UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioners

v.

MANUFACTURING RESOURCES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2023-00254
Patent 10,506,740

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), and the Scheduling Order (Paper 12), Patent Owner Manufacturing Resources International, Inc. ("Patent Owner") request that the Board hear oral argument in this matter.

The Scheduling Order sets March 20, 2024, as the date for oral argument. Oral Argument is scheduled for related Proceeding No. IPR2023-00221 challenging U.S. Patent No. 11,043,142 to occur on the same date. Patent Owner requests: (1) that the oral argument be conducted in person at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia; (2) that the oral argument be consolidated to address this proceeding and IPR2023-00221; (3) that it be given ninety (90) minutes, to present its arguments for both proceedings; and (4) the use of a projector to display demonstratives during Argument. Patent Owner expects to have five or more people in attendance at the argument.

Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be argued:

1. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing that any challenged claim of U.S. 11,043,142 is obvious in view of the combination of Karppanen (Ex. 1004) in view of Larson (Ex. 1005);

2. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing that any challenged claim of U.S. 11,043,142 is obvious in view of the combination of Yare (Ex. 1006) in view of Larson (Ex. 1005);

3. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing that any challenged claim of U.S. 10,506,740 is obvious in view of Karppanen (Ex. 1004);

4. Whether Petitioner has carried its burden of establishing that any challenged claim of U.S. 10,506,740 is obvious in view of Yare (Ex. 1006);

5. The appropriate weight to be accorded to the expert testimony and other evidence presented by each party;

6. The appropriate weight to be accorded to the Initial Determination of the International Trade Commission in *In re Certain Outdoor and Semi-Outdoor Electronic Displays, Products Containing Same, and Components Thereof*, Investigation No. 337-TA-1331;

7. Whether secondary considerations of non-obviousness confirm non-obviousness of any of the challenged claims;

8. All issues raised in Petitioners' request for oral hearing; and

9. Any outstanding motions, pleadings, or other issues that the Board raises or deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Dated: February 2, 2024

By: */John C. Alemanni/*
John C. Alemanni
Reg. No. 47,384
Counsel for Patent Owner

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1400
Raleigh, NC 27609
Tel: (919) 420-1700
Fax: (919) 420-1800
jalemanni@kilpatricktownsend.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the date below a copy of this **PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** was served on Petitioner by filing through the P-TACTS System, as well as by delivering a copy via electronic mail to the following:

Sanjeet Dutta – sdutta@goodwinlaw.com
Douglas J. Kline – dkline@goodwinlaw.com
Srikanth Reedy – sreddy@goodwinlaw.com
Naomi L. Birbach – nbirbach@goodwinlaw.com

Dated: February 2, 2024

By: */John C. Alemanni/*
John C. Alemanni
Reg. No. 47,384
Counsel for Patent Owner