

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	)	Investigation No.
CERTAIN OUTDOOR AND SEMI-OUTDOOR	)	337-TA-1331
ELECTRONIC DISPLAYS, PRODUCTS	)	
CONTAINING SAME, AND COMPONENTS	)	
THEREOF	)	

OPEN SESSIONS

Pages: 1136 through 1346 (with excerpts)
Place: Washington, D.C.
Date: June 30, 2023

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
contracts@hrccourtreporters.com

SEC et al. v. MRI
SEC Exhibit 1047.001
IPR2023-00255

1 UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
2 Washington, D.C.
3 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MONICA BHATTACHARYYA
4 Administrative Law Judge
5 _____

6 In the Matter of:) Investigation No.
7 CERTAIN OUTDOOR AND SEMI-OUTDOOR) 337-TA-1331
8 ELECTRONIC DISPLAYS, PRODUCTS)
9 CONTAINING SAME, AND COMPONENTS)
10 THEREOF)
11 _____

12
13 International Trade Commission
14 500 E Street, S.W.
15 Washington, D.C.
16

17 Friday, June 30, 2023
18

19 EVIDENTIARY HEARING, VOLUME V
20

21 The Hearing commenced in Courtroom A, pursuant to the
22 notice of the Judge, at 9:30 a.m. Eastern.
23
24

25 Reported by: Karen Brynteson, FAPR, RMR, CRR

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

SEC et al. v. MRI
SEC Exhibit 1047.002
IPR2023-00255

1 APPEARANCES:

2

3 For Complainant Manufacturing Resources International,
4 Inc.:

5 AARTI SHAH, ESQ.

6 Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

7 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3700

8 Washington, D.C. 20005

9 202-508-5800

10

11 DARIO A. MACHLEIDT, ESQ.

12 KATHLEEN R. GEYER, ESQ.

13 Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

14 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3700

15 Seattle, WA 98101

16

17 RUSSELL A. KORN, ESQ.

18 DAVID A. REED, ESQ.

19 MITCHELL G. STOCKWELL, ESQ.

20 Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

21 1100 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2800

22 Atlanta, GA 30309

23

24

25

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

SEC et al. v. MRI
SEC Exhibit 1047.003
IPR2023-00255

1 APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

2

3 For Complainant (Continued):

4 CRAIG D. CANNON, ESQ.

5 ALTON L. ABSHER, III, ESQ.

6 ANDREA A. ANDERSON, ESQ.

7 JONATHAN E. HARRIS, ESQ.

8 Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

9 1001 West Fourth Street

10 Winston-Salem, NC 27101

11

12 MATTHEW J. MEYER, ESQ.

13 Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

14 1080 Marsh Road

15 Menlo Park, CA 94025

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

SEC et al. v. MRI
SEC Exhibit 1047.004
IPR2023-00255

1 APPEARANCES (Continued):

2 For Respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung
3 Electronics America, Inc., Samsung SDS Co. Ltd., and
4 Samsung SDS America, Inc.; Coates Signco Pty Limited and
5 Coates US Inc.; and Industrial Enclosures Corporation d/b/a
6 Palmer Digital Group:

7 PATRICK J. MCCARTHY, ESQ.

8 ANNAKA NAVA, ESQ.

9 JACQUELINE GENOVESE BOVA, ESQ.

10 JEREMY JONES, ESQ.

11 Goodwin Procter LLP

12 1900 N Street, N.W.

13 Washington, D.C. 20036

14

15 DARRYL M. WOO, ESQ.

16 Goodwin Procter LLP

17 Three Embarcadero Center

18 San Francisco, CA 94111

19

20 JESSE CHENG, ESQ.

21 ELIZABETH J. LOW, ESQ.

22 YOONA LEE, ESQ.

23 Goodwin Procter LLP

24 601 Marshall Street

25 Redwood City, CA 94063

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

SEC et al. v. MRI
SEC Exhibit 1047.005
IPR2023-00255

1 APPEARANCES (Continued):

2 For Respondents (continued):

3 DOUGLAS J. KLINE, ESQ.

4 SRIKANTH K. REDDY, ESQ.

5 CHRISTIE L. LAROCHELLE, ESQ.

6 HARRISON GUNN, ESQ.

7 KARA GROGAN, ESQ.

8 Goodwin Procter LLP

9 100 Northern Avenue

10 Boston, MA 02210

11

12 NAOMI L. BIRBACH, ESQ.

13 CHRISTINE POTKAY, ESQ.

14 CHRISTINA DENISE GO, ESQ.

15 Goodwin Procter LLP

16 The New York Times Building

17 620 Eighth Avenue

18 New York, New York 10018

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

SEC et al. v. MRI
SEC Exhibit 1047.006
IPR2023-00255

1 ATTORNEY ADVISOR:

2 ALTON HARE, ESQ.

3 Attorney-Advisor

4 U.S. International Trade Commission

5 500 E Street, S.W.

6 Washington, D.C. 20436

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

SEC et al. v. MRI
SEC Exhibit 1047.007
IPR2023-00255

1 indicated is sufficient to show that it's a closed loop or
2 not.

3 MR. REED: He hasn't opined on any Vertigo
4 products. It is outside the scope of his direct. And he
5 also testified he wasn't here for Mr. Bolton's testimony.

6 MR. REDDY: Right. And that he just testified
7 after that he was familiar with it.

8 JUDGE BHATTACHARYYA: The objection is
9 overruled. If he is not familiar, he can say so.

10 BY MR. REDDY:

11 Q. So, thank you, Dr. Pokharna.

12 So you would agree, companies like Vertigo were
13 selling displays that leveraged exclusively open loop
14 technology that did not have an IP56 rating; is that right?

15 A. I have heard that, but it required frequent
16 filter changes.

17 Q. Okay. And -- all right.

18 Since you weren't here the day Mr. Bolton
19 testified, sir, I won't ask you any further questions on
20 that. But now I would like to talk about your opinion with
21 respect to the "along the backlight limitation" in claim
22 12.

23 Now, your opinion is that Karppanen does not
24 disclose an open loop of ambient air that travels along the
25 backlight, correct?