)
IPR2017-01970 (Patent RE45,126 E)¹

Before JAMESON LEE, JENNIFER S. BISK, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER,
and JOHN R. KENNY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.²

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Termination of the Proceedings
37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ This Decision addresses an issue that is identical in all of the above-referenced cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading.

² In IPR2017-01699, the panel consists of Judges Lee, Wormmeester, and Kenny. In IPR2017-01820 and IPR2017-1970, the panel consists of Judges Lee, Bisk, and Kenny.

IPR2017-01699 (Patent 8,032,919 B2)
IPR2017-01820 (Patent 6,556,221 B1)
IPR2017-01970 (Patent RE45,126 E)

After receiving authorization to do so, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate each of the above-referenced proceedings (Paper 10, 1),³ a Memorandum of Understanding (Ex. 2019) (“MOU”), and a joint motion to file their settlement agreement (the MOU) as business confidential material (Paper 11) (“Motion to File the Settlement Agreement as Confidential”). After receiving further authorization, the parties filed their final settlement agreement (Ex. 2020) along with a joint motion to file the Final Settlement Agreement as business confidential material (Paper 13) (“Motion to File the Final Settlement Agreement as Confidential”).

Under the circumstances, we grant the parties’ Motion to Terminate, Motion to File the Settlement Agreement as Confidential, and Motion to File the Final Settlement Agreement as Confidential in each proceeding. These proceedings are in their early stages; a decision on institution has not issued, which favors termination. *See, e.g., Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that the parties’ Motion to Terminate is granted in each proceeding, and these proceedings are terminated as to all parties;

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties’ requests, in their Motion to File the Settlement Agreement as Confidential and their Motion to File the Final Settlement Agreement as Confidential, that the MOU and the Final Settlement Agreement be treated as business confidential information and be

³ For purposes of expediency, we refer to the paper numbers in Case IPR2017-01699. Similar papers were filed in the other proceedings.

IPR2017-01699 (Patent 8,032,919 B2)
IPR2017-01820 (Patent 6,556,221 B1)
IPR2017-01970 (Patent RE45,126 E)

kept separate from the patent files, under the provisions of
35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), are granted in each proceeding.

PETITIONER:

Jeremy J. Monaldo
W. Karl Renner
Christopher O. Green
Hyun Jin In
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
jjm@fr.com
axf-ptab@fr.com
cgreen@fr.com
in@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Lionel M. Lavenue
Joshua L. Goldberg
Cory C. Bell
Charles E. Geary, Jr.
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
lionel.lavenue@finnegan.com
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
cory.bell@finnegan.com
charles.geary@finnegan.com