

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASKELADDEN L.L.C.,
Petitioner,

v.

LIBERTY PEAK VENTURES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2023-00421
U.S. Patent No. 7,837,100 B2

PETITIONER ASKELADDEN L.L.C.'S REQUEST FOR
ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Scheduling Order (Paper No. 7), Petitioner Askeladden LLC (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests oral argument in connection with IPR2023-00421 for U.S. Patent No. 7,837,100 B2.

Via correspondence of counsel on March 4, 2024, Patent Owner Liberty Peak Ventures informed Petitioner Askeladden that it does not intend to request oral argument and, if oral argument is ordered, it will seek leave to be excused from the oral argument.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, Petitioner requests oral argument for the following issues:

- Grounds for which *inter partes* review was instituted for the ’301 and ’100 Patents and any issues raised in the Board’s Institution Decision (Paper No. 7), instituting review on all the claims and grounds presented in the Petition (Paper No. 1);
- Any issue addressed in Petitioner’s papers, including but not limited to the Petition, Patent Owner’s Response (Patent No. 9), and Petitioner’s Reply to Patent Owner’s Response (Paper No. 10) and exhibits cited therein;
- Rebuttal to Patent Owner’s presentation (if any) on all matters;
- Any other issues raised in papers filed in this proceeding, including issues raised in papers yet to be filed; and
- Any other outstanding motions and pleadings, and other issues that

the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order (Paper No. 7, at 11) the oral hearing for this IPR and IPR2023-00422 (collectively, the “the Two IPR’s”) is currently scheduled for April 25, 2024. Petitioner requests back-to-back hearings for the Two IPRs. For each hearing, Petitioner requests:

1. 1 hour of oral argument per side, or whatever time that the Board determines is appropriate for oral argument, including any time reserved for rebuttal;
2. that oral argument be held in-person at the USPTO headquarters at the Denver, Colorado USPTO Regional Office. In the alternative, to the extent that the Board will not conduct an in-person hearing, Petitioner requests that the oral argument be held virtually using electronic videoconferencing software that allows for the presentation of demonstrative exhibits; and
3. that the Board provide audiovisual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including a monitor or projector to be connected to a laptop, and an ELMO for displaying documents of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein LLP
Attorneys for Petitioner
405 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10174
(212) 336-8000

Dated: March 6, 2024
New York, New York

By: /Charles R. Macedo/
Charles R. Macedo
Registration No.: 32,781

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 6th day of March 2024, a true copy of the foregoing **PETITIONER ASKELADDEN L.L.C.'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** has been filed through the Patent Review Processing System and served via electronic mail upon the following counsel of record for the Patent Owner in this proceeding:

Tarek N. Fahmi
Reg. No. 41,402
Jonathan Tsao
Reg. No. 61,421
Ascenda Law Group, PC
2150 N. First St., Suite 420
San Jose, CA 95131
(866) 877-4883
tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com
jonathan.tsao@ascendalaw.com
patents@ascendalaw.com

Dated: March 6, 2024
New York, New York

By: /Charles R. Macedo/
Charles R. Macedo
Registration No.: 32,781