

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

INTELLECTUAL PIXELS LIMITED,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00237
Patent 10,681,109 B2

Before JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, STACY B. MARGOLIES, and
IFTIKHAR AHMED, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

AHMED, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Petitioner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice*
Admission of S. Dennis Wang and James M. Dowd
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

DISCUSSION

On January 19, 2021, Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC (“Petitioner”) filed motions for *pro hac vice* admission of James M. Dowd (Paper 8) and S. Dennis Wang (Paper 9). The motions are supported by declarations from Mr. Dowd (Ex. 1022) and Mr. Wang (Ex. 1023). Patent Owner has not opposed the motions.

Having reviewed the motions and declarations, we conclude that Messrs. Dowd and Wang have sufficient qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, and that Petitioner has shown good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Messrs. Dowd and Wang. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission). Messrs. Dowd and Wang will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

ORDER

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s motions for *pro hac vice* admission of James M. Dowd and S. Dennis Wang are granted; Messrs. Dowd and Wang are authorized to act only as back-up counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner must file an updated Mandatory Notice identifying Messrs. Dowd and Wang as back-up counsel, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Dowd and Wang are to comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Dowd and Wang are to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2021-00237
Patent 10,681,109 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Joseph F. Haag
Daniel V. Williams
James M. Dowd
S. Dennis Wang
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR LLP
joseph.haag@wilmerhale.com
daniel.williams@wilmerhale.com
james.dowd@wilmerhale.com
dennis.wang@wilmerhale.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Douglas R. Wilson
Michelle E. Armond
Forrest M. McClellen
ARMOND WILSON LLP
doug.wilson@armondwilson.com
michelle.armond@armondwilson.com
forrest.mcclellen@armondwilson.com
litigation@armondwilson.com