

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

OZMO LICENSING LLC,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent 9,264,991

Issue Date: February 16, 2016

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2023-00193

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), the Board's June 20, 2023 Scheduling Order ("Scheduling Order," Paper 11), and the Board's email of January 26, 2024, Petitioner requests an opportunity to present oral argument regarding the unpatentability of Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, and 14-18 (the "Challenged Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 9,264,991.

Petitioner requests that each party be allowed a total of forty-five (45) minutes for its argument for the hearing, with the opportunity to reserve a portion of that time for rebuttal.

Per the Board's Scheduling Order, oral argument was scheduled for March 21, 2024. Paper 11, 11. The Board's email of January 26, 2024 indicated that this hearing would be rescheduled to March 28, 2024. As stated in the Parties email of February 2, 2024, this new date conflicts with Petitioner's lead counsel's schedule. Thus, Petitioner requested that the Board maintain the originally scheduled date of March 21, 2024. As of the filing of this paper, the Board had not yet ruled on Petitioner's request.

Petitioner requests that the oral argument be held by video conference. The Parties meet and conferred regarding the oral hearing on February 1, 2024. Petitioner understands that Patent Owner also intends to attend the hearing remotely.

Without waiving consideration of any issue not identified below, Petitioner requests the time to address the following issues:

- The unpatentability of Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 14, and 16-17 over *Cromer* (Ex-1004) in view of *Ganu* (Ex-1005) (“Ground 1”);
- The unpatentability of Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 14, and 16-17 over Ground 1 further in view of *Sinivaara* (Ex-1006) (“Ground 2”);
- The unpatentability of Claims 15, 18 over Ground 1 further in view of *Judd* (Ex-1019);
- The unpatentability of Claims 15, 18 over Ground 2 further in view of *Judd*;
- Any issues raised in the parties’ substantive and other papers, including issues raised in papers yet to be filed, such as Patent Owner’s Sur Reply and any Motions to Exclude;
- Any issues identified in Patent Owner’s Request for Oral Argument; and
- Any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Dated: February 6, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

DUANE MORRIS LLP

BY: /John M. Baird/

John M. Baird

USPTO Reg. No. 57,585

DUANE MORRIS LLP

901 New York Ave., NW

Suite 700 East

Washington, D.C. 20001

P: (202) 776-7819

F: (202) 379-9850

JMBaird@duanemorris.com

*LEAD COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER
UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC*

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE ON PATENT OWNER

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§42.6(e) and 42.8(b)(4), the undersigned certifies that on the 6th of February, 2024, a complete and entire copy of this PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served via email on:

Lawrence P. Cogswell III, Ph.D. (lawrence.cogswell@hbsr.com)
Timothy J. Meagher (timothy.meagher@hbsr.com)
Ronald R. Demsher (ronald.demsher@hbsr.com)
Keith J. Wood (keith.wood@hbsr.com)
Daniel A. Fleisher (daniel.fleisher@hbsr.com)
Hamilton, Brook, Smith & Reynolds, P.C.

Respectfully submitted,

DUANE MORRIS LLP

BY: /John M. Baird/
John M. Baird
USPTO Reg. No. 57,585
DUANE MORRIS LLP
901 New York Ave., NW
Suite 700 East
Washington, D.C. 20001
P: (202) 776-7819
F: (202) 379-9850
JMBaird@duanemorris.com

*LEAD COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER
UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC*