

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAP SE,
Petitioner,

v.

TERADATA US, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00855 (Patent 6,763,357 B1)
IPR2021-00861 (Patent 9,851,923 B2)
IPR2021-00863 (Patent 9,720,623 B2)¹

Before LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, BART A. GERSTENBLITH, and
RUSSELL E. CASS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CASS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ This Order applies to the above-listed proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2021-00855 (Patent 6,763,357 B1)
IPR2021-00861 (Patent 9,851,923 B2)
IPR2021-00863 (Patent 9,720,623 B2)

With Board authorization, SAP SE (“Petitioner”) and Teradata US, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed Joint Motions to Terminate in the above-referenced proceedings (collectively “Joint Motions”) on December 13, 2021. Paper 9² (“Mot.”). Along with the Joint Motions, the parties filed copies of documents they describe as “a true copy” (*id.* at 1) of their written settlement agreement (Exs. 1021–1023, collectively “the Agreement”) that resolves all of their disputes concerning the patents at issue in these proceedings.

These proceedings are still in their early stages. Petitioner filed Petitions requesting *inter partes* review of the patents at issue in these proceedings. Paper 2. Patent Owner filed Preliminary Responses. Paper 6. We entered decisions granting institution. Paper 7. No Patent Owner Response has yet been filed in any of these proceedings.

In their Joint Motions, the parties represent that the Agreement “resolves all underlying disputes between the parties regarding” the patents at issue, including these proceedings. Mot. 1. The parties also state that “aside from the Agreement, there are no collateral agreements or understandings made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of” these proceedings. *Id.*

Under the circumstances of these cases, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate these proceedings without rendering a final written decision. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

² All citations are to IPR2021-00855 with the understanding that the other proceedings include papers and exhibits having substantially the same substantive content.

IPR2021-00855 (Patent 6,763,357 B1)

IPR2021-00861 (Patent 9,851,923 B2)

IPR2021-00863 (Patent 9,720,623 B2)

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Joint Motions to Terminate are *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the above-referenced proceedings are terminated.

IPR2021-00855 (Patent 6,763,357 B1)
IPR2021-00861 (Patent 9,851,923 B2)
IPR2021-00863 (Patent 9,720,623 B2)

PETITIONER:

Matthew W. Johnson
Joseph M. Beauchamp
JONES DAY
mwjohnson@jonesday.com
jbeauchamp@jonesday.com

Andrew M. Mason
Todd Siegel
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
andrew.mason@klarquist.com
todd.siegel@klarquist.com

J. Steven Baughman
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
sbaughman@paulweiss.com

PATENT OWNER:

Mehran Arjomand
Akira Irie
Shouvik Biswas
Roman Swoopes
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
marjomand@mofo.com
airie@mofo.com
sbiswas@mofo.com
rswoopes@mofo.com

David L. Fehrman
DSA LEGAL SOLUTIONS PC
dfehrman@dsa-legal.com