

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CANON U.S.A., INC. and CANON INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

SLINGSHOT PRINTING LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2023-00309

Patent No. 7,290,864

Before JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, GARTH D. BAER, and JOHN D. HAMANN,
Administrative Patent Judges.

PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board’s August 4, 2023 Scheduling Order (Paper 7) (the “Scheduling Order”), Petitioners Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Canon Inc. (“Petitioners”) respectfully requests oral argument in connection with this proceeding (IPR2023-00309). Per the Scheduling Order, the oral argument will take place on May 9, 2024.

Petitioners request the hearing take place remotely via videoconference.

Petitioners request sixty (60) minutes in which to present its arguments for the IPR proceeding.

Petitioners note that the parties and the Board currently have three proceedings set for oral argument on May 9, 2024: IPR2023-00309, IPR2023-00312, and IPR2023-00313. Consistent with the Board’s March 25, 2024 email guidance, Petitioners have requested that the Board consolidate the oral hearings for IPR2023-00312 and IPR2023-00313.

To comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)’s requirement that this request “must specify the issues to be argued,” and without waiving argument on any issue not specifically identified below, Petitioners specify at least the following issues to be argued:

- That per 35 U.S.C. § 103, claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-10, 13-15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,290,864 are unpatentable as obvious over U.S. Patent No.

7,722,144 (“Benjamin-144”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,278,703
 (“Benjamin-703”);

- That per 35 U.S.C. § 103, claims 4, 11-12, 16-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,557,711 are unpatentable as obvious over Benjamin-144 in view of Benjamin-703 and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,582,062 (“Childers”);
- That per 35 U.S.C. § 102, claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8-10, 13, 15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,290,864 are unpatentable as anticipated by Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 11-245409 (“Uenishi”);
- That per 35 U.S.C. § 103, claims 4, 11-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,290,864 are unpatentable as obvious over Uenishi in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0045967 (“Hayasaki”);
- Any claim constructions, unpatentability grounds, or other issues raised in the Petition or Petitioners Reply, the Patent Owner Preliminary Response, the Patent Owner Response, the Patent Owner Sur-Reply, or the Board’s Institution Decision;
- Rebuttal to issues raised by Patent Owner;
- Any other motions filed by either party; and

- Any other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision in this proceeding.

Dated: March 26 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

/ Dion M. Bregman /

Dion M. Bregman, Reg. No. 45,645

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4), it is hereby certified that on March 26, 2024 an electronic copy of this PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT, was served via email to Patent Owner's counsel of record in this proceeding:

Timothy Maloney (tim@fitcheven.com)
Paul Henkelmann (phenkelmann@fitcheven.com)
Mark Borsos (mborsos@fitcheven.com)
David Gosse (dgosse@fitcheven.com)

Dated: March 26, 2024

/ Dion M. Bregman /
Dion M. Bregman