

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NITTO DENKO CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

HUTCHINSON TECHNOLOGY INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2018-00954 (Patent 7,342,750)¹

IPR2018-00955 (Patent 6,965,499)

IPR2018-00956 (Patent 6,965,499)

IPR2018-01043 (Patent 5,959,807)

Before CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, SHEILA F. McSHANE,
STACY B. MARGOLIES, and ALEX S. YAP, *Administrative Patent
Judges*.²

PER CURIAM.

SETTLEMENT
After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ This Order applies to each of the above-listed proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this heading style in any subsequent papers.

² This is not an expanded panel of the Board. It is a listing of all Judges on the panels of the above-listed proceedings.

IPR2018-00954 (Patent 7,342,750)
IPR2018-00955 (Patent 6,965,499)
IPR2018-00956 (Patent 6,965,499)
IPR2018-01043 (Patent 5,959,807)

On January 11, 2019, the parties filed Joint Motions to Terminate pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 in each of the above-captioned cases. IPR2018-00954, Paper 10 (“Mot.”)³; IPR2018-00955, Paper 10; IPR2018-00956, Paper 10; IPR2018-01043, Paper 10. In addition, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), Petitioner filed a copy of a Settlement Agreement, and a related agreement, along with Joint Requests That the Parties’ Agreements Be Treated As Business Confidential Information, requesting that the agreements be kept separate from the patent file in each of the above captioned cases and be treated as business confidential information. IPR2018-00954, Paper 11; IPR2018-00955, Paper 11; IPR2018-00956, Paper 11; IPR2018-01043, Paper 11.

In the IPR2018-00954, IPR2018-00955, IPR2018-00956, and IPR2018-01043 cases, the Board has instituted review, but has not yet issued a final written decision. The parties indicate that terminations of the proceedings are appropriate because a settlement has been reached disposing of related disputes. Mot. 1. The parties represent that all disputes have been settled, agreements have been executed authorizing the requests for termination, and all related settlement agreements have been filed. *Id.* at 2–3.

Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate each case without rendering a final written decision. 37 C.F.R. § 42.74. Therefore, the Joint Motions to Terminate are granted.

³ Further citations are to IPR2018-00954 as representative.

IPR2018-00954 (Patent 7,342,750)
IPR2018-00955 (Patent 6,965,499)
IPR2018-00956 (Patent 6,965,499)
IPR2018-01043 (Patent 5,959,807)

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties' requests that the Settlement Agreement and related agreement be treated as business confidential information, to be kept separate from the patent file, are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Motions to Terminate are *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceedings are *terminated*.

IPR2018-00954 (Patent 7,342,750)
IPR2018-00955 (Patent 6,965,499)
IPR2018-00956 (Patent 6,965,499)
IPR2018-01043 (Patent 5,959,807)

PETITIONER:

Alex V. Chachkes
K. Patrick Herman
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
A34PTABDocket@orrick.com
P52PTABDocket@orrick.com

PATENT OWNER:

Jennifer Hayes
Daniel J. Burnham
Matthew Werber
NIXON PEABODY LLP
jenhayes@nixonpeabody.com
dburnham@nixonpeabody.com
mwerber@nixonpeabody.com