

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MANUFACTURING RESOURCES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-00255
Patent 9,629,287 B2

Before JAMESON LEE, JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, and
JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KOKOSKI, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On July 17, 2024, we entered a Final Written Decision in this proceeding determining that Petitioner demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 1, 4, 5, 8, and 10–23 of U.S. Patent No. 9,629,287 B2 (Ex. 1001) are unpatentable, and claim 9 was not shown to be unpatentable. Paper 61 (“Decision”). The Decision discusses or cites information that is designated confidential under the Protective Order entered in this case. *See* Papers 46, 47. Accordingly, we entered the Decision in the Board’s P-TACTS system as “Board and Parties Only” to afford the parties an opportunity to identify any confidential information to which we have referred in the Decision.

Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information. *See* Paper 12, 3 (“Redactions to documents filed in these proceedings should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions.”).

We remind the parties that documents in a proceeding may be made available to the public. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14; Paper 12, 3 (“We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision in these proceedings, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history.”). The parties may move to expunge confidential information from the record after final judgment. 37 C.F.R. § 42.56.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly a proposed redacted version of the Decision, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that the Decision does not contain any confidential information.

IPR2023-00255
Patent 9,629,287 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Sanjeet Dutta
Douglas J. Kline
Srinkath Reddy
Naomi Birbach
Christine Potkay
GOODWIN PROCTOR LLP
sdutta@goodwinlaw.com
dkline@goodwinlaw.com
sreddy@goodwinlaw.com
nbirbach@goodwinlaw.com
cpotkay@goodwinlaw.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

John C. Alemanni
Carl Sanders
Michael T. Morlock
Courtney Dabbieri
David A. Reed
Andrea A. Anderson
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
jalemanni@kilpatricktownsend.com
csanders@kilpatricktownsend.com
mmorlock@kilpatricktownsend.com
cdabbieri@kilpatricktownsend.com
dreed@kilpatricktownsend.com
anderson@ktslaw.com