

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CURRENT LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC D/B/A GE CURRENT
Petitioner,

v.

JIAXING SUPER LIGHTING ELECTRIC APPLIANCE CO., LTD.
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-00269 (Patent 10,560,989 B2)
IPR2023-00271 (Patent 10,295,125 B2)¹

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, NEIL T. POWELL, and
JULIET MITCHELL DIRBA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

POWELL, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motion to Seal
37 C.F.R. § 42.14

¹ This Order applies to each of the listed proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be docketed in each proceeding. Except as expressly authorized by the Board, however, the parties shall not use this caption for any subsequent papers.

INTRODUCTION

In each of these proceedings, Petitioner filed a Motion to Seal. Paper 14 (“Motion” or “Mot.”).² Petitioner moves to seal certain exhibits alleged to contain or discuss sensitive, confidential purchasing information (“Purchasing Evidence,” Exhibits 1021, 1023, 1024), along with an unredacted copy of its Preliminary Reply (Paper 13). Mot. 1. Patent Owner has not opposed Petitioner’s Motion.

Elaborating on the nature of the Purchasing Evidence, Petitioner explains the following:

Exhibit 1021 is a non-public purchase order of Petitioner that includes terms, including quantities and amounts, that are competitively sensitive. Exhibit 1023 is a summary of non-public purchase information of Petitioner that includes identification of third-party suppliers and quantities of products that are competitively sensitive. Exhibit 1024 is a declaration from Petitioner that contains descriptions of and citations to competitively sensitive information in Exhibits 1021 and 1023.

Id. at 1–2. Petitioner moves to seal its Preliminary Reply because it discusses and cites the Purchasing Evidence. *Id.* at 3. “Petitioner certifies that, to the best of its knowledge, the redacted information concerning these documents and their contents in the Preliminary Reply has not been published or otherwise been made public.” *Id.*

Based on Petitioner’s explanation and our review of Petitioner’s Preliminary Reply and Purchasing Evidence, we find good cause for granting Petitioner’s Motion.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion to Seal is *granted*.

² For purposes of this Order, we cite only to papers and related exhibits filed in IPR2023-00269. IPR2023-00271 includes papers and exhibits that appear substantively the same as the IPR2023-00269 papers and exhibits cited herein.

IPR2023-00269 (Patent 10,560,989 B2)

IPR2023-00271 (Patent 10,295,125 B2)

PETITIONER:

Eagle Robinson

Valerie Barker

Catherine Garza

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP

Eagle.robinson@nortonrosefulbright.com

Valerie.barker@nortonrosefulbright.com

Cat.garza@nortonrosefulbright.com

PATENT OWNER:

Patrick McKeever

Miguel Bombach

Evan Day

Han-Wei Chen

PERKINS COIE LLP

McKeever-ptab@perkinscoie.com

Bombach-ptab@perkinscoie.com

Day-ptab@perkinscoie.com

Chen-ptab@perkinscoie.com