

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MANUFACTURING RESOURCES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-00199 (Patent 8,854,595 B2)
IPR2023-00220 (Patent 9,173,322 B2)

Before JAMESON LEE, JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, and MINN CHUNG,
Administrative Patent Judges.

KOKOSKI, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER¹

Granting Petitioner's Motions to Submit Supplemental Information
37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a)

¹ For convenience, this Order addresses both captioned cases. The parties are not authorized to use this heading style. These cases have not been consolidated.

IPR2023-00199 (Patent 8,854,595 B2)

IPR2023-00220 (Patent 9,173,322 B2)

In both of the above-identified cases, Petitioner provided English translations of foreign-language references as exhibits to their Petitions. *See* IPR2023-00199, Paper 12, 1 (identifying Exs. 1008 and 1010); IPR2023-00220, Paper 15, 1 (identifying Exs. 1008, 1010, 1026, and 1028). After Patent Owner objected on the basis that the translations do not comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(b), Petitioner served on Patent Owner supplemental certifications of translation accuracy for each identified English translation. IPR2023-00199, Paper 11, 34, Paper 12, 1; IPR2023-00220, Paper 14, 3–5, 13–14, Paper 15, 1. Petitioner then filed, in each proceeding, an authorized motion to submit as supplemental information the supplemental certifications of translation accuracy that Petitioner contends “confirm[] the accuracy of the translations provided with the Petition[s].” IPR2023-00199, Paper 12, 1; IPR2023-00220, Paper 15, 1.² Patent Owner did not file oppositions to Petitioner’s motions.

Petitioner’s unopposed motions are granted. We are persuaded that the supplemental certifications are relevant to the claims for which the trials have been instituted, because the accuracy of the English translations of foreign-language references affect Petitioner’s assertions that the foreign-language references render the challenged claims obvious. 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a)(2). We also determine that it is in the interests of justice for the Board to have the supplemental certifications available in these proceedings.

² Petitioner filed the supplemental certifications as Exs. 1035–1038 in IPR2023-00199, and as Exs. 1041–1046 in IPR2023-00220.

IPR2023-00199 (Patent 8,854,595 B2)

IPR2023-00220 (Patent 9,173,322 B2)

ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions to Submit Supplemental Information (IPR2023-00199, Paper 12; IPR2023-00220, Paper 15) are *granted*.

FOR PETITIONER:

Sanjeet Dutta

Douglas J. Kline

Naomi L. Birbach

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

sdutta@goodwinlaw.com

dkline@goodwinlaw.com

nbirbach@goodwinlaw.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

John C. Alemanni

Carl Sanders

Michael T. Morlock

Courtney Dabbieri

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND

jalemanni@kilpatricktownsend.com

csanders@kilpatricktownsend.com

mmorlock@kilpatricktownsend.com

cdabbieri@kilpatricktownsend.com