

From: [McKeown, Scott](#)
To: [Trials](#)
Cc: [Radsch, Andrew](#); "bsilver@bannerwitcoff.com"; bwright@bannerwitcoff.com; [Batchelder, James R.](#); "skelly@bannerwitcoff.com"; [Cheung, Victor](#); [Price, Jeffrey H.](#); [Rowland, Mark D.](#); "jhannah@kramerlevin.com"
Subject: IPR2022-00182
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 6:55:05 PM

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Trials,

Petitioner requests authorization to file a 10-page Reply brief in the above captioned IPR similar to that previously granted in IPR2021-01520 & 01521. Specifically, the proposed Reply will address Patent Owner's factual mischaracterizations argued under 314(a) and 325(d). As before, Petitioner proposes a responsive filing from Patent Owner of the same length. Petitioner proposes that each filing have a deadline of one week.

Patent Owner has indicated that it does not oppose briefing on 314(a), but that it opposes briefing on 325(d).

To the extent a teleconference is deemed necessary, the parties will accommodate the Board's schedule.

Scott A. McKeown
ROPES & GRAY LLP

T +1 202 508 4740 | M +1 571 395 6662
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-6807
Scott.McKeown@ropesgray.com
www.ropesgray.com

2021 Law360
[Technology Group of the Year](#)

This message (including attachments) is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it without further distribution and reply to the sender that you have received the message in error.

IPR2022-00182
Ex. 3001