Filed on behalf of Intuit Inc.

By:
JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No. 50,784
MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER (*pro hac vice*)
GEOFFREY R. MILLER (*pro hac vice*)
DANIEL LEDESMA (*pro hac vice*)
CHRISTOPHER L. LARSON, Reg. No. 68,243
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Telephone: 650.988.8500
Facsimile: 650.938.5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTUIT INC.,
Petitioner

v.

SAMESURF, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2023-00339
Patent 9,185,145 B2

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF DANIEL LEDESMA

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to the authorization provided by the Board in Paper No. 5 at page 2, Petitioner Intuit Inc. petitions under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) for the *pro hac vice* admission of Daniel Ledesma in this proceeding.

II. GOVERNING LAWS, RULES, AND PRECEDENT

Section 42.10(c) states as follows:

> The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following statement of facts, and supported by the Declaration of Daniel Ledesma submitted herewith as Exhibit 1030 ("Ex. 1030"), Petitioner submits that a showing of good cause has been made and respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Daniel Ledesma in this proceeding:

1. This authorized petition is filed more than twenty-one (21) days after Petitioner's service of the PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT 9,185,145 (Paper No. 2).

2. Petitioner's current lead counsel, Jennifer R. Bush, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No 50,784).

3. Mr. Ledesma is an associate at the law firm of Fenwick & West LLP. Ex. 1030 ¶ 3.

4. Mr. Ledesma is an experienced litigating attorney and has been litigating cases relating to patents for over 5 years. Ex. 1030 ¶ 4.

5. Mr. Ledesma has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Ex. 1030 ¶ 11-12. He has also reviewed the patent-at-issue and the Petition filed in this case. Ex. 1030 ¶ 11-12.

6. Mr. Ledesma is a member in good standing of the state bars of Florida and New York. Ex. 1030 ¶ 5.

7. Mr. Ledesma has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. Ex. 1030 ¶ 5.

8. No application filed by Mr. Ledesma for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. Ex. 1030 ¶ 6.

9. No sanctions or contempt citations have been imposed against Mr. Ledesma by any court or administrative body. Ex. 1030 ¶ 7.

10. Mr. Ledesma has read and agrees to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R. Ex. 1030 ¶ 8.

11. Mr. Ledesma understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). Ex. 1030 ¶ 9.

12. Mr. Ledesma has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any post grant review proceedings before the Office within the last three (3) years. Mr. Ledesma is concurrently applying to appear *pro hac vice* in *Inter Partes* Review Nos. IPR2023-00341 and IPR2023-00342. Ex. 1030 ¶ 10.

IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE* OF MR. LEDESMA IN THIS PROCEEDING

The facts outlined above in the Statement of Facts, and contained in the Declaration of Daniel Ledesma (Ex. 1030), establish that there is good cause to admit Mr. Ledesma *pro hac vice* in this proceeding under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10. Mr. Ledesma is an experienced litigating attorney, and Mr. Ledesma has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons as well as the reasons in the attached declaration, Petitioner Intuit Inc. respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Daniel Ledesma in this proceeding.

August 15, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

FENWICK & WEST LLP

/Jennifer R. Bush /

Jennifer R. Bush
Reg. No. 50,784
Attorneys for Petitioner Intuit Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6, the undersigned certifies that on August 15, 2023, I caused a true and correct copy of the forgoing PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF DANIEL LEDESMA, and supporting **Exhibit 1030** to be served electronically on Patent Owner's lead and back-up counsel at the following addresses::

Scott W. Hejny
McKool Smith, P.C.
300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500
Dallas, TX 75201

shejny@mckoolsmith.com

Ryan B. McBeth
Archis (Neil) V. Ozarkar
Saif Askar
McKool Smith, P.C.
600 Travis Street, Suite 7000
Houston, TX 77002

rmcbeth@mckoolsmith.com
nozarkar@mckoolsmith.com
saskar@mckoolsmith.com

FENWICK & WEST LLP

/Jennifer R. Bush/
Jennifer R. Bush
Reg. No. 50,784

Attorneys for Petitioner
Intuit Inc.

Date: August 15, 2023
Fenwick & West LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041