

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GUARDANT HEALTH, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON THROUGH ITS CENTER FOR
COMMERCIALIZATION,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00817
Patent No. 10,760,127

**PETITIONER'S UPDATED MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §
42.8(a)(3)**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(3), the undersigned on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for Petitioner Guardant Health, Inc. (Guardant), hereby submits the following mandatory change-of-information notices in connection with the *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 10,760,127, Case IPR2022-00817.

A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) – Unchanged

B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) –Updated

In a related district-court action already of record here, *TwinStrand Biosciences, Inc. et al. v. Guardant Health, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-01126 (D. Del.), the court entered a judgment that is ***not relevant*** to this proceeding. Patent Owner TwinStrand’s¹ characterization of the proceeding is misleading, argumentative, and irrelevant. The previous Final Written Decision in this case (now vacated by the Federal Circuit) predated the district court trial, precluding Petitioner from advancing a prior art invalidity defense at trial. Validity was ***not litigated*** before the district court, so implying that it was adjudicated in TwinStrand’s favor is misleading. The infringement verdict is irrelevant to whether TwinStrand’s involved claims are unpatentable.

In contrast, the enablement issue specifically before the Board on remand from the Federal Circuit was previously adjudicated by the Office. For example,

¹ Patent Owner opposed additional briefing on remand.

U.S. Patent Nos. 9,910,956 and 11,705,217 (all direct lineage continuations of Travers '075) and their prosecution histories demonstrate the Office has previously determined, in multiple instances, that relevant subject matter is described and enabled in the original specification. The Office's findings in these cases have never been rebutted. *See* EX1042, 9:21-10:10.

C. Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) – Unchanged

D. Electronic Service – Unchanged

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 18, 2026

/ Michael T. Rosato /
Michael T. Rosato, Lead Counsel
Reg. No. 52,182

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Petitioner's Mandatory Change-of-Information Notices under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(3) was served September 18, 2026, on the Patent Owner at the electronic service addresses of the Patent Owner as follows:

Ralph Wilson Powers III
David H. Holman
Kristina C. Kelly
Christopher M. Gallo
Byron L. Pickard
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
tpowers-PTAB@sternekessler.com
dholman-PTAB@sternekessler.com
kckelly-PTAB@sternekessler.com
cgallo-PTAB@sternekessler.com
bpickard-PTAB@sternekessler.com
PTAB@sternekessler.com

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 18, 2026

/ Michael T. Rosato /

Michael T. Rosato, Lead Counsel
Reg. No. 52,182