

1
2 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

3
4 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
5

6
7 KEYSIGHT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, LLC,

11 Patent Owner.
12

13 Case IPR2023-00445

14 U.S. Patent No. 10,785,266

15 and

16 Case IPR2023-00448

17 Patent No. 11,012,474
18

19 ZOOM DEPOSITION OF DR. DOUGLAS JACOBSON,
20 TAKEN BEFORE CHRISTINE SALERNO, REGISTERED
21 PROFESSIONAL REPORTER, GENERAL NOTARY PUBLIC WITHIN
22 AND FOR THE STATE OF NEBRASKA, BEGINNING AT 9:34
23 A.M., CENTRAL STANDARD TIME, ON THE 2ND DAY OF
24 OCTOBER, 2023, VIA ZOOM.
25

1 REMOTE APPEARANCES:

2

 FOR THE PETITIONER:

3 REED SMITH LLP

 101 Second Street, Suite 1800

4 San Francisco, California 94105

 (415)543-8700

5 jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com

 BY: Mr. Jonathan I. Detrixhe

6

7 FOR THE PATENT OWNER:

 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

8 1177 Avenue of the Americas

 New York, New York 10036

9 (212)715-9488

 jprice@kramerlevin.com

10 BY: Mr. Jeffrey Price

 and

11 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700

 Redwood Shores, California 94065

12 (650)752-1800

 jfuller@kramerlevin.com

13 BY: Ms. Jenna Fuller

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	I N D E X		
2	CASE CAPTION.....	Page 1	
3	APPEARANCES.....	Page 2	
4	STIPULATIONS.....	Page 4	
5	INDEX.....	Page 3	
6	TESTIMONY.....	Page 4	
7	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	Page 80	
8	E X A M I N A T I O N		
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION:		
10	MS. FULLER	4	
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION:		
12	MR. DETRIXHE	75	
13	E X H I B I T S		
14	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
15	PREVIOUS EXHIBIT 1001-266:'266	PATENT	12
16	PREVIOUS EXHIBIT 1001-474:'474	PATENT	12
17	PREVIOUS EXHIBIT 1003-266:'266	JACOBSON	6
18		DECLARATION	
19	PREVIOUS EXHIBIT 1003-474:'474	JACOBSON	6
20		DECLARATION	
21	PREVIOUS EXHIBIT 1005:LAW		27
22	PREVIOUS EXHIBIT 1009:GOLNABI		68
23			
24			
25			

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p> <p>1 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were</p> <p>2 had, to-wit:)</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 (All parties to this deposition are appearing</p> <p>5 remotely and have agreed to the witness being sworn</p> <p>6 remotely.)</p> <p>7</p> <p>8 DR. DOUGLAS JACOBSON,</p> <p>9 having been first duly sworn, was examined and</p> <p>10 testified as follows:</p> <p>11</p> <p>12 DIRECT EXAMINATION</p> <p>13 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>14 Q. Good morning, Dr. Jacobson. Can you please</p> <p>15 state your full name for the record?</p> <p>16 A. Yeah, Douglas Wade Jacobson.</p> <p>17 Q. And then do you understand why you're here</p> <p>18 today, Dr. Jacobson?</p> <p>19 A. Yeah, deposition on a couple of patents.</p> <p>20 Q. So the depositions today are in regard to IPR</p> <p>21 2023-00445, which challenges patent -- U.S. patent</p> <p>22 10,785,266. Is that okay if we refer it that patent as</p> <p>23 the '266 patent?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And we referred to this IPR as the '266 IPR?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 upload into the chat message; is that okay?</p> <p>2 A. Yep, that's works.</p> <p>3 Q. All right.</p> <p>4 So can you open up your marked Exhibit 1003,</p> <p>5 in the '266 and '474 IPRs, or just have them available.</p> <p>6 (Exhibit Nos. 1003-266 and 1003-474,</p> <p>7 previously marked in prior deposition.)</p> <p>8 A. Yeah 166, yep -- or the '266, sorry.</p> <p>9 Q. Yeah, there's a lot of numbers going on.</p> <p>10 A. Yep.</p> <p>11 Q. All right.</p> <p>12 MR. DETRIXHE: You marked as</p> <p>13 Exhibit 1 -- what did you mark as Exhibit 1, Jenna?</p> <p>14 MS. FULLER: It should be 1003. They</p> <p>15 should be already marked as Exhibits 1003 --</p> <p>16 COURT REPORTER: So you're marking them</p> <p>17 here today in this transcript?</p> <p>18 MS. FULLER: No, what I mean, they</p> <p>19 should already be marked.</p> <p>20 MR. DETRIXHE: Oh.</p> <p>21 MS. FULLER: They're already filed.</p> <p>22 MR. DETRIXHE: Dr. Jacobson's</p> <p>23 declaration and...</p> <p>24 MS. FULLER: Yes.</p> <p>25 MR. DETRIXHE: Gotcha.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 5</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Right. And then the second IPR is IPR</p> <p>3 2023-00448 challenging U.S. Patent 11,012,474. Is that</p> <p>4 okay if we refer to that patent as the '474 patent?</p> <p>5 A. Yep.</p> <p>6 Q. And then that IPR is the '474 IPR?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, that works.</p> <p>8 Q. Perfect.</p> <p>9 Is there any reason that you cannot give your</p> <p>10 truthful testimony today?</p> <p>11 A. Nope.</p> <p>12 Q. Great. Dr. Jacobson, I just want to get some</p> <p>13 formalities out of the way to make sure that this runs</p> <p>14 smoothly.</p> <p>15 For your exhibits today, do you have paper</p> <p>16 copies or I can upload?</p> <p>17 A. I have paper copies, clean copies of my two</p> <p>18 declarations, and clean copies of the '474 and '266</p> <p>19 patents, and also clean copies of the Law, Johnson Jung</p> <p>20 (sic), the -- the patents and the one paper that go</p> <p>21 along with the declarations. I don't have any other</p> <p>22 exhibits.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Well, it's all right with me, we can</p> <p>24 just use your clean copies for today and if I have any</p> <p>25 other exhibits that you didn't mention, I will just</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 MS. FULLER: Sorry.</p> <p>2 MR. DETRIXHE: Sorry for interrupting.</p> <p>3 I understand now. Thank you.</p> <p>4 MS. FULLER: We're throwing around a lot</p> <p>5 of numbers this morning.</p> <p>6 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>7 Q. All right. Dr. Jacobson, do you have those</p> <p>8 in front of you?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, I have 1003 in front of me, yep.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Do those declarations include all your</p> <p>11 opinions you have formed for these two cases?</p> <p>12 A. Declaration, yeah, outlines my opinions,</p> <p>13 correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Are there any corrections to those</p> <p>15 declarations that you would like to make at this time?</p> <p>16 A. We're talking about the '266 -- are we</p> <p>17 talking about both of them right now?</p> <p>18 Q. We can -- let's just step through it. So</p> <p>19 we'll talk about the '266 declaration. Is there any</p> <p>20 correction that you would like to make to that one?</p> <p>21 A. Yeah, there's a typo in -- okay, Roman</p> <p>22 numeral VIII, where it says, "Law In View Of Wood</p> <p>23 Renders Obvious Claims 1 through 24," that's a typo.</p> <p>24 That should actually include all of the Claims 1</p> <p>25 through 27. So that's a typo in the table of contents</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 and correspondingly on the page itself.</p> <p>2 Q. Is that the only correction for the '266</p> <p>3 declaration?</p> <p>4 A. Tha -- to my knowledge that's the only thing</p> <p>5 that I've seen since the declaration got filed.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Now turning to the '474 declaration,</p> <p>7 are there any corrections that you would like to make</p> <p>8 at this time concerning that declaration?</p> <p>9 A. I don't have any that I've seen.</p> <p>10 Q. Can you describe the process of writing your</p> <p>11 '474 and 26 (sic) declarations?</p> <p>12 A. Yeah, been a long time ago. Various, you</p> <p>13 know, phone calls, Zoom calls with counsel and</p> <p>14 discussions, then that led to drafts of the</p> <p>15 declarations being exchanged via e-mail and also</p> <p>16 discussed over -- over Zoom calls and that -- which</p> <p>17 lead to the final -- final copies.</p> <p>18 Q. How many hours would you say you spent</p> <p>19 preparing each of the declarations?</p> <p>20 A. I can't give you an exact number. It's been</p> <p>21 six months ago maybe, you know, in the -- you know,</p> <p>22 probably over 10, maybe close to over 20 hours for each</p> <p>23 one, if I were to guess. I don't -- I don't have a --</p> <p>24 I don't have any of my billing records.</p> <p>25 Q. So generally 10 to 20 hours for each</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 Q. Did you meet with attorneys during those</p> <p>2 hours?</p> <p>3 A. I had Zoom conversations with -- you know,</p> <p>4 with counsel.</p> <p>5 Q. So, Dr. Jacobson, what is your understanding</p> <p>6 of the term "person of ordinary skill in the art"?</p> <p>7 A. My understanding is that it's just as the</p> <p>8 name implies. It is a individual who at that time</p> <p>9 would have understood how -- how the patent -- you</p> <p>10 know, what was -- what was obvious of how things worked</p> <p>11 at that time. So it would have been -- and I can't</p> <p>12 remember exactly how we de -- how it was defined in the</p> <p>13 declaration. I can look that up but, you know, simple</p> <p>14 terms, it's just as the name implies. Somebody who's</p> <p>15 -- I think we said somebody with a background in</p> <p>16 computer science, computer engineering and networking.</p> <p>17 Q. And then how did you go about determining</p> <p>18 that person of ordinary skill at the time of the</p> <p>19 claimed inventions? What was your process?</p> <p>20 A. Well, just again, applying what -- the exact</p> <p>21 criteria to -- to an individual and so, you know,</p> <p>22 having, you know, been in this field for 35 years,</p> <p>23 that, you know. And teaching -- teaching people to be</p> <p>24 skilled in the art, that's, you know, it was pretty</p> <p>25 straightforward to understand what that -- what that</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 declaration, that that's a --</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, that probably doesn't count some of the</p> <p>3 phone time with counsel, et cetera.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you review any of the exhibits filed in</p> <p>5 this case?</p> <p>6 A. Yeah, I've looked through all the exhibits.</p> <p>7 My memory right now can't tell you what all exhibits</p> <p>8 had but, yes, I looked through exhibits.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you review any of those exhibits in</p> <p>10 preparation for your deposition today?</p> <p>11 A. For today I, of course, reviewed the two</p> <p>12 patents themselves, as I mentioned the things that I</p> <p>13 have printed out, the various supporting patents, the</p> <p>14 article by Golnabi.</p> <p>15 I also read through Centripetal's response</p> <p>16 and also read through the board or whatever the --</p> <p>17 whatever the group that responds back on both -- on the</p> <p>18 arguments.</p> <p>19 Q. I think you're referring to the institution</p> <p>20 decision by the board, correct?</p> <p>21 A. There you go, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. So how many hours would you roughly say that</p> <p>23 you spent preparing for your deposition today?</p> <p>24 A. Oh, probably over the last coup -- few weeks</p> <p>25 maybe, you know, 10-plus hours.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 meant.</p> <p>2 Q. Did I hear 35 years in computer science; is</p> <p>3 that correct?</p> <p>4 A. Actually if you go back farther than that --</p> <p>5 that's how many years I've been teaching but I -- my</p> <p>6 field of study in networking started in about 1980.</p> <p>7 Q. Wow.</p> <p>8 A. So however many years that is, a long time.</p> <p>9 Q. Yes, well, congratulations. That's -- I'm</p> <p>10 five years and I'm like where's retirement coming.</p> <p>11 A. Yeah.</p> <p>12 Q. So give me a little background track,</p> <p>13 Dr. Jacobson. What is your understanding of claim</p> <p>14 construction in this IPR process?</p> <p>15 A. That, you know, sides agree on how the cla --</p> <p>16 you know, certain wording in the claims apply and so if</p> <p>17 there's anything that's contested, then that gets</p> <p>18 worked out. So it's really, you know, things that</p> <p>19 aren't -- yeah, aren't agreed upon otherwise we -- you</p> <p>20 know, both sides agree on how the terms in the claims</p> <p>21 are interpreted.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you -- for the '266 IPR, did you</p> <p>23 interpret any claim terms?</p> <p>24 A. I would have to look to see exactly if I --</p> <p>25 if I interpreted any claim terms. I don't -- I don't</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 recall exactly if there were any terms that needed to 2 be interpreted. 3 Q. So that there weren't any terms that needed 4 to be interpreted, did you apply then just the plain 5 and ordinary meaning to those terms? 6 A. I would have to look to see exactly if there 7 were any, but if the -- I would apply normal meaning to 8 any of the terms that -- that were not in contention. 9 Q. And for the '474 IPR declaration, was that 10 the same process that you went through as well? 11 A. Yeah. 12 Q. And, Dr. Jacobson, feel free to let me know 13 if you do want to skim through your declaration and 14 take a look. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. By all means -- 17 A. Yep. 18 Q. -- you know, take that time. 19 Dr. Jacobson, can you just have in front of 20 you as well the '266 and '474 patents that have already 21 been marked in this proceeding as Exhibits 1001? 22 (Exhibit Nos. 1001-266 and 1001-474, 23 previously marked in prior deposition.) 24 A. Yes, as soon as I find them on my cluttered 25 desk. That's the bad thing about paper, right, it</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 comprising of first set of packet filtering rules"? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And what was that understanding, 4 Dr. Jacobson? 5 A. Yeah, it -- as it says, the packet security 6 gateway has, so external from it which implies that it 7 would be across the internet, a server which they refer 8 to as policy management server, and that server 9 provides rules to the packet security gateways and 10 those rules apply to all of those gateways and these 11 are the gateways as it states are protecting traffic 12 traversing a boundary. 13 So that's -- so you have boundary, security 14 gateways, and then outside the protected space, outside 15 the boundary, you have a management server. 16 Q. And when you say "rules," does that include 17 the dynamic security policy in the rules that are 18 included in the dynamic security policy provided to the 19 packet security gateway? 20 A. Yeah, it's -- yeah. So, yeah, that's part of 21 the rules, so... 22 Q. So, okay. So -- 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. -- just for a correct understanding, the PSG 25 receives from the SPMS a dynamic security policy</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 takes up space. 2 Yes, I have them both. 3 Q. Great. 4 So, Dr. Jacobson, when preparing your '266 5 declaration, did you come to an understanding of what 6 was meant by the claim term, which is in the '266 7 patent, "receiving by the packet security gateway and 8 from a security policy management server external from 9 the network protected by the packet security gateway, a 10 dynamic security policy comprising a first set of 11 packet filtering rules"? 12 A. And where are you at in the -- 13 Q. I am in -- oh, pardon me, Dr. Jacobson. I am 14 in Claim 1 of the '266 patent. You can also find it in 15 Paragraph 67 of your '266 declaration. 16 A. Okay, I'm -- yep, found 'em -- found it. 17 Sorry, now what? 18 Q. Would you like me to repeat my question? 19 A. Yes, please. 20 Q. All right. When preparing your '266 21 declaration, did you come to an understanding of what 22 was meant by the claim term, "receiving by the packet 23 security gateway and from a security policy management 24 server, external from the network protected by the 25 packet security gateway a dynamic security policy</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 including rules? 2 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 3 A. So it receives dynamic security policy which 4 is comprised of filtering rules and then those 5 filtering rules are applied to all of the PSGs. 6 BY MS. FULLER: 7 Q. And the rules are applied to then all network 8 traffic that is traversing the boundary; is that 9 correct? 10 A. Yeah, the PSGs apply the rules to the traffic 11 traversing the boundaries. 12 Q. Is it a correct understanding that traversing 13 the boundary is going from outside to inside the -- 14 strike that. 15 What -- Dr. Jacobson. what does traversing 16 the boundary mean to you? 17 A. Packets that -- that if we define the 18 boundaries being something -- two networks that the 19 packet security gateway is between, then packets that 20 go across the packet security gateway are traversing 21 that boundary. 22 Q. In and out packets that are going from the 23 inside out to the outside and from the outside to the 24 inside? 25 A. Traversing doesn't apply a direction, so --</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 so, yeah, I -- traversing means that the packets are 2 flowing in either direction. 3 Q. Dr. Jacobson, can you turn to Paragraph 64 of 4 your '266 declaration. Let me know when you get there. 5 And I apologize ahead of time. We'll be doing some 6 bouncing around. 7 A. Well, that's to be expected. 8 Q. Given the two IPRs, we'll be -- 9 A. I'm still in the '266, right? 10 Q. Yes. 11 A. Okay. And which -- or 64, yes. 12 Q. Correct. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Specifically can I direct your attention to 15 where you state, "To the extent that the preamble is 16 construed to require that a plurality of packet 17 security gateways collectively protect a single 18 network." 19 Do you see that sentence? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Is it your opinion that if a plurality of 22 network packet security gateway -- or, pardon me. Is 23 it your opinion that a plurality of packet security 24 gateways collectively protect a single network? 25 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 Do you see that claim language? 2 A. Hang on, let me get to that. Which claim is 3 that? 4 Q. I believe it's going to be in Claim 1? 5 A. Okay. Claim 1's a big claim, but, yeah, 6 okay. 7 Q. Do you see that language? Sorry -- 8 A. Help me get quickly -- sorry. 9 Q. Yes, line 55 of Column 25? 10 A. All right, thank you. There we go, yes. 11 Q. What is your understanding of this claim 12 term? 13 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 14 A. So my understanding is that there are more 15 than one what's referred to a malicious host tracker 16 services so that -- services that provide information 17 about hosts or, you know, things that can be -- be 18 threats, mollitious. And that -- together that is a -- 19 well, more of them, one or more of them, that is fed 20 so, they're talking about a feed being fed to the 21 packet security gateway, so you're talking about one or 22 more groups or services that provide information to the 23 packet security gateway. 24 BY MS. FULLER: 25 Q. And does each service or group, they have an</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 A. Well, pre -- yeah, preamble says that there's 2 a multiple, a plurality of packet security gateways 3 that protect a single network. 4 BY MS. FULLER: 5 Q. So your understanding of the preamble based 6 on the claim language is that a plurality of packet 7 security gateways collectively protect one network; is 8 that correct? 9 A. Yes, a single network, yes. 10 Q. Can I direct your attention now to the '474 11 declaration, in the '474 patent. And I'll let you get 12 there. 13 A. Clearly needed to be more organized. Let's 14 see... 15 Q. It's more on me. I apologize for jumping 16 around. 17 A. No, no, I need a desk about the size of a 18 pool table. I don't -- so... 19 All right. So '474 patent and declaration, 20 got 'em. 21 Q. Yes. 22 In Claim 1 of the '474 patent, the claim 23 states, "an indication of a feed managed by at least 24 one of the plurality of malicious host tracker 25 services."</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 indication of a feed, is that -- is that a correct 2 assessment? 3 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 4 A. Yeah, so it's an indication of a feed managed 5 by at least one of the mollitious host tracking 6 services. 7 BY MS. FULLER: 8 Q. And did you come to an understanding of what 9 an indication of a feed is? 10 A. Yeah, it would be a indication of, you know, 11 usually talk about -- but information from, you know, 12 where the feed came from, information potentially about 13 the feed source, so when it -- yeah, so when it talks 14 about indication of a feed managed by, so that 15 indicates that some level of attribution to the -- to 16 the source of the feed. 17 Q. So does an indication of a feed indicate the 18 source itself? 19 A. The source of? 20 Q. Of the feed. 21 A. Oh, source of the feed. Yes, it would 22 indicate who -- who provided -- what service provided 23 the feed. 24 Q. Can I direct your attention to Paragraph 86 25 of your '474 declaration. Let me know when you get</p>

<p>1 there, Dr. Jacobson.</p> <p>2 A. 86 you said?</p> <p>3 Q. Yes.</p> <p>4 A. Got there.</p> <p>5 Q. So in Paragraph 86 you state, "Law describes</p> <p>6 rules with widely varying attributes. In particular,</p> <p>7 Law explains that protocol types of the example</p> <p>8 protocol type Column 1302 may include, but are not</p> <p>9 limited to, UDP, TCP, HART and/or SCPI. A POSITA would</p> <p>10 have understood that any Law's feeds of threat data 310</p> <p>11 from sources 315 may have been uniquely associated with</p> <p>12 a particular combination of rule parameters. For</p> <p>13 example, one feed may have a special -- may have</p> <p>14 specialized in the SCPI protocol."</p> <p>15 Do you see that passage?</p> <p>16 A. Yep.</p> <p>17 Q. Dr. Jacobson, is it your opinion that the</p> <p>18 threat data 310 includes rules having these types of</p> <p>19 rule parameters that are associated with the sources?</p> <p>20 A. Yeah, I mean, he -- Law outlines that these</p> <p>21 are examples of what would be in the 310 feed, so</p> <p>22 various attributes would be in that -- in that feed.</p> <p>23 Q. So the threat data 310 would include rules</p> <p>24 with these types of attributes?</p> <p>25 A. I'm sorry, I didn't quite -- I didn't catch</p>	<p>Page 20</p> <p>1 Q. Give me a second, you beat me.</p> <p>2 A. Paper's faster.</p> <p>3 Q. I'm a fan of the Control F where you can just</p> <p>4 like search for something.</p> <p>5 A. Oh.</p> <p>6 Q. I'm kind of amazed that you're still doing</p> <p>7 paper.</p> <p>8 A. Remember I'm the old person.</p> <p>9 Q. 35 years.</p> <p>10 All right, so in this -- in this paragraph,</p> <p>11 Dr. Jacobson, you state that, one, "it would have been</p> <p>12 obvious to a POSITA that there would have been a high</p> <p>13 likelihood of duplicates in the received debt data</p> <p>14 310."</p> <p>15 Do you see that statement?</p> <p>16 Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. What is -- what is your -- can we -- what</p> <p>18 does it mean to have a high likelihood of duplicates in</p> <p>19 the received threat data 310?</p> <p>20 A. Well, when you're talking about having what</p> <p>21 he refers to as the -- his sources are called, are the</p> <p>22 315s, so I think he has, what, six circles. But, you</p> <p>23 know, that could be -- some number of these 3 -- 315s</p> <p>24 that are independently collecting threat data, IP</p> <p>25 add -- whatever, you know, whatever their particular</p>
<p>1 the very beginning of your...</p> <p>2 Q. I'll restate that.</p> <p>3 So the threat data 310 includes rules with</p> <p>4 these types of attributes; is that a correct</p> <p>5 assessment?</p> <p>6 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>7 A. He's giving some examples of what would be in</p> <p>8 that -- in those 310 feeds, so it is not -- is not</p> <p>9 meant to be a limiting, just these are the only things.</p> <p>10 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>11 Q. So some of those examples are the UDP, TCP</p> <p>12 ICMP, HATT, et cetera, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yeah, those are -- those are, but not limited</p> <p>14 to, but those are examples.</p> <p>15 Q. And are these attributes part of -- of rules?</p> <p>16 A. These attributes would be part of what's fed</p> <p>17 down to in what Law refers to as firewalls, and so they</p> <p>18 would be part of the what would be commonly referred to</p> <p>19 as a rule.</p> <p>20 Q. Dr. Jacobson, will you turn to Paragraph 79</p> <p>21 of your '474 declaration. Let me know when you get</p> <p>22 there.</p> <p>23 A. 79, yep.</p> <p>24 Q. I'm going to get there myself.</p> <p>25 A. Oh.</p>	<p>Page 21</p> <p>1 specialty is.</p> <p>2 But if it's -- if it's truly a thread on the</p> <p>3 internet, if something's been identified as a threat,</p> <p>4 one would hope that multiple threat services would come</p> <p>5 up with the same answer. And so, therefore, these</p> <p>6 independent services are going to be -- have a high</p> <p>7 likelihood of coming up with the same bad actors, and</p> <p>8 so that -- that means that three '05 would end up with,</p> <p>9 you know, the same answer for multiple 315s.</p> <p>10 Q. And high likelihood of duplicates in the</p> <p>11 received threat data 310, is that referring to a high</p> <p>12 likelihood of duplicate rules?</p> <p>13 A. Yeah, it's high like -- you know, each of the</p> <p>14 315s are -- are providing threat data in the form of</p> <p>15 rules and so, yes, there would be a high likelihood</p> <p>16 that that -- they would identify the same threat and,</p> <p>17 therefore, it would be the same rule.</p> <p>18 Q. So then can I direct your attention then,</p> <p>19 Dr. Jacobson, to the same paragraph where it says, "it</p> <p>20 would have been obvious to a POSITA to analyze the</p> <p>21 received threat data 310 to remove the duplicate</p> <p>22 rules."</p> <p>23 Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A. Yep. Yep.</p> <p>25 Q. So is it your understanding that a POSITA</p>
	<p>Page 22</p> <p>Page 23</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 would have analyzed the threat data to find and remove 2 the duplicate rules because there's a high likelihood 3 of duplicates in the received threat data? 4 A. That would be one reason to analyze -- yeah, 5 to remove -- let me see, I'm sorry, restate the 6 question. I -- there was a couple parts. Let me 7 try -- redo it. 8 Q. Yeah. Thank goodness I have real time, so... 9 So is it your understanding that a POSITA 10 would have analyzed the threat data to find and remove 11 the duplicate rules because there's a high likelihood 12 of duplicates in the received threat data? 13 A. That would be a reason to, yes, to process 14 the rules and remove -- yeah, 'cause there are going to 15 be duplicates, so the 305 needs to -- needs to process 16 the rules. 17 Q. And then these duplicate rules could include 18 attributes or features such as UDP, SCPI, TCP, ICMP 19 protocols; is that correct? 20 A. They could -- that would be a set, they could 21 have other data associated with 'em, data indicating 22 where they came from, and so, you know, it makes -- so, 23 yeah, again, Law only gave some examples. 24 Q. What is your understanding of a SCPI 25 protocol?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26</p> <p>1 control devices in his network. 2 Q. And what is your understanding of process 3 control systems? 4 A. Extremely, extremely broad term. And we -- 5 in simplest terms, when we think of process control 6 systems, these are systems that manage something on the 7 physical side, so pumps, lathes, you know, a myriad of 8 things, but so it provides that connectivity between 9 the IT side to the physical side. 10 Q. So if we're referencing Law's process control 11 systems, what is the Law referring to when it states 12 process control systems? Are they high risk 13 applications -- 14 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 15 Q. -- such as nuclear power plants, chemical 16 plants? 17 A. Law mentions those as a -- one possible type 18 of systems. It also refers to what you would consider 19 less -- less dangerous types of systems. He also 20 refers to IT systems. So, yeah. And, you know, when 21 you talk about process control, it can be everything 22 from -- well, a vending machine is process control, to 23 a nuclear power plant, so... 24 Q. Can we -- now that we're on the subject of 25 Law, let's bring up Law's document which is marked</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 2 A. I would have to go back and -- it's a -- one 3 of several -- so I would have to go do some research on 4 it, but it's a -- my understanding is it's a process 5 control type of -- of protocol. I would have to look 6 exactly what it stood for. 7 Q. When you say process control type of 8 protocol, what do you mean by that? 9 A. It would be just like today we talk about the 10 web, that's a protocol; we talk about e-mail, that's a 11 protocol; so there are protocols that help devices talk 12 to each other, you know, with -- so in -- so process 13 control protocol may be something for a computer, 14 general purpose computer, to communicate with a device 15 on the factory floor, you know, a middle of thing 16 machine, a lathe, whatever, you know. 17 Again, I don't know exactly what devices that 18 protocol lets us talk to, but generally process control 19 protocols let IT type computers talk to, you know, 20 manufacturing and other types of devices. 21 Q. And you say a process control, is that 22 referring to a process control system? 23 A. Yeah, so one of Law's embodiment, one of, you 24 know, he discusses having general computing devices in 25 his network and he also talks about having process</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 27</p> <p>1 already as Exhibit 1005 in the '266 and '474 IPRs. 2 (Exhibit No. 1005 previously marked in prior 3 deposition.) 4 Q. Dr. Jacobson, let me know when you have that 5 in front of you. 6 A. Yep, that's the only one that's in a notebook 7 so I can find a notebook. 8 Q. So, Dr. Jacobson, roughly how much time did 9 you spend reviewing Law in your process of writing the 10 declarations and preparing for the deposition today? 11 A. Boy, I can't -- you know, I can't give you an 12 exact answer but probably at least, you know, in the 13 order of 10 hours give or take some. 14 Q. And, Dr. Jacobson, what is your understanding 15 of Law's contribution to the art. Do you come to an 16 understanding? 17 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 18 A. Well, I mean, yeah, so he starts out by his 19 whole thing is referred to as a unified threat 20 management system so that's his entire -- what he 21 labels 100. And so Law proceeds to discuss how this 22 system can protect or -- and uses the word securing 23 network traffic, and what is protecting from, or not 24 from but what it's protecting is a system made up of, 25 you know, IT type -- classical IT type devices and</p>

<p>Page 28</p> <p>1 devices that are part of a -- a process control.</p> <p>2 Law goes on to, you know, talk about the fact</p> <p>3 that there's a unified -- or there's a what he calls</p> <p>4 305 that is providing the information to his firewalls</p> <p>5 that are protecting his -- what -- you know, what he</p> <p>6 intends to protect, and so we have a set of rules,</p> <p>7 threat indicator, a set of rules that come from the</p> <p>8 315s, they feed into 305. 305 will distribute those</p> <p>9 rules down to his firewalls in which case his perimeter</p> <p>10 firewalls, 146A and B, and those are designed of course</p> <p>11 to protect everything on the inside of -- of -- so</p> <p>12 that's the -- that's the border.</p> <p>13 And then inside is environment, he goes into</p> <p>14 a lot of, you know, a lot of detail, a lot of which,</p> <p>15 you know, Law -- Law has a lot of pieces to it, so he</p> <p>16 talks about IT devices, he talks about mobile devices,</p> <p>17 he talks about process control devices, and so his 140,</p> <p>18 his firewalls protect all of those things at that</p> <p>19 border crossing coming from, again, multiple sources,</p> <p>20 one source and then protecting whatever he has on the</p> <p>21 inside.</p> <p>22 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>23 Q. And it's protecting a process control system,</p> <p>24 that's the inside?</p> <p>25 A. The inside --</p>	<p>Page 30</p> <p>1 the acronym he's given to protect what's on the in --</p> <p>2 what's on the inside. And so it, as the name implies,</p> <p>3 it manages the threats, so again threats come from</p> <p>4 service provide -- from providers, aggregated in -- in</p> <p>5 305 and then that -- those rules are then fed into his</p> <p>6 firewalls which is then the part that helps protect the</p> <p>7 internal network so that is the UTMS -- it's that</p> <p>8 whole -- well, yeah.</p> <p>9 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>10 Q. So does the UTMS system have operator</p> <p>11 workstations that operators are involved with</p> <p>12 configuring and managing security of the process</p> <p>13 control system?</p> <p>14 A. Yeah, it has -- it -- it identifies one of</p> <p>15 the components as an operator management systems, and</p> <p>16 so operators at these systems their primary role is to</p> <p>17 manage the process control itself and so, yeah.</p> <p>18 Q. And when we refer to, "manage the process</p> <p>19 control system itself," does that mean that they're</p> <p>20 managing the security of the -- of the process control</p> <p>21 system?</p> <p>22 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>23 A. Typically an operator's role in a process</p> <p>24 control system. If you take a factory floor for</p> <p>25 example, the operator's role is to manage the operation</p>
<p>Page 29</p> <p>1 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>2 A. He's -- he uses that as a -- yeah, as an</p> <p>3 example, as primary motivation but he talks again about</p> <p>4 regular computer devices which would have, you know,</p> <p>5 the standard vulnerabilities that a computer device</p> <p>6 would have, and so it's really computing devices and</p> <p>7 the added complexity of trying to protect a process</p> <p>8 control.</p> <p>9 So it's in some sense the -- protective of</p> <p>10 what normal peo -- what you normally protect, and I</p> <p>11 also have a system that can further protect my process</p> <p>12 control system.</p> <p>13 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>14 Q. Which process control system. as we</p> <p>15 discussed. can be anywhere from a nuclear power plant,</p> <p>16 chemical plant to -- I'm forgetting the third one, but</p> <p>17 it's --</p> <p>18 A. Yeah, yeah, it can be, right, you know, a</p> <p>19 small factory with three computer control lathes,</p> <p>20 that's a pro -- that's a process control system.</p> <p>21 Q. What is your understanding of the -- Law's</p> <p>22 UTMS acronym, like unified threat management system?</p> <p>23 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>24 A. So it is a -- well, it's basically</p> <p>25 describing -- it is a -- what he calls -- that's just</p>	<p>Page 31</p> <p>1 of the factory, to make sure that -- that the widgets</p> <p>2 get produced. Make sure that the raw materials come in</p> <p>3 to the factory and that they -- they flow appropriately</p> <p>4 through the -- through the process, and so that's the</p> <p>5 typical process control.</p> <p>6 To the extent that that -- that Law talks</p> <p>7 about giving the operators the ability to manage some</p> <p>8 of the security functionality is something that they</p> <p>9 don't have to do but that's a -- that is something that</p> <p>10 he specifies that -- that can be given to the operators</p> <p>11 to manually. But he -- you know, his big thing is that</p> <p>12 this is -- this is an automated system but with any</p> <p>13 automated system the human always has some sort of fail</p> <p>14 safe in there.</p> <p>15 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>16 Q. And where does Law specifically say that</p> <p>17 operators don't have to manage the system? Can you</p> <p>18 point me to a specific passage?</p> <p>19 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>20 A. What I said -- operators manage the factory,</p> <p>21 the process control system. That's what operators do</p> <p>22 is they manage a process control system. So I think</p> <p>23 that's what I stated that they manage, you know, like I</p> <p>24 said how many widgets are produced, how the raw</p> <p>25 materials managing do -- you know, so the management of</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 32</p> <p>1 a factory floor, for example, is very complicated 2 process. 3 BY MS. FULLER: 4 Q. So where you state that Law talks about 5 giving the operator's ability to manage some of the 6 security functionality is something that they don't 7 have to do, can you point me to the specific passages 8 where Law specifically says that they don't -- it's 9 something that they don't have to manage the security 10 function? 11 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 12 A. You would have to give me a few minutes here 13 so I need to Control F. 14 So Paragraph 59, first sentence, "the 15 smart -- smart devices may be configured to perform any 16 network security functions without direct intervention 17 by system operators or other personnel." 18 Q. So this is an understanding that the devices 19 themselves in the UTMS system do not have to have -- 20 are not configured by any network security functions? 21 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 22 BY MS. FULLER: 23 Q. Or let me -- let me rephrase that. 24 So these smart devices in the protocol system 25 do not need to be configured by network security</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 operator and an IT personnel in Law? 2 A. In Law, he -- so the operator's primary role 3 and responsibility is -- again, I keep coming back to 4 factory, that's just the easiest thing for us to talk 5 about. They're the ones that make the factory work. 6 Their job is to produce the widgets. The IT people do 7 what IT people do, they run all the computing resource, 8 they manage all of the computing side of the 9 organization and your operator typically is an expert 10 in producing widgets, not an expert in TCP/IP, 11 et cetera. So it's very common for a factory to have 12 that distinction and duties. 13 Q. All right. We're going about one hour on 14 record. I know time flies, right? Want to take a 15 10-minute break? 16 A. It's up to you. 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 (At 10:27 a.m. - Recess was taken.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 33</p> <p>1 personnel? 2 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 3 A. So they're configured to perform network 4 security functions without direct intervention. So 5 this is discussing as the system is running, the 6 operator's IT personnel do not need to do anything. 7 Q. Can I direct your attention to where it says 8 in Paragraph 59, "the UTMS-configuring network access 9 devices -- may also interface with the process control 10 system 100 as a process variable that is able to be 11 completely monitored and configured from a graphic 12 display of an operator workstation, without IT personal 13 intervention." 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. What is your understanding of that passage? 17 A. Again, it talks about the -- that one he -- 18 he is talking about the operators having the ability to 19 do things, so without -- and he talks about operators 20 versus IT people. So he's talking about that the -- 21 may interface with the process control system and then 22 have a graphical display for the operator without 23 having an I -- quote, IT person on the -- on the 24 factory floor. 25 Q. So what is the distinction between an</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 (At 10:37 a.m., with all parties present as 2 before, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:) 3 BY MS. FULLER: 4 Q. Dr. Jacobson, can I direct your attention to 5 Paragraph 49 of your '474 declaration. Let me know 6 when you get there. 7 A. Which paragraph? 8 Q. 49. 9 A. 49, okay. 10 Yep, I'm here, there. 11 Q. So in this paragraph you state that the 12 "cyber security risk management firm 305 is a perpetual 13 service that proactively supplies the UTMS-configured 14 firewalls with updated rulesets to meet the latest 15 security threats, threat patterns, and control system 16 vulnerabilities found to exist within the network." 17 Do you see that? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. So it's your understanding that cyber risk 20 management firm 305 is a service that correctively 21 provides the UTMS-configured devices with updated 22 rulesets; is that correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Where does your understanding of cyber risk 25 management firm 305 come from?</p>

<p>1 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 2 A. I would have to go back in the exact 3 paragraphs in Law. Law discusses 305 in a couple 4 places, in particular reference to Figure 3, so I 5 could -- you want me to try to find those in Law? 6 BY MS. FULLER: 7 Q. Oh, so your understanding comes from Law, 8 specifically Figure 3? 9 A. Well, Figure 3 is and his discussion around 10 Figure 3, so Figure 3 is a -- a good visual of showing 11 firm 305, and its better action with the 315s. 12 Q. When you say in Paragraph 49 is a perpetual 13 service, what is your understanding of a "perpetual 14 service"? 15 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 16 A. My understanding is that that is -- perpetual 17 means it's always there and so it is something that's 18 available 24/7 to provide that information. 19 BY MS. FULLER: 20 Q. And what is involved in providing or carrying 21 out that service by firm 305? 22 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 23 A. Can you clarify that a little more? Pretty 24 broad. 25</p>	<p>Page 36</p> <p>1 have a staff infrastructure -- you have a staff to 2 manage the infrastructure, typically these firms will 3 also have staff that understand, you know, something 4 about the 315s and understand, you know, issues about 5 threats, et cetera. And so you're going to have some 6 security type personnel in the 305 and then, of course, 7 that's all. You know, I mean, I'm going to completely 8 ignore everybody else that you need to run a business, 9 but from a computing standpoint, that's at least off 10 the top of my head what I would put into a 305. 11 BY MS. FULLER: 12 Q. Can we turn to Paragraph 94 of Law. And let 13 me know when you get there. I'll turn to that as well. 14 A. All right, I'm there. 15 Q. Do you see where it describes a network 16 access device in that paragraph? 17 A. At the very beginning, yes. 18 Q. What is your stand -- understanding of Law's 19 network access device 149? 20 A. Somewhat generic about -- it's a device that 21 defines -- that serves possibly several different 22 functions, and he has several -- some figures and 23 numbers that kind of talk a little bit about that. 24 But it's a device that can be used to -- you 25 know, talks about bypass functionality, it talks about</p> <p>Page 38</p>
<p>1 BY MS. FULLER: 2 Q. What -- when carrying out that service, like 3 what infrastructure, IT personnel components are 4 involved in firm 305? 5 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 6 A. I can give you my opinion of what -- what I 7 think a 305 would look like. Law just identifies it as 8 a 305, but it would be -- it would obviously have an IT 9 infrastructure, 'cause it would need to receive data, 10 receive rules and other -- other information from the 11 315s. So it would have to have a place to store that 12 information. 13 It would need then necessary processing power 14 to work with the various -- the information that it got 15 from the 315s in order to process the rules and put 16 them in a -- you know, duplications and et cetera as it 17 needs to do, putting it in a format that can be 18 ingested by the system in Law, so it's going to have to 19 be the, in essence, the post process -- well, the 20 processing in order to go out to be ingested. It will 21 need storage for that. 22 Staff, you know, is what he can say but 23 you're going to need obviously the staff to make sure 24 that the computers all through that -- you know, that 25 the computers are running and they're up to date so you</p> <p>Page 37</p>	<p>1 inter connectivity functionality like is shown in 2 Figure 3. 3 So this is a device that allows us to 4 interconnect in somewhat of a programic -- programmable 5 way the physical networks. 6 So -- and so he's pretty -- you know, he 7 gives lots of different characteristics that are -- can 8 be applied to different use cases. 9 Q. So when you say it is a device that allows to 10 interconnect in a programmable way the physical 11 network, can you further elaborate on that? 12 A. Yeah, I mean, the Law talks about these being 13 a configurable device and he shows them placed, you 14 know, as you can see in Figure 3, there's a wire on 15 each side and so he talks about, you know, having 16 network connections, you know, the network access 17 device has, like I said, in Figure 3 has two 18 connections in it, for example. 19 And then depending on what it's going to do, 20 like I said, it may -- he talks about, for example, it 21 may run in a bypass mode which you sometimes do if you 22 need to do some system updates, it -- occasionally 23 there's times in which you want to -- especially 24 internally, want to disable some of the segmentation so 25 that you can push an update. So he talks -- he</p> <p>Page 39</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 40</p> <p>1 gives -- like I said, he's identified several 2 characteristics, but it's a -- in its simplest form, 3 it's a device with two network interfaces that 4 connects, again, the two networks. I think he has a 5 picture where he may have one that has three networks 6 on it. I would have to go into more detail. 7 Q. So Figure -- let's reference Figure 5 for 8 example of Law. 9 A. 5, hang on. 10 Q. It's on Page 6 of -- 11 A. Yep. 12 Q. -- the Law document. 13 A. Yep. 14 Q. So are you there, Dr. Jacobson? 15 A. Figure 5, yes. 16 Q. So can you explain how this interconnection 17 works between control system 105B and control system 18 105A? 19 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, outside the 20 scope of Dr. Jacobson's declarations. 21 A. I'll try to answer. 22 So -- and, again, I'm at Figure 5 and also 23 Figure 5's a picture out of Figure 3, subset out of 24 Figure 3. And so that is, in my opinion, a way to 25 solve -- I keep going back to my factory floor example,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 42</p> <p>1 BY MS. FULLER: 2 Q. So how does -- in your opinion, how would the 3 communication from a device in Network 150A get to a 4 device in Network 150B using the network access device 5 149? 6 A. It'd depend on the -- see -- very dependent 7 on the application the Law leads us up to how it's 8 being implemented. It could be a -- if we look at the 9 OSI or even the TCP protocol layers, the lowest layer, 10 the physical network layer could be just that type of 11 access which would not be a route believe access. 12 Everybody -- all devices would be in the same, speaking 13 IP would be all in the same IP subnet, and does not 14 preclude the fact that that device could also have -- 15 have a -- an IP stack and, therefore, be a routeable 16 device. He just -- he leaves that open to however 17 you're going to implement the 149s relative to what 18 you -- what you try to do. 19 Q. So where Law explains that Network 150A and 20 Network 150B, these are separate networks, so when you 21 communicate from one device to the other separate 22 network device, how would this occur when they're 23 separate networks? 24 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 25 A. I --</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 41</p> <p>1 if you're in a factory floor, you're going to have, I 2 think sometimes referred to as pods, you're going to 3 have sectors of the floor that are responsible for 4 manufacturing certain subcomponents and together those 5 pods work to produce the widgets. 6 And so there's interconnectivity between 7 those, those each of their own network, and so you need 8 to interconnect them to manage 'em and control the 9 overall process. But you also have process control 10 over the pod itself and so my interpretation of -- of 11 Figure 5 is this idea of, you know, I'm connecting the 12 conveyor belt system to the painting system and the two 13 need to -- even though they operate, they need to be 14 able to communicate across each other. 15 BY MS. FULLER: 16 Q. So does network access device 149 effectively 17 act as a router to allow communication between the two 18 systems? 19 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 20 A. Law does not specify its -- what layer of the 21 protocol stack it operates on, so, you know, person -- 22 you know, it would depend heavily on your use case for 23 149, whether it needs to route or whether it is just a 24 simple transparent device that's -- transparent to the 25 routing layer that provides that inter connectivity.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 43</p> <p>1 (Court reporter interrupted for 2 clarification.) 3 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form, lacks 4 foundation. 5 A. If we look at, again, Figure 3 which -- which 6 shows those two networks interconnected, again, Law 7 leaves that open, but that could be that, you know, the 8 120 could directly talk to the 1 -- to the 150B, and 9 unfortunately he didn't label the 120s as separate 10 numbers, but the left-hand side 120 could just directly 11 talk to any of the devices on the other side as it -- 12 you know, 'cause they're on the same network, same 13 physical network from a protocol standpoint. 14 So it would -- yeah. 15 BY MS. FULLER: 16 Q. When you say they're on a same physical 17 network from a protocol standpoint, can you elaborate 18 on that meaning? 19 A. Yeah -- yes, I can, sorry, yes. The -- 20 from -- this is where it gets confusing from a 21 network -- networking standpoint. If I -- when 22 sometimes when people say the same physical network, 23 they think about the same wire, same piece of copper, 24 same piece of fiber-optic cable, et cetera. 25 When I'm talking -- here in this case when I</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 44</p> <p>1 talked about the same physical network, I'm referring 2 to, in the TCP/IP model, layer one which means, for 3 example, on the same ethernet, there's -- obviously on 4 ethernet there's, you know, embedded in an ethernet 5 network there are switches and other devices. 6 So when I talk about the same network, I'm 7 referring to it from a physical network layer, not 8 wires twisted together. 9 Q. So let's focus on the -- where Law describes 10 Network 150A -- or 150A and Network 150B as local 11 access networks, how does this communication take place 12 between those two different networks? 13 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 14 A. Point me in Law where you're looking just so 15 I have the right context. 16 BY MS. FULLER: 17 Q. Well, for instance, let's refer to Figure 3 18 where they have Network 150A. 19 A. Yep. 20 Q. And then Network 150B and then the network 21 access device 149C, so there's two plans. How does 22 this communication take place between these two 23 separate LANs? 24 A. They can -- they take place via this network 25 access device, the 149C, and so that device in Law's</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 46</p> <p>1 A. Law says "may be used to control access," so 2 he has that as a -- as an option to control that 3 access. 4 BY MS. FULLER: 5 Q. And when this option is used, can you 6 explain, based on your understanding, how this 7 controlled access would be implemented? 8 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, outside the 9 scope of Dr. Jacobson's declarations. 10 A. Implemented? How would -- 11 BY MS. FULLER: 12 Q. In Law. 13 A. How would I build it, is that what you're 14 asking? 15 Q. Correct. 16 A. So if I wanted to build a 149C that I could 17 decide who gets to talk between 150A and 150B; is that 18 what you're asking? 19 Q. Correct. 20 A. I could do that with a network switch with 21 some filtering intelligence. I could say -- you know, 22 again, depends on how the connectivity is done but, you 23 know, I would start with a -- with some type of a 24 switch. And then I could implement it with several 25 different possible technologies. Based off of physical</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 45</p> <p>1 case, as I said, he leaves that open as to how that is 2 physically implemented. But it could, you know, be as 3 simple as a network switch, which would provide that 4 connectivity. 5 Again he gives it properties of being able 6 to -- in some cases being able to -- to bypass and, you 7 know, so he -- he has more intelligence in it than you 8 might find in your office shell switch. 9 Q. Can I direct you to Paragraph 94 of Law where 10 it states that device 149 "may be used by the operator 11 or other authenticated personnel to control network 12 access between the site and the internet and as shown 13 in Figure 5 between sites." 14 A. Which paragraph, I'm sorry? 15 Q. That's Paragraph 94 of Law. 16 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection outside the 17 scope of Dr. Jacobson's declarations. 18 A. Okay, I found 94, yes. 19 BY MS. FULLER: 20 Q. So based on this passage, would an operator 21 of Network 158 use a network access device 149 to 22 decide whether devices in Network 158 have access to 23 devices in Network 150B to communicate with? 24 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, outside the 25 scope of Dr. Jacobson's declarations.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 47</p> <p>1 network addresses, I can build rule -- you know, build 2 things into a switch that says a certain device cannot 3 talk using the hardware address with another device, 4 that's inherent in a lot of switches. 5 So there is multiple ways you could build 6 this, so I -- depending on the -- you know, if I knew 7 what 150A and B looked like exactly, I could come up 8 with a better answer of how to build 149. 9 Q. If 150A and 150B are local area network 10 accesses -- or network -- local area networks, how 11 would that be implemented? 12 A. Local area networks running ethernet? 13 Q. If we do ethernet? If we do wireless? 14 A. Well, that's also ethernet, so... 15 Again, without seeing the exact, you know 16 without having exact configuration, again, I would 17 implement that if I -- if A and B are both ethernet 18 networks, I would start with a -- with a device that 19 does ethernet switching. And since A and B are both 20 ethernet networks, the switches -- a switch can be 21 programmed to a not-allows device to communicate across 22 it. You know, that's a more sophisticated switch. 23 And, again, if I'm building this as a vendor, 24 I'm going to have the ability to put in additional 25 functionality into that switch. I probably couldn't do</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 48</p> <p>1 it by just taking a switch I bought at Best Buy and 2 putting it in there, but if you're going to let me 3 design something, then, yes, I could design it as a 4 switch. 5 Q. Does each LAN 150A and 150B have a pool of 6 private IP addresses assigned to the respective 7 devices? 8 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 9 A. That would be a valid assumption for those 10 devices that spoke IP. They would need -- they would 11 need an IP address which would be a private IP address. 12 BY MS. FULLER: 13 Q. And which device would be responsible for 14 allocating the network addresses in the LANs? 15 A. I'm not sure Law specifies who -- who does 16 that, so I can only spec -- you know, again, we go back 17 to how would I build it versus Law doesn't describe. 18 Q. Would the next work access device, 149, act 19 as a network address translation when communicating 20 between the two LANs? 21 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 22 A. Does not have to. Again, Law leaves it 23 fairly open to what that device looks like, so if we're 24 connecting to -- as our previous example, two ethernet 25 together, then no network address translation would be</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 50</p> <p>1 Q. So, for example, read over to Figure 4 where 2 we have the network access device 149 and it's going -- 3 communication's going from the internet to the control 4 system from public to private. That's where the use 5 case would -- would come into play; is that correct? 6 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. Outside 7 the scope of Dr. Jacobson's declarations. 8 A. Well, in reference to Figure 4, the -- the 9 industry standard place to put network address 10 translation is in the firewall. That -- that sort of 11 comes with -- I won't say free -- but that -- that is 12 the place in where we see network address translation 13 take place, so we would be in 146, not in 149. 14 Q. Dr. Jacobson, can we turn to Paragraph 64 of 15 your '266 declaration. 16 A. '266? 17 Q. '266, Paragraph 64. 18 A. Hang on, should have printed them on 19 different colored paper. 20 Q. I had one depo where I had four IPR 21 proceedings that we were doing at the same time. That 22 was -- that was a lot of numbers. 23 A. Oh, okay. 64 of -- 24 Q. Yep. 25 A. -- '266.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 49</p> <p>1 needed. 2 BY MS. FULLER: 3 Q. When would a network address translation be 4 needed? 5 A. Ah -- 6 (Court reporter interrupted for 7 clarification.) 8 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, asked and 9 answered. 10 A. So let me answer that differently. 11 So when would it be needed in -- in -- 12 specific to this case? Or just in when you need 13 network address translation? 14 BY MS. FULLER: 15 Q. In this case where there's two LAN networks. 16 A. There's not a really good use case to put 17 network address translation in a -- if all the networks 18 are private, so that's what I'm struggling with 19 'cause -- a network address translator is a device 20 that -- it's use case is tra -- is public internet on 21 one side, private internet on the other, and the -- in 22 a typical implementation inside of Law, inside of any 23 type of these networks is that everything in there is 24 private, so there's no need to network address 25 translate if you have only private addresses.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 51</p> <p>1 Q. Yep, correct. 2 A. All right, found it. 3 Q. All right. So in that paragraph you state, a 4 "network access device, 149C, may optionally be 5 provided to join LANs 150A and 150B together into a 6 single Network 150." 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Yep. Yes, I do. 9 Q. How did you -- how did you form your opinion, 10 and what evidence and rationale was the basis for your 11 opinion on that? 12 A. So it came from Law, both Figure 3 in Law and 13 Paragraph 51 that talks about a process connection 14 between A and B. Also Paragraph 55 referring to 150 as 15 a single network. So Law talks about 150 and then 16 talks about A and B. 17 Q. So in Paragraph 55 and in Paragraph 51 forms 18 the basis of your opinion in your '266 declaration; is 19 that correct? 20 A. Those are -- yeah, those are the references 21 in Law that help form that opinion, yes. 22 Q. Dr. Jacobson, do you have an understanding of 23 what an inter network is? 24 (Court reporter interrupted for 25 clarification.)</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 52</p> <p>1 Q. Inter network.</p> <p>2 A. Lots of terms for that. I have an</p> <p>3 understanding, we have -- I'm not sure that's a --</p> <p>4 that's a construct that's been agreed upon, so -- but I</p> <p>5 do have what I consider my understanding of a inter</p> <p>6 versus -- yeah, intranet.</p> <p>7 Q. And what is your understanding of an inter</p> <p>8 network?</p> <p>9 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection to form,</p> <p>10 outside the scope of Dr. Jacobson's declaration.</p> <p>11 A. Yeah, the terms inter and intra are pretty</p> <p>12 close to being interchangeable. It's really -- in my</p> <p>13 opinion, it really is looking at -- you have these --</p> <p>14 you have the networks they're under -- that you have,</p> <p>15 and so an inter network is just talking about how these</p> <p>16 things are -- you know, if you look at networks that</p> <p>17 need to be connected together, but they're all yours,</p> <p>18 so then you talk about the connectivity between those</p> <p>19 as being this -- this inter network, is at least a</p> <p>20 definition I would give it. It's not a term we really</p> <p>21 use too much.</p> <p>22 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>23 Q. So a network that needs to be connected</p> <p>24 together but they're all yours, what do you mean by</p> <p>25 that?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 54</p> <p>1 correct understanding of an inter network?</p> <p>2 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>3 A. Yeah, I mean, just the -- now you're getting</p> <p>4 into -- that could be, yeah, okay. That is -- that's a</p> <p>5 characteristic. They do not have to be dissimilar and</p> <p>6 so -- so if we are trying to hook dissimilar networks,</p> <p>7 again depends on how we're trying to do it but that</p> <p>8 could be a term that would -- dissimilar networks would</p> <p>9 fall under, but just the term itself doesn't imply</p> <p>10 dissimilar networks.</p> <p>11 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>12 Q. So, for instance, we're connecting a WAN with</p> <p>13 a LAN. That would be a inter network?</p> <p>14 A. I wouldn't put those together. That's just a</p> <p>15 single device that would connect a LAN to a WAN, so</p> <p>16 that's not really a network.</p> <p>17 Q. Can we turn to Paragraph 83 of Law,</p> <p>18 Dr. Jacobson.</p> <p>19 A. Okay, I found it.</p> <p>20 Q. All right, I'm going to give you a minute or</p> <p>21 two just to -- to read that paragraph and let me know</p> <p>22 when you've completed that read-through.</p> <p>23 A. You said 83, right?</p> <p>24 Q. Pardon?</p> <p>25 A. You said 83, right?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 53</p> <p>1 A. They're under the same organization, same</p> <p>2 management, you know. It -- they're -- you know, the</p> <p>3 internet connects -- join networks together and so the</p> <p>4 whole goal of the internet itself is to connect</p> <p>5 networks together. And an inter -- intra -- intra is</p> <p>6 a -- doesn't imply -- so maybe that's my way of looking</p> <p>7 at it. Internet implies that we are using IP as the</p> <p>8 glue to put all this together. My view of a intra --</p> <p>9 no -- what you asked about is that does not imply that</p> <p>10 we have to use IP to interconnect the networks. So</p> <p>11 other methods can be used to interconnect networks</p> <p>12 together and that gets back to this -- when I said all</p> <p>13 under the same, quote, ownership, 'cause I don't need</p> <p>14 internet to talk back and forth. So maybe that's a</p> <p>15 better way to look at it, is contrasting it to the fact</p> <p>16 that internet means I need an IP protocol to go across</p> <p>17 the internet.</p> <p>18 Q. So then intranet work, basically, your</p> <p>19 understanding, wouldn't need an IP protocol?</p> <p>20 A. Not necessarily. To me that's a -- it's a</p> <p>21 generic term that we're using as a way to say we're</p> <p>22 connecting things together. Like I said, it's not a</p> <p>23 very commonly used term.</p> <p>24 Q. Is a definition of a inter network as a set</p> <p>25 of computer networks that may be dissimilar; is that a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 55</p> <p>1 Q. Correct.</p> <p>2 A. Okay, that's...</p> <p>3 Q. I am, maybe it might be my speaker, but I</p> <p>4 just was having a little bit of trouble. Came in a</p> <p>5 little muffled.</p> <p>6 (Discussion off the record.)</p> <p>7 Q. Did you get a chance to read through that</p> <p>8 paragraph?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And what is your understanding of --</p> <p>11 of that paragraph? What is it directed to?</p> <p>12 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>13 A. He's -- I mean, he's discussing some of the</p> <p>14 graphical interfaces, some of the visual</p> <p>15 representations that would -- could be used in his</p> <p>16 system. So starts out by talking about a, you know,</p> <p>17 process control system. We typically draw the process</p> <p>18 that we're controlling, so you'll have pictures of</p> <p>19 conveyor belts and painting systems and pumps and so on</p> <p>20 and so he's talking about the fact that we're going to</p> <p>21 have a graphical system that will be the configuration</p> <p>22 of the -- again we'll go back to factory --</p> <p>23 configuration of my factory.</p> <p>24 And then he goes on and talks about there may</p> <p>25 be, you know, characteristics about each of the -- each</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 56</p> <p>1 of the elements that are represented by this graphical 2 use of interface and that is then displayed to the user 3 of this graphical interface. So you got a picture of 4 the factory, you have the various objects, devices, and 5 then there's visual representation of the current 6 characteristics of those objects, those devices. 7 Q. Where it says UTMS-configured elements, what 8 is your understanding of the UTMS-configured elements 9 in Law? 10 A. So basically all -- any of the -- any of the 11 devices, systems that can be managed, controlled, run 12 over the network, so basically in Figure 3 pretty much 13 everything has a line to it. 14 Q. And are those devices, are they managed or 15 controlled by the operator workstations of the process 16 control system? 17 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 18 A. So of the -- so some of the devices would be 19 managed directly by the operator control station, but 20 not necessarily all the devices would be directly 21 controlled. 22 Q. So this paragraph -- is it a correct 23 understanding that this Paragraph 83 is directed to the 24 process control system of Law? 25 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 58</p> <p>1 to skim over it. 2 A. Okay. 3 Q. You state that "Law expressly recognizes 4 prior art techniques for creating firewall rules by 5 automatically locating devices and generating rules by 6 passively analyzing traffic on the network." 7 Were you relying on Law's Paragraph 21 for 8 your opinion? 9 A. That's a specific example where he called out 10 a prior art. 11 Q. So that example formed your opinion in 12 Paragraph 89? 13 A. That is one of the pieces that, yes, I used 14 to craft my opinion. 15 Q. Did you look at the specific reference that 16 is called out in Paragraph 21? 17 A. I don't recall looking at that particular 18 reference. 19 Q. So is it a fair statement then that this 20 Paragraph 89 where you stated that "Law expressly 21 recognizes prior art techniques", the prior art 22 techniques -- you only looked at Paragraph 21 for these 23 prior art techniques? 24 A. Yeah, I don't recall looking at that 25 particular...</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 57</p> <p>1 A. It's anything that you -- that is in Figure 2 3, so anything of importance, so it can be any of the 3 IT systems, databases, process, you know, control 4 devices, you know, basically anything that the user of 5 that particular interface needs to worry about. So it 6 is not just process -- not necessarily just process 7 control devices, but any -- any device that -- anything 8 in that network that is of critical -- well, critical, 9 that -- that particular user needs to -- needs in order 10 for their particular function to carry out. So it's 11 not just limited to... 12 BY MS. FULLER: 13 Q. And do the graphical display elements allow 14 the particular user to carry out those functions of 15 what they need to carry out? 16 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 17 A. Yeah, the user interface, its purpose is to 18 allow a user to perform whatever function they need to 19 perform, so -- and Law, again, doesn't specify what 20 that is, but... 21 Q. Dr. Jacobson, can we turn to Paragraph 89 of 22 your '266 declaration. Let me know when you get there? 23 A. Yep, I'm there. 24 BY MS. FULLER: 25 Q. All right. Feel free to take a second or two</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 59</p> <p>1 Q. So correct statement is that you did not 2 analyze these prior art techniques, the documents 3 themselves, in light of firm 305 in its use? 4 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 5 BY MS. FULLER: 6 A. The best of my recollection, I did not look 7 at that particular patent. 8 Q. Can we turn to Paragraph 94 of your '266 9 declaration? 10 A. 94? 11 Q. Yes. And I'll let you skim it. 12 A. Okay. 13 Q. And let me know when you've completed your 14 skim-through. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. Okay. So based on your opinion, are these 17 sources, 315, are they services that provide rapid 18 updates to rulesets to help its subscribers, help their 19 customer stay protected against merging and -- 20 (Court reporter interrupted for 21 clarification.) 22 Q. Changing network threats. 23 A. Yeah, you asked if the 315s did that. 24 Q. Correct. 25 A. Yes.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 60</p> <p>1 Q. Would the subscriber be cyber risk management</p> <p>2 firm 305?</p> <p>3 A. That would be the reference to Figure 3,</p> <p>4 that's how Law has that is that the services 305</p> <p>5 subscribes to the 315s and then aggregates.</p> <p>6 Q. And then the customers, would that be the</p> <p>7 process control system?</p> <p>8 A. The customers for -- so...</p> <p>9 Q. In the help the customer stay protected.</p> <p>10 A. Oh, so the process -- the system in Law will</p> <p>11 get its data from 305 which is getting its data from</p> <p>12 the 315s.</p> <p>13 Q. So they would be the customer in the -- this</p> <p>14 scenario?</p> <p>15 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>16 A. Law's -- whoever implements Law is a customer</p> <p>17 of 305.</p> <p>18 Q. So would firm 305 be a subscriber that</p> <p>19 receives from sources 315, the threat data with subset</p> <p>20 305 uses this information to provide updated rulesets</p> <p>21 to its customers?</p> <p>22 A. 305 would ingest the data from the 315s, so</p> <p>23 an embodiment that Law shows is that, yeah, you want to</p> <p>24 call them subscribers depends on how the 315s provide</p> <p>25 their data, whether it costs money or not.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p> <p>1 system?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Can we turn to Paragraph 87 of your '474</p> <p>4 declaration now.</p> <p>5 A. Which one?</p> <p>6 Q. 87 of your '474 declaration.</p> <p>7 A. Okay. Hang on. Okay.</p> <p>8 Q. All right, so in that paragraph you state,</p> <p>9 "some sources 315 may be more trustworthy or reliable</p> <p>10 than others, and, therefore, it would have been obvious</p> <p>11 to communicate that information to a user, such as by</p> <p>12 adding a column to the rule Table 1300 shown in</p> <p>13 Figure 13, to indicate, for each rule, the sources that</p> <p>14 315 upon which the rule is based."</p> <p>15 Do you see that statement?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. So is this -- so your analysis in this</p> <p>18 paragraph is it focused on the modification of Law's</p> <p>19 rule Table 1300; is that a correct statement?</p> <p>20 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>21 A. State that again.</p> <p>22 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>23 Q. So your analysis in this paragraph is focused</p> <p>24 on the modification to Law's ruleset Table 1300; is</p> <p>25 that correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 61</p> <p>1 Q. So when you say "how they provide their data,</p> <p>2 whether it costs money or not," what do you mean by --</p> <p>3 by that?</p> <p>4 A. Certain -- certain of these 315s will provide</p> <p>5 their threat, whatever data they're providing they'll</p> <p>6 provide it without cost. Subscription implies money,</p> <p>7 so...</p> <p>8 Q. So the subscriber could theoretically have to</p> <p>9 pay for the subscription or get it free, is that what</p> <p>10 you're stating?</p> <p>11 A. Yeah, the -- right, there's -- you know,</p> <p>12 'cause some of the 315s are obviously listed as</p> <p>13 companies, so...</p> <p>14 Q. So in the scenario where the sources provide</p> <p>15 rapid updates to rulesets to help its subscriber, the</p> <p>16 subscriber would be, for example, firm 305?</p> <p>17 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>18 A. The -- right, the interaction between 315 and</p> <p>19 305, they would have some type of an -- of a</p> <p>20 subscription agreement and then 305 would -- would --</p> <p>21 or I'm sorry, whoever implements Law would then</p> <p>22 subscribe to a service from 305.</p> <p>23 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>24 Q. And then 305 is the service and then that</p> <p>25 provides the information to Law's process control</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p> <p>1 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>2 A. My interpretation of 13 -- of the -- of</p> <p>3 Figure 13?</p> <p>4 Q. So your interpretation of Figure 13 is based</p> <p>5 on modifying Law's ruleset table, correct?</p> <p>6 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p> <p>7 A. Maybe I'm not understanding the question.</p> <p>8 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>9 Q. Would you like me to restate it again?</p> <p>10 A. Yeah.</p> <p>11 Q. Or rephrase it. I can rephrase it.</p> <p>12 A. Yes. Yeah, I'm not -- it's not registering.</p> <p>13 Q. So in Paragraph 87 you state it would have</p> <p>14 been obvious to communicate that information to a user</p> <p>15 such as by adding a column to the rule Table 1300 shown</p> <p>16 in Figure 13?</p> <p>17 A. Okay, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. So is this analysis then based on modifying</p> <p>19 Law's ruleset table?</p> <p>20 A. Okay, now I see what you're asking, sorry.</p> <p>21 Well, I would say Law, that Column 1312, which is the</p> <p>22 description column, that's a column that can be used to</p> <p>23 describe the rule, get text, it's text to describe the</p> <p>24 rule.</p> <p>25 So a person skilled of art would have</p>

<p>1 understood that they -- under the description field, so 2 you could -- could use that. 3 Q. Did your analysis factor at all -- factor in 4 at all the firm 305? 5 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 6 A. I guess I don't know what you mean by -- I 7 don't quite understand the question. 8 BY MS. FULLER: 9 Q. I can rephrase. 10 A. Thank you. 11 Q. So in this paragraph, did you consider firm 12 305? 13 A. Yeah, in my analysis, I considered 305 'cause 14 that's part of the process. 15 Q. And where specifically in Paragraph 87 or any 16 paragraph was this specifically considered? 17 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 18 A. It was considered my -- when I -- it's not -- 19 well, this here is not inconsistent with having 305 in 20 the picture. So 305 -- I guess I didn't explicitly 21 write out 305. 'Cause the data ultimately is a -- is 22 attributable to the 315s. 23 BY MS. FULLER: 24 Q. So your analysis does not explicitly write 25 out or consider 305?</p>	<p>Page 64</p> <p>1 threat data can come correctly from the 315s or it can 2 come from the 305. 3 BY MS. FULLER: 4 Q. And where it goes directly from the 315s, 5 Law's process control system would be aggregating the 6 information and updating its rulesets? 7 A. If the data came from the 315, then that data 8 would be ingested by the -- by the firewalls and used 9 to update their rulesets. 10 Q. And under this scenario, Law itself doesn't 11 contemplate having a ruleset column with an indication 12 of a thief; is that correct? 13 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 14 A. Again, I -- I go back to the Figure 13 where 15 Law contemplates or outlines a description of the rules 16 and so given, you know, that their rules are going 17 to -- and Law talks about the fact that there's some 18 sources that are more reliable, better than others, 19 then it makes sense that there would be some way to 20 indicate where that rule came from. 21 BY MS. FULLER: 22 Q. Where does Law specifically he talk about 23 that some sources are more reliable or better than 24 others? Can you point me to a specific passage? 25 A. Yeah, it's going to take me a few minutes to</p> <p>Page 66</p>
<p>1 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 2 A. The fact I didn't list it doesn't mean that 3 305 isn't -- that I wrote it out of the picture. The 4 315s are the true source of the data and 305 provides 5 that service, so the discussion here is around where 6 did that data come from, that data came from the 315s. 7 BY MS. FULLER: 8 Q. So can we go to Paragraph 92 of Law, I 9 believe. Let me know when you get there. 10 A. Yep, I'm there. Yep. 11 Q. All right, would you like a second just to 12 skim it over? 13 A. Okay. 14 Q. Okay. So in this paragraph, does the threat 15 data from 315 go directly to the process control system 16 and bypass firm 305? 17 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 18 A. He's discussing an alter embodiment may also 19 be configured from 305 or from 315. So he's providing 20 two embodiments. 21 Q. So is that a yes then, he's providing an 22 embodiment where the threat data goes directly to 23 process control system? 24 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 25 A. He is indicating that that -- yes, that the</p>	<p>Page 65</p> <p>1 remember where I read that. 2 Q. Take your time. 3 A. In reading 88, 89, some of 90, Law talks 4 about characteristics of rules, priorities rules based 5 on risk, danger, so Law outlines that these rules have 6 different characteristics. Let's see... 7 To me it's reading those so it's hard to pull 8 out a single, but Law clearly talks about there's 9 different characteristics that we get. 10 Q. But nowhere does it talk about the fact that 11 some sources that are more reliable are better than 12 others; is that correct? 13 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 14 A. I can't say a hundred percent that that's not 15 in there, 'cause I did not -- as I'm sitting here, I 16 have a recollection of it but I also have not sat down 17 and we're sitting here reading through the whole... 18 BY MS. FULLER: 19 Q. And I will not force you to do that exercise. 20 So we have been going on the record for a 21 little over an hour and 10 minutes. Let's take a 22 10-minute break. Off the record. 23 (At 11:49 a.m. - Recess was taken.) 24 25</p> <p>Page 67</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 68</p> <p>1 (At 12:02 p.m., with all parties present as 2 before, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:) 3 BY MS. FULLER: 4 Q. Dr. Jacobson, can I have you look at Exhibit 5 1009. It's marked as Exhibit 1009 already, in the '474 6 IPR. 7 (Exhibit No. 1009 previously marked in prior 8 deposition.) 9 A. And which -- what? 10 Q. Golnabi, that's how you pronounce it? 11 A. Oh, thank you. I don't have 'em by -- 12 Golnabi. And let me find -- 1008? 13 Q. I believe it's marked as 1009. If you don't 14 have it, I can upload it too. 15 A. This is Analysis of firewall Policy Rules 16 Using Data Mining Techniques? 17 Q. Correct. 18 A. Okay, all right. Yes. Yep, I have it. 19 Q. Perfect. 20 Roughly how much time did you spend reviewing 21 Golnabi reference? 22 A. I don't know, several -- several hours. It's 23 not a really long reference. 24 Q. And this is in the process of writing your 25 '474 declaration you spent time reviewing Golnabi,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 70</p> <p>1 BY MS. FULLER: 2 Q. -- doing that? 3 A. So he'll -- he'll take a -- let's see find 4 the picture where he does that, one second. 5 Well, he -- yeah, he describes it starting -- 6 oh, what he has is Paragraph C of Page 307 and then he 7 goes through, talks about how he gets the data, then he 8 continues on Page 308 talking about some of the common 9 characteristics he would be looking for as he's looking 10 to merge rules. 11 And on 309 he actually gives some pseudo code 12 to look at how he would do -- think that's a pseudo 13 code for that, let me reread that. 14 So, yeah, like in 309 he talks -- second 15 paragraph he talks about, you know, taking rules. For 16 example, these, you know, taking IP addresses and 17 aggregating them together and so that, you know, he 18 says it in a lot of words. 19 Q. So does Golnabi look to original firewall 20 rules to create firewall policy data log -- 21 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection. 22 Q. -- is that part of the process? 23 A. He has a section -- you know, again his 24 paper's broader, but so he has a part where he talks 25 about where the rules could have come from, and then</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p> <p>1 correct? 2 A. Yes. Yes. 3 Q. Can you turn to Paragraph 80 of your '474 4 declaration. Let me know when you get there. 5 A. 80? 6 Q. Correct. 7 A. All right, I'm there. 8 Q. All right. So in this paragraph you state 9 that, "Golnabi teaches merging a plurality of rules 10 using an aggregation algorithm to generate a minimum 11 number of firewall policy rules for efficiency, and 12 that these rules are then aggregated by combining to 13 get one generalized rule." 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yep. 16 Q. Can you take me through this process in 17 Golnabi to what your understanding of the process is? 18 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 19 A. You're looking at the algorithm. I don't 20 understand what you mean by -- 21 BY MS. FULLER: 22 Q. Specifically the process where the rules are 23 aggregated by combining to get one generalized rule, 24 what is your understanding of Golnabi's process -- 25 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 71</p> <p>1 talks about how for efficiency, et cetera, we need to 2 put the rules together and so where he got the rules 3 isn't really relevant, it's the fact that he is 4 teaching the fact that we need to aggregate these rules 5 together and sort of end up with a more efficient set 6 of rules. 7 Q. But where the -- are these original rules 8 that are used to create firewall policy data logs, I 9 guess that -- that's my question? 10 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 11 A. Can you repeat that again. I -- I'm still 12 having a -- 13 BY MS. FULLER: 14 Q. Yeah. 15 So are original firewall policy rules used to 16 create policy firewall policy log data that's used to 17 mine attributes, such as IP addresses. 18 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 19 A. So, again, he sort of has -- he talks about 20 a -- doing data mining, which really isn't pertinent to 21 what we're talking about, and then he goes on to talk 22 about: Now I have rules that I've gotten and now I 23 need to process those rules and either doing a 24 combination splitting, you know, some sort of 25 correlated rules or else it talks about reordering nine</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 72</p> <p>1 rules for efficiency, so -- so he really has two pieces 2 to his work and the part that's relevant to my analysis 3 is the part where he discusses, "and now I got all 4 these rules, what can we do with 'em." 5 Q. So the rule that he's gotten -- 6 (Court reporter interrupted for 7 clarification.) 8 Q. So the rules that he's gotten, are these 9 primitive rules that are then aggregated or combined 10 together? 11 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 12 A. What are you defining as a primitive rule? 13 BY MS. FULLER: 14 Q. The -- when you say he goes on to talk about, 15 "now I have rules that I've gotten and now I need to 16 process those rules," that's the rules that I'm -- I'm 17 referring to. 18 A. Okay, I'm not sure I would use primitive, but 19 he -- he talks about a method to process a set of rules 20 so that you can combine them, potentially split them or 21 reorder them. So -- so he's talking about being able 22 to manipulate a ruleset. 23 Q. Based on mining firewall policy data logs; is 24 that correct? 25 A. That's the first part of his -- again, did</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 74</p> <p>1 MS. FULLER: Okay. Dr. Jacobson, I have 2 no further questions. 3 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 4 MR. DETRIXHE: All right, I just had a 5 few for redirect. 6 I can go ahead and start if you're 7 really, Counsel? 8 MS. FULLER: Can we go off record for 9 five minutes? 10 MR. DETRIXHE: Sure. 11 (At 12:14 p.m. - Recess was taken.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 73</p> <p>1 not rely on that piece, relied on the -- you know, we 2 now have rules and he teaches methods to correlate the 3 rules together so that's the part that -- that is of 4 interest in his paper. 5 Q. And can -- when you say "he teaches methods 6 to correlate the rules together," what specifically is 7 a method for his correlation? 8 MR. DETRIXHE: Objection, form. 9 A. Again, as I said earlier, he talks about ways 10 to combine rules, split rules and so when you're 11 combining, you're taking things that can be put 12 together so you're finding those things that can be put 13 together and you're putting them together, so that is a 14 correlating type of function. 15 Q. So, for instance, he's looking at the IP 16 address? 17 A. That would be a -- yeah, that would be one of 18 the -- of the factors that can be used to determine if 19 rules ought to be combined. 20 Q. And then based on that attribute, then he's 21 combining the ruleset, correct? 22 A. That and an additional attributes so it's 23 not -- that isn't the only attribute, but that would 24 be -- that would be an attribute that you would use to 25 combine.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 75</p> <p>1 (At 12:18 p.m., with all parties present as 2 before, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:) 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. DETRIXHE: 5 Q. Okay, Dr. Jacobson, I just had a few quick 6 questions for you. I would like to direct your 7 attention to Paragraph 92 of Law. Please let me know 8 when you're there. 9 A. Yep. 10 Q. And specifically I would like to focus your 11 attention on the last couple sentences or so. I'll go 12 ahead and read them. 13 "The sources 315 may create the threat data 14 310 by monitoring, defining and analyzing potential and 15 known threats to the security of the process control 16 network's 150. For example, data from the 17 Vulnerability Research Service, VRS, of Assurent Secure 18 Technologies, the Computer Emergency Response Team, 19 CERT, of Carnegie Mellon University, Secunia, end user 20 research and monitoring and data from Achilles 21 certified product users, to name but a few of the 22 sources for firewall ruleset data, may be aggregated." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Is it your understanding that this portion of</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 76</p> <p>1 Paragraph 92 is providing examples of the source 315s?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. I would like to direct your attention to</p> <p>4 Figure 3.</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And you see where -- the bubbles for the 315s</p> <p>7 here?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, 315 bubbles, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. All right. How many bubbles do you see there</p> <p>10 corresponding to the 315s?</p> <p>11 A. Six.</p> <p>12 Q. Is that more than the examples specifically</p> <p>13 identified in Paragraph 92?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 MS. FULLER: Objection, leading.</p> <p>16 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>17 Q. So there was some discussion earlier -- well,</p> <p>18 before I get there, I just want to clarify that: Is it</p> <p>19 your understanding that the examples of 315s in</p> <p>20 Paragraph 92 aren't limiting and that there may be</p> <p>21 others?</p> <p>22 MS. FULLER: Objection, leading.</p> <p>23 A. Yeah, the paragraph he's giving examples, he</p> <p>24 starts out by saying for example, data from, and then</p> <p>25 lists so he starts that paragraph by saying for</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 78</p> <p>1 So there -- a person in the skill of the art</p> <p>2 would understand that some sources would be more</p> <p>3 reliable and in part due to how they get their</p> <p>4 information and how reliable that information might be.</p> <p>5 You know, a data source that came from a -- you know,</p> <p>6 the FBI or, you know, back in that time would have been</p> <p>7 the FBI, or National Security Agency would have a</p> <p>8 higher level of, I hate to use the word trust, but a</p> <p>9 higher level assurance that that data is valid than may</p> <p>10 come from a source that is not -- doesn't have the</p> <p>11 resources that the federal government would have.</p> <p>12 MR. DETRIXHE: All right, thanks. That</p> <p>13 concludes my questions.</p> <p>14 MS. FULLER: Let's go off the record for</p> <p>15 one minute.</p> <p>16 (At 12:24 p.m. - Recess was taken.)</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 77</p> <p>1 example.</p> <p>2 BY MS. FULLER:</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>4 I understand there was some discussion</p> <p>5 earlier about whether Law explicitly stated that some</p> <p>6 of the sources 315 may be more trustworthy or reliable</p> <p>7 than others. I would now like to focus your attention</p> <p>8 on your understanding of how a person of skill in the</p> <p>9 art would have viewed the trustworthiness or</p> <p>10 reliability of the sources 315, and so just wanted to</p> <p>11 ask that question: Is it your opinion that a person of</p> <p>12 ordinary skill in the art would have understood that</p> <p>13 some sources 315 may be more trustworthy or reliable</p> <p>14 than others?</p> <p>15 MS. FULLER: Objection, leading.</p> <p>16 A. The data that you get from any of these types</p> <p>17 of services are based on multiple factors and some of</p> <p>18 which is just the organization itself and it's</p> <p>19 personnel and et cetera.</p> <p>20 Some of the data may actually be specific,</p> <p>21 some of the data may come from vendors, some of the</p> <p>22 data may be vetted by the government and so by its very</p> <p>23 nature, these -- these organizations that provide</p> <p>24 intelligence or provide rules, you know, may gather</p> <p>25 from different places.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 79</p> <p>1 (At 12:26 p.m., with all parties present as</p> <p>2 before, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:)</p> <p>3 MS. FULLER: I have no further</p> <p>4 questions. No reading and signing.</p> <p>5 Orders:</p> <p>6 MS. FULLER: I believe our standing</p> <p>7 order is rough transcript, final by October 11th.</p> <p>8 MR. DETRIXHE: That works for me as</p> <p>9 well, with rough.</p> <p>10 (12:30 p.m. - Adjournment.)</p> <p>11 ** ** ** **</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>

1	C E R T I F I C A T E
2	STATE OF NEBRASKA)
3	: ss.
4	COUNTY OF LANCASTER)
5	I, Christine M. Salerno, Registered Professional
6	Reporter, General Notary Public within and for the
7	State of Nebraska, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing testimony of DR. DOUGLAS JACOBSON was taken
9	by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to
10	typewriting by use of Computer-Aided Transcription,
11	and the eighty (80) pages contain a full, true and
12	correct transcription of all the testimony of said
13	witness, to the best of my ability.
14	That I am not a kin or in any way associated
15	with any of the parties to said cause of action, or
16	their counsel, and that I am not interested in the
17	event thereof.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto affix my
19	signature and seal this 10th of OCTOBER, 2023.
20	
21	
22	Christine M. Salerno, RPR
23	GENERAL NOTARY PUBLIC
24	Registered Professional Reporter
25	My Commission Expires: October 02, 2023.

<u>WORD INDEX</u>			
< 0 >		11,012,474 1:17	< 2 >
02 80:24		5:3	2 3:2
05 23:8		11:49 67:23	20 8:22, 25
		1177 2:8	2023 1:24 80:18,
		11th 79:7	24
		12 3:12	2023-00445 4:21
< 1 >		12:02 68:1	2023-00448 5:3
1 3:2 6:13 7:23,		12:14 74:11	21 58:7, 16, 22
24 13:14 17:22		12:18 75:1	212)715-9488 2:9
18:4 43:8		12:24 78:16	24 7:23
10 8:22, 25 27:13		12:26 79:1	24/7 36:18
67:21		12:30 79:10	25 18:9
10,785,266 1:14		120 43:8, 10	26 8:11
4:22		120s 43:9	266 4:23, 25 5:18
10:27 34:18		13 62:13 63:2, 3,	6:5, 8 7:16, 19
10:37 35:1		4, 16 66:14	8:2 11:22 12:20
100 27:21 33:10		1300 62:12, 19, 24	13:4, 6, 14, 15, 20
1001 12:21		63:15	16:4, 9 27:1
1001-266 12:22		1302 20:8	50:15, 16, 17, 25
1001-266:'266		1312 63:21	51:18 57:22 59:8
3:12		140 28:17	27 3:15 7:25
1001-474 12:22		146 50:13	2ND 1:23
1001-474:'474		146A 28:10	
3:12		149 38:19 41:16,	< 3 >
1003 6:4, 14, 15		23 42:5 45:10, 21	3 3:3 22:23 36:4,
7:9		47:8 48:18 50:2,	8, 9, 10 39:2, 14,
1003-266 6:6		13	17 40:23, 24 43:5
1003-266:'266		149C 44:21, 25	44:17 51:12
3:13		46:16 51:4	56:12 57:2 60:3
1003-474 6:6		149s 42:17	76:4
1003-474:'474		150 51:6, 14, 15	305 24:15 28:4, 8
3:14		75:16	30:5 35:12, 20, 25
10036 2:8		150A 42:3, 19	36:3, 11, 21 37:4,
1005 27:1, 2		44:10, 18 46:17	7, 8 38:6, 10 59:3
1005:LAW 3:15		47:7, 9 48:5 51:5	60:2, 4, 11, 17, 18,
1008 68:12		150B 42:4, 20	20, 22 61:16, 19,
1009 68:5, 7, 13		43:8 44:10, 20	20, 22, 24 64:4, 12,
1009:GOLNABI		45:23 46:17 47:9	13, 19, 20, 21, 25
3:15		48:5 51:5	65:3, 4, 16, 19
101 2:3		158 45:21, 22	66:2
105A 40:18		166 6:8	307 70:6
105B 40:17		1800 2:3	308 70:8
10-minute 34:15		1980 11:6	309 70:11, 14
67:22		1's 18:5	310 20:10, 18, 21,
10-plus 9:25			23 21:3, 8 22:14,
10th 80:18			19 23:11, 21
			75:14
			315 20:11 59:17
			60:19 61:18 62:9,
			14 65:15, 19 66:7
			75:13 76:8 77:6,
			10, 13
			315s 22:22, 23
			23:9, 14 28:8
			36:11 37:11, 15
			38:4 59:23 60:5,
			12, 22, 24 61:4, 12
			64:22 65:4, 6
			66:1, 4 76:1, 6, 10,
			19
			333 2:11
			35 10:22 11:2
			22:9
			< 4 >
			4 3:3, 4, 7 50:1, 8
			415)543-8700 2:4
			474 5:4, 6, 18 6:5
			8:6, 11 12:9, 20
			17:10, 11, 19, 22
			19:25 21:21 27:1
			35:5 62:3, 6 68:5,
			25 69:3
			49 35:5, 8, 9
			36:12
			< 5 >
			5 40:7, 9, 15, 22
			41:11 45:13
			51 51:13, 17
			55 18:9 51:14, 17
			59 32:14 33:8
			5's 40:23
			< 6 >
			6 3:13, 14 40:10
			64 16:3, 11 50:14,
			17, 23
			650)752-1800 2:12
			67 13:15

68 3:15 < 7 > 700 2:11 75 3:8 79 21:20, 23 < 8 > 80 3:4 69:3, 5 80:10 83 54:17, 23, 25 56:23 86 19:24 20:2, 5 87 62:3, 6 63:13 64:15 88 67:3 89 57:21 58:12, 20 67:3 < 9 > 9:34 1:22 90 67:3 92 65:8 75:7 76:1, 13, 20 94 38:12 45:9, 15, 18 59:8, 10 94065 2:11 94105 2:4 < A > A.M 1:23 34:18 35:1 67:23 ability 31:7 32:5 33:18 47:24 80:12 able 33:10 41:14 45:5, 6 72:21 about 7:16, 17, 19 10:17 11:6 12:25 17:17 18:17, 20, 21 19:11, 12, 14 22:20 25:9, 10, 25 26:21 28:2, 16, 17 29:3 31:7 32:4 33:17, 18, 19, 20 34:5, 13 38:4, 20,	23, 25 39:12, 15, 20 43:23 44:1, 6 51:13, 15, 16 52:15, 18 53:9 55:16, 20, 24, 25 57:5 66:17, 22 67:4, 8, 10 70:7, 8, 15, 25 71:1, 19, 21, 22, 25 72:14, 19, 21 73:9 77:5 access 33:8 38:16, 19 39:16 41:16 42:4, 11 44:11, 21, 25 45:12, 21, 22 46:1, 3, 7 48:18 50:2 51:4 accesses 47:10 Achilles 75:20 acronym 29:22 30:1 act 41:17 48:18 action 36:11 80:14 actors 23:7 add 22:25 added 29:7 adding 62:12 63:15 additional 47:24 73:22 address 47:3 48:11, 19, 25 49:3, 13, 17, 19, 24 50:9, 12 73:16 addresses 47:1 48:6, 14 49:25 70:16 71:17 Adjournment 79:10 affix 80:17 again 10:20 24:23 25:17 28:19 29:3 30:3 33:17 34:3 40:4, 22 43:5, 6 45:5 46:22 47:15, 16,	23 48:16, 22 54:7 55:22 57:19 62:21 63:9 66:14 70:23 71:11, 19 72:25 73:9 against 59:19 Agency 78:7 aggregate 71:4 aggregated 30:4 69:12, 23 72:9 75:22 aggregates 60:5 aggregating 66:5 70:17 aggregation 69:10 ago 8:12, 21 agree 11:15, 20 agreed 4:5 11:19 52:4 agreement 61:20 Ah 49:5 ahead 16:5 74:6 75:12 algorithm 69:10, 19 allocating 48:14 allow 41:17 57:13, 18 allows 39:3, 9 along 5:21 already 6:15, 19, 21 12:20 27:1 68:5 alter 65:18 always 31:13 36:17 amazed 22:6 Americas 2:8 analysis 62:17, 23 63:18 64:3, 13, 24 68:15 72:2 analyze 23:20 24:4 59:2 analyzed 24:1, 10 analyzing 58:6	75:14 and/or 20:9 another 47:3 answer 23:5, 9 27:12 40:21 47:8 49:10 answered 49:9 any 5:9, 21, 24 7:14, 19 8:7, 9, 24 9:4, 9 11:23, 25 12:1, 3, 7, 8 20:10 31:12 32:15, 20 43:11 49:22 56:10 57:2, 7 64:15 77:16 80:13, 14 anything 11:17 33:6 57:1, 2, 4, 7 anywhere 29:15 apologize 16:5 17:15 APPEAL 1:4 APPEARANCES 2:1 APPEARANCES... Page 3:2 appearing 4:4 application 42:7 applications 26:13 applied 15:5, 7 39:8 apply 11:16 12:4, 7 14:10 15:10, 25 applying 10:20 appropriately 31:3 are 4:4, 20 7:14, 16 8:7 11:21 13:12 14:11, 17 15:5, 7, 20, 22 16:1 18:14 20:8, 19, 21 21:9, 11, 13, 14, 15 22:21, 24 23:6, 14 24:14 25:11 26:6, 12
--	--	--	--

<p>28:1, 5, 10 30:5, 11 31:24 32:20 37:3, 25 39:7 40:14 41:3 42:20 44:5 47:9, 17, 19 49:18 51:20 52:11, 16 53:7 54:6 56:1, 14 59:16, 17 61:12 65:4 66:16, 18, 23 67:11 69:12, 22 71:7, 8, 15 72:8, 9, 12 77:17 area 47:9, 10, 12 aren't 11:19 76:20 arguments 9:18 art 10:6, 24 27:15 58:4, 10, 21, 23 59:2 63:25 77:9, 12 78:1 article 9:14 asked 49:8 53:9 59:23 asking 46:14, 18 63:20 assessment 19:2 21:5 assigned 48:6 associated 20:11, 19 24:21 80:13 assumption 48:9 assurance 78:9 Assurent 75:17 attention 16:14 17:10 19:24 23:18 33:7 35:4 75:7, 11 76:3 77:7 attorneys 10:1 attributable 64:22 attribute 73:20, 23, 24 attributes 20:6, 22, 24 21:4, 15, 16</p>	<p>24:18 71:17 73:22 attribution 19:15 authenticated 45:11 automated 31:12, 13 automatically 58:5 available 6:5 36:18 Avenue 2:8 < B > back 9:17 11:4 25:2 34:3 36:2 40:25 48:16 53:12, 14 55:22 66:14 78:6 background 10:15 11:12 bad 12:25 23:7 based 17:5 45:20 46:6, 25 59:16 62:14 63:4, 18 67:4 72:23 73:20 77:17 basically 29:24 53:18 56:10, 12 57:4 basis 51:10, 18 beat 22:1 BEGINNING 1:22 21:1 38:17 believe 18:4 42:11 65:9 68:13 79:6 belt 41:12 belts 55:19 Best 48:1 59:6 80:12 better 36:11 47:8 53:15 66:18, 23 67:11 big 18:5 31:11 billing 8:24 bit 38:23 55:4</p>	<p>BOARD 1:4 9:16, 20 border 28:12, 19 bought 48:1 bouncing 16:6 boundaries 15:11, 18 boundary 14:12, 13, 15 15:8, 13, 16, 21 Boy 27:11 break 34:15 67:22 bring 26:25 broad 26:4 36:24 broader 70:24 bubbles 76:6, 8, 9 build 46:13, 16 47:1, 5, 8 48:17 building 47:23 business 38:8 Buy 48:1 bypass 38:25 39:21 45:6 65:16 < C > cable 43:24 California 2:4, 11 call 60:24 called 22:21 58:9, 16 calls 8:13, 16 28:3 29:25 Can 4:14 5:16, 23 6:4 7:18 8:10 10:13 12:19 13:14 16:3, 14 17:10 18:17 19:24 22:3, 17 23:18 26:21, 24 27:7, 22 29:11, 15, 18 31:10, 17 32:7 33:7 35:4 36:23 37:6, 17, 22 38:12, 24 39:7, 11, 14, 25 40:16 43:17, 19</p>	<p>44:24 45:9 46:5 47:1, 20 48:16 50:14 53:11 54:17 56:11 57:2, 21 59:8 62:3 63:11, 22 64:9 65:8 66:1, 24 68:4, 14 69:3, 16 71:11 72:4, 20 73:5, 11, 12, 18 74:6, 8 cannot 5:9 47:2 can't 8:20 9:7 10:11 27:11 67:14 CAPTION.....Page 3:2 Carnegie 75:19 carry 57:10, 14, 15 carrying 36:20 37:2 Case 1:13, 16 3:2 9:5 28:9 41:22 43:25 45:1 49:12, 15, 16, 20 50:5 cases 7:11 39:8 45:6 catch 20:25 cause 24:14 37:9 43:12 49:19 53:13 61:12 64:13, 21 67:15 80:14 CENTRAL 1:23 CENTRIPETAL 1:10 Centripetal's 9:15 CERT 75:19 certain 11:16 41:4 47:2 61:4 CERTIFICATE 3:4 certified 75:21 certify 80:6</p>
---	---	--	--

cetera 9:3 21:12 34:11 37:16 38:5 43:24 71:1 77:19 challenges 4:21 challenging 5:3 chance 55:7 Changing 59:22 characteristic 54:5 characteristics 39:7 40:2 55:25 56:6 67:4, 6, 9 70:9 chat 6:1 chemical 26:15 29:16 CHRISTINE 1:20 80:4, 22 circles 22:22 cla 11:15 claim 11:13, 23, 25 13:6, 14, 22 17:6, 22 18:1, 2, 4, 5, 11 claimed 10:19 Claims 7:23, 24 11:16, 20 clarification 43:2 49:7 51:25 59:21 72:7 clarify 36:23 76:18 classical 27:25 clean 5:17, 18, 19, 24 Clearly 17:13 67:8 close 8:22 52:12 cluttered 12:24 code 70:11, 13 collecting 22:24 collectively 16:17, 24 17:7 colored 50:19 Column 18:9 20:8 62:12 63:15, 21, 22 66:11	combination 20:12 71:24 combine 72:20 73:10, 25 combined 72:9 73:19 combining 69:12, 23 73:11, 21 come 13:5, 21 19:8 23:4 27:15 28:7 30:3 31:2 35:25 47:7 50:5 65:6 66:1, 2 70:25 77:21 78:10 comes 36:7 50:11 coming 11:10 23:7 28:19 34:3 Commission 80:24 common 34:11 70:8 commonly 21:18 53:23 communicate 25:14 41:14 42:21 45:23 47:21 62:11 63:14 communicating 48:19 communication 41:17 42:3 44:11, 22 communication's 50:3 companies 61:13 completed 54:22 59:13 completely 33:11 38:7 complexity 29:7 complicated 32:1 components 30:15 37:3 comprised 15:4	comprising 13:10 14:1 computer 10:16 11:2 25:13, 14 29:4, 5, 19 53:25 75:18 Computer-Aided 80:9 computers 25:19 37:24, 25 computing 25:24 29:6 34:7, 8 38:9 concerning 8:8 concludes 78:13 configurable 39:13 configuration 47:16 55:21, 23 configured 32:15, 20, 25 33:3, 11 65:19 configuring 30:12 confusing 43:20 congratulations 11:9 connect 53:4 54:15 connected 52:17, 23 connecting 41:11 48:24 53:22 54:12 connection 51:13 connections 39:16, 18 connectivity 26:8 39:1 41:25 45:4 46:22 52:18 connects 40:4 53:3 consider 26:18 52:5 64:11, 25 considered 64:13, 16, 18 construct 52:4	construction 11:14 construed 16:16 contain 80:10 contemplate 66:11 contemplates 66:15 contention 12:8 contents 7:25 contested 11:17 context 44:15 continues 70:8 contrasting 53:15 contribution 27:15 Control 22:3 25:5, 7, 13, 18, 21, 22 26:1, 3, 5, 10, 12, 21, 22 28:1, 17, 23 29:8, 12, 14, 19, 20 30:13, 17, 19, 20, 24 31:5, 21, 22 32:13 33:9, 21 35:15 40:17 41:8, 9 45:11 46:1, 2 50:3 55:17 56:16, 19, 24 57:3, 7 60:7 61:25 65:15, 23 66:5 75:15 controlled 46:7 56:11, 15, 21 controlling 55:18 conversations 10:3 conveyor 41:12 55:19 copies 5:16, 17, 18, 19, 24 8:17 copper 43:23 correct 7:13 9:20 11:3 14:24 15:9, 12 16:12 17:8 19:1 21:4, 12 24:19 35:22 46:15, 19 50:5 51:1, 19 54:1 55:1 56:22 59:1, 24 62:19, 25 63:5 66:12 67:12
---	--	---	--

<p>68:17 69:1, 6 72:24 73:21 80:11 correction 7:20 8:2 corrections 7:14 8:7 correctively 35:20 correctly 66:1 correlate 73:2, 6 correlated 71:25 correlating 73:14 correlation 73:7 corresponding 76:10 correspondingly 8:1 cost 61:6 costs 60:25 61:2 could 22:23 24:17, 20 36:5 42:8, 10, 14 43:7, 8, 10 45:2 46:16, 20, 21, 24 47:5, 7 48:3 54:4, 8 55:15 61:8 64:2 70:25 couldn't 47:25 counsel 8:13 9:3 10:4 74:7 80:15 count 9:2 COUNTY 80:3 coup 9:24 couple 4:19 24:6 36:3 75:11 course 9:11 28:10 38:6 COURT 6:16 43:1 49:6 51:24 59:20 72:6 craft 58:14 create 70:20 71:8, 16 75:13 creating 58:4 criteria 10:21 critical 57:8</p>	<p>CROSS- EXAMINATION 3:8 75:3 crossing 28:19 current 56:5 customer 59:19 60:9, 13, 16 customers 60:6, 8, 21 cyber 35:12, 19, 24 60:1</p> <p>< D > danger 67:5 dangerous 26:19 data 20:10, 18, 23 21:3 22:13, 19, 24 23:11, 14, 21 24:1, 3, 10, 12, 21 37:9 60:11, 19, 22, 25 61:1, 5 64:21 65:4, 6, 15, 22 66:1, 7 68:16 70:7, 20 71:8, 16, 20 72:23 75:13, 16, 20, 22 76:24 77:16, 20, 21, 22 78:5, 9 databases 57:3 date 37:25 DAY 1:23 de 10:12 debt 22:13 decide 45:22 46:17 decision 9:20 DECLARATION 3:13, 14 6:23 7:12, 19 8:3, 5, 6, 8 9:1 10:13 12:9, 13 13:5, 15, 21 16:4 17:11, 19 19:25 21:21 35:5 50:15 51:18 52:10 57:22 59:9</p>	<p>62:4, 6 68:25 69:4 declarations 5:18, 21 7:10, 15 8:11, 15, 19 27:10 40:20 45:17, 25 46:9 50:7 define 15:17 defined 10:12 defines 38:21 defining 72:12 75:14 definition 52:20 53:24 depend 41:22 42:6 dependent 42:6 depending 39:19 47:6 depends 46:22 54:7 60:24 depo 50:20 DEPOSITION 1:19 4:4, 19 6:7 9:10, 23 12:23 27:3, 10 68:8 depositions 4:20 describe 8:10 48:17 63:23 describes 20:5 38:15 44:9 70:5 describing 29:25 DESCRIPTION 3:11 63:22 64:1 66:15 design 48:3 designed 28:10 desk 12:25 17:17 detail 28:14 40:6 determine 73:18 determining 10:17 Detrixhe 2:5 3:8 6:12, 20, 22, 25 7:2 15:2 16:25 18:13 19:3 21:6 25:1 26:14 27:17</p>	<p>29:1, 23 30:22 31:19 32:11, 21 33:2 36:1, 15, 22 37:5 40:19 41:19 42:24 43:3 44:13 45:16, 24 46:8 48:8, 21 49:8 50:6 52:9 54:2 55:12 56:17, 25 57:16 59:4 60:15 61:17 62:20 63:1, 6 64:5, 17 65:1, 17, 24 66:13 67:13 69:18, 25 70:21 71:10, 18 72:11 73:8 74:4, 10 75:4 78:12 79:8 device 25:14 29:5 38:16, 19, 20, 24 39:3, 9, 13, 17 40:3 41:16, 24 42:3, 4, 14, 16, 21, 22 44:21, 25 45:10, 21 47:2, 3, 18, 21 48:13, 18, 23 49:19 50:2 51:4 54:15 57:7 devices 25:11, 17, 20, 24 26:1 27:25 28:1, 16, 17 29:4, 6 32:15, 18, 24 33:9 42:12 43:11 44:5 45:22, 23 48:7, 10 56:4, 6, 11, 14, 18, 20 57:4, 7 58:5 devises 35:21 did 6:13 9:4, 9 10:1, 17 11:2, 22 12:4 13:5, 21 19:8 27:8 51:9 55:7, 9 58:15 59:1, 6, 23 64:3, 11 65:6 67:15 68:20 72:25</p>
--	---	--	---

didn't 5:25 20:25 43:9 64:20 65:2 different 38:21 39:7, 8 44:12 46:25 50:19 67:6, 9 77:25 differently 49:10 DIRECT 3:7 4:12 16:14 17:10 19:24 23:18 32:16 33:4, 7 35:4 45:9 75:6 76:3 directed 55:11 56:23 direction 15:25 16:2 directly 43:8, 10 56:19, 20 65:15, 22 66:4 disable 39:24 discuss 27:21 discussed 8:16 29:15 discusses 25:24 36:3 72:3 discussing 33:5 55:13 65:18 Discussion 34:17 36:9 55:6 65:5 76:17 77:4 discussions 8:14 display 33:12, 22 57:13 displayed 56:2 dissimilar 53:25 54:5, 6, 8, 10 distinction 33:25 34:12 distribute 28:8 do 4:17 5:15 7:7, 10 12:13 16:19 18:1, 7 20:15 22:15 23:23 25:3, 8 27:15 31:9, 21, 25 32:7, 19, 25	33:6, 14, 19 34:6, 7 35:17 37:17 38:15 39:19, 21, 22 42:18 46:20 47:13, 25 51:7, 8, 22 52:5, 24 54:5, 7 57:13 61:2 62:15 67:19 69:14 70:12 72:4 75:23 76:9 80:6 document 26:25 40:12 documents 59:2 does 14:16 15:15 18:25 19:17 22:18 30:10, 19 31:16 35:24 41:16, 20 42:2, 13 44:11, 21 47:19 48:5, 15, 22 53:9 64:24 65:14 66:22 67:10 70:4, 19 doesn't 9:2 15:25 48:17 53:6 54:9 57:19 65:2 66:10 78:10 doing 16:5 22:6 50:21 70:2 71:20, 23 Dolphin 2:11 done 46:22 don't 5:21 8:9, 23, 24 11:25 17:18 25:17 31:9, 17 32:6, 8, 9 53:13 58:17, 24 64:6, 7 68:11, 13, 22 69:19 DOUGLAS 1:19 4:8, 16 80:7 down 21:17 28:9 67:16 DR 1:19 4:8, 14, 18 5:12 6:22 7:7 10:5 11:13 12:12,	19 13:4, 13 14:4 15:15 16:3 20:1, 17 21:20 22:11 23:19 27:4, 8, 14 35:4 40:14, 20 45:17, 25 46:9 50:7, 14 51:22 52:10 54:18 57:21 68:4 74:1 75:5 80:7 drafts 8:14 draw 55:17 Drive 2:11 due 78:3 duly 4:9 duplicate 23:12, 21 24:2, 11, 17 duplicates 22:13, 18 23:10 24:3, 12, 15 duplications 37:16 during 10:1 duties 34:12 dynamic 13:10, 25 14:17, 18, 25 15:3 < E > earlier 73:9 76:17 77:5 easiest 34:4 effectively 41:16 efficiency 69:11 71:1 72:1 efficient 71:5 eighty 80:10 either 16:2 71:23 elaborate 39:11 43:17 elements 56:1, 7, 8 57:13 em 13:16 17:20 24:21 41:8 68:11 72:4 e-mail 8:15 25:10 embedded 44:4	embodiment 25:23 60:23 65:18, 22 embodiments 65:20 Emergency 75:18 engineering 10:16 entire 27:20 environment 28:13 especially 39:23 essence 37:19 et 9:3 21:12 34:11 37:16 38:5 43:24 71:1 77:19 ethernet 44:3, 4 47:12, 13, 14, 17, 19, 20 ethernets 48:24 event 80:16 everybody 38:8 42:12 everything 26:21 28:11 49:23 56:13 evidence 51:10 exact 8:20 10:20 27:12 36:2 47:15, 16 exactly 10:12 11:24 12:1, 6 25:6, 17 47:7 EXAMINATION 3:7 4:12 examined 4:9 example 20:7, 13 29:3 30:25 32:1 39:18, 20 40:8, 25 44:3 48:24 50:1 58:9, 11 61:16 70:16 75:16 76:24 77:1 examples 20:21 21:7, 11, 14 24:23 76:1, 12, 19, 23
--	---	---	---

exchanged 8:15 exercise 67:19 EXHIBIT 3:11, 12, 13, 14, 15 6:4, 6, 13 12:22 27:1, 2 68:4, 5, 7 exhibits 5:15, 22, 25 6:15 9:4, 6, 7, 8, 9 12:21 exist 35:16 expected 16:7 expert 34:9, 10 Expires 80:24 explain 40:16 46:6 explains 20:7 42:19 explicitly 64:20, 24 77:5 expressly 58:3, 20 extent 16:15 31:6 external 13:8, 24 14:6 Extremely 26:4 < F > fact 28:2 42:14 53:15 55:20 65:2 66:17 67:10 71:3, 4 factor 64:3 factors 73:18 77:17 factory 25:15 29:19 30:24 31:1, 3, 20 32:1 33:24 34:4, 5, 11 40:25 41:1 55:22, 23 56:4 fail 31:13 fair 58:19 fairly 48:23 fall 54:9 fan 22:3 farther 11:4	faster 22:2 FBI 78:6, 7 features 24:18 fed 18:19, 20 21:16 30:5 federal 78:11 feed 17:23 18:20 19:1, 4, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23 20:13, 21, 22 28:8 feeds 20:10 21:8 feel 12:12 57:25 fiber-optic 43:24 field 10:22 11:6 64:1 Figure 36:4, 8, 9, 10 39:2, 14, 17 40:7, 15, 22, 23, 24 41:11 43:5 44:17 45:13 50:1, 8 51:12 56:12 57:1 60:3 62:13 63:3, 4, 16 66:14 76:4 figures 38:22 filed 6:21 8:5 9:4 filtering 13:11 14:1 15:4, 5 46:21 final 8:17 79:7 find 12:24 13:14 24:1, 10 27:7 36:5 45:8 68:12 70:3 finding 73:12 firewall 50:10 58:4 68:15 69:11 70:19, 20 71:8, 15, 16 72:23 75:22 firewalls 21:17 28:4, 9, 10, 18 30:6 35:14 66:8 firm 35:12, 20, 25 36:11, 21 37:4 59:3 60:2, 18	61:16 64:4, 11 65:16 firms 38:2 first 4:9 13:10 14:1 32:14 72:25 five 11:10 74:9 flies 34:14 floor 25:15 30:24 32:1 33:24 40:25 41:1, 3 flow 31:3 flowing 16:2 focus 44:9 75:10 77:7 focused 62:18, 23 following 4:1 35:2 68:2 75:2 79:2 follows 4:10 force 67:19 foregoing 80:7 forgetting 29:16 form 15:2 16:25 18:13 19:3 21:6 23:14 25:1 26:14 27:17 29:1, 23 30:22 31:19 32:11, 21 33:2 36:1, 15, 22 37:5 40:2 41:19 42:24 43:3 44:13 48:8, 21 50:6 51:9, 21 52:9 54:2 55:12 56:17, 25 57:16 59:4 60:15 61:17 62:20 63:1, 6 64:5, 17 65:1, 17, 24 66:13 67:13 69:18, 25 71:10, 18 72:11 73:8 formalities 5:13 format 37:17 formed 7:11 58:11 forms 51:17 forth 53:14	found 13:16 35:16 45:18 51:2 54:19 foundation 43:4 four 50:20 Francisco 2:4 FRANKEL 2:7 free 12:12 50:11 57:25 61:9 front 7:8, 9 12:19 27:5 full 4:15 80:10 Fuller 2:13 3:7 4:13 6:14, 18, 21, 24 7:1, 4, 6 15:6 17:4 18:24 19:7 21:10 28:22 29:13 30:9 31:15 32:3, 22 35:3 36:6, 19 37:1 38:11 41:15 42:1 43:15 44:16 45:19 46:4, 11 48:12 49:2, 14 52:22 54:11 57:12, 24 59:5 61:23 62:22 63:8 64:8, 23 65:7 66:3, 21 67:18 68:3 69:21 70:1 71:13 72:13 74:1, 8 76:15, 16, 22 77:2, 15 78:14 79:3, 6 function 32:10 57:10, 18 73:14 functionality 31:8 32:6 38:25 39:1 47:25 functions 32:16, 20 33:4 38:22 57:14 further 29:11 39:11 74:2 79:3 < G >
--	--	--	--

gateway 13:7, 9, 23, 25 14:6, 19 15:19, 20 16:22 18:21, 23 gateways 14:9, 10, 11, 14 16:17, 24 17:2, 7 gather 77:24 GENERAL 1:21 25:14, 24 80:5, 22 generalized 69:13, 23 generally 8:25 25:18 generate 69:10 generating 58:5 generic 38:20 53:21 getting 54:3 60:11 give 5:9 8:20 11:12 22:1 27:11, 13 32:12 37:6 52:20 54:20 Given 16:8 30:1 31:10 66:16 gives 39:7 40:1 45:5 70:11 giving 21:7 31:7 32:5 76:23 glue 53:8 go 5:20 9:21 10:17 11:4 15:20 18:10 25:2, 3 36:2 37:20 40:6 48:16 53:16 55:22 65:8, 15 66:14 74:6, 8 75:11 78:14 goal 53:4 goes 28:2, 13 55:24 65:22 66:4 70:7 71:21 72:14 going 6:9 15:13, 22 18:4 21:24 23:6 24:14 34:13	37:18, 23 38:5, 7 39:19 40:25 41:1, 2 42:17 47:24 48:2 50:2, 3 54:20 55:20 66:16, 25 67:20 Golnabi 9:14 68:10, 12, 21, 25 69:9, 17 70:19 Golnabi's 69:24 Good 4:14 36:10 49:16 goodness 24:8 Gotcha 6:25 gotten 71:22 72:5, 8, 15 government 77:22 78:11 graphic 33:11 graphical 33:22 55:14, 21 56:1, 3 57:13 Great 5:12 13:3 group 9:17 18:25 groups 18:22 guess 8:23 64:6, 20 71:9 < H > had 4:2 9:8 10:3 35:2 50:20 68:2 74:4 75:2, 5 79:2 Hang 18:2 40:9 50:18 62:7 hard 67:7 hardware 47:3 HART 20:9 has 14:6 22:22 28:15, 20 30:14 31:13 38:22 39:17 40:4, 5 45:7 46:2 56:13 60:4 70:6, 23, 24 71:19 72:1	hate 78:8 HATT 21:12 have 4:5 5:15, 17, 21, 24 6:5 7:7, 9, 11 8:9, 23, 24 9:13 10:9, 11 11:24 12:6, 19, 20 13:2 14:13, 15 18:25 20:10, 11, 13 22:11, 12, 18 23:6, 20 24:1, 8, 10, 21 25:2, 3, 5 27:4 28:6 29:4, 6, 11 30:10 31:9, 17 32:7, 9, 12, 19 33:22 34:11 36:2 37:8, 11, 18 38:1, 3, 5 40:5, 6 41:1, 3, 9 42:14, 15 44:15, 18 45:22 47:24 48:5, 22 49:25 50:2, 18 51:22 52:2, 3, 5, 13, 14 53:10 54:5 55:18, 21 56:4 61:8, 19 62:10 63:13, 25 67:5, 16, 20 68:4, 11, 14, 18 70:25 71:22 72:15 73:2 74:1 77:9, 12 78:6, 7, 10, 11 79:3 he 20:20 22:21, 22 25:24, 25 26:19 27:18, 20 28:3, 5, 13, 15, 16, 17, 20 29:2, 3, 25 31:10, 11 33:17, 18, 19 34:2 37:22 38:22 39:6, 13, 15, 20, 25 40:4, 5 42:16 43:9 45:1, 5, 7 46:2 55:24 58:9 65:25 66:22 70:4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 23,	24 71:2, 3, 19, 21 72:1, 3, 14, 19 73:2, 5, 9 76:23, 25 head 38:10 hear 11:2 heavily 41:22 he'll 70:3 Help 18:8 25:11 51:21 59:18 60:9 61:15 helps 30:6 hereunto 80:17 He's 21:7 29:2 30:1 33:20 39:6 40:1 55:13, 20 65:18, 19, 21 70:9 72:5, 8, 21 73:15, 20 76:23 high 22:12, 18 23:6, 10, 11, 13, 15 24:2, 11 26:12 higher 78:8, 9 his 22:21 25:25 26:1 27:18, 20 28:4, 5, 9, 17, 18 30:5 31:11 36:9 55:15 70:23 72:2, 25 73:4, 7 hook 54:6 hope 23:4 host 17:24 18:15 19:5 hosts 18:17 hour 34:13 67:21 hours 8:18, 22, 25 9:22, 25 10:2 27:13 68:22 human 31:13 hundred 67:14 < I > ICMP 21:12 24:18 idea 41:11
--	--	--	--

identified 23:3 40:1 76:13 identifies 30:14 37:7 identify 23:16 if 4:22 5:4, 24 8:23 11:4, 16, 24, 25 12:1, 6, 7, 13 15:17 16:21 23:2, 3 26:10 30:24 39:21 41:1 42:8 43:5, 21 46:16 47:6, 9, 13, 17, 23 48:2, 23 49:17, 25 52:16 54:6 59:23 66:7 68:13 73:18 74:6 ignore 38:8 implement 42:17 46:24 47:17 implementation 49:22 implemented 42:8 45:2 46:7, 10 47:11 implements 60:16 61:21 implies 10:8, 14 14:6 30:2 53:7 61:6 imply 53:6, 9 54:9 importance 57:2 include 7:10, 24 14:16 20:8, 23 24:17 included 14:18 includes 20:18 21:3 including 15:1 inconsistent 64:19 independent 23:6 independently 22:24	INDEX Page 3:3 indicate 19:17, 22 62:13 66:20 indicates 19:15 indicating 24:21 65:25 indication 17:23 19:1, 4, 9, 10, 14, 17 66:11 indicator 28:7 individual 10:8, 21 industry 50:9 information 18:16, 22 19:11, 12 28:4 36:18 37:10, 12, 14 60:20 61:25 62:11 63:14 66:6 78:4 infrastructure 37:3, 9 38:1, 2 ingest 60:22 ingested 37:18, 20 66:8 inherent 47:4 inside 15:13, 23, 24 28:11, 13, 21, 24, 25 30:2 49:22 instance 44:17 54:12 73:15 institution 9:19 intelligence 45:7 46:21 77:24 intends 28:6 inter 39:1 41:25 51:23 52:1, 5, 7, 11, 15, 19 53:5, 24 54:1, 13 interaction 61:18 interchangeable 52:12 interconnect 39:4, 10 41:8 53:10, 11 interconnected 43:6	interconnection 40:16 interconnectivity 41:6 interest 73:4 interested 80:15 interface 33:9, 21 56:2, 3 57:5, 17 interfaces 40:3 55:14 internal 30:7 internally 39:24 internet 14:7 23:3 45:12 49:20, 21 50:3 53:3, 4, 7, 14, 16, 17 interpret 11:23 interpretation 41:10 63:2, 4 interpreted 11:21, 25 12:2, 4 interrupted 43:1 49:6 51:24 59:20 72:6 interrupting 7:2 intervention 32:16 33:4, 13 intra 52:11 53:5, 8 intranet 52:6 53:18 inventions 10:19 involved 30:11 36:20 37:4 IP 22:24 42:13, 15 48:6, 10, 11 53:7, 10, 16, 19 70:16 71:17 73:15 IPR 4:20, 25 5:2, 6 11:14, 22 12:9 50:20 68:6 IPR2023-00445 1:13 IPR2023-00448 1:16	IPRs 6:5 16:8 27:1 issues 38:4 It'd 42:6 its 36:11 40:2 41:20 57:17 59:3, 18 60:11, 21 61:15 66:6 77:22 < J > JACOBSON 1:19 3:13, 14 4:8, 14, 16, 18 5:12 7:7 10:5 11:13 12:12, 19 13:4, 13 14:4 15:15 16:3 20:1, 17 21:20 22:11 23:19 27:4, 8, 14 35:4 40:14 50:14 51:22 54:18 57:21 68:4 74:1 75:5 80:7 Jacobson's 6:22 40:20 45:17, 25 46:9 50:7 52:10 jdetrrixhe@reedsmit th.com 2:5 Jeffrey 2:10 Jenna 2:13 6:13 jfuller@kramerlevi n.com 2:12 job 34:6 Johnson 5:19 join 51:5 53:3 Jonathan 2:5 jprice@kramerlevi n.com 2:9 jumping 17:15 Jung 5:19 < K > keep 34:3 40:25 KEYSIGHT 1:7 kin 80:13 kind 22:6 38:23 knew 47:6
--	---	--	--

know 8:13, 21 9:25 10:3, 10, 13, 21, 22, 23, 24 11:15, 16, 18, 20 12:12, 18 16:4 18:17 19:10, 11, 25 21:21 22:23, 25 23:9, 13 24:22 25:12, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24 26:7, 20 27:4, 11, 12, 25 28:2, 5, 14, 15 29:4, 18 31:11, 23, 25 34:14 35:5 37:16, 22, 24 38:3, 4, 7, 13, 25 39:6, 14, 15, 16 41:11, 21, 22 43:7, 12 44:4 45:2, 7 46:21, 23 47:1, 6, 15, 22 48:16 52:16 53:2 54:21 55:16, 25 57:3, 4, 22 59:13 61:11 64:6 65:9 66:16 68:22 69:4 70:15, 16, 17, 23 71:24 73:1 75:7 77:24 78:5, 6 knowledge 8:4 known 75:15 KRAMER 2:7 < L > label 43:9 labels 27:21 lacks 43:3 LAN 48:5 49:15 54:13, 15 LANCASTER 80:3 language 17:6 18:1, 7 LANs 44:23 48:14, 20 51:5	last 9:24 75:11 latest 35:14 lathe 25:16 lathes 26:7 29:19 Law 5:19 7:22 20:5, 7, 20 21:17 24:23 26:11, 17, 25 27:9, 21 28:2, 15 31:6, 16 32:4, 8 34:1, 2 36:3, 5, 7 37:7, 18 38:12 39:12 40:8, 12 41:20 42:7, 19 43:6 44:9, 14 45:9, 15 46:1, 12 48:15, 17, 22 49:22 51:12, 15, 21 54:17 56:9, 24 57:19 58:3, 20 60:4, 10, 16, 23 61:21 63:21 65:8 66:10, 15, 17, 22 67:3, 5, 8 75:7 77:5 Law's 20:10 25:23 26:10, 25 27:15 29:21 38:18 44:25 58:7 60:16 61:25 62:18, 24 63:5, 19 66:5 layer 41:20, 25 42:9, 10 44:2, 7 layers 42:9 lead 8:17 leading 76:15, 22 77:15 leads 42:7 leaves 42:16 43:7 45:1 48:22 led 8:14 left-hand 43:10 lets 25:18 level 19:15 78:8, 9	LEVIN 2:7 light 59:3 likelihood 22:13, 18 23:7, 10, 12, 15 24:2, 11 limited 20:9 21:13 57:11 limiting 21:9 76:20 line 18:9 56:13 list 65:2 listed 61:12 lists 76:25 little 11:12 36:23 38:23 55:4, 5 67:21 LLC 1:10 LLP 2:3, 7 local 44:10 47:9, 10, 12 locating 58:5 log 70:20 71:16 logs 71:8 72:23 long 8:12 11:8 68:23 look 10:13 11:24 12:6, 14 25:5 37:7 42:8 43:5 52:16 53:15 58:15 59:6 68:4 70:12, 19 looked 9:6, 8 47:7 58:22 looking 44:14 52:13 53:6 58:17, 24 69:19 70:9 73:15 looks 48:23 lot 6:9 7:4 28:14, 15 47:4 50:22 70:18 lots 39:7 52:2 lowest 42:9 < M >	machine 25:16 26:22 malicious 17:24 18:15 manage 26:6 30:17, 18, 25 31:7, 17, 20, 22, 23 32:5, 9 34:8 38:2 41:8 managed 17:23 19:4, 14 56:11, 14, 19 management 13:8, 23 14:8, 15 27:20 29:22 30:15 31:25 35:12, 20, 25 53:2 60:1 manages 30:3 managing 30:12, 20 31:25 manipulate 72:22 manually 31:11 manufacturing 25:20 41:4 mark 6:13 marked 6:4, 7, 12, 15, 19 12:21, 23 26:25 27:2 68:5, 7, 13 marking 6:16 materials 31:2, 25 mean 6:18 15:16 20:20 22:18 25:8 27:18 30:19 38:7 39:12 52:24 54:3 55:13 61:2 64:6 65:2 69:20 meaning 12:5, 7 43:18 means 12:16 16:1 23:8 36:17 44:2 53:16 meant 11:1 13:6, 22 21:9 meet 10:1 35:14 Mellon 75:19
---	--	--	--

memory 9:7 mention 5:25 mentioned 9:12 mentions 26:17 merge 70:10 merging 59:19 69:9 message 6:1 method 72:19 73:7 methods 53:11 73:2, 5 middle 25:15 mine 71:17 minimum 69:10 Mining 68:16 71:20 72:23 minute 54:20 78:15 minutes 32:12 66:25 67:21 74:9 mobile 28:16 mode 39:21 model 44:2 modification 62:18, 24 modifying 63:5, 18 mollitious 18:18 19:5 money 60:25 61:2, 6 monitored 33:11 monitoring 75:14, 20 months 8:21 morning 4:14 7:5 motivation 29:3 muffled 55:5 multiple 17:2 23:4, 9 28:19 47:5 77:17 myriad 26:7 < N > NAFTALIS 2:7	name 4:15 10:8, 14 30:2 75:21 National 78:7 nature 77:23 NEBRASKA 1:22 80:2, 6 necessarily 53:20 56:20 57:6 necessary 37:13 need 17:17 32:13, 25 33:6 37:9, 13, 21, 23 38:8 39:22 41:7, 13 48:10, 11 49:12, 24 52:17 53:13, 16, 19 57:15, 18 71:1, 4, 23 72:15 needed 12:1, 3 17:13 49:1, 4, 11 needs 24:15 37:17 41:23 52:23 57:5, 9 network 13:9, 24 15:7 16:18, 22, 24 17:3, 7, 9 25:25 26:1 27:23 30:7 32:16, 20, 25 33:3, 8 35:16 38:15, 19 39:11, 16 40:3 41:7, 16 42:3, 4, 10, 19, 20, 22 43:12, 13, 17, 21, 22 44:1, 5, 6, 7, 10, 18, 20, 24 45:3, 11, 21, 22, 23 46:20 47:1, 9, 10 48:14, 19, 25 49:3, 13, 17, 19, 24 50:2, 9, 12 51:4, 6, 15, 23 52:1, 8, 15, 19, 23 53:24 54:1, 13, 16 56:12 57:8 58:6 59:22 networking 10:16 11:6 43:21	NETWORKS 1:10 15:18 39:5 40:4, 5 42:20, 23 43:6 44:11, 12 47:10, 12, 18, 20 49:15, 17, 23 52:14, 16 53:3, 5, 10, 11, 25 54:6, 8, 10 network's 75:16 New 2:8 nine 71:25 No 1:14, 17 6:18 17:17 27:2 48:25 49:24 53:9 68:7 74:2 79:3, 4 Nope 5:11 normal 12:7 29:10 normally 29:10 Nos 6:6 12:22 not-allows 47:21 NOTARY 1:21 80:5, 22 notebook 27:6, 7 nuclear 26:15, 23 29:15 number 8:20 22:23 69:11 numbers 6:9 7:5 38:23 43:10 50:22 numeral 7:22 < O > Objection 15:2 16:25 18:13 19:3 21:6 25:1 26:14 27:17 29:1, 23 30:22 31:19 32:11, 21 33:2 36:1, 15, 22 37:5 40:19 41:19 42:24 43:3 44:13 45:16, 24 46:8 48:8, 21 49:8	50:6 52:9 54:2 55:12 56:17, 25 57:16 59:4 60:15 61:17 62:20 63:1, 6 64:5, 17 65:1, 17, 24 66:13 67:13 69:18, 25 70:21 71:10, 18 72:11 73:8 76:15, 22 77:15 objects 56:4, 6 Obvious 7:23 10:10 22:12 23:20 62:10 63:14 obviously 37:8, 23 44:3 61:12 occasionally 39:22 occur 42:22 OCTOBER 1:24 79:7 80:18, 24 off 34:17 38:9 46:25 55:6 67:22 74:8 78:14 OFFICE 1:2 45:8 Oh 6:20 9:24 13:13 19:21 21:25 22:5 36:7 50:23 60:10 68:11 70:6 74:3 okay 4:22 5:4, 23 6:1 7:10, 21 8:6 12:15 13:16 14:22 16:11 18:5, 6 35:9 45:18 50:23 54:4, 19 55:2, 10 58:2 59:12, 15, 16 62:7 63:17, 20 65:13, 14 68:18 72:18 74:1, 3 75:5 77:3 old 22:8 ones 34:5 open 6:4 42:16 43:7 45:1 48:23
---	--	---	---

operate 41:13 operates 41:21 operation 30:25 operator 30:10, 15 33:12, 22 34:1, 9 45:10, 20 56:15, 19 operators 30:11, 16 31:7, 10, 17, 20, 21 32:17 33:18, 19 operator's 30:23, 25 32:5 33:6 34:2 opinion 16:21, 23 20:17 37:6 40:24 42:2 51:9, 11, 18, 21 52:13 58:8, 11, 14 59:16 77:11 opinions 7:11, 12 option 46:2, 5 optionally 51:4 order 27:13 37:15, 20 57:9 79:7 Orders 79:5 ordinary 10:6, 18 12:5 77:12 organization 34:9 53:1 77:18 organizations 77:23 organized 17:13 original 70:19 71:7, 15 OSI 42:9 ought 73:19 outlines 7:12 20:20 66:15 67:5 outside 14:14 15:13, 23 40:19 45:16, 24 46:8 50:6 52:10 overall 41:9 Owner 1:11 2:7	ownership 53:13 < P > p.m 68:1 74:11 75:1 78:16 79:1, 10 packet 13:7, 9, 11, 22, 25 14:1, 5, 9, 19 15:19, 20 16:16, 22, 23 17:2, 6 18:21, 23 Packets 15:17, 19, 22 16:1 Page 3:4, 11 8:1 40:10 70:6, 8 pages 80:10 painting 41:12 55:19 paper 5:15, 17, 20 12:25 22:7 50:19 73:4 Paper's 22:2 70:24 Paragraph 13:15 16:3 19:24 20:5 21:20 22:10 23:19 32:14 33:8 35:5, 7, 11 36:12 38:12, 16 45:9, 14, 15 50:14, 17 51:3, 13, 14, 17 54:17, 21 55:8, 11 56:22, 23 57:21 58:7, 12, 16, 20, 22 59:8 62:3, 8, 18, 23 63:13 64:11, 15, 16 65:8, 14 69:3, 8 70:6, 15 75:7 76:1, 13, 20, 23, 25 paragraphs 36:3 parameters 20:12, 19 pardon 13:13 16:22 54:24 part 14:20 21:15, 16, 18 28:1 30:6	64:14 70:22, 24 72:2, 3, 25 73:3 78:3 particular 20:6, 12 22:25 36:4 57:5, 9, 10, 14 58:17, 25 59:7 parties 4:4 35:1 68:1 75:1 79:1 80:14 parts 24:6 passage 20:15 31:18 33:16 45:20 66:24 passages 32:7 passively 58:6 PATENT 1:2, 4, 11, 14, 17 2:7 3:12 4:21, 22, 23 5:3, 4 10:9 13:7, 14 17:11, 19, 22 59:7 patents 4:19 5:19, 20 9:12, 13 12:20 patterns 35:15 pay 61:9 peo 29:10 people 10:23 33:20 34:6, 7 43:22 percent 67:14 Perfect 5:8 68:19 perform 32:15 33:3 57:18, 19 perimeter 28:9 perpetual 35:12 36:12, 13, 16 person 10:6, 18 22:8 33:23 41:21 63:25 77:8, 11 78:1 personal 33:12 personnel 32:17 33:1, 6 34:1 37:3	38:6 45:11 77:19 pertinent 71:20 Petitioner 1:8 2:1 phone 8:13 9:3 physical 26:7, 9 39:5, 10 42:10 43:13, 16, 22 44:1, 7 46:25 physically 45:2 picture 40:5, 23 56:3 64:20 65:3 70:4 pictures 55:18 piece 43:23, 24 73:1 pieces 28:15 58:13 72:1 place 37:11 44:11, 22, 24 50:9, 12, 13 placed 39:13 places 36:4 77:25 plain 12:4 plans 44:21 plant 26:23 29:15, 16 plants 26:15, 16 play 50:5 please 4:14 13:19 75:7 plurality 16:16, 21, 23 17:2, 6, 24 69:9 pod 41:10 Pods 41:2, 5 point 31:18 32:7 44:14 66:24 policy 13:8, 10, 23, 25 14:8, 17, 18, 25 15:3 68:15 69:11 70:20 71:8, 15, 16 72:23 pool 17:18 48:5 portion 75:25
--	--	---	---

POSITA 20:9 22:12 23:20, 25 24:9 possible 26:17 46:25 possibly 38:21 post 37:19 potential 75:14 potentially 19:12 72:20 power 26:15, 23 29:15 37:13 pre 17:1 preamble 16:15 17:1, 5 preclude 42:14 preparation 9:10 preparing 8:19 9:23 13:4, 20 27:10 present 35:1 68:1 75:1 79:1 pretty 10:24 36:23 39:6 52:11 56:12 PREVIOUS 3:12, 13, 14, 15 48:24 previously 6:7 12:23 27:2 68:7 Price 2:10 primary 29:3 30:16 34:2 primitive 72:9, 12, 18 printed 9:13 50:18 prior 6:7 12:23 27:2 58:4, 10, 21, 23 59:2 68:7 priorities 67:4 private 48:6, 11 49:18, 21, 24, 25 50:4 pro 29:20 proactively 35:13	probably 8:22 9:2, 24 27:12 47:25 proceeding 12:21 proceedings 4:1 35:2 50:21 68:2 75:2 79:2 proceeds 27:21 process 8:10 10:19 11:14 12:10 24:13, 15 25:4, 7, 12, 18, 21, 22, 25 26:2, 5, 10, 12, 21, 22 27:9 28:1, 17, 23 29:7, 11, 14, 20 30:12, 17, 18, 20, 23 31:4, 5, 21, 22 32:2 33:9, 10, 21 37:15, 19 41:9 51:13 55:17 56:15, 24 57:3, 6 60:7, 10 61:25 64:14 65:15, 23 66:5 68:24 69:16, 17, 22, 24 70:22 71:23 72:16, 19 75:15 processing 37:13, 20 produce 34:6 41:5 produced 31:2, 24 producing 34:10 product 75:21 PROFESSIONAL 1:21 80:4, 23 programic 39:4 programmable 39:4, 10 programmed 47:21 pronounce 68:10 properties 45:5 protect 16:17, 24 17:3, 7 27:22	28:6, 11, 18 29:7, 10, 11 30:1, 6 protected 13:9, 24 14:14 59:19 60:9 protecting 14:11 27:23, 24 28:5, 20, 23 protective 29:9 protocol 20:7, 8, 14 24:25 25:5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 18 32:24 41:21 42:9 43:13, 17 53:16, 19 protocols 24:19 25:11, 19 provide 18:16, 22 30:4 36:18 45:3 59:17 60:20, 24 61:1, 4, 6, 14 77:23, 24 provided 14:18 19:22 51:5 providers 30:4 provides 14:9 26:8 35:21 41:25 61:25 65:4 providing 23:14 28:4 36:20 61:5 65:19, 21 76:1 pseudo 70:11, 12 PSG 14:24 PSGs 15:5, 10 PUBLIC 1:21 49:20 50:4 80:5, 22 pull 67:7 pumps 26:7 55:19 purpose 25:14 57:17 push 39:25 put 37:15 38:10 47:24 49:16 50:9 53:8 54:14 71:2 73:11, 12	putting 37:17 48:2 73:13 < Q > question 13:18 24:6 63:7 64:7 71:9 77:11 questions 74:2 75:6 78:13 79:4 quick 75:5 quickly 18:8 quite 20:25 64:7 quote 33:23 53:13 < R > rapid 59:17 61:15 rationale 51:10 raw 31:2, 24 read 9:15, 16 50:1 54:21 55:7 67:1 75:12 reading 67:3, 7, 17 79:4 read-through 54:22 real 24:8 really 11:18 29:6 49:16 52:12, 13, 20 54:16 68:23 71:3, 20 72:1 74:7 reason 5:9 24:4, 13 recall 12:1 58:17, 24 receive 37:9, 10 received 22:13, 19 23:11, 21 24:3, 12 receives 14:25 15:3 60:19 receiving 13:7, 22 Recess 34:18 67:23 74:11 78:16
---	--	--	---

<p>recognizes 58:3, 21</p> <p>recollection 59:6 67:16</p> <p>record 4:15 34:14, 17 55:6 67:20, 22 74:8 78:14</p> <p>records 8:24</p> <p>redirect 74:5</p> <p>redo 24:7</p> <p>reduced 80:8</p> <p>Redwood 2:11</p> <p>REED 2:3</p> <p>refer 4:22 5:4 14:7 30:18 44:17</p> <p>reference 36:4 40:7 50:8 58:15, 18 60:3 68:21, 23</p> <p>references 51:20</p> <p>referencing 26:10</p> <p>referred 4:25 18:15 21:18 27:19 41:2</p> <p>referring 9:19 23:11 25:22 26:11 44:1, 7 51:14 72:17</p> <p>refers 21:17 22:21 26:18, 20</p> <p>regard 4:20</p> <p>REGISTERED 1:20 80:4, 23</p> <p>registering 63:12</p> <p>regular 29:4</p> <p>relative 42:17</p> <p>relevant 71:3 72:2</p> <p>reliability 77:10</p> <p>reliable 62:9 66:18, 23 67:11 77:6, 13 78:3, 4</p> <p>relied 73:1</p> <p>rely 73:1</p> <p>relying 58:7</p>	<p>remember 10:12 22:8 67:1</p> <p>REMOTE 2:1</p> <p>remotely 4:5, 6</p> <p>remove 23:21 24:1, 5, 10, 14</p> <p>Renders 7:23</p> <p>reorder 72:21</p> <p>reordering 71:25</p> <p>repeat 13:18 71:11</p> <p>rephrase 32:23 63:11 64:9</p> <p>REPORTER 1:21 3:4 6:16 43:1 49:6 51:24 59:20 72:6 80:5, 23</p> <p>representation 56:5</p> <p>representations 55:15</p> <p>represented 56:1</p> <p>require 16:16</p> <p>reread 70:13</p> <p>research 25:3 75:17, 20</p> <p>resource 34:7</p> <p>resources 78:11</p> <p>respective 48:6</p> <p>responds 9:17</p> <p>response 9:15 75:18</p> <p>responsibility 34:3</p> <p>responsible 41:3 48:13</p> <p>restate 21:2 24:5 63:9</p> <p>retirement 11:10</p> <p>review 9:4, 9</p> <p>reviewed 9:11</p> <p>reviewing 27:9 68:20, 25</p> <p>Right 5:2, 23 6:3, 11 7:7, 17 9:7 12:25 13:20 16:9 17:19 18:10</p>	<p>22:10 29:18 34:13, 14 38:14 44:15 51:2, 3 54:20, 23, 25 57:25 61:11, 18 62:8 65:11 68:18 69:7, 8 74:4 76:9 78:12</p> <p>risk 26:12 35:12, 19, 24 60:1 67:5</p> <p>role 30:16, 23, 25 34:2</p> <p>Roman 7:21</p> <p>rough 79:7, 9</p> <p>roughly 9:22 27:8 68:20</p> <p>route 41:23 42:11</p> <p>routeable 42:15</p> <p>router 41:17</p> <p>routing 41:25</p> <p>RPR 80:22</p> <p>rule 20:12, 19 21:19 23:17 47:1 62:12, 13, 14, 19 63:15, 23, 24 66:20 69:13, 23 72:5, 12</p> <p>rules 13:11 14:1, 9, 10, 16, 17, 21 15:1, 4, 5, 7, 10 20:6, 18, 23 21:3, 15 23:12, 15, 22 24:2, 11, 14, 16, 17 28:6, 7, 9 30:5 37:10, 15 58:4, 5 66:15, 16 67:4, 5 68:15 69:9, 11, 12, 22 70:10, 15, 20, 25 71:2, 4, 6, 7, 15, 22, 23, 25 72:1, 4, 8, 9, 15, 16, 19 73:2, 3, 6, 10, 19 77:24</p> <p>ruleset 62:24 63:5, 19 66:11</p>	<p>72:22 73:21 75:22</p> <p>rulesets 35:14, 22 59:18 60:20 61:15 66:6, 9</p> <p>run 34:7 38:8 39:21 56:11</p> <p>running 33:5 37:25 47:12</p> <p>runs 5:13</p> <p>< S ></p> <p>safe 31:14</p> <p>SALERNO 1:20 80:4, 22</p> <p>San 2:4</p> <p>sat 67:16</p> <p>saying 76:24, 25</p> <p>says 7:22 14:5 17:1 23:19 32:8 33:7 46:1 47:2 56:7 70:18</p> <p>scenario 60:14 61:14 66:10</p> <p>science 10:16 11:2</p> <p>scope 40:20 45:17, 25 46:9 50:7 52:10</p> <p>SCPI 20:9, 14 24:18, 24</p> <p>seal 80:18</p> <p>search 22:4</p> <p>Second 2:3 5:2 22:1 57:25 65:11 70:4, 14</p> <p>section 70:23</p> <p>sectors 41:3</p> <p>Secunia 75:19</p> <p>Secure 75:17</p> <p>securing 27:22</p> <p>security 13:7, 8, 9, 10, 23, 25 14:5, 9, 13, 17, 18, 19, 25 15:3, 19, 20 16:17, 22, 23 17:2, 7</p>
---	---	---	--

18:21, 23 30:12, 20 31:8 32:6, 9, 16, 20, 25 33:4 35:12, 15 38:6 75:15 78:7 see 11:24 12:6 16:19 17:14 18:1, 7 20:15 22:15 23:23 24:5 33:14 35:17 38:15 39:14 42:6 50:12 51:7 62:15 63:20 67:6 69:14 70:3 75:23 76:6, 9 seeing 47:15 seen 8:5, 9 segmentation 39:24 sense 29:9 66:19 sentence 16:19 32:14 sentences 75:11 separate 42:20, 21, 23 43:9 44:23 server 13:8, 24 14:7, 8, 15 serves 38:21 service 18:25 19:22 30:4 35:13, 20 36:13, 14, 21 37:2 61:22, 24 65:5 75:17 services 17:25 18:16, 22 19:6 23:4, 6 59:17 60:4 77:17 set 13:10 14:1 24:20 28:6, 7 53:24 71:5 72:19 shell 45:8 Shores 2:11 shorthand 80:8 should 6:14, 15, 19 7:24 50:18 showing 36:10	shown 39:1 45:12 62:12 63:15 shows 39:13 43:6 60:23 sic 5:20 8:11 side 26:7, 9 34:8 39:15 43:10, 11 49:21 sides 11:15, 20 signature 80:18 signing 79:4 simple 10:13 41:24 45:3 simplest 26:5 40:2 single 16:17, 24 17:3, 9 51:6, 15 54:15 67:8 site 45:12 sites 45:13 sitting 67:15, 17 six 8:21 22:22 76:11 size 17:17 skill 10:6, 18 77:8, 12 78:1 skilled 10:24 63:25 skim 12:13 58:1 59:11 65:12 skim-through 59:14 small 29:19 smart 32:15, 24 SMITH 2:3 smoothly 5:14 solve 40:25 Somebody 10:14, 15 something's 23:3 Somewhat 38:20 39:4 soon 12:24 sophisticated 47:22	sorry 6:8 7:1, 2 13:17 18:7, 8 20:25 24:5 43:19 45:14 61:21 63:20 sort 31:13 50:10 71:5, 19, 24 source 19:13, 16, 18, 19, 21 28:20 65:4 76:1 78:5, 10 sources 20:11, 19 22:21 28:19 59:17 60:19 61:14 62:9, 13 66:18, 23 67:11 75:13, 22 77:6, 10, 13 78:2 space 13:1 14:14 speaker 55:3 speaking 42:12 spec 48:16 special 20:13 specialized 20:14 specialty 23:1 specific 31:18 32:7 49:12 58:9, 15 66:24 77:20 Specifically 16:14 31:16 32:8 36:8 64:15, 16 66:22 69:22 73:6 75:10 76:12 specifies 31:10 48:15 specify 41:20 57:19 spend 27:9 68:20 spent 8:18 9:23 68:25 split 72:20 73:10 splitting 71:24 SPMS 14:25 spoke 48:10 ss 80:2 stack 41:21 42:15	Staff 37:22, 23 38:1, 3 stand 38:18 STANDARD 1:23 29:5 50:9 standing 79:6 standpoint 38:9 43:13, 17, 21 start 46:23 47:18 74:6 started 11:6 starting 70:5 starts 27:18 55:16 76:24, 25 STATE 1:22 4:15 16:15 20:5 22:11 32:4 35:11 51:3 58:3 62:8, 21 63:13 69:8 80:2, 6 stated 31:23 58:20 77:5 statement 22:15 58:19 59:1 62:15, 19 STATES 1:2 14:11 17:23 26:11 45:10 stating 61:10 station 56:19 stay 59:19 60:9 step 7:18 STIPULATIONS...P age 3:3 stood 25:6 storage 37:21 store 37:11 straightforward 10:25 Street 2:3 strike 15:14 struggling 49:18 study 11:6 subcomponents
---	--	--	--

41:4 subject 26:24 subnet 42:13 subscribe 61:22 subscriber 60:1, 18 61:8, 15, 16 subscribers 59:18 60:24 subscribes 60:5 Subscription 61:6, 9, 20 subset 40:23 60:19 Suite 2:3, 11 supplies 35:13 supporting 9:13 sure 5:13 31:1, 2 37:23 48:15 52:3 72:18 74:10 switch 45:3, 8 46:20, 24 47:2, 20, 22, 25 48:1, 4 switches 44:5 47:4, 20 switching 47:19 sworn 4:5, 9 system 25:22 27:20, 22, 24 28:23 29:11, 12, 14, 20, 22 30:10, 13, 19, 21, 24 31:12, 13, 17, 21, 22 32:17, 19, 24 33:5, 10, 21 35:15 37:18 39:22 40:17 41:12 50:4 55:16, 17, 21 56:16, 24 60:7, 10 62:1 65:15, 23 66:5 systems 26:3, 6, 11, 12, 18, 19, 20 30:15, 16 41:18 55:19 56:11 57:3 < T >	table 7:25 17:18 62:12, 19, 24 63:5, 15, 19 take 12:14, 18 27:13 30:24 34:14 44:11, 22, 24 50:13 57:25 66:25 67:2, 21 69:16 70:3 TAKEN 1:20 34:18 67:23 74:11 78:16 80:7 takes 13:1 talk 7:19 19:11 25:9, 10, 11, 18, 19 26:21 28:2 34:4 38:23 43:8, 11 44:6 46:17 47:3 52:18 53:14 66:22 67:10 71:21 72:14 talked 44:1 talking 7:16, 17 18:20, 21 22:20 33:18, 20 43:25 52:15 55:16, 20 70:8 71:21 72:21 talks 19:13 25:25 28:16, 17 29:3 31:6 32:4 33:17, 19 38:25 39:12, 15, 20, 25 51:13, 15, 16 55:24 66:17 67:3, 8 70:7, 14, 15, 24 71:1, 19, 25 72:19 73:9 TCP 20:9 21:11 24:18 42:9 TCP/IP 34:10 44:2 teaches 69:9 73:2, 5 teaching 10:23 11:5 71:4 Team 75:18	techniques 58:4, 21, 22, 23 59:2 68:16 TECHNOLOGIES 1:7 46:25 75:18 tell 9:7 term 10:6 13:6, 22 18:12 26:4 52:20 53:21, 23 54:8, 9 terms 10:14 11:20, 23, 25 12:1, 3, 5, 8 26:5 52:2, 11 testified 4:10 testimony 5:10 80:7, 11 TESTIMONY..... Pa ge 3:4 text 63:23 Tha 8:4 Thank 7:3 18:10 24:8 64:10 68:11 77:3 thanks 78:12 theoretically 61:8 thereof 80:16 thief 66:12 thing 8:4 12:25 25:15 27:19 31:11 34:4 things 9:12 10:10 11:18 18:17 21:9 26:8 28:18 33:19 47:2 52:16 53:22 73:11, 12 think 9:19 10:15 22:22 26:5 31:22 37:7 40:4 41:2 43:23 70:12 third 29:16 thread 23:2	threat 20:10, 18, 23 21:3 22:19, 24 23:3, 4, 11, 14, 16, 21 24:1, 3, 10, 12 27:19 28:7 29:22 35:15 60:19 61:5 65:14, 22 66:1 75:13 threats 18:18 30:3 35:15 38:5 59:22 75:15 three 23:8 29:19 40:5 throwing 7:4 TIME 1:23 7:15 8:8, 12 9:3 10:8, 11, 18 11:8 12:18 16:5 24:8 27:8 34:14 50:21 67:2 68:20, 25 78:6 times 39:23 today 4:18, 20 5:10, 15, 24 6:17 9:10, 11, 23 25:9 27:10 top 38:10 to-wit 4:2 35:2 68:2 75:2 79:2 tra 49:20 track 11:12 tracker 17:24 18:15 tracking 19:5 TRADEMARK 1:2 traffic 14:11 15:8, 10 27:23 58:6 transcript 6:17 79:7 Transcription 80:9, 11 translate 49:25 translation 48:19, 25 49:3, 13, 17
---	--	---	---

<p>50:10, 12 translator 49:19 transparent 41:24 traversing 14:12 15:8, 11, 12, 15, 20, 25 16:1 TRIAL 1:4 trouble 55:4 true 65:4 80:10 truly 23:2 trust 78:8 trustworthiness 77:9 trustworthy 62:9 77:6, 13 truthful 5:10 try 24:7 36:5 40:21 42:18 trying 29:7 54:6, 7 turn 16:3 21:20 38:12, 13 50:14 54:17 57:21 59:8 62:3 69:3 turning 8:6 Twin 2:11 twisted 44:8 two 5:17 7:11 9:11 15:18 16:8 39:17 40:3, 4 41:12, 17 43:6 44:12, 21, 22 48:20, 24 49:15 54:21 57:25 65:20 72:1 type 20:8 25:5, 7, 19 26:17 27:25 38:6 42:10 46:23 49:23 61:19 73:14 types 20:7, 18, 24 21:4 25:20 26:19 77:16 typewriting 80:9 typical 31:5 49:22</p>	<p>Typically 30:23 34:9 38:2 55:17 typo 7:21, 23, 25 < U > U.S 1:14 4:21 5:3 UDP 20:9 21:11 24:18 ultimately 64:21 understand 4:17 7:3 10:25 38:3, 4 64:7 69:20 77:4 78:2 understanding 10:5, 7 11:13 13:5, 21 14:3, 24 15:12 17:5 18:11, 14 19:8 23:25 24:9, 24 25:4 26:2 27:14, 16 29:21 32:18 33:16 35:19, 24 36:7, 13, 16 38:18 46:6 51:22 52:3, 5, 7 53:19 54:1 55:10 56:8, 23 63:7 69:17, 24 75:25 76:19 77:8 understood 10:9 20:10 64:1 77:12 unfortunately 43:9 unified 27:19 28:3 29:22 uniquely 20:11 UNITED 1:2 University 75:19 update 39:25 66:9 updated 35:14, 21 60:20 updates 39:22 59:18 61:15 updating 66:6</p>	<p>upload 5:16 6:1 68:14 use 5:24 39:8 41:22 45:21 49:16, 20 50:4 52:21 53:10 56:2 59:3 64:2 72:18 73:24 78:8 80:9 user 56:2 57:4, 9, 14, 17, 18 62:11 63:14 75:19 users 75:21 uses 27:22 29:2 60:20 usually 19:11 UTMS 29:22 30:7, 10 32:19 UTMS-configured 35:13, 21 56:7, 8 UTMS- configuring 33:8 < V > valid 48:9 78:9 variable 33:10 Various 8:12 9:13 20:22 37:14 56:4 varying 20:6 vending 26:22 vendor 47:23 vendors 77:21 versus 33:20 48:17 52:6 vetted 77:22 View 7:22 53:8 viewed 77:9 VIII 7:22 visual 36:10 55:14 56:5 VRS 75:17 vulnerabilities 29:5 35:16 Vulnerability 75:17</p>	<p>< W > Wade 4:16 WAN 54:12, 15 want 5:12 12:13 34:14 36:5 39:23, 24 60:23 76:18 wanted 46:16 77:10 way 5:13 39:5, 10 40:24 53:6, 15, 21 66:19 80:13 ways 47:5 73:9 web 25:10 weeks 9:24 Well 5:23 10:20 11:9 12:10, 20 16:7 17:1 18:19 22:20 26:22 27:18 29:24 30:8 36:9 37:19 38:13 44:17 47:14 50:8 57:8 63:21 64:19 70:5 76:17 79:9 went 12:10 We're 7:4, 16 26:10, 24 34:13 48:23 53:21 54:7, 12 55:18, 20 67:17 71:21 WHEREOF 80:17 widely 20:6 widgets 31:1, 24 34:6, 10 41:5 wire 39:14 43:23 wireless 47:13 wires 44:8 WITHIN 1:21 35:16 80:5 without 32:16 33:4, 12, 19, 22 47:15, 16 61:6 witness 4:5 74:3 80:12, 17 won't 50:11</p>
--	---	---	--

<p>Wood 7:22 word 27:22 78:8 wording 11:16 words 70:18 work 34:5 37:14 41:5 48:18 53:18 72:2 worked 10:10 11:18 works 5:7 6:2 40:17 79:8 workstation 33:12 workstations 30:11 56:15 worry 57:5 would 7:15, 20 8:7, 18 9:22 10:9, 11 11:24 12:6, 7 13:18 14:7 19:10, 21 20:9, 21, 22, 23 21:7, 16, 18 22:11, 12 23:4, 8, 15, 16, 17, 20 24:1, 4, 10, 13, 20 25:2, 3, 5, 9 26:18 29:4, 6 32:12 36:2 37:7, 8, 9, 11, 13 38:10 40:6 41:22 42:2, 11, 12, 13, 22 43:14 45:3, 20 46:7, 10, 13, 23 47:11, 16, 18 48:9, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18, 25 49:3, 11 50:5, 13 52:20 54:8, 13, 15 55:15 56:18, 20 60:1, 3, 6, 13, 18, 22 61:16, 19, 20, 21 62:10 63:9, 13, 21, 25 65:11 66:5, 8, 19 70:9, 12 72:18 73:17, 23, 24 75:6, 10 76:3 77:7, 9, 12 78:2, 6, 7, 11</p>	<p>wouldn't 53:19 54:14 Wow 11:7 write 64:21, 24 writing 8:10 27:9 68:24 wrote 65:3 < Y > Yeah 4:16, 19 6:8, 9 7:12, 21 8:12 9:2, 6 11:11, 19 12:11 14:5, 20 15:10 16:1 17:1 18:5 19:4, 10, 13 20:20 21:13 23:13 24:4, 8, 14, 23 25:23 26:20 27:18 29:2, 18 30:8, 14, 17 33:15 39:12 43:14, 19 51:20 52:6, 11 54:3, 4 57:17 58:24 59:23 60:23 61:11 63:10, 12 64:13 66:25 70:5, 14 71:14 73:17 76:23 years 10:22 11:2, 5, 8, 10 22:9 Yep 5:5 6:2, 8, 10 7:9 12:17 13:16 20:16 21:23 23:24 27:6 35:10 40:11, 13 44:19 50:24 51:1, 8 57:23 65:10 68:18 69:15 75:9 Yes 4:24 5:1, 7 6:24 7:9 9:8, 21 11:9 12:24 13:2, 19 14:2, 23 16:10, 11, 13, 20 17:9, 21 18:9, 10 19:21 20:3 22:16 23:15</p>	<p>24:13 35:18, 23 38:17 40:15 43:19 45:18 48:3 51:8, 21 55:9 58:13 59:11, 25 62:2, 16 63:12, 17 65:21, 25 68:18 69:2 75:24 76:2, 5, 8, 14 York 2:8 < Z > ZOOM 1:19, 24 8:13, 16 10:3</p>
---	---	---