

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

TELA INNOVATIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01221
Patent 7,943,966 B2

Before JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, KRISTINA M. KALAN, and
WESLEY B. DERRICK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KALAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Dismissal Prior to Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 314

On June 20, 2019, Petitioner Intel Corporation, Inc., filed a Petition in this proceeding requesting *inter partes* review of claims 2, 31, 32, 33, and 35 of U.S. Patent No. 7,943,966 B2 (“the ’966 patent”). Paper 2.

Petitioner subsequently indicated that it intended to withdraw the Petition (Paper 17, 1; *see also* Paper 16, 1 n.1), and we authorized Petitioner to file the necessary motion (Paper 19).

On January 6, 2020, Petitioner filed a “Motion to Dismiss Petition for *Inter Partes* Review.” Paper 20 (“Motion”). Petitioner represents that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. *Id.* at 1.

This proceeding is at an early stage and we have not yet considered the Petition’s merits, nor have we instituted a trial. In view of the early stage of this proceeding, we determine it is appropriate to dismiss the Petition and terminate the proceeding to promote efficiency and minimize unnecessary costs. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a). Therefore, Petitioner’s unopposed Motion is granted.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the Motion is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition is dismissed;

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeding is terminated; and

FURTHER ORDERED that this paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

FOR PETITIONER:

Todd Friedman
Todd.friedman@kirkland.com

Gregory Arovas
Greg.arovas@kirkland.com

F. Christopher Mizzo
Chris.mizzo@kirkland.com

Bao Nguyen
bnguyen@kirkland.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Gunnar Leinberg
leinberg@pepperlaw.com

Bryan Smith
smithbc@pepperlaw.com

Edwin Merkel
merkele@pepperlaw.com

Andrew Zappia
zappiaa@pepperlaw.com