Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 9
Entered: September 10, 2020

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LUTRON ELECTRONICS CO., INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GEIGTECH EAST BAY, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2020-00957 and IPR2020-00958[1]
Patent 10,294,717 B2

Before BARRY L. GROSSMAN, FRANCES L. IPPOLITO, and BRENT M. DOUGAL, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

GROSSMAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Settlement Prior to Institution of Trial
37 C.F.R. § 42.74

---

[1] This Order addresses issues that are the same in all identified proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in subsequent papers.

I. INTRODUCTION

On September 3, 2020, pursuant to our authorization on August 28, 2020, Petitioner and Patent Owner ("Parties") filed a Joint Motion to Withdraw the Petitions in each of the above-identified *inter partes* review proceedings. Paper 9[2] (collectively, "Motions"). The Motions address "termination of these proceedings" (*id.* at 2), and, thus, we treat the Motions as a request for termination of these proceedings. Additionally, along with the Motions, the Parties filed a copy of a "Termination Agreement" in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively, "Agreements"). Ex. 1022.[3]

II. DISCUSSION

In the Motions, the Parties represent that they have reached an agreement to jointly seek termination of the above-identified *inter partes* review proceedings, that the Agreements are the entire agreement in connection with the termination of these proceedings, and that there are no other agreements or understandings between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of these proceedings. Paper 9, 1–2; *see* Ex. 1002, 1.

The above-identified proceedings are at an early stage, and we have not yet decided whether to institute a trial in each proceeding. In view of the early stage of the proceedings and the Agreements between the Parties, we determine that good cause exists to terminate the proceedings.

---

[2] Citations are to Papers and Exhibits filed in IPR2020-00957, unless otherwise indicated. The Parties filed a similar paper in IPR2020-00958. Paper 8.

[3] The Parties filed a similar exhibit in IPR2020-00958. Ex 1022.

This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the joint motions to terminate IPR2020-00957 and IPR2020-00958 are *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceedings are *terminated*.

FOR PETITIONER:

Nirav N. Desai
Jason D. Eisenberg
Trevor M. O'Neill
KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C
ndesai-ptab@sternekessler.com
jasone-ptab@sternekessler.com
toneill-ptab@sternekessler.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Gary R. Sorden
Marcella M. Bodner
COLE SCHOTZ P.C.
gsorden@coleschotz.com
mbodner@coleschotz.com