

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NEVAKAR, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SYDNEXIS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00439 (Patent 9,421,199 B2)
IPR2021-00440 (Patent 9,770,447 B2)
IPR2021-00441 (Patent 10,076,515 B2)
IPR2021-00442 (Patent 10,201,534 B2)¹

Before ERICA A. FRANKLIN, SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL, and
JAMIE T. WISZ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

FRANKLIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Joint Motion to Terminate the Proceedings Before Institution
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71; 42.74

¹ This order addresses issues common to each of the captioned cases. Thus, we issue a single order for entry in each case.

IPR2021-00439 (Patent 9,421,199 B2)
IPR2021-00440 (Patent 9,770,447 B2)
IPR2021-00441 (Patent 10,076,515 B2)
IPR2021-00442 (Patent 10,201,534 B2)

On April 22, 2021, in each of the above-captioned cases, with authorization of the Board, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate the proceeding. Paper 7.² The parties also filed a true copy of their written settlement agreement. Ex. 1090. Additionally, citing to 35 U.S.C § 317 (b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74 (c), the parties filed a joint request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, maintained separate from the publicly available files of the involved patent, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to persons showing good cause on written request. Paper 8, 2.

In each joint motion, the parties explain that termination of the proceeding is appropriate because they have reached an agreement settling their dispute with respect to the involved patents. Paper 7, 1.

These cases are in the preliminary proceeding stage; a decision whether to institute trial in each case has not been entered. Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to enter judgment terminating the proceedings. Additionally, the parties' request for the settlement agreement to be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the file of the involved patents is *granted*.

² Citations to paper and exhibit numbers in this Order refer to filings in IPR2021-00439. Similar documents were filed in each of the above-captioned cases.

IPR2021-00439 (Patent 9,421,199 B2)
IPR2021-00440 (Patent 9,770,447 B2)
IPR2021-00441 (Patent 10,076,515 B2)
IPR2021-00442 (Patent 10,201,534 B2)

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that, in each of the above-captioned cases, the joint motion to terminate the captioned proceeding is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that, in each of the above-captioned cases, the joint request for the settlement agreement to be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the file of the involved patent under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeding in each of the above-captioned cases is *terminated*.

IPR2021-00439 (Patent 9,421,199 B2)
IPR2021-00440 (Patent 9,770,447 B2)
IPR2021-00441 (Patent 10,076,515 B2)
IPR2021-00442 (Patent 10,201,534 B2)

PETITIONER:

James R. Barney
Mark J. Feldstein
Joshua L. Goldberg
Yieyie Yang
Drew D. Christie
Lin Chen

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

james.barney@finnegan.com
mark.feldstein@finnegan.com
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
yieyie.yang@finnegan.com
drew.christie@finnegan.com
lin.chen@finnegan.com

PATENT OWNER:

Michael Rosato
Lora Green
Jad Mills
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
mrosato@wsgr.com
lgreen@wsgr.com
jmills@wsgr.com