

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2 -----
3 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

4 -----
5 KEYSIGHT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

6 Petitioner,

7 V.

8 CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC.,

9 Patent Owner.

10 -----
11 IPR2023-00448

12 Patent 11,012,474
13 -----

14
15 CORRECTED TRANSCRIPT OF

16 DEPOSITION OF:

17 MICHAEL GOODRICH, PH.D.

18 TUESDAY, JANUARY 16, 2024

19 9:00 A.M.
20

21 Reported by:

22 GINA M. CLOUD, CSR No. 6315

23 JOB No. 6411820
24

25 PAGES 1 - 90

Page 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KEYSIGHT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

IPR2023-00445

Patent 10,785,266

DEPOSITION OF:
MICHAEL GOODRICH, PH.D.
TUESDAY, JANUARY 16, 2024

Page 2

1 Deposition of MICHAEL GOODRICH, PH.D., the
2 witness, taken on behalf of the Petitioner, on
3 Tuesday, January 16, 2024, 9:00 A.M., via Virtual
4 Zoom, pursuant to NOTICE.

5
6 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

7
8 FOR PETITIONER:

9 REED SMITH LLP
10 BY: JONATHAN DETRIXHE, ESQ.
11 101 Second Street
12 Suite 1800
13 San Francisco, California 94105
14 jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com

15
16 FOR PATENT OWNER:

17 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL
18 LLP
19 BY: JEFFREY PRICE, ESQ.
20 JENNA FULLER, ESQ.
21 333 Twin Dolphin Drive
22 Suite 700
23 Redwood City, California 94065
24 jprice@kramerlevin.com

25 ALSO PRESENT:

 Tyme Anderson, Paralegal

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

WITNESS

MICHAEL GOODRICH, PH.D.

PAGE

EXAMINATION BY MR. DETRIXHE 5

EXAMINATION BY MR. PRICE 87

EXHIBITS

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit 4	United States Patent No. US 10,785,266 B2, Rogers et al., dated September 22, 2020, 30 pages	63
Exhibit 5	United States Patent No. US 11,012,474 B2, Rogers et al., dated May 18, 2021, 37 pages	71
Exhibit 1005	United States Patent No. US 2011/0072506 A1, Law et al., dated March 24, 2011, 43 pages	8
Exhibit 2002	Declaration of Dr. Michael T. Goodrich in Support of Patent Owner's Response for U.S. Patent 10,785,266, 83 pages	10
Exhibit 2012	Declaration of Dr. Michael T. Goodrich in Support of Patent Owner's Response for U.S. Patent 11,012,474, 101 pages	10

1 Laguna Niguel, California, Tuesday, January 16, 2024

2 9:00 a.m.

3
4 MICHAEL GOODRICH, PH.D.,
5 having been first duly sworn, was
6 examined and testified as follows:

7
8 EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

10 Q. Good morning, Dr. Goodrich. 09:02:44

11 Could you please state your full name for
12 the record.

13 A. Michael Truman Goodrich.

14 Q. I would like to begin by going over some
15 ground rules. Just now you've taken an oath to 09:02:54
16 testify truthfully and although we're remote in this
17 deposition, that oath has the same force and effect
18 as if you were in a court of law.

19 Do you understand?

20 A. Yes. 09:03:06

21 Q. We'll be having a conversation. I'll be
22 asking you questions. Your job is to answer them,
23 defense counsel may state an objection, but you are
24 still required to answer the question. The only
25 exception is if counsel specifically instructs you 09:03:19

Page 5

1 not to answer a question.

2 Do you understand?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Also it's very important for both of us not
5 to talk over each other because the court reporter is 09:03:28
6 trying to write down everything that everyone says
7 today and it is difficult to type when two people are
8 talking at the same time.

9 Fair enough?

10 A. I'll do my best. 09:03:41

11 Q. I will as well, thank you.

12 Also it's important to respond audibly to
13 each question so that your answer may be written
14 down, as opposed to just nodding your head.

15 Is that okay? 09:03:57

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Any reason why you cannot give your best
18 testimony today?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you meet with anyone to prepare for your 09:04:08
21 deposition today?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Who did you meet with?

24 A. I met on Zoom with Jeff Price and Jenna
25 Fuller yesterday. 09:04:18

Page 6

1 Q. Was anyone else present?

2 A. No.

3 Q. How long was your meeting yesterday?

4 A. Roughly three hours.

5 Q. Apart from that meeting, did you have any 09:04:28
6 other meetings to prepare for your deposition today?

7 A. No.

8 Q. You submitted a declaration in connection
9 with IPR proceeding number 2023-445 which relates to
10 the '266 Patent, correct? 09:04:49

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. You also submitted a declaration in
13 connection with IPR proceeding number 2023-448 which
14 relates to the '474 Patent, correct?

15 A. Yes. 09:05:04

16 Q. What was your process for writing your
17 declarations?

18 A. My process was to study the prior art
19 references, study the patents, review the materials,
20 including expert declarations that were submitted 09:05:21
21 that now I am rebutting, perform an analysis, make
22 suggestions with attorneys and then in cooperation
23 with the attorneys, prepared my declarations.

24 Q. Did counsel send you an initial draft of
25 your declarations? 09:05:47

Page 7

1 A. There was some initial drafts that came from
2 counsel, which I then edited and made it so that
3 those declarations comprise my opinions in these
4 matters.

5 Q. Approximately, how many hours did you spend 09:06:04
6 editing your declaration relating to the '266 Patent?

7 A. I don't recall the time I spent on that and
8 my billings were mixed with a number of matters for
9 that client, so I believe it would be difficult to
10 determine that. 09:06:21

11 Q. Same answer for your declaration regarding
12 the '474 Patent?

13	A. Yes, that is correct.
----	--------------------------

14	Q. Ms. Anderson, could you please load into	
15	Exhibit Share what's previously been marked as	09:06:37
16	Exhibit 1005, which I understand is the Law	
17	reference.	

18 Mr. Goodrich, please let me know when you
19 see it in Exhibit Share.

20 (The document referred to was marked as
21 Exhibit 1005 for identification and is attached
22 hereto.)

23 THE WITNESS: I have the Law reference in
24 front of me.

25 BY MR. DETRIXHE: 09:07:46

1 Q. Could you please turn to paragraph 52 of
2 Law.
3 A. I am there.
4 Q. I would like to direct your attention, it's
5 a fairly long paragraph midway down sentence 09:08:07
6 beginning with "Each firewall 146." Let me know when
7 you see that sentence.
8 A. I don't see that sentence. Could you remind
9 me again where it is at?
10 Q. We're in paragraph -- actually sorry. I 09:08:52
11 meant to say paragraph 51, so right above it. There
12 is a sentence beginning, "Each firewall 146 or device
13 134."
14 A. I see it, yes.
15 Q. I'll read the full sentence: "Each firewall 09:09:16
16 146 or device 134, 149, 152 may include a ruleset,
17 for example, rulesets 147a, 148a, 147b, 148b, 151a,
18 151b, 153, defining the communication that may be
19 received or processed by the UTMS-configured device."
20 Do you see that? 09:09:49
21 A. I do.
22 Q. And then the following sentence, skipping
23 ahead a little bit, it refers to rulesets 147 and 158
24 that may include a global set of rules. Do you see
25 that? 09:10:01

Page 9

1 A. Not the way you said that.

2 Q. What do you see?

3 A. I see rulesets 147 and 151.

4 Q. Right. Okay. Fair enough.

5 Is it your understanding that ruleset 147 is 09:10:18
6 the ruleset implemented by firewall 146?

7 A. Could you please upload copies of my
8 declarations?

9 Q. Sure.

10 Ms. Anderson, please load into the Exhibit 09:10:34
11 Share Dr. Goodrich's declarations. There is one in
12 proceeding 445, that's Exhibit 2012. And then there
13 is another one in proceeding ending in -448 that is
14 Exhibit 2002. They both should have Goodrich doc in
15 the title of those exhibits. 09:11:02

16 (The document referred to was marked as
17 Exhibit 2012 for identification and is attached
18 hereto.)

19 (The document referred to was marked as
20 Exhibit 2002 for identification and is attached
21 hereto.)

22 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

23 Q. Let me know when you see them, Dr. Goodrich.

24 A. I just got the first one. Now I have the
25 second one. 09:12:21

Page 10

1 Q. My question was: Would you agree that
2 ruleset 147 referenced in paragraph 51 is the ruleset
3 implemented by firewall 146 from paragraph 51?

4 MR. PRICE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: Could you please repeat the 09:17:47
6 question?

7 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

8 Q. I would like the record to reflect that
9 several minutes have passed. It shouldn't be a
10 difficult question. 09:17:54

11 The question is, is it your understanding
12 that the ruleset 147 is the ruleset implemented by
13 firewall 146?

14 MR. PRICE: Objection to the testifying on
15 the record. 09:18:07

16 THE WITNESS: So to answer your question,
17 the ruleset 146, 147 that is referenced here in
18 paragraph 51, person of ordinary skill in the art
19 would understand that this is referring to
20 generically either the ruleset 147a or 147b, because 09:18:25
21 here in paragraph 51, it leads off with the reference
22 to figure 1a and this discussion in the paragraph is
23 still in the context of figure 1a.

24 And then when we go to figure 1a, we see
25 that it does not have anything annotated simply as 09:18:56

Page 11

1 147 or 146.

2 Instead it has a firewall 146a and a ruleset
3 147a that is coupled to it and likewise, it has a
4 firewall 146b and ruleset 147b that is coupled to it.

5 So here in paragraph 51, when it is 09:19:24
6 referring to rule sets 147 and 151, it's referring
7 generically in this case for 147, to either ruleset
8 147a or 147b.

9 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

10 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich. 09:19:45

11 Looking at figure 1a, you just mentioned
12 that rulesets 147a and 147b are coupled to firewalls
13 146a and 146b respectively. So in this case, is it
14 your understanding that firewalls 146a implements
15 rule -- ruleset 147a and firewall 146b implements 09:20:18
16 ruleset 147b?

17 A. Yes, that is correct.

18 Q. Scroll down to figure 3 which includes the
19 same components 146a, 147a, 146b, 147b. Is it your
20 same understanding that here, firewall 146a 09:20:52
21 implements ruleset 147a and firewall 146b implements
22 ruleset 147b?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Also back to paragraph 51, the Law describes
25 what it calls a unified threat management system, 09:22:29

Page 12

1 UTMS, and describes various UTMS-configured network
2 devices, e.g., firewalls 146a, 146b.

3 Do you see that description, Dr. Goodrich,
4 near the top of paragraph 51?

5 A. Yes, I do. 09:23:06

6 Q. Would you agree that Law is describing the
7 firewalls 146a and 146b as examples of
8 UTMS-configured network devices?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. I would like you to scroll down to paragraph 09:23:31
11 66 now.

12 A. I am there.

13 Q. So going down a few sentences, do you see a
14 sentence that states: "The UTMS-configured device or
15 entity may receive the ruleset data"? 09:23:51

16 A. I see that, yes.

17 Q. The ruleset data that's received, that would
18 include the ruleset data that is initially
19 implemented by the UTMS-configured device, correct?

20 MR. PRICE: Objection. 09:24:13

21 THE WITNESS: Could you please repeat the
22 question?

23 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

24 Q. Yes. The ruleset data that is received
25 would include the ruleset data that is initially 09:26:19

Page 13

1 implemented by the UTMS-configured device, correct?

2 A. I disagree.

3 Q. Why is that?

4 A. I speak to this in my declaration for the

5 IPR 2023-00445, for example, in paragraph 92 where I 09:26:36

6 explain that the initial alleged dynamic security

7 policy is not received, but is instead put into the

8 system by a graphic user interface by a human

9 controller and that instead there are updates that

10 are received instead. 09:27:13

11 Q. You would agree that here in paragraph 66,

12 Law discloses that the UTMS-configured device may

13 receive ruleset data, correct?

14 A. I agree with that, but I disagree that

15 includes an initial set of rules. 09:27:54

16 Q. I'll get there in a second. Is it your

17 position that the system of Law would not be able to

18 operate without a human operator serving as an

19 intermediary between the received ruleset data and

20 the ruleset data that is implemented on the mass 09:28:19

21 configured devices?

22 MR. PRICE: Objection, foundation.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't understand that

24 question. You're asking about something that seems

25 quite far away from that Law is teaching. 09:28:35

Page 14

1 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

2 Q. Law right here directly states that the
3 UTMS-configured device may receive the ruleset data
4 as we've just acknowledged.

5 So my question is before that -- and you 09:28:47
6 would agree that Law also discloses implementing the
7 received ruleset data, correct?

8 MR. PRICE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you're
10 referring to now as the received ruleset data. 09:29:01

11 If by that you mean data that is an update
12 to the user input ruleset data, then yes, that is
13 received. That's not the initial data.

14 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

15 Q. Are the rulesets 147 received? 09:29:23

16 A. Do you have a place in Law or my declaration
17 where you would like me to address that question?

18 Q. Yes, just continue reading the sentence
19 here. "The UTMS-configured device or entity may
20 receive the ruleset data," and then just below that, 09:29:43
21 it refers to accessing the ruleset data 147.

22 MR. PRICE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: What is your question?

24 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

25 Q. Ruleset data 147 is received by the 09:30:01

Page 15

1 UTMS-configured device, correct?

2 A. I don't see that here. That doesn't follow
3 from these sentences.

4 Q. So is it your testimony that Law does not
5 disclose receiving the ruleset data 147? 09:30:16

6 A. I don't see that here. If there is another
7 place in my declaration or in Law you would like to
8 paint me to, I would be happy to look at that.

9 Q. In your opinion having read Law, where do
10 you think the ruleset data 147 comes from? 09:30:32

11 A. I speak to this for example, in my
12 paragraph 151 where I explain: "While an operator
13 with intimate knowledge of the process control system
14 creates the initial rulesets, third party IT
15 personnel are still required to update the rulesets 09:31:02
16 when new threats are discovered or upgrades to
17 software components of the system are implemented."

18 And then it gives cites to Law to support
19 that conclusion.

20 Q. Turn to paragraph 88 of Law. 09:31:39

21 A. I am there.

22 Q. The second sentence states: "With reference
23 to figure 3, a third-party, cyber security risk
24 management Firm 305, such as Wurldtech Security Inc.,
25 of Vancouver, BC Canada, or other entity, may collect 09:32:25

Page 16

1 threat data 310 from a plurality of various sources
2 315 to construct the various rulesets 147, 148, 151,
3 153, validate the rulesets, verify, and distribute
4 the rulesets to the UTMS-configured firewalls 146a,
5 146b, UTMS-configured access devices 149c, other 09:32:54
6 UTMS-configured network devices 146, and/or UTMS
7 field devices 134."

8 So isn't it true that here in paragraph 88,
9 Law explicitly states that the Firm 305, quote,
10 "distributes the rulesets, including ruleset 147 to 09:33:30
11 the UTMS-configured firewall 146a and 146b"?

12 A. I'm sorry. What was the question?

13 Q. That sentence of Law in paragraph 88 that
14 we've just read, explicitly states that the Firm 305
15 distributes the rulesets 147 for firewalls 146a and 09:33:54
16 b?

17 (Technical issue.)

18 MR. DETRIXHE: Back on the record. We had a
19 bit of a disconnection on Zoom, but looks like
20 everyone is back. 09:41:41

21 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

22 Q. For clarity, I'll repeat the pending
23 question which is we're looking here in paragraph 88
24 of Law and it explicitly states that cyber security
25 risk management Firm 305 constructs the various 09:41:59

Page 17

1 rulesets 147 and distributes the rulesets to the
2 UTMS-configured firewalls 146a, 146b; is that
3 correct, Dr. Goodrich?

4 A. This must be understood in the context of
5 the overall discussion which begins already 09:42:21
6 previously with paragraph 87 which explains that the
7 operators and other plant personnel monitor and
8 maintain the network security of the process control
9 system 100, and likewise, now when we're reading in
10 paragraph 88, this also should be read in the context 09:42:45
11 of the first sentence of paragraph 88 which explains
12 that as previously described, the various rulesets,
13 e.g., the rulesets 147, 148, 151, 153 associated with
14 the UTMS-configured network devices 146, 134, 149,
15 152 require updates to remain effective against 09:43:16
16 network threats.

17 And then likewise, when we go to
18 paragraph 90, paragraph 90 says: "Because the
19 UTMS-configured network device 134, 146, 149, 152 is
20 configured as a process variable (as described 09:43:43
21 above), the rulesets 148, 147, 151, 153 may be
22 configure able by an operator or other personnel from
23 a graphic display 129 at a work station 120."

24 So when a person of ordinary skill in the
25 art is reading paragraph 88 and is reading this word 09:44:08

Page 18

1 "distributed," that person of ordinary skill in the
2 art would understand this is in reference to the
3 updates to the ruleset, such as the rulesets 147.

4 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

5 So just to make sure I understand your 09:44:25
6 opinion correctly, you're saying that there is a
7 difference between updates and the ruleset 147 and
8 you're saying that the ruleset 147 is not actually
9 distributed to the firewalls 146a and b; is that
10 correct? 09:44:51

11 MR. PRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes
12 testimony.

13 THE WITNESS: No, that's not what I'm
14 saying. Instead, what I'm saying is I, for example,
15 explained in my paragraph 51 and other paragraphs 09:44:58
16 that follow in my declaration, the initial ruleset
17 147 is created using the graphical user interface by
18 the operator, because as Law makes clear, these
19 systems are protecting the very specialized
20 equipment, such as for nuclear power plants and the 09:45:20
21 operator knows that environment better than anyone.

22 But then in paragraph 88, it explains that
23 updates to those rulesets, there could be components
24 that refer to things that obviously wouldn't be
25 needing updating, hence the updates to those rulesets 09:45:39

Page 19

1 can be provided using this Firm 305.

2 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

3 Q. Does the Firm 305 distribute rulesets, yes
4 or no?

5 A. It distributes updates to rulesets. So if 09:45:57
6 by "ruleset," you mean an update to an existing
7 ruleset, the answer is yes. If your question is
8 asking about an initial ruleset 147, then the answer
9 is no.

10 Q. When Law refers to ruleset 147, is it your 09:46:10
11 opinion that that reference to ruleset 147 is only a
12 reference to an update to the ruleset 147?

13 A. No, that's also not what I'm saying.

14 So instead what I'm saying is that
15 understanding what Law is referring to, of course 09:46:34
16 when it's referring to ruleset 147, requires context.

17 In the context of paragraph 88, it's
18 referring to the updates to the rulesets.

19 In paragraph 90, it's referring to the
20 initial putting into the system of ruleset by the 09:46:50
21 operator.

22 Q. Are updates to rulesets, rulesets themselves
23 or is there something that would be required to
24 transform those updates into a ruleset?

25 A. I'm not understanding what you mean by that 09:47:13

Page 20

1 question.

2 Q. In your answer you just provided, you
3 referenced updates to rulesets that are what the Firm
4 305 is providing. And so my question is are the
5 updates to rulesets provided by Firm 305, are those 09:47:25
6 rulesets themselves?

7 A. Is there a place in my declaration or in Law
8 where you feel that that is addressed? I don't
9 recall having addressed that question.

10 Q. I'm asking is an update to a ruleset, a 09:47:44
11 ruleset?

12 A. Just to be clear, there is no place in my
13 declaration where you feel I've addressed that
14 question?

15 Q. I'm asking for you to interpret the 09:48:02
16 reference right here, the paragraph we're focusing on
17 in paragraph 88, which I understand your testimony
18 that this is referring to updates to rulesets.

19 And my question to you is whether an update
20 to a ruleset is a ruleset? 09:48:19

21 A. I would answer it the way I did before --

22 MR. PRICE: Objection, incomplete
23 hypothetical.

24 THE WITNESS: An update to a ruleset is just
25 that. It's an update to a ruleset. That there is an 09:48:35

Page 21

1 existing ruleset, that then the update is providing
2 new data to modify existing rules or configurations
3 in the rules with new parameters.

4 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

5 Q. If I understand you correctly, the update to 09:48:55
6 the ruleset provides data that would need to be
7 combined with an existing ruleset in order to be a
8 new ruleset; is that right?

9 A. That sounds very close to what I'm saying.

10 Q. Okay. I think I understand you. Thank you. 09:49:11
11 How would a person of skill in the art
12 reading Law be able to understand -- strike that.

13 As we initially discussed, the rulesets
14 147a, 147b are implemented on the firewalls 146a and
15 146b, correct? 09:49:46

16 A. I agree.

17 Q. And so when Law is discussing these rulesets
18 147, 147a, 147b, how would a person of skill in the
19 art know whether that ruleset 147, 147a, 147b, refers
20 to a complete ruleset or simply data that would need 09:50:11
21 to be combined with other data to form a ruleset?

22 A. It's easy from the context. For example,
23 the context in paragraph 88 is clear that this is
24 referring to updates to the rulesets, so this is what
25 it's referring to when it talks about 147 here, this 09:50:36

Page 22

1 distribution is distributing updates to the rulesets.
2 It's simple from the context. That's how a person of
3 ordinary skill would understand it.

4 That's why I also referenced paragraph 90,
5 which refers back to the earlier paragraphs and 09:50:49
6 explains that the operator using the graphical user
7 interface, enters the rulesets.

8 Q. I would like to ask you a hypothetical. In
9 scenario A, which I understand to be your view on
10 Law, there is update data that's provided by Firm 305 09:51:54
11 which is used maybe in conjunction with other data to
12 form a ruleset.

13 And then let's say scenario B which is how I
14 would interpret paragraph 88, the complete ruleset is
15 distributed by Firm 305. 09:52:19

16 And my question is, suppose that those two
17 interpretations of Law are -- suppose I were to
18 credit your interpretation of Law as one way of
19 reading Law, wouldn't it have been obvious to a
20 person of skill in the art, that the distributed 09:52:47
21 rulesets could be the complete ruleset?

22 MR. PRICE: Objection, form.

23 THE WITNESS: So first, I don't agree with
24 your characterization of my opinion as
25 hypothetical A, but even under your interpretation of 09:53:08

Page 23

1 hypothetical A, I disagree it would be obvious to do
2 the scenario of the hypothetical B, and the reason
3 for that is that stepping back a bit, Law is teaching
4 about these very specialized systems that have
5 mission critical components that are very 09:53:36
6 specialized, such as for nuclear power plants, hence
7 having a generic ruleset that would apply to all
8 scenarios is not feasible.

9 Instead, what is required in specialized
10 rulesets that are created and entered by operator who 09:54:00
11 understand their systems intimately and then there
12 can be a Firm 305 that can provide updates when those
13 rules are referring to, let's say commercial
14 off-the-shelf software such as windows. That can be
15 updated from Firm 305, but the specialized rules 09:54:18
16 cannot.

17 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

18 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

19 I believe you mentioned this a little bit
20 earlier that Law discloses an embodiment where an 09:54:40
21 operator can configure the rulesets, including the
22 updated rulesets that I received and so I just want
23 to make sure I understand your opinion.

24 So let's say when the system of Law receives
25 the ruleset data referenced in paragraph 88 for an 09:55:08

Page 24

1 update, are you saying that an operator in Law would
2 be required to receive that update data and configure
3 the firewall with that update data in order for the
4 updated ruleset to take effect?

5 A. So if you look at Law now in paragraph 91, I 09:55:39
6 believe it addresses this question and it says:
7 "Additionally, each operator may have integrated
8 control over ruleset updates directly from their
9 respective operator displays."

10 Q. So did you interpret that sentence in Law to 09:56:09
11 require an operator be present to receive and
12 initiate enforcement of the updated ruleset?

13 A. I believe that that shows that not only is
14 there an operator who has control over and would be
15 facilitating the update, but as I explained in my 09:56:32
16 declaration, the updates themselves are also coming
17 from people. They're not an automatic update.

18 Q. Turn to paragraph 83 and look at the last
19 sentence and let me know when you're there?

20 A. I'm there. 09:57:29

21 Q. It states: "Detection of certain network
22 conditions may also trigger an automatic update of
23 the rulesets 147, 148, 151, 153 or an alarm to prompt
24 a user to perform a manual update of the rulesets or
25 other action to fully secure the system 100." 09:57:50

1 Isn't it correct, Dr. Goodrich, that this
2 sentence distinguishes between automatic updates and
3 manual updates?

4 A. I think you have to be clear here and a
5 person of ordinary skill in the art would understand 09:58:28
6 that here, this is referring to automatic in the
7 sense that it's triggering the requirement of an
8 update on the device and that this is different than
9 not disclosing that the Firm 305 is automatically
10 generating and sending the rules to the device and I 09:58:49
11 explained this, for example, in my paragraph 83 in my
12 declaration.

13 Q. Still focusing on the last sentence of
14 paragraph 83, in your view, what is the difference
15 between the triggering of an automatic update of the 09:59:06
16 rulesets 147 or the performing of a manual update of
17 the rulesets?

18 A. So again, to be clear, this is on the device
19 again, not from the perspective of the Firm 305. So
20 on the device, there can be an automatic update 09:59:32
21 trigger or an alarm to prompt the user to perform a
22 manual update, but this does not imply that there is
23 an automatic generation of rulesets.

24 Instead this is just an automatic triggering
25 of an update or an automatic alarm to perform a 09:59:50

1 manual update.

2 Q. I'm still a bit confused. Quoting from this
3 sentence, it references triggering an automatic
4 update of the rulesets. So are you saying that an
5 automatic update of the rulesets doesn't actually 10:00:16
6 update the rulesets?

7 A. No, that is mischaracterizing what I'm
8 saying.

9 Q. What are you saying?

10 A. Again, to be clear, this is an event that 10:00:26
11 happens on the device, not from the perspective of
12 Firm 305 and that the device either has a trigger
13 that causes an automatic update to the rulesets or
14 that trigger causes an alarm to prompt the user to do
15 a manual update. Simply that. 10:00:48

16 Q. Or the automatic updates of the rulesets, is
17 any action required from the user in order for the
18 updated rulesets to take effect?

19 A. We also have the teachings in paragraph 91
20 that go along with that. So even in the context of 10:01:06
21 an automatic update, we still have the teaching that
22 each operator may have integrated control over
23 ruleset updates directly from their respective
24 operator displays.

25 So in the context that have teaching and 10:01:24

Page 27

1 paragraph 83, a person of ordinary skill in the art
2 would understand, even in the context of an automatic
3 update there, still would be input from the operator,
4 for example, to accept that automatic update which is
5 different than performing a manual update, as is 10:01:42
6 shown in that last sentence of paragraph 83.

7 Q. How is that different?

8 A. So in the case of accepting an automatic
9 update, all the user, the operator would have to do
10 is click something like an okay or accept type of a 10:01:58
11 button to then trigger, for example, a device going
12 and performing the update automatically. In
13 contrast, if the alarm just signals and says you need
14 to do an update, then a manual update would require
15 more effort, perhaps even rewriting a rule in the 10:02:20
16 ruleset.

17 Q. So if I understand you correctly, it's your
18 opinion that the automatic update referencing the
19 fetching of the rule data for the updated rule, but
20 not actually implementing it, which in your view, 10:02:47
21 would require human approval, whereas the manual
22 update refers to manually constructing both the rule
23 and the implementation of the rule?

24 A. That's inclusive of what I'm saying here.
25 When you read paragraph 83 in the context of 10:03:03

1 paragraph 91 in this reference.

2 Q. Looking at paragraph 91, it states that an
3 operator "may have integrated control over ruleset
4 updates." That sentence doesn't state the operator
5 control is required, does it? 10:03:33

6 A. No, that sentence does not. There is other
7 teachings that also talk about the rule of operators
8 as well.

9 Q. What teaching in Law states that the
10 operator having integrated control over ruleset 10:03:49
11 updates is a requirement, rather than a separate
12 embodiment?

13 A. Could you please repeat the question?

14 Q. Yes. I believe we just agreed that the
15 sentence in paragraph 91 that describes that an 10:05:49
16 operator may have integrated control over ruleset
17 updates, that that refers to a particular embodiment,
18 although I understand it's your opinion that based on
19 other teachings of Law, a person of skill in the art
20 would understand that the operator having control 10:06:12
21 over ruleset updates is required rather than simply
22 an embodiment.

23 So my question for you is to direct me to
24 those teachings in Law that you're relying on for
25 your interpretation of the sentence as being a 10:06:26

Page 29

1 requirement, rather than simply another embodiment?

2 A. So there are several teachings in Law that
3 speak to this. So for example I find that in
4 paragraph 24, Law states: "If the network traffic
5 received at the secured device violates one or more 10:10:15
6 of the rules, the object denies the network traffic
7 access and displays an alarm in the operator
8 interface of the process control system."

9 There is no language of "may" or "can"
10 there. 10:10:34

11 Q. Which paragraph?

12 A. Again that was paragraph 24 of the
13 disclosure.

14 Q. Before we move on --

15 MR. PRICE: Excuse me, Counsel, let the 10:10:40
16 witness finish answering the question, please.

17 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

18 Q. I will, but before we move onto a different
19 example, I would like to ask you questions on this
20 example before we move on, if that's okay, 10:10:53
21 Dr. Goodrich.

22 A. May I finish my answer, please?

23 Q. Sure. You can finish.

24 A. So again, that was paragraph 24 and then we
25 also see in paragraph 61 where it states: "The 10:11:08

Page 30

1 process graph display element 127, which will be
2 described in more detail below, and generally
3 elements that display within operator, engineer or
4 other displays to provide information to a user, such
5 as an operator about the operation, configuration, 10:11:29
6 network security, or set-up of the process plant and
7 the elements therein."

8 Again, there is no "may" or "can" language
9 there.

10 Then we have paragraph 83. "These displays 10:11:47
11 have graphic display elements designed to dynamically
12 show online measurements and actuators that interface
13 the control system and as a result, may present
14 information typically monitored and utilized by
15 operators or other personnel involved in online 10:12:13
16 operations."

17 I stress the word "online" there which means
18 continuous and not offline operations.

19 And likewise in paragraph 87 it says: "The
20 graphic display elements within each display are 10:12:30
21 designed to dynamically show online measurements and
22 actuators that interface with the control system and
23 as a result, may present information typically
24 monitored and utilized by operators or other
25 personnel involved in online operations." 10:12:49

1 And then finally in paragraph 87, which we
2 had talked about before: "Graphic elements within
3 the displays that represent the UTMS-configured
4 network devices 134, 147, 149, 152 provide network
5 parameters and security data (as described above) to 10:13:14
6 operators and other plant personnel to monitor and
7 maintain the network security of the process control
8 system 100."

9	Again, there is no "may" or "can" language	
10	there.	10:13:32

11	Q. Anything else?
----	-------------------

12	A. No.
----	--------

13 Q. So in your answer you just described various
14 information can be displayed to an operator. The
15 display of information to an operator, say alerting 10:14:00
16 the operator to -- that the ruleset has automatically
17 been updated, that wouldn't simply displaying the
18 information to the operator wouldn't necessarily
19 require that the operator accept the updates, would
20 it? 10:14:22

21 MR. PRICE: Objection, form.

22 THE WITNESS: So again, I think you're
23 mischaracterizing what I'm saying. I'm saying that
24 there is certain information that must be displayed
25 to the operator and that the operator is, as a person 10:14:35

Page 32

1 of ordinary skill in the art would understand, an
2 integral component to the operation of the device
3 through this graphical interface and that because
4 this is happening in an online context, a person of
5 ordinary skill in the art would understand that the 10:14:56
6 operator is an integral step in any update or change
7 to the system.

8 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

9 Q. And so let's suppose that rulesets are
10 distributed, but an operator has to click a button 10:15:19
11 that accepts the ruleset before the ruleset is
12 actually implemented.

13 Is it your opinion that that activity would
14 be outside the scope of the claims?

15 A. That depends on what you mean by 10:15:45
16 "distributed."

17 So if by "distributed," you're referring to
18 an initial distribution, I disagree that that is
19 taught in Law or that it would be obvious from the
20 teachings in Law. 10:15:56

21 If by "distributed" you instead are
22 referring to an update to a ruleset, then I believe
23 that Law does teach that you could have a display
24 that appears on the graphical user interface that
25 then the operator would click onto accept the update 10:16:12

Page 33

1 into the system, but that that update as taught in
2 Law, would not be automatically generated by the
3 Firm 305.

4 Q. Because the operator has to accept the rule;
5 is that right? 10:16:38

6 A. If you're referring to the last part about
7 why the update coming from Firm 305 is not
8 automatically generated, that is due to other
9 teachings in Law.

10 It doesn't necessarily have bearing on the 10:16:52
11 question of whether or not the operator clicks to
12 accept it.

13 Q. Before we go there, let's go paragraph 24 of
14 Law. You agree that this describes one embodiment,
15 correct? 10:17:17

16 A. Yes. This is in the summary section of Law
17 and so it does indeed describe one embodiment.

18 Q. So the teachings from this paragraph
19 wouldn't necessarily apply to all embodiments, would
20 they? 10:17:31

21 A. It depends what you're referring to as the
22 teachings of this paragraph. In this paragraph, it's
23 talking about an embodiment using an objection having
24 a programmable interface that communicates with a
25 particular process control system device. So it's 10:18:20

Page 34

1 talking about the functionality with respect to this
2 object. So that is a person of ordinary skill in the
3 art would understand that that is a specific
4 embodiment, but then sentence I read is then talking
5 about a general teaching that then would be focused 10:18:39
6 in on this embodiment that uses an object.

7 Q. The sentence that you relied on, let's just
8 read the end, the second to last sentence: "If the
9 network traffic received at the secured device
10 violates one or more of the rules, the object denies 10:19:22
11 the network traffic access and displays an alarm in
12 an operator interface of the process control system."

13 I believe that's the sentence you relied on
14 for your opinion that operator involvement is a
15 requirement rather than an optional feature; is that 10:19:38
16 correct, Dr. Goodrich?

17 A. Yes. That was one of the sentences that I
18 relied on in responding to your question.

19 Q. And that sentence refers to the object,
20 which you just explained was one of the aspects of 10:19:52
21 this specific embodiment, correct?

22 A. That is correct and then a general teaching
23 with respect to that specific object.

24 Q. What in Law -- what in this paragraph
25 explains that that specific teaching is a requirement 10:20:13

Page 35

1 for all embodiments as opposed to this specific
2 embodiment?

3 A. I think you're misunderstanding what I'm
4 saying here. What I'm saying, to be clear, is that
5 the teaching in paragraph 24 is with respect to the 10:20:28
6 object embodiment as we just discussed, but the
7 general teaching is that the operator interface is a
8 critical component and requirement in the system.
9 And so that is what I'm inferring to from that
10 sentence. 10:20:48

11 That in a specific embodiment using an
12 object, we still see that operator interface is
13 required.

14 Q. Go back to your opinion that the received
15 ruleset -- that the rulesets received by the 10:21:39
16 firewalls 146 in Law from the Firm 305 do not include
17 the rulesets initially implemented by the firewalls
18 and I believe there was some discussion that in your
19 view, the ruleset data provided by the Firm 305 is
20 data that can be used in constructing rulesets, but 10:22:19
21 not the actual rulesets.

22 Is that the reason why you wouldn't think
23 that the ruleset initially implemented by Law would
24 have been received?

25 MR. PRICE: Objection, form. 10:22:48

1 THE WITNESS: I believe you're
2 mischaracterizing what I state. So I believe very
3 clearly, you spell out the support that I have for
4 why the initial ruleset is entered by the operator,
5 and is not received from the Firm 305. I speak to 10:23:03
6 that in several paragraphs in my declaration. If we
7 want to go to any of those, I would be happy to do
8 that.

9 But I don't agree with your characterization
10 of my testimony in the preamble to your question. 10:23:19

11 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

12 Q. As we previously read in paragraph 88, Law
13 discloses that the Firm 305 constructs rulesets 147
14 and distributes those rulesets to the firewalls.

15 So my question to you is, is there any 10:24:00
16 teaching in Law that states that the distributed
17 rulesets cannot include the initial ruleset?

18 A. I don't agree with your characterization of
19 paragraph 88. We had discussed this earlier. I
20 thought I had clarified this, namely that 10:24:20
21 paragraph 88 is referring to updates to the rulesets,
22 hence the ruleset data and what it's referring to as
23 it's rulesets in this context is we're referring to
24 updates to the initially produced rulesets that were
25 created by the operators, and I believe that's clear 10:24:39

Page 37

1 from the teachings in Law and that it would not be
2 obvious to jump to the alleged configuration that you
3 had mentioned in your question absent hindsight.

4 Q. If Law explicitly discloses providing
5 updated rulesets, why wouldn't it have been obvious 10:24:59
6 to a person of skill in the art to also provide the
7 initial rulesets?

8 A. Because as I had answered earlier, a person
9 of ordinary skill in the art would understand Law to
10 be teaching about very specialized plants such as 10:25:16
11 nuclear power plants that have very specialized
12 equipment, hence generic ruleset would not be
13 applicable in this context, hence, and indeed it
14 explicitly states that the operator creates the
15 initial rulesets. 10:25:40

16 The operator, knowing the specific
17 configuration, specific hardware, specialized
18 equipment that it's using, and then would allow for
19 updates to those initial rulesets from the Firm 305
20 for such things as generic components that are then 10:25:58
21 used in these specific scenarios.

22 But the specific scenarios require
23 specialized rulesets.

24 Q. If a generic ruleset would not be -- if the
25 initial ruleset would not be applicable, why would 10:26:13

Page 38

1 updated rulesets be applicable?

2 A. Because specialized hardware such as is
3 referenced in Law and discusses even how you can do
4 specialized configurations of these devices that
5 allow for what it refers to as bypass functionality, 10:26:40
6 it shows schematic diagrams of these valves and
7 processes, for example, in figures 9 and 12 could
8 nevertheless have components that would be, for those
9 parts of them, things that generic rules could apply
10 to, but because of the specialized nature of the 10:27:13
11 device, a generic rule does not apply but there could
12 be components that are referenced in the rules that
13 then would be needing updating, that then could come
14 from the Firm 305.

15 Q. So in your view, if I understand correctly, 10:27:32
16 the rulesets provided by Firm 305, only include
17 generic rules and not rules that are specifically
18 targeted to the environment of particular fires 146
19 or the system protected by those firewalls?

20 MR. PRICE: Objection, misstates testimony. 10:27:58

21 THE WITNESS: I would answer it the way I
22 did before, that you were asking about why it would
23 not be obvious to do the modification that you are
24 contemplating and that's what I was trying to explain
25 to you. 10:28:15

Page 39

1 When we look at the big picture aspect of
2 what is taught in Law that, that modification would
3 not be obvious.
4 BY MR. DETRIXHE:
5 Q. Because in your view, the Firm 305 doesn't 10:28:29
6 know the particular details of the system protected
7 by firewalls 146; is that right?
8 A. Yes, for example.
9 THE REPORTER: Is this a good spot for a
10 quick bathroom break? 10:31:47
11 MR. DETRIXHE: We can take a break.
12 MR. PRICE: Works for me.
13 (Recess taken.)
14 MR. DETRIXHE: Back on the record.
15 THE WITNESS: Before you ask another 10:41:14
16 question, may I amend an earlier answer?
17 BY MR. DETRIXHE:
18 Q. Which answer?
19 A. To the question of what support do I find in
20 the patent specification of Law to support my view 10:41:22
21 that user -- operator input is required.
22 Q. Yes, please do.
23 A. So additional support is also found, for
24 example, in Figure 13 and the accompanying text from
25 the patent application specification that explains 10:41:42

Page 40

1 that Figure 13 is showing an example of ruleset user
2 interface.

3 And we see, for example, in Figure 13, a
4 column 1324 that requires the operator to click on a
5 rule to enable it and likewise, we see in Figure 13 10:42:01
6 specific addresses such as 10.4.0.0 and
7 232.108.116.118 that would be specific to a specific
8 configuration.

9 And similarly, when we go to paragraph 103,
10 we see that a ruleset can include hardware specific 10:42:33
11 addressing and/or human readable addresses to be
12 referenced by domain name server, and so this is
13 additional evidence that generic rulesets from Firm
14 305 would not be applicable in the context of Law
15 because of the specialized nature of the networks and 10:42:57
16 devices taught in Law.

17 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

18 Before the break we had been discussing your
19 interpretation of Law that the initial ruleset
20 implemented by Law's firewalls are manually 10:43:16
21 configured by an operator.

22 So I would like to ask, in your view, would
23 the operator request a rule update from the Firm 305
24 before the initial implementation of that ruleset?

25 A. I don't see anyplace in Law where that is 10:43:38

Page 41

1 taught. Is there a place in my declaration or Law
2 where you feel that is taught or discussed?

3 Q. If an update isn't performed before the
4 initial implementation of the ruleset, wouldn't there
5 be a risk that the initially implemented ruleset 10:43:52
6 would be outdated?

7 A. I'm sorry, I don't understand your question.
8 What is the context of this question?

9 Q. I'm referring to your view that an operator
10 is solely responsible for the initial implementation 10:44:09
11 of the ruleset without having done any updates to
12 that ruleset.

13 So my question is wouldn't the ruleset be
14 outdated when it's initially implemented?

15 A. I'm sorry, I don't understand your question. 10:44:29
16 It seems to be self-contradictory. Your question
17 itself appears to be self contradictory.

18 Q. How so?

19 A. You're referring to an initial ruleset that
20 has an update before it even exists. That seems 10:44:44
21 self-contradictory to me.

22 Q. I'm referring to -- it's my understanding of
23 your opinion that the operator manually generates or
24 configures an initial ruleset that is implemented by
25 the firewall; is that not correct? 10:45:05

1	A. That part is correct.
---	--------------------------

2 Q. Wouldn't there be a risk that that initial
3 ruleset is outdated?

A. That's the part I don't understand your question. That seems self contradictory.

10:45:23

6 As we talked about earlier, an initial
7 ruleset is a ruleset that is configured for specific
8 hardware and configurations and we went over an
9 example in Figure 13 that shows that specific
10 configurations, and as we talked about earlier today, 10:45:39
11 there could be components in a ruleset that are
12 referencing generic things that then in the future,
13 would be updated, but it doesn't make sense to talk
14 about updates to an initial ruleset that then would
15 exist before the initial ruleset exists. 10:45:56

16 Q. Would you agree that a central purpose of
17 Law's updates to the rulesets that are distributed by
18 the Firm 305, are to maintain protection for the
19 network and that if those rulesets were not updated,
20 that would be contrary to the goal of protecting the 10:46:37
21 network?

22 A. I'm not disputing that updates are useful
23 and taught in Law. That they are updates that are
24 taught in Law. They come from the Firm 305 that, in
25 my opinion, are not automatically generated, but then 10:46:56

1 those updates come to already existing rulesets that
2 were input by the operator -- that the initial
3 rulesets did not come from the Firm 305.

4 Q. Would you agree that a central purpose of
5 Law is to mitigate the risk of a network attack into 10:47:18
6 the system?

7 A. I don't dispute that. Law teaches that it
8 is protecting against external network attacks.

9 Q. So let's look at paragraph 10, the last
10 sentence that states: "Any external connection into 10:47:47
11 a process control system network presents a possible
12 point of attack into the system," correct?

13 A. Yes, it says that.

14 Q. And right below it, top of paragraph 11, it
15 states that: "Network devices such as firewalls 10:48:07
16 typically provide security for process control
17 networks and mitigate the risk of network attacks,"
18 correct?

19 A. Yes, this is in the background section,
20 description of related art. 10:48:19

21 Q. I would like you to turn to paragraph 53 of
22 Law.

23 A. I am there.

24 Q. The second sentence states: "The process
25 control system 100 may include one or more subsystems 10:48:45

Page 44

1 105a, 105b, one or more process control networks
2 150a, 150b and one or more process controllers 110
3 communicatively connected to one or more host work
4 stations or computers 120-122 (which may be any type
5 of personal computers, work stations, etc.), at least 10:49:10
6 one having a display screen, via one or more network
7 devices 146 over a process control network 150."

8 So my question, as we've just seen in
9 paragraph 53 of Law refers to both a process control
10 network 150, as well as one or more process control 10:49:34
11 networks 150a, 150b, correct?

12 A. Yes, and a person of ordinary skill in the
13 art would understand that when Law is referring to
14 the process 150 network, that it's referring to
15 either 150a or 150b. 10:49:54

16 Q. Let's look at figure 1A of Law.

17 A. I am there.

18 Q. On the left side is process control network
19 150a, correct?

20 A. Yes, I agree. 10:51:04

21 Q. And on the right is process control sub
22 network 150b, correct?

23 A. Yes, I agree.

24 Q. And in figure 1A, there is a firewall, but
25 in general, the networks are separated by the 10:51:21

Page 45

1 internet 155, correct?

2 A. Yes, that is correct.

3 Q. Now let's look at figure 1B, and again on
4 the left side is process control sub network 150a,
5 correct?

10:51:48

6 A. Yes, I agree.

7 Q. And on the right is process control sub
8 network 150b, correct?

9 A. Yes, I agree.

10 Q. And here, those two sub networks are joined
11 by a network access device 149c, correct?

10:51:57

12 A. I would instead characterize this that they
13 are separated by a network access device 149c just as
14 we've talked about how they're separated by the
15 internet as shown in figure 1A.

10:52:15

16 Q. In making that connection, the network
17 access device 149c allows communication between sub
18 networks 150a and 150b, correct?

19 MR. PRICE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: Law teaches in paragraph 51:
21 "Figure 1B is an alternative embodiment illustrating
22 a cross-system connection between the subsystem 105a
23 and 105b including an UTMS-configured network access
24 device 149c that may or may not include a ruleset
25 such as ruleset 151c as herein described and may

10:54:09

10:54:32

Page 46

1 control different types of process control network
2 connections as described below."

3 So if your question is does that network
4 access device allow communications between the left
5 side and the right side, a person of ordinary skill 10:54:51
6 in the art would understand that it does, provided
7 that those communications do not violate the ruleset
8 151c.

9 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

10 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich. 10:55:07

11 I think if you look over in paragraph 51
12 going down to paragraph 53 states it is last
13 sentence: "While the process control system 100 of
14 figure 1a shows only two process control subsystems,
15 105a and 105b communicating over internet 155 as a 10:55:33
16 WAN, and the process control systems 100 of figure 1b
17 showed only two process control systems 105a and 105b
18 communicating over a LAN, a process control system
19 100 may include any number of subsystems, as well as
20 devices, modules and components that are 10:56:02
21 communicatively connected to each other through any
22 type of connection, including internet, LAN,
23 wireless, twisted pair cable, optic fiber, etcetera,
24 to name only a few."

25 Does that portion of Law confirm your 10:56:18

Page 47

1 understanding in figure 1B the network access device
2 149c provides for communication between sub networks
3 150a and 150b?

4 A. Yes, this is consistent with what I said.
5 Provided that those communications between those two 10:56:45
6 separate sub networks does not violate the ruleset
7 151c, then they are allowed.

8 Q. In this figure 1b embodiment, that
9 communication does not occur over internet, does it?

10 A. It does not in this case. It's going 10:57:12
11 between two separate sub networks using this network
12 access device 149c.

13 Q. I would like to go down to figure 3 and here
14 again on the left side, we have process control sub
15 network 150a and on the right we have process control 10:57:46
16 sub network 150b, correct?

17 A. Yes, I agree with that.

18 Q. So in this figure at the top we see a dotted
19 line connecting firewalls 146a and 146b separated by
20 the internet 155, similar to what we saw in figure 1a 10:58:15
21 and then in the middle, we see a network access
22 device 149c also connecting the sub networks 150a and
23 150b, similar to what we saw in figure 1b, correct?

24 A. I would characterize it the way I did
25 before, that indeed, the network 150a on the left is 10:58:41

1 separated by the internet and now also separated by
2 the network access device 149c from are the sub
3 network 150b on the right.

4 Q. With regard to the connection of sub
5 networks 150a and 150b, the network access device 10:59:15
6 149c, that doesn't occur over internet, does it?

7 A. If by that question you're referring to
8 communications that flow through the network access
9 device 149 c, those communications in this example
10 would not necessarily flow through the internet 155. 10:59:40

11 Q. So let's assume that those communications,
12 all of the communications flowing through network
13 access device 149c are part of the local network, a
14 LAN embodiment as described in figure 1b and not over
15 the internet, as I think you just said is a 11:00:19
16 possibility. In that situation, couldn't subnetworks
17 150a and 150b be considered a combined network?

18 MR. PRICE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: No.

20 BY MR. DETRIXHE: 11:00:44

21 Q. Why not?

22 A. I speak to this in my declaration. There is
23 multiple teaches in Law that explain that the sub
24 network 150a and 150b are separate and that they
25 would have, for example, separate specialized 11:01:04

Page 49

1 devices, separate functionalities, that then it would
2 not be obvious to combine them into a single network
3 either.

4 Q. I'll ask you a hypothetical. I would like
5 to understand -- putting Law aside for the moment, 11:01:24
6 let's just say I have one network which I'll call a
7 and another network which I'll call b and let's say I
8 have a single wire connecting the two, not through
9 the internet, but just one device let's call it a
10 network access device joining those two networks a 11:01:53
11 and b.

12 So my question is what -- would it be fair
13 to call those combined -- the combination of networks
14 a and b as a single network, let's say c, and if not,
15 why not? 11:02:22

16 MR. PRICE: Objection as to form.

17 THE WITNESS: That depends on the context of
18 how the networks are connected and what their
19 respective functionalities are. So if in the a
20 system such as Law where there is highly sensitive 11:02:41
21 devices on each side and you want to isolate the risk
22 of attacks, then no, they would not be considered as
23 a joint network, but there may be other context
24 that's have nothing to do with specialized equipment
25 that would then depend on the context whether or not 11:03:06

Page 50

1 that would be considered as a single network.

2 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

3 Q. Give me an example where in my hypothetical
4 and those networks a and b were combined that you
5 would say would be fair to consider the combination 11:03:19
6 network c as a single network, rather than two
7 separate networks?

8 A. Sure. So first you would need to connect
9 them, not with a network access device as is taught
10 in Law that has rulesets associated with it for 11:03:38
11 communications.

12 Instead, you would have to connect them with
13 say a switch that always routes packets between the
14 two and never blocks, never applies rules at all.

15 And then secondly, you would also have to 11:03:53
16 have both sides be considered a part of the same
17 enterprise, such as say a home network where the
18 first floor would be the network on the left and the
19 upper story would be the network on the right and you
20 would connect them with a device that does not have 11:04:13
21 any of the properties of the network access device
22 149 as taught in Law.

23 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

24 Continuing with this example, let's work
25 from the opposite direction. So let's say going with 11:04:48

Page 51

1 your home example, let's say I have a single firewall
2 going out to the internet, but then -- let's say I
3 have a computer connected to the firewall and then
4 let's say it branches out into a first floor a and
5 second floor network b, each with their own computers 11:05:22
6 and what not connected to them. Would you consider
7 those networks a and b for the first and second
8 floors to be part of my home network?

9 A. In this example when you had this first
10 computer connected to the internet and then it is 11:05:53
11 connected to the first floor and the second floor
12 computers, are those direct connections objection are
13 those going through a switch, a hub, or a router?

14 Q. Let's initially assume that they go through
15 a switch. 11:06:14

16 A. How is that switch configured? How do you
17 connect it to those other components?

18 Q. So to clarify, I understand that it's your
19 opinion whether that the home network can be
20 considered a single network, depends on how those 11:06:34
21 connections are made and what functions are performed
22 by the switch; is that right?

23 A. Yes, because it matters.

24 Q. So if I understand your opinion correctly,
25 if the switch is, let's say a smart switch and 11:06:52

1 implements some kind of rules or filtering, in that
2 scenario then the two sub networks a and b would be
3 different or separate networks in your terminology;
4 is that correct?

5 MR. PRICE: Objection, foundation. 11:07:09

6 THE WITNESS: That also depends on the
7 context. There is a lot of details in this
8 hypothetical that I need clarification for.

9 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

10 Q. What details would you need? 11:07:19

11 A. What is the connections, how are they
12 connected? Let's start there, because now you've
13 said different connections existing that seem to be
14 contradicting what you said earlier. So I'm losing
15 the picture. 11:07:33

16 Q. Quite simply there is a switch and one port
17 to the switch routes to, let's say the ground floor,
18 and then another port on the switch has a wire
19 connected to the upper floor and I'm going to call
20 those sub networks the ground floor, sub network a 11:07:56
21 and the upper floor, sub network b and there is a
22 single switch separating the two.

23 Does that help, Dr. Goodrich?

24 A. Earlier you had said there is also a
25 computer that is connected to internet. Is that now 11:08:10

Page 53

1 no longer a part of this scenario?

2 Q. It still is.

3 A. So how that connected in this hypothetical?

4 Q. The computer is also connected to the

5 switch, let's say. 11:08:27

6 A. In this example, how do the computers in
7 this configuration access the internet?

8 Q. Let's say there is a firewall connected to
9 the switch.

10 A. So to be clear, all three computers to 11:08:50
11 access internet, must get through the switch and then
12 to the firewall to then get out to the internet,
13 correct?

14 Q. Correct.

15 A. What is your question in this context? 11:09:09

16 Q. Would you consider the ground floor network
17 a connected to the switch, to be on the same network
18 as the upper floor network b connected to the switch,
19 or does it depend on whether the switch implements
20 rule filtering for traffic that goes between the 11:09:26
21 ground floor sub network a and the upper floor sub
22 network b?

23 A. In this hypothetical, it would at least
24 depend on that configuration of the switch, whether
25 or not it's performing rules and such things. I 11:09:44

Page 54

1 would need more time to analyze details of this to
2 give you a definitive answer.

3 Q. I think I understand what you're saying
4 which is if that switch is implementing rules that
5 would occasionally block or potentially block traffic 11:10:01
6 going from the ground floor network a to the upper
7 floor network b, that in that case, you wouldn't
8 consider those two to be on the same network; is that
9 right?

10 MR. PRICE: Objection. 11:10:18

11 THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. Again, I
12 would need more time to study the details to give you
13 a definitive answer.

14 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

15 Q. What details would those depend on? 11:10:26

16 A. There is a lot of still details that are
17 missing in this hypothetical, such as, how does this
18 switch perform those functions? Are the packets
19 routed? How are the IP addresses or whatever network
20 addresses you're using distributed. There are a lot 11:10:46
21 of details here that are missing.

22 Q. Can you give an example of those details
23 where you would consider the two networks to be on
24 the same network and that another example of those
25 details where you would conclude that those networks 11:11:01

Page 55

1 a and b are not on the same network?

2 A. Sure. For example, if the switch is a dumb
3 switch that does not do any rule processing at all,
4 passes all network traffic through the switch to the
5 other side and the addressing of the devices on all 11:11:23
6 the side is all part of the same network address
7 space, possibly some other details, I would consider
8 that to be a single network, whereas if any of those
9 things fail, so such as a network switch having rules
10 to block traffic between the two or not, or if the 11:11:55
11 two sub networks have incompatible addressing
12 schemes.

13 There may be other details I would want to
14 think about more to give you a complete answer, which
15 would take more time, but that could be an example of 11:12:14
16 something where the two networks would be considered
17 separate networks.

18 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

19 I think I am beginning to understand your
20 opinions. 11:12:30

21 So I'll just ask one follow up. Let's say
22 the device that separates sub networks a and b,
23 initially I call them a switch, now I'm going to call
24 them a router because I would like you to assume on
25 sub network a, it has an address sub space of one 11:12:51

1 type. Doesn't matter what type it is. It could be
2 192.168 is a common mask for the initial eight bytes
3 of an IP address, and then let's say the other
4 network b for the upper floor has a different address
5 base. 11:13:19

6 Let's say it also uses its own 192.168 mask.
7 In that situation, would you consider those two
8 networks a and b to be separate networks?

9 A. I'm sorry, I lost the hypothetical. I no
10 longer can answer that question. I don't know of 11:13:41
11 such a router and how it would function, just sitting
12 here today.

13 Q. Let's say the router performs address
14 translation between the sub networks a and b. In
15 that scenario, would you consider sub networks a and 11:14:00
16 b to be separate networks that are not part of the
17 same network?

18 MR. PRICE: Objection, incomplete
19 hypothetical.

20 THE WITNESS: When you say that now the 11:14:10
21 router is performing address translation, what do you
22 mean by that?

23 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

24 Q. I believe that's a term that you referred to
25 in your answer earlier. 11:14:18

1 A. I don't recall having said that: What did
2 you mean in your question?

3 Q. You don't have a familiarity with the term
4 "address translation"?

5 A. It has a broad context. What context are 11:14:30
6 you using it for?

7 Q. What are the different contexts with which
8 you're aware of?

9 A. There is devices that exist in networks that
10 perform functionality that is referred to as network 11:14:45
11 address translation, but that doesn't seem to line up
12 with what you are explaining in your question.

13 Q. Let's give an overview of what network
14 address translation refers to in your view.

15 A. Sure. So that also depends on context, but 11:15:06
16 one example of network address translation is routing
17 device which has on one side what it would locally
18 consider to be an inside network, and then what it
19 would refer to on the other side as an outside
20 network and what it can do is for packets that are 11:15:29
21 coming from the inside network that have a certain
22 source address and port number, it can do what is
23 called a network address translation to change the
24 source address and port number for the outgoing
25 packets to then be the address and port number that 11:15:53

Page 58

1 is being used by the network address translation
2 device.

3 And then likewise when packets come in to it
4 using that address and port, it can translate that,
5 if you will, to the local address and port of the 11:16:11
6 device on the inside network.

7 Q. So in your answer, you refer to outside
8 networks and inside networks. Is it possible for
9 there to be multiple layers of network address
10 translation such that with respect to traffic in the 11:16:33
11 what you called inside network, that there are
12 further networks inside of that network, and for
13 traffic going between those networks, network address
14 translation is also performed?

15 A. That's all possible. You can nest, if you 11:16:51
16 will, the topology of how you configure network
17 address translation devices.

18 Q. And with that background, for nested network
19 address translation devices, would you consider those
20 to necessarily be on different networks? 11:17:24

21 A. I still need more details about what you
22 mean by this to answer your question.

23 Q. Just in the simple example that I believe
24 you described of a nested network address translation
25 scenario. 11:17:48

1 A. Right, but what I referred to as a nested
2 network and address translation scenario actually
3 encompasses many different topologies, so to answer
4 your question would need more details about the
5 topology in this hypothetical. 11:18:07

6 Q. Maybe a better way to ask would be, would
7 you be able to provide one example involving tested
8 network address translation that gives rise to what
9 you would consider to be a single network and another
10 example entailing nested network address translation 11:18:28
11 that gives rise to separate networks?

12 A. To do so would require more time. I'm not
13 prepared to do that today unless you believe there is
14 a place I've addressed it this in either of my
15 declarations. I don't remember addressing this 11:18:44
16 question.

17 Like I said earlier, there is a lot of
18 variables when we do nesting of network address
19 translation devices. Many topologies are possible.

20 Q. I would like to try to summarize this 11:19:26
21 discussion and so my central objective is trying to
22 understand how a person of skill in the art would
23 ascertain whether two sub networks are separate
24 networks when they are joined through a network
25 address translation device or whether they would be 11:19:49

1 part of a single network, and if I understand your
2 opinions correctly, if that network address
3 translation device potentially filters traffic
4 between the two sub networks based on rules, that
5 would be a factor that in your view, you would 11:20:13
6 consider those to be separate networks.

7 And that another factor would be if network
8 address translation is performed between the two sub
9 networks, that that would be another factor
10 indicating to you that those two would be different 11:20:28
11 networks; is that fair?

12 A. Those are exemplary examples, and then in my
13 declaration, beginning at paragraph 59, I go into
14 specifics with respect to Law and we can discuss
15 those as well. 11:20:53

16 Q. I would like you to look at paragraph 63 of
17 your declaration with respect to the '266 Patent,
18 Exhibit 2012.

19 A. I'm there.

20 Q. Here you have labeled the network access 11:22:07
21 device 149c a perimeter device, correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. You would agree that the fair walls 146a and
24 146b also are perimeter devices, correct?

25 A. I don't disagree with that. 11:22:41

1 Q. Is it your understanding that the network
2 access device 149c is to be considered a perimeter
3 device, then it would perform the same filtering
4 functions as the firewall 146a?

5 A. I'm sorry, could you repeat the question? 11:23:17

6 Q. If as you just said network access device
7 149c is to be considered a perimeter device, it would
8 perform the same rule filtering functions as the
9 firewalls 146a and 146b, correct?

10 A. No, I disagree. 11:23:42

11 Q. Why is that?

12 A. Because it has a different topologies and
13 different connections. So it would have a different
14 ruleset that it would apply and we can see that
15 support for that, for example, in Figure 13 and its 11:23:55
16 assumption text, as well as paragraphs 51, 52 and 92
17 from Law.

18 Q. Putting aside the question of whether the
19 ruleset implemented by it is the same or different,
20 for now I would like you to assume it's the same 11:24:16
21 ruleset, which I know is not your opinion, but
22 hypothetically, please assume that it is applying the
23 same ruleset in that scenario, would the functions
24 performed by firewalls 146a and network access device
25 149c be the same? 11:24:39

Page 62

1 A. I'm sorry, I don't see how that could be a
2 functional system. For example, when we go to
3 Figure 13 in Law, we can see that rulesets are
4 configured with very specific information with
5 respect to network addresses and the respective sides 11:24:59
6 with "from" address and "to" addresses. I don't see
7 how that hypothetical would be functional and hence,
8 I cannot answer your question.

9 Q. Ms. Anderson, could you please load into
10 Exhibit Share, the '266 Patent, which has previously 11:25:49
11 been marked as Exhibit 1, and IPR 2023-445.

12 And, Dr. Goodrich, please let me know when
13 you see it.

14 Dr. Goodrich, please let me know when you
15 see the '266 Patent. 11:27:20

16 A. I have it now.

17 (The document referred to was marked as
18 Exhibit 4 for identification and is attached hereto.)

19 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

20 Q. Turn to claim 1, please, specifically column 11:27:27
21 21 beginning at line 13 and let me know when you're
22 there, please.

23 A. I am there.

24 Q. So this limitation of claim 1 of the
25 '266 Patent recites: "One or more first packet 11:27:52

Page 63

1 filtering rules of the first set of packet filtering
2 rules comprised packet matching criteria
3 corresponding to one or more network addresses and
4 were automatically created or altered by the security
5 policy management server." 11:28:13

6 Do you see that?

7 A. I do, and then it goes on with other
8 limitations.

9 Q. Correct. I would like to focus your
10 understanding of the security policy management 11:28:24
11 server that automatically creates or alters packet
12 filtering.

13 First, would you agree that the claim
14 requires that a security policy management server
15 automatically create or alter packet filtering rules? 11:28:47

16 A. Yes, I agree.

17 Q. In your understanding of the claim language,
18 can the security policy management server be owned by
19 a commercial entity and still satisfy the claim
20 language? 11:29:08

21 A. I'm not following your question, I'm sorry.

22 Q. I'm asking and interpreting this claim
23 language, can the security policy management server
24 be opened by a commercial entity and still satisfy
25 the claim language? 11:29:24

Page 64

1 A. I'm still not following your question.

2 Q. Sure, no problem. Let me rephrase
3 differently.

4 Is there any requirement in claim 1 that the
5 security policy management server not be owned by a 11:29:47
6 commercial entity?

7 A. I'm sorry, this is coming in from left
8 field, I'm not understanding your question at all.
9 What are you asking?

10 Q. I believe you just agreed that claim 1 11:30:09
11 requires a security policy management server that
12 automatically creates or alters the path filtering
13 rules and so my question to you is whether there is a
14 requirement in claim one that that server be owned or
15 not be owned by a commercial entity? Or if you have 11:30:33
16 no opinion on it, that's fine as well.

17 A. I'm not understanding your question, but if
18 by your question you're referring to some claim
19 language that comes after that, that says that: "The
20 one or more network addresses and are automatically 11:31:15
21 created or altered by the security policy management
22 server based on aggregated malicious traffic
23 information received from at least one third party
24 malicious host tracker service located in one or more
25 networks, other than the network protected by the 11:31:36

Page 65

1 packet security gateway."

2 So if by your question, you're now referring
3 to the third party malicious host tracking service, a
4 person of ordinary skill in the art would understand
5 that to have its plain and ordinary meaning. 11:31:54

6 Q. I'm referring to -- let's scroll up a little
7 bit in claim 1, column 21, line 3: "Receiving, by
8 the packet security gateway and from a security
9 policy management server, external from the network
10 protected by the packet security gateway, a dynamic 11:32:34
11 security policy comprising a first set of packet
12 filtering rules to be applied to all that work
13 traversing the boundary."

14 Do you see that limitation?

15 A. Yes, I see that. 11:32:48

16 Q. So that limitation requires that a packet
17 security gateway receive a first set of packet
18 filtering rules from a security policy management
19 server, correct?

20 A. Yes, I agree with that. 11:33:07

21 Q. And that server must be the external from
22 the network protected by the packet security gateway,
23 correct?

24 A. I agree with that as well, just based on the
25 claim language. 11:33:17

Page 66

1 Q. So my question is regarding the server that
2 provides that ruleset, are there any limitations in
3 the claim that specify one way or another, whether
4 that server is owned by a commercial entity?

5 A. That's the part of your question I don't 11:33:44
6 understand. Commercial entity in this context.

7 Q. Any type of business, for example, or any
8 person that's running the business?

9 A. I'm still not following how this -- I'm not
10 following your question, sorry. I do speak about 11:34:26
11 Firm 305 being a commercial entity and what are the
12 implications of that with respect to the Law
13 reference.

14 Q. Right. And --

15 A. Am I answering your question in that context 11:34:41
16 or a different context?

17 Q. I'm asking in the context of the claim
18 language, I do not see in reading the entirety of
19 claim 1, any limitation that specifies whether or not
20 the security policy management server is owned by a 11:35:03
21 commercial entity and I'm just asking, do you think
22 otherwise?

23 A. Is there a place in my declaration where you
24 believe I've addressed this? Like I said, I do
25 address commercial enterprises with respect to Firm 11:35:17

Page 67

1 305 in the Law reference, but I don't recall having
2 addressed this question with respect to the claim
3 language of the '266 Patent.

4 Q. Dr. Goodrich, in forming your opinions you
5 were required to read the claim language and 11:35:40
6 interpret the claim language according to how a
7 person of ordinary skill in the art would have
8 interpreted the claim terms, correct?

9 A. Yes, I agree with that.

10 Q. So based only on that exercise that you said 11:35:56
11 you've done, when reading claim 1 of the '266 Patent,
12 do you see any requirement that the server that
13 provide the rules to the packet security gateway
14 that, that server be owned or not owned by a
15 commercial entity? 11:36:20

16 A. And I still don't understand the question.
17 I believe I do understand the claim language of the
18 '266 Patent, but I still do not understand your
19 question. What do you mean by "commercial
20 enterprise" in the context of your question? 11:36:34

21 Q. So you can't answer that because you don't
22 know what a commercial enterprise is?

23 A. That is not correct. Instead what I'm
24 saying is I don't understand what you're referring to
25 as a commercial enterprise in your question. 11:36:51

Page 68

1 Q. Any business entity, a company and
2 corporation?

3 A. You're asking if a corporation owns the
4 security policy management server, how -- I'm still
5 not following my question, I'm sorry. 11:37:09

6 Q. So in reading claim 1, I do not see any
7 prohibition that the server not be owned by a
8 commercial entity such as a company and I'm just
9 asking, do you disagree with that?

10 A. I read the claim language as it's written 11:37:35
11 and with respect to the claim constructions that I
12 discuss in my declaration, hence when I read and I
13 believe a person of ordinary skill in the art reads
14 that the security policy management server is
15 external from the network, protected by the packet 11:37:53
16 security gateway and that it is automatically
17 creating and altering rules based on traffic
18 information received from at least one third party
19 malicious host tracker service, that those phrases
20 have their plain and ordinary meanings. 11:38:17

21 Hence, depending on what you mean by a
22 special entity, those could have implications with
23 respect to whether or not we consider something to be
24 external or if it's a third party malicious host
25 tracker service. 11:38:36

Page 69

So other than that plain and ordinary understanding, I don't see any other limitations just sitting here today that specifically address ownership.

Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

11:38:59

Suppose a commercial entity like a company owns the security policy management server and I would like you to assume that it's external -- the server is external to the network protected by the packet security gateway, would it be possible to satisfy the claim language if that server is overseen by an IT personnel at that company?

11:39:25

Let me ask differently, Dr. Goodrich. Going back to the limitation we first discussed requiring that the rules be automatically created or altered by the security policy management server, do you understand that limitation to require the server to generate the rules without any involvement by an IT personnel that works at a company that owns the server?

11:40:47

A. I understand "automatic" to have its plain and ordinary meaning, that the generation itself, that process of creating or altering is itself automatic, that that process does not involve human intervention in order to success. It can be

11:41:08

11:41:28

1 configured and set up by humans, but the automatic
2 creation and altering is automatic as the claims
3 require.

4 Q. Without human involvement, correct?

5 A. Correct, for that part. The automatic 11:41:47
6 generation or altering.

7 Q. Thanks. I just wanted to make sure I
8 understood how you interpreted that language.

9 Ms. Anderson, could you load into
10 Exhibit Share the '474 Patent previously marked as 11:42:02
11 Exhibit 1 in IPR 2023-44H.

12 (The document referred to was marked as
13 Exhibit 5 for identification and is attached hereto.)

14 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

15 Q. Dr. Goodrich, let me know when you see that. 11:42:18

16 A. I have that in front of me.

17 Q. I would like to direct your attention to
18 claim 1 which is in column 25 of the '474 Patent.

19 A. I'm there.

20 Q. And the proceeding limitation that begins "I 11:43:24
21 believe" in line 37?

22 A. I see that.

23 Q. This limitation recites receiving by the
24 packet security gateway, and from the security policy
25 management server, a dynamic security policy 11:43:40

Page 71

1 comprising packet filtering rules where in one or
2 more of the dynamic security policy or one or more of
3 the packet filtering rules of the dynamic security
4 policy were automatically created or altered by the
5 security policy management server based on malicious 11:43:58
6 traffic information, and the claim language
7 continues.

8 Do you see that portion of that limitation,
9 Dr. Goodrich?

10 A. You missed one word, but that's very close 11:44:12
11 to the language of the claim.

12 Q. Which word did I miss?

13 A. You did not say "both," you said "more."
14 And that's in the context of line 40 of column 25.

15 Q. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich. 11:44:27

16 So again, would you agree that the context
17 of this limitation be security policy management
18 server, provides rules to the packet security
19 gateway?

20 A. I agree. 11:44:55

21 Q. And would you agree that the server is
22 required to automatically create or alter those
23 rules?

24 A. I agree.

25 Q. So in your understanding of this claim 11:45:11

Page 72

1 language, do you understand that the creation or
2 alteration of rulesets must be done without human
3 involvement?

4 A. I'm using the plain and ordinary
5 understanding of automatically created or altered to 11:45:30
6 a person of ordinary skill in the art based on the
7 specification and understandings of a person of
8 ordinary skill in the art, which in this context,
9 means that the creation or altering is done without
10 human intervention, but configurations that lead to 11:45:50
11 that could involve human intervention.

12 Q. Is that last part, configurations that could
13 lead to human intervention, would that be within the
14 scope of the claim language?

15 A. No. Only configurations that would lead to 11:46:13
16 automatic creation or altering.

17 Q. So let's say I have a server, a computer
18 that can generate rulesets automatically, but in the
19 pipeline before those rules are distributed, there is
20 an operator or engineer that has the same built to 11:46:36
21 tweak or modify the rules. I understand your
22 understanding of the claim language correctly that
23 would be outside the scope of the claim requirements,
24 correct?

25 MR. PRICE: Objection. 11:46:51

1 THE WITNESS: That depends on context. I
2 need more details to answer that question.

3 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

4 Q. What context would that depend on?

5 A. What do you mean by tweak and how that would 11:46:58
6 be performed?

7 Q. Let's say modify a ruleset, a rule within
8 the ruleset.

9 A. Where would this occur in the pipeline?

10 Q. After the server generates the rules, but 11:47:13
11 before the rules are distributed to the security
12 gateway?

13 A. I don't recall this being a question that
14 comes up in my declaration. Is there a place in my
15 declaration where you feel I've addressed this? 11:47:31

16 Q. Not specifically. I'm just trying to
17 understand your view of the claim language.

18 A. I would need more time to answer that
19 question. That's not something I believe was
20 required to understand the language of the claims. 11:47:49

21 Q. So if I understand correctly, you don't
22 prohibit human involvement in modifying the ruleset
23 before it goes out to a customer. So long as the
24 ruleset was initially created by the server without
25 human involvement; is that correct? 11:48:12

Page 74

1 MR. PRICE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: I'm still not following, I'm
3 sorry, what you're asking.

4 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

5 Q. It's a critical question to understanding 11:48:20
6 when the claim is invalid or not to understand your
7 opinion on whether human involvement is prohibited or
8 not in the generation of the rulesets.

9 To the extent human involvement is
10 permitted, how much and when? 11:48:46

11 MR. PRICE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: What is the question then?

13 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

14 Q. My question is in your view, does the claim
15 language exclude a human operator from modifying a 11:49:06
16 ruleset created by the server, before it is
17 distributed to the security gateway?

18 MR. PRICE: Objection, incomplete
19 hypothetical.

20 THE WITNESS: I'm still not quite following 11:49:30
21 what is in this scenario. If your question is about
22 the "or" language, namely when it says -- let me make
23 sure I get the right patent here, it says: "Wherein
24 one or both of the dynamic security policy or one or
25 more of the packet filtering rules of the dynamic 11:50:04

Page 75

1 security policy were automatically created or altered
2 by the security policy management server."

3 So if your question is what if other things
4 happened to the security policy -- the dynamic
5 security policy or the one or more packet filtering 11:50:26
6 rules after they were automatically created, my
7 opinion is that if they were automatically created,
8 that would satisfy being automatically created or
9 altered.

10 BY MR. DETRIXHE: 11:50:45

11 Q. Even if they were subsequently modified by a
12 person?

13 A. I don't see that being ruled out here, that
14 namely, it has to be automatically created or altered
15 by the security policy management server and that's 11:50:57
16 what's required.

17 Q. I would like to direct your attention to
18 paragraph 92 of Law. It's the first exhibit we
19 looked at.

20 A. I'm there. 11:51:27

21 Q. So looking at the last couple sentences, I
22 would like to read them beginning with the sources
23 315.

24 A. I see that.

25 Q. So it states "the sources 315 may create the 11:51:51

Page 76

1 threat data 310 by monitoring, defining and analyzing
2 potential and known threats to the security of the
3 process control networks 150. For example, data from
4 the Vulnerability Research Service, (VRS) of Assurent
5 Secure Technologies, the Computer Emergency Response 11:52:17
6 Team, (CERT) of Carnegie Mellon University, Secunia,
7 end user research and monitoring, and data from
8 Achilles, certified product users (to name but a few
9 of the sources for firewall ruleset data) may be
10 aggregated." 11:52:39

11 Do you see that?

12 A. I do.

13 Q. So would you agree that the sources 315 are
14 sources of ruleset data?

15 MR. PRICE: Objection. 11:53:00

16 THE WITNESS: I put this in my declaration
17 for example at paragraph 81 where I state: "Law
18 discloses that the UTMS-configured devices receive
19 threat data 310 directly from sources 315, but does
20 not even contemplate incorporating information 11:53:27
21 regarding the source 315 to its ruleset updates and
22 distributing the rulesets to a modified rule table,"
23 and then I reference this paragraph, paragraph 92:
24 "Disclosing that the UTMS-configured device can
25 collect and aggregate threat data 310 from the Firm 11:53:49

Page 77

1 305 or plurality of sources 315 to construct,
2 validate, and verify the various rulesets. Because
3 Law itself does not contemplate modifying rulesets
4 with an indication of a feed and distributing the
5 rulesets to a modified rule table for display, there 11:54:10
6 stands for reason for why a POSITA would have
7 identified both Law and third party Firm 305 to
8 arrive at a PSG receiving from an SPMS, a dynamic
9 security policy, with rules having an indication of a
10 feed that identifies the source, absent looking to 11:54:32
11 the '474 Patent itself."

12 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

13 Q. Dr. Goodrich, I'm asking a specific question
14 and I would like you to try to answer that question.

15 So my question is in the part of 11:54:50
16 paragraph 92 that I read which referred to the data
17 provided by sources 315, that data includes sources
18 for the firewall ruleset data, correct?

19 A. I disagree.

20 Q. So that last sentence of this paragraph that 11:55:15
21 refers to sources for firewall ruleset data, do you
22 see that?

23 A. I do.

24 Q. Those sources for firewall ruleset data are
25 sources 315, are they not? 11:55:32

Page 78

1 A. They are inclusive of the sources 315.

2 Q. And so why would it be your opinion that the
3 data provided by sources 315 don't include the
4 firewall ruleset data?

5 A. I think you've misunderstood what I said. 11:55:48
6 What I'm saying is that the data that is coming from
7 these sources 315 does not include the indicator of
8 the --

9 Q. I haven't asked you about indicators yet,
10 Dr. Goodrich. I'm asking you about the sources of 11:56:09
11 the ruleset data.

12 A. Oh, then I'm sorry, I didn't understand the
13 question. I thought you were asking about the
14 indicators of the sources.

15 Q. No. I'm asking -- and I'll just repeat for 11:56:19
16 clarity, the data provided by sources 315 includes
17 the firewall ruleset data, correct?

18 A. Sorry, I missed that. I'm not seeing that
19 in Law here in paragraph 92. Where do you see that
20 it includes ruleset data? 11:56:44

21 Q. I'm reading from the last sentence and I'm
22 quoting "sources for firewall ruleset data."

23 A. Oh, right. Yes, so I think you're
24 misunderstanding what that is saying. So that's not
25 saying that they are providing the ruleset data, but 11:57:01

Page 79

1 namely that they're providing data that then can be
2 incorporated into rules by the Firm 305 -- by the
3 people working at Firm 305.

4 Q. So that may be one way of interpreting that
5 sentence, but wouldn't it have been obvious to a 11:57:21
6 person of ordinary skill in the art that it's equally
7 valid that rulesets themselves could be provided in
8 the ruleset data?

9 A. No, I disagree.

10 Q. Why would it not be possible for the sources 11:57:37
11 315 to provide rules in their ruleset data?

12 A. That's not what's being disclosed here.

13 Q. I'm asking what would be obvious to a person
14 of ordinary skill in the art?

15 A. It would not be obvious based on this 11:57:54
16 disclosure because these are different entities that
17 are distributing their threats in all different kinds
18 of format and it's never disclosed that it's actually
19 then rules and then as we talked about earlier, the
20 rulesets disclosed in Law are very specific about the 11:58:13
21 types of protected network that it is addressing,
22 such as for nuclear power plants, which these generic
23 sources don't address.

24 So for that reason additionally it would not
25 be obvious to assume that they include rules of 11:58:36

Page 80

1 rulesets.

2 Q. Not only are you saying that you can't
3 assume that they would include rules. If I
4 understand your opinion correctly, you're saying that
5 a person of skill in the art wouldn't even find it 11:58:49
6 obvious that ruleset data could include rules; is
7 that right?

8 A. No, what I'm saying instead is it would not
9 be obvious, based on this disclosure for those third
10 party sources 315 to include rules the way that Law 11:59:04
11 is defining rules.

12 THE REPORTER: We've been going almost an
13 hour and a half and I could use a break.

14 MR. DETRIXHE: We can do a quick ten minute
15 break. 11:59:33

16 (Recess taken.)

17 MR. DETRIXHE: Back on the record.

18 BY MR. DETRIXHE:

19 Q. Welcome back, Dr. Goodrich.

20 A. Thank you. 12:08:26

21 Q. We were just discussing the last two
22 sentences of paragraph 92 of Law and I believe you
23 stated your opinion that a person of ordinary skill
24 in the art would not have found it obvious for the
25 firewall ruleset data provided by the sources 315 to 12:08:42

Page 81

1 have included rules, correct?

2 A. I disagree with the premise of that
3 question. So what we just read from paragraph 92 is
4 that the sources 315 may create the threat data 310
5 and that there is aggregated threat data 310 that 12:09:28
6 it's referring to here.

7 And then your question was asking about
8 ruleset data which is --

9 Q. In the second sentence there provides to
10 providing firewall ruleset data that we looked at? 12:09:41

11 A. Right, but again, a person of ordinary skill
12 in the art reading that when it says a few of the
13 sources for firewall ruleset data here, a person of
14 ordinary skill in the art would understand those are
15 sources used for then incorporating things into the 12:10:02
16 firewall ruleset data, for example, by the Firm 305,
17 but those are not sources of firewall ruleset data.

18 Q. You would agree that the sources 315 include
19 the examples identified here, vulnerability research
20 service, VRS of Assurent Secure Technologies, the 12:10:37
21 Computer Emergency Response Team, CERT of Carnegie
22 Mellon and Secunia, correct?

23 A. Yes, those are examples that are given here
24 as sources 315.

25 Q. And the sources 315 aren't limited to these 12:10:54

Page 82

1 examples, are they?

2 A. I would not understand that a person of
3 ordinary skill in the art would limit them to them
4 because it says to name but a few, sources for, again
5 not of, firewall reset data. 12:11:12

6 Q. Looking at figure 3, the top of figure 315,
7 the numerical 315 -- sorry, the top of figure 3
8 referencing number 315 is pointing towards 6 circles,
9 correct?

10 A. That is correct. 12:11:36

11 Q. So in this figure, there is at least six
12 sources 315, correct?

13 A. I do not dispute that.

14 Q. As I understand your position that the data
15 provided by the sources 315 includes only threat 12:12:02
16 data, but not rules based on the threat data.

17 Would a person of ordinary skill in the art
18 understand there to be potential overlap in the
19 threat data provided by sources 315?

20 A. Yes. 12:12:27

21 Q. In other words, some sources may identify
22 the same threats, correct?

23 A. Yes, I think that's true.

24 Q. In fact, I'm looking at multiple sources
25 315, one would hope and even view it as an indicator 12:12:49

Page 83

1 of the reliability of the threat information if
2 multiple sources 315 were to identify the same threat
3 data, correct?

4 A. I don't know if I would jump to that
5 conclusion, but the disclosure in Law does say that 12:13:08
6 the threat data can be aggregated by the Firm 305.

7 Q. So let's say for one piece of threat data
8 I'm going to ask a hypothetical, let's say all six of
9 the sources identified the same threat data and let's
10 say for a different piece of threat data, it was only 12:13:40
11 identified in one of the six sources.

12 Do you think a person of skill in the art
13 might find the threat data or the threat identified
14 by the six sources to be a more reliable indicator of
15 a threat than the one identified by only one source? 12:13:58

16 A. No.

17 Q. Why is that?

18 A. Because a threat is a threat. How many
19 people say it as a threat doesn't make it worse or
20 better. 12:14:13

21 Q. Do you think that the threat data provided
22 by any source is necessarily correct?

23 A. No, that's why it has to be aggregated and
24 possessed by the Firm 305.

25 Again to recount how Law teaches that 12:14:46

1 process and shown in Figure 3, the Firm 305 is
2 aggregating and collecting the data and putting it
3 into a form that then can be shared through the
4 internet with these various devices. So that is all
5 that's going on.

12:15:08

6 Indeed, it says in paragraph 92 that the
7 Firm 305 can give the threat -- it says: "The UTMS
8 field devices 134 may also be configured to collect
9 and aggregate threat data 310 directly from the firm
10 305 or plurality of sources 315 to construct,
11 validate, and verify the various rulesets."

12:16:02

12 Q. I would like to direct to you Figure 13 of
13 Law.

14 A. I'm there.

15 Q. Do you understand that this is a table
16 providing information about rulesets that may be
17 viewable by an operator?

12:16:36

18 A. Yes, I agree.

19 Q. Do you think that the operator would have an
20 interest in knowing which of the sources 315 provided
21 the threat data that went into forming any particular
22 rule?

12:17:04

23 A. No.

24 Q. Why not?

25 A. Because as I mentioned earlier, a threat is

12:17:24

Page 85

1 a threat. It doesn't matter where it's coming from.
2 What matters is whether or not it's a threat and it's
3 already being aggregated from these sources by
4 curators of some kind, such as the Firm 305 that to a
5 person of ordinary skill in the art would be 12:17:44
6 sufficient for it to be something that could be
7 information that would be incorporated into a
8 ruleset.

9 Q. Earlier, do you recall our discussion on
10 your view that the ruleset data provided by firm 305 12:18:18
11 was generic ruleset data and not particular to a
12 process control system that received the ruleset
13 data, correct?

14 A. I recall a discussion, but I don't recall it
15 with the exact language. I was instead referring to 12:18:42
16 the big picture of Law of how the Firm 305 would be
17 able to provide updates to rulesets that were
18 specific and created by operators in the first place
19 on the devices in their respective networks.

20 Q. I would like you to assume that one of the 12:19:05
21 sources 315 was focused specifically on threat data
22 with respect to the type of threats faced by process
23 control networks.

24 Don't you think that a person of ordinary
25 skill in the art would have an interest in knowing if 12:19:31

1 a particular threat was generated by the source that
2 was focused on generating threats for process control
3 networks?

4 A. No, I disagree.

5 Q. Why not? 12:19:53

6 A. Because as I said earlier, a threat is a
7 threat, and saying certain threats are somehow more
8 important implies that other threats are less
9 important and I don't believe that that is what is
10 being taught in Law. I believe Law is teaching that 12:20:05
11 all threats are equally risky, especially when we
12 have this type of control data for sensitive devices
13 such as nuclear power plants, hence discounting
14 threat data simply because it would not have come
15 from this hypothetical source of control center 12:20:23
16 monitoring would not follow. All threats would be
17 equal in the eyes of an operator.

18 MR. DETRIXHE: Okay. That concludes my
19 questions, Dr. Goodrich. Thank you for your time.

20 MR. PRICE: I have a very short redirect 12:21:03
21 before we conclude today.

22

23 EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. PRICE:

25 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Goodrich. 12:21:14

Page 87

1 A. Good afternoon.

2 Q. Do you recall a discussion with counsel
3 earlier where the term "sub network" was used to
4 refer to process control networks 150a and 150b
5 disclosed in Law?

12:21:28

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Does Law ever refer to networks 150a or 150b
8 as sub networks?

9 A. No. Fortunately I have an electronic
10 version of Law that was provided as Exhibit 1 for
11 this deposition. That word "sub network" does not
12 appear in Law.

12:21:48

13 MR. PRICE: I have no further questions.

14 MR. DETRIXHE: No recross for me.

15 THE REPORTER: Would either of you like a
16 rough?

17 MR. DETRIXHE: Rough and expedited copy.

18 MR. PRICE: We don't need a rough or
19 expedited copy.

20 THE REPORTER: But do you need a copy?

21 MR. PRICE: We will take a copy.

22 (The deposition was concluded at 12:20 p.m.)

23

24

25

Page 88

DECLARATION

I hereby declare I am the deponent in the within matter; that I have read the foregoing deposition and know the contents thereof, and I declare that the same is true of my knowledge except as to the matters which are therein stated upon my information or belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true.

I declare under the penalties of perjury of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

executed on the _____ day of _____
2024, at _____, California.

W I T N E S S

1 I, GINA M. CLOUD, a certified shorthand
2 reporter for the State of California, do hereby
3 certify:

4 that prior to being examined, the witness named in
5 the foregoing deposition, was by me duly sworn to
6 testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
7 the truth pursuant to Section No. 2093 of the Code of
8 Civil Procedure;

9 That said deposition was taken before me pursuant
10 to notice, at the time and place therein set forth,
11 and was taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter
12 reduced to typewriting via computer-aided
13 transcription under my direction;

14 I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
15 nor related to, any party to said action, nor in
16 anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my
18 name this 18th day of January, 2024.

19
20
21 
22

23 GINA M. CLOUD, CSR No. 6315
24
25

[& - 1b]

&	129 18:23	18:21 19:3,7,8	150b 45:2,11
& 3:17	12:20 88:22	19:17 20:8,10	45:15,22 46:8
0	13 40:24 41:1,3	20:11,12,16	46:18 48:3,16
0072506 4:18	41:5 43:9	22:18,19,25	48:23 49:3,5
1	62:15 63:3,21	25:23 26:16	49:17,24 88:4
1	85:12	32:4 37:13	88:7
1 1:25 63:11,20	1324 41:4	147a 9:17	151 10:3 12:6
63:24 65:4,10	134 9:13,16	11:20 12:3,8	16:12 17:2
66:7 67:19	17:7 18:14,19	12:12,15,19,21	18:13,21 25:23
68:11 69:6	32:4 85:8	22:14,18,19	151a 9:17
71:11,18 88:10	146 9:6,12,16	147b 9:17	151b 9:18
10 4:20,23 44:9	10:6 11:3,13	11:20 12:4,8	151c 46:25 47:8
10,785,266 2:12	11:17 12:1	12:12,16,19,22	48:7
4:11,22	17:6 18:14,19	22:14,18,19	152 9:16 18:15
10.4.0.0 41:6	36:16 39:18	148 17:2 18:13	18:19 32:4
100 18:9 25:25	40:7 45:7	18:21 25:23	153 9:18 17:3
32:8 44:25	146a 12:2,13,14	148a 9:17	18:13,21 25:23
47:13,16,19	12:19,20 13:2	148b 9:17	155 46:1 47:15
1005 4:17 8:16	13:7 17:4,11	149 9:16 18:14	48:20 49:10
8:21	17:15 18:2	18:19 32:4	158 9:23
101 3:11 4:25	19:9 22:14	49:9 51:22	16 1:18 2:18
103 41:9	48:19 61:23	149c 17:5 46:11	3:3 5:1
105a 45:1	62:4,9,24	46:13,17,24	18 4:15
46:22 47:15,17	146b 12:4,13	48:2,12,22	1800 3:12
105b 45:1	12:15,19,21	49:2,6,13	18th 90:18
46:23 47:15,17	13:2,7 17:5,11	61:21 62:2,7	192.168 57:2,6
11 44:14	18:2 22:15	62:25	1a 11:22,23,24
11,012,474 1:12	48:19 61:24	150 45:7,10,14	12:11 45:16,24
4:14,25	62:9	77:3	46:15 47:14
110 45:2	147 9:23 10:3,5	150a 45:2,11,15	48:20
12 39:7	11:2,12,17	45:19 46:4,18	1b 46:3,21
120 18:23	12:1,6,7 15:15	48:3,15,22,25	47:16 48:1,8
120-122 45:4	15:21,25 16:5	49:5,17,24	48:23 49:14
127 31:1	16:10 17:2,10	88:4,7	
	17:15 18:1,13		

[2002 - a.m.]

2	30 4:13	4	8
2002 4:20 10:14,20 2011 4:17,19 2012 4:23 10:12,17 61:18 2020 4:13 2021 4:15 2023-00445 14:5 2023-445 7:9 63:11 2023-448 7:13 2023-44h 71:11 2024 1:18 2:18 3:3 5:1 89:14 90:18 2093 90:7 21 63:21 66:7 22 4:12 232.108.116.... 41:7 24 4:19 30:4,12 30:24 34:13 36:5 25 71:18 72:14 266 7:10 8:6 61:17 63:10,15 63:25 68:3,11 68:18	305 16:24 17:9 17:14,25 20:1 20:3 21:4,5 23:10,15 24:12 24:15 26:9,19 27:12 34:3,7 36:16,19 37:5 37:13 38:19 39:14,16 40:5 41:14,23 43:18 43:24 44:3 67:11 68:1 78:1,7 80:2,3 82:16 84:6,24 85:1,7,10 86:4 86:10,16 310 17:1 77:1 77:19,25 82:4 82:5 85:9 315 17:2 76:23 76:25 77:13,19 77:21 78:1,17 78:25 79:1,3,7 79:16 80:11 81:10,25 82:4 82:18,24,25 83:6,7,8,12,15 83:19,25 84:2 85:10,20 86:21 333 3:20 37 4:16 71:21	4 4:11 63:18 40 72:14 43 4:19 445 10:12 448 10:13 474 7:14 8:12 71:10,18 78:11 5 5 4:5,14 71:13 51 9:11 11:2,3 11:18,21 12:5 12:24 13:4 19:15 46:20 47:11 62:16 52 9:1 62:16 53 44:21 45:9 47:12 59 61:13 6 6 83:8 61 30:25 63 4:11 61:16 6315 1:22 90:23 6411820 1:23 66 13:11 14:11 6696 90:22 7 700 3:21 71 4:14	8 4:17 81 77:17 83 4:22 25:18 26:11,14 28:1 28:6,25 31:10 87 4:6 18:6 31:19 32:1 88 16:20 17:8 17:13,23 18:10 18:11,25 19:22 20:17 21:17 22:23 23:14 24:25 37:12,19 37:21 9 9 39:7 90 1:25 18:18 18:18 20:19 23:4 91 25:5 27:19 29:1,2,15 92 14:5 62:16 76:18 77:23 78:16 79:19 81:22 82:3 85:6 94065 3:22 94105 3:13 9:00 1:19 3:3 5:2 a a.m. 1:19 3:3 5:2
3 12:18 16:23 48:13 66:7 83:6,7 85:1			

[a1 - answer]

a1 4:18 able 14:17 18:22 22:12 60:7 86:17 above 9:11 18:21 32:5 absent 38:3 78:10 accept 28:4,10 32:19 33:25 34:4,12 accepting 28:8 accepts 33:11 access 17:5 30:7 35:11 46:11,13,17,23 47:4 48:1,12 48:21 49:2,5,8 49:13 50:10 51:9,21 54:7 54:11 61:20 62:2,6,24 accessing 15:21 accompanying 40:24 achilles 77:8 acknowledged 15:4 act 38:9 action 25:25 27:17 90:15 activity 33:13 actual 36:21 actually 9:10 19:8 27:5	28:20 33:12 60:2 80:18 actuators 31:12 31:22 additional 40:23 41:13 additionally 25:7 80:24 address 15:17 56:6,25 57:3,4 57:13,21 58:4 58:11,14,16,22 58:23,24,25 59:1,4,5,9,13 59:17,19,24 60:2,8,10,18,25 61:2,8 63:6 67:25 70:3 80:23 addressed 21:8 21:9,13 60:14 67:24 68:2 74:15 addresses 25:6 41:6,11 55:19 55:20 63:5,6 64:3 65:20 addressing 41:11 56:5,11 60:15 80:21 afternoon 87:25 88:1 aggregate 77:25 85:9	aggregated 65:22 77:10 82:5 84:6,23 86:3 aggregating 85:2 agree 11:1 13:6 14:11,14 15:6 22:16 23:23 34:14 37:9,18 43:16 44:4 45:20,23 46:6 46:9 48:17 61:23 64:13,16 66:20,24 68:9 72:16,20,21,24 77:13 82:18 85:18 agreed 29:14 65:10 ahead 9:23 aided 90:12 al 4:12,15,18 alarm 25:23 26:21,25 27:14 28:13 30:7 35:11 alerting 32:15 alleged 14:6 38:2 allow 38:18 39:5 47:4 allowed 48:7 allows 46:17	alter 64:15 72:22 alteration 73:2 altered 64:4 65:21 70:15 72:4 73:5 76:1 76:9,14 altering 69:17 70:23 71:2,6 73:9,16 alternative 46:21 alters 64:11 65:12 amend 40:16 analysis 7:21 analyze 55:1 analyzing 77:1 anderson 3:25 8:14 10:10 63:9 71:9 annotated 11:25 answer 5:22,24 6:1,13 8:11 11:16 20:7,8 21:2,21 30:22 32:13 39:21 40:16,18 55:2 55:13 56:14 57:10,25 59:7 59:22 60:3 63:8 68:21 74:2,18 78:14
--	--	--	---

[answered - believe]

answered 38:8 answering 30:16 67:15 anyplace 41:25 anywise 90:16 apart 7:5 appeal 1:3 2:3 appear 88:12 appearances 3:6 appears 33:24 42:17 applicable 38:13,25 39:1 41:14 application 40:25 applied 66:12 applies 51:14 apply 24:7 34:19 39:9,11 62:14 applying 62:22 approval 28:21 approximately 8:5 arrive 78:8 art 7:18 11:18 18:25 19:2 22:11,19 23:20 26:5 28:1 29:19 33:1,5 35:3 38:6 44:20 45:13 47:6 60:22	66:4 68:7 69:13 73:6,8 80:6,14 81:5 81:24 82:12,14 83:3,17 84:12 86:5,25 ascertain 60:23 aside 50:5 62:18 asked 79:9 asking 5:22 14:24 20:8 21:10,15 39:22 64:22 65:9 67:17,21 69:3 69:9 75:3 78:13 79:10,13 79:15 80:13 82:7 aspect 40:1 aspects 35:20 associated 18:13 51:10 assume 49:11 52:14 56:24 62:20,22 70:8 80:25 81:3 86:20 assumption 62:16 assurent 77:4 82:20 attached 8:21 10:17,20 63:18 71:13	attack 44:5,12 attacks 44:8,17 50:22 attention 9:4 71:17 76:17 attorneys 7:22 7:23 audibly 6:12 automatic 25:17,22 26:2 26:6,15,20,23 26:24,25 27:3 27:5,13,16,21 28:2,4,8,18 70:21,24 71:1 71:2,5 73:16 automatically 26:9 28:12 32:16 34:2,8 43:25 64:4,11 64:15 65:12,20 69:16 70:15 72:4,22 73:5 73:18 76:1,6,7 76:8,14 aware 58:8	b2 4:12,15 back 12:24 17:18,20 23:5 24:3 36:14 40:14 70:14 81:17,19 background 44:19 59:18 base 57:5 based 29:18 61:4 65:22 66:24 68:10 69:17 72:5 73:6 80:15 81:9 83:16 bathroom 40:10 bc 16:25 bearing 34:10 beginning 9:6 9:12 56:19 61:13 63:21 76:22 begins 18:5 71:20 behalf 3:2 belief 89:8 believe 8:9 24:19 25:6,13 29:14 33:22 35:13 36:18 37:1,2,25 57:24 59:23 60:13 65:10 67:24 68:17
		b 17:16 19:9 23:13 24:2 50:7,11,14 51:4 52:5,7 53:2,21 54:18 54:22 55:7 56:1,22 57:4,8 57:14,16	

[believe - communication]

69:13 71:21 74:19 81:22 87:9,10 89:8 best 6:10,17 better 19:21 60:6 84:20 big 40:1 86:16 billings 8:8 bit 9:23 17:19 24:3,19 27:2 66:7 block 55:5,5 56:10 blocks 51:14 board 1:3 2:3 boundary 66:13 branches 52:4 break 40:10,11 41:18 81:13,15 broad 58:5 built 73:20 business 67:7,8 69:1 button 28:11 33:10 bypass 39:5 bytes 57:2	call 50:6,7,9,13 53:19 56:23,23 called 58:23 59:11 calls 12:25 canada 16:25 carnegie 77:6 82:21 case 12:7,13 28:8 48:10 55:7 causes 27:13,14 center 87:15 central 43:16 44:4 60:21 centripetal 1:8 2:8 cert 77:6 82:21 certain 25:21 32:24 58:21 87:7 certified 77:8 90:1 certify 90:3,14 change 33:6 58:23 characterizati... 23:24 37:9,18 characterize 46:12 48:24 circles 83:8 cites 16:18 city 3:22 civil 90:8	claim 63:20,24 64:13,17,19,22 64:25 65:4,10 65:14,18 66:7 66:25 67:3,17 67:19 68:2,5,6 68:8,11,17 69:6,10,11 70:11 71:18 72:6,11,25 73:14,22,23 74:17 75:6,14 claims 33:14 71:2 74:20 clarification 53:8 clarified 37:20 clarify 52:18 clarity 17:22 79:16 clear 19:18 21:12 22:23 26:4,18 27:10 36:4 37:25 54:10 clearly 37:3 click 28:10 33:10,25 41:4 clicks 34:11 client 8:9 close 22:9 72:10 cloud 1:22 90:1 90:23	code 90:7 collect 16:25 77:25 85:8 collecting 85:2 column 41:4 63:20 66:7 71:18 72:14 combination 50:13 51:5 combine 50:2 combined 22:7 22:21 49:17 50:13 51:4 come 39:13 43:24 44:1,3 59:3 87:14 comes 16:10 65:19 74:14 coming 25:16 34:7 58:21 65:7 79:6 86:1 commercial 24:13 64:19,24 65:6,15 67:4,6 67:11,21,25 68:15,19,22,25 69:8 70:6 common 57:2 communicates 34:24 communicating 47:15,18 communication 9:18 46:17 48:2,9
c			
c 49:9 50:14 51:6 cable 47:23 california 3:13 3:22 5:1 89:11 89:14 90:2			

[communications - control]

communicati... 47:4,7 48:5 49:8,9,11,12 51:11 communicati... 45:3 47:21 company 69:1 69:8 70:6,12 70:19 complete 22:20 23:14,21 56:14 component 33:2 36:8 components 12:19 16:17 19:23 24:5 38:20 39:8,12 43:11 47:20 52:17 comprise 8:3 comprised 64:2 comprising 66:11 72:1 computer 52:3 52:10 53:25 54:4 73:17 77:5 82:21 90:12 computers 45:4 45:5 52:5,12 54:6,10 con 42:24 conclude 55:25 87:21	concluded 88:22 concludes 87:18 conclusion 16:19 84:5 conditions 25:22 configuration 31:5 38:2,17 41:8 54:7,24 configurations 22:2 39:4 43:8 43:10 73:10,12 73:15 configure 18:22 24:21 25:2 59:16 configured 9:19 13:1,8,14 13:19 14:1,12 14:21 15:3,19 16:1 17:4,5,6 17:11 18:2,14 18:19,20 32:3 41:21 43:7 46:23 52:16 63:4 71:1 77:18,24 85:8 confirm 47:25 confused 27:2 conjunction 23:11 connect 51:8,12 51:20 52:17	connected 45:3 47:21 50:18 52:3,6,10,11 53:12,19,25 54:3,4,8,17,18 connecting 48:19,22 50:8 connection 7:8 7:13 44:10 46:16,22 47:22 49:4 connections 47:2 52:12,21 53:11,13 62:13 consider 51:5 52:6 54:16 55:8,23 56:7 57:7,15 58:18 59:19 60:9 61:6 69:23 considered 49:17 50:22 51:1,16 52:20 56:16 62:2,7 consistent 48:4 construct 17:2 78:1 85:10 constructing 28:22 36:20 constructions 69:11 constructs 17:25 37:13 contemplate 77:20 78:3	contemplating 39:24 contents 89:5 context 11:23 18:4,10 20:16 20:17 22:22,23 23:2 27:20,25 28:2,25 33:4 37:23 38:13 41:14 42:8 50:17,23,25 53:7 54:15 58:5,5,15 67:6 67:15,16,17 68:20 72:14,16 73:8 74:1,4 contexts 58:7 continue 15:18 continues 72:7 continuing 51:24 continuous 31:18 contradicting 53:14 contradictory 42:16,17,21 43:5 contrary 43:20 contrast 28:13 control 16:13 18:8 25:8,14 27:22 29:3,5 29:10,16,20 30:8 31:13,22
--	---	---	---

[control - defining]

32:7 34:25 35:12 44:11,16 44:25 45:1,7,9 45:10,18,21 46:4,7 47:1,1 47:13,14,16,17 47:18 48:14,15 77:3 86:12,23 87:2,12,15 88:4 controller 14:9 controllers 45:2 conversation 5:21 cooperation 7:22 copies 10:7 copy 88:17,19 88:20,21 corporation 69:2,3 correct 7:10,14 8:13 12:17 13:19 14:1,13 15:7 16:1 18:3 19:10 22:15 26:1 34:15 35:16,21,22 42:25 43:1 44:12,18 45:11 45:19,22 46:1 46:2,5,8,11,18 48:16,23 53:4 54:13,14 61:21	61:24 62:9 64:9 66:19,23 68:8,23 71:4,5 73:24 74:25 78:18 79:17 82:1,22 83:9 83:10,12,22 84:3,22 86:13 89:12 corrected 1:15 correctly 19:6 22:5 28:17 39:15 52:24 61:2 73:22 74:21 81:4 corresponding 64:3 counsel 3:6 5:23,25 7:24 8:2 30:15 88:2 90:14 couple 76:21 coupled 12:3,4 12:12 course 20:15 court 5:18 6:5 create 64:15 72:22 76:25 82:4 created 19:17 24:10 37:25 64:4 65:21 70:15 72:4 73:5 74:24 75:16 76:1,6,7	76:8,14 86:18 creates 16:14 38:14 64:11 65:12 creating 69:17 70:23 creation 71:2 73:1,9,16 credit 23:18 criteria 64:2 critical 24:5 36:8 75:5 cross 46:22 csr 1:22 90:23 curators 86:4 customer 74:23 cyber 16:23 17:24 d d 4:1 data 13:15,17 13:18,24,25 14:13,19,20 15:3,7,10,11,12 15:13,20,21,25 16:5,10 17:1 22:2,6,20,21 23:10,11 24:25 25:2,3 28:19 32:5 36:19,20 37:22 77:1,3,7 77:9,14,19,25 78:16,17,18,21 78:24 79:3,4,6 79:11,16,17,20	79:22,25 80:1 80:8,11 81:6 81:25 82:4,5,8 82:10,13,16,17 83:5,14,16,16 83:19 84:3,6,7 84:9,10,13,21 85:2,9,21 86:10,11,13,21 87:12,14 dated 4:12,15 4:18 day 89:13 90:18 declaration 4:20,23 7:8,12 8:6,11 14:4 15:16 16:7 19:16 21:7,13 25:16 26:12 37:6 42:1 49:22 61:13,17 67:23 69:12 74:14,15 77:16 89:1 declarations 7:17,20,23,25 8:3 10:8,11 60:15 declare 89:3,5 89:10 defense 5:23 defining 9:18 77:1 81:11
---	--	--	---

[definitive - discovered]

definitive 55:2 55:13 denies 30:6 35:10 depend 50:25 54:19,24 55:15 74:4 depending 69:21 depends 33:15 34:21 50:17 52:20 53:6 58:15 74:1 deponent 89:3 deposition 1:16 2:16 3:1 5:17 6:21 7:6 88:11 88:22 89:4 90:5,9 describe 34:17 described 18:12,20 31:2 32:5,13 46:25 47:2 49:14 59:24 describes 12:24 13:1 29:15 34:14 describing 13:6 description 4:10 13:3 44:20 designed 31:11 31:21	detail 31:2 details 40:6 53:7,10 55:1 55:12,15,16,21 55:22,25 56:7 56:13 59:21 60:4 74:2 detection 25:21 determine 8:10 detrixhe 3:10 4:5 5:9 8:25 10:22 11:7 12:9 13:23 15:1,14,24 17:18,21 20:2 22:4 24:17 30:17 33:8 37:11 40:4,11 40:14,17 47:9 49:20 51:2 53:9 55:14 57:23 63:19 71:14 74:3 75:4,13 76:10 78:12 81:14,17 81:18 87:18 88:14,17 device 9:12,16 9:19 13:14,19 14:1,12 15:3 15:19 16:1 18:19 26:8,10 26:18,20 27:11 27:12 28:11 30:5 33:2	34:25 35:9 39:11 46:11,13 46:17,24 47:4 48:1,12,22 49:2,5,9,13 50:9,10 51:9 51:20,21 56:22 58:17 59:2,6 60:25 61:3,21 61:21 62:2,3,6 62:7,24 77:24 devices 13:2,8 14:21 17:5,6,7 18:14 32:4 39:4 41:16 44:15 45:7 47:20 50:1,21 56:5 58:9 59:17,19 60:19 61:24 77:18 85:4,8 86:19 87:12 diagrams 39:6 difference 19:7 26:14 different 26:8 28:5,7 30:18 47:1 53:3,13 57:4 58:7 59:20 60:3 61:10 62:12,13 62:13,19 67:16 80:16,17 84:10 differently 65:3 70:13	difficult 6:7 8:9 11:10 direct 9:4 29:23 52:12 71:17 76:17 85:12 direction 51:25 90:13 directly 15:2 25:8 27:23 77:19 85:9 disagree 14:2 14:14 24:1 33:18 61:25 62:10 69:9 78:19 80:9 82:2 87:4 disclose 16:5 disclosed 80:12 80:18,20 88:5 discloses 14:12 15:6 24:20 37:13 38:4 77:18 disclosing 26:9 77:24 disclosure 30:13 80:16 81:9 84:5 disconnection 17:19 discounting 87:13 discovered 16:16
---	--	--	---

[discuss - entity]

discuss 61:14 69:12 discussed 22:13 36:6 37:19 42:2 70:14 discusses 39:3 discussing 22:17 41:18 81:21 discussion 11:22 18:5 36:18 60:21 86:9,14 88:2 display 18:23 31:1,3,11,20,20 32:15 33:23 45:6 78:5 displayed 32:14,24 displaying 32:17 displays 25:9 27:24 30:7 31:4,10 32:3 35:11 dispute 44:7 83:13 disputing 43:22 distinguishes 26:2 distribute 17:3 20:3 distributed 19:1,9 23:15 23:20 33:10,16	33:17,21 37:16 43:17 55:20 73:19 74:11 75:17 distributes 17:10,15 18:1 20:5 37:14 distributing 23:1 77:22 78:4 80:17 distribution 23:1 33:18 doc 10:14 document 8:20 10:16,19 63:17 71:12 dolphin 3:20 domain 41:12 dotted 48:18 dr 4:20,23 5:10 10:11,23 12:10 13:3 18:3 19:4 24:18 26:1 30:21 35:16 41:17 47:10 51:23 53:23 56:18 63:12,14 68:4 70:5,13 71:15 72:9,15 78:13 79:10 81:19 87:19,25 draft 7:24 drafts 8:1 drive 3:20	due 34:8 duly 5:5 90:5 dumb 56:2 dynamic 14:6 66:10 71:25 72:2,3 75:24 75:25 76:4 78:8 dynamically 31:11,21	element 31:1 elements 31:3,7 31:11,20 32:2 embodiment 24:20 29:12,17 29:22 30:1 34:14,17,23 35:4,6,21 36:2 36:6,11 46:21 48:8 49:14 embodiments 34:19 36:1 emergency 77:5 82:21 enable 41:5 encompasses 60:3 enforcement 25:12 engineer 31:3 73:20 entailing 60:10 entered 24:10 37:4 enterprise 51:17 68:20,22 68:25 enterprises 67:25 enters 23:7 entirety 67:18 entities 80:16 entity 13:15 15:19 16:25 64:19,24 65:6
		e	
		e 4:1 89:19 e.g. 13:2 18:13 earlier 23:5 24:20 37:19 38:8 40:16 43:6,10 53:14 53:24 57:25 60:17 80:19 85:25 86:9 87:6 88:3 easy 22:22 edited 8:2 editing 8:6 effect 5:17 25:4 27:18 effective 18:15 effort 28:15 eight 57:2 either 11:20 12:7 27:12 45:15 50:3 60:14 88:15 electronic 88:9	

[entity - finish]

65:15 67:4,6 67:11,21 68:15 69:1,8,22 70:6 environment 19:21 39:18 equal 87:17 equally 80:6 87:11 equipment 19:20 38:12,18 50:24 especially 87:11 esq 3:10,18,19 et 4:12,15,18 etcetera 47:23 event 27:10 evidence 41:13 exact 86:15 examination 4:5,6 5:8 87:23 examined 5:6 90:4 example 9:17 14:5 16:11 19:14 22:22 26:11 28:4,11 30:3,19,20 39:7 40:8,24 41:1,3 43:9 49:9,25 51:3 51:24 52:1,9 54:6 55:22,24 56:2,15 58:16 59:23 60:7,10	62:15 63:2 67:7 77:3,17 82:16 examples 13:7 61:12 82:19,23 83:1 except 89:6 exception 5:25 exclude 75:15 excuse 30:15 executed 89:13 exemplary 61:12 exercise 68:10 exhibit 4:11,14 4:17,20,23 8:15,16,19,21 10:10,12,14,17 10:20 61:18 63:10,11,18 71:10,11,13 76:18 88:10 exhibits 4:9 10:15 exist 43:15 58:9 existing 20:6 22:1,2,7 44:1 53:13 exists 42:20 43:15 expedited 88:17,19 expert 7:20 explain 14:6 16:12 39:24	49:23 explained 19:15 25:15 26:11 35:20 explaining 58:12 explains 18:6 18:11 19:22 23:6 35:25 40:25 explicitly 17:9 17:14,24 38:4 38:14 extent 75:9 external 44:8 44:10 66:9,21 69:15,24 70:8 70:9 eyes 87:17	feature 35:15 feed 78:4,10 feel 21:8,13 42:2 74:15 fetching 28:19 fiber 47:23 field 17:7 65:8 85:8 figure 11:22,23 11:24 12:11,18 16:23 40:24 41:1,3,5 43:9 45:16,24 46:3 46:15,21 47:14 47:16 48:1,8 48:13,18,20,23 49:14 62:15 63:3 83:6,6,7 83:11 85:1,12 figures 39:7 42:24 filtering 53:1 54:20 62:3,8 64:1,1,12,15 65:12 66:12,18 72:1,3 75:25 76:5 filters 61:3 finally 32:1 find 30:3 40:19 81:5 84:13 fine 65:16 finish 30:16,22 30:23
		f	
		faced 86:22 facilitating 25:15 fact 83:24 factor 61:5,7,9 fail 56:9 fair 6:9 10:4 50:12 51:5 61:11,23 fairly 9:5 familiarity 58:3 far 14:25 feasible 24:8	

[fires - give]

fires 39:18 firewall 9:6,12 9:15 10:6 11:3 11:13 12:2,4 12:15,20,21 17:11 25:3 42:25 45:24 52:1,3 54:8,12 62:4 77:9 78:18,21,24 79:4,17,22 81:25 82:10,13 82:16,17 83:5 firewalls 12:12 12:14 13:2,7 17:4,15 18:2 19:9 22:14 36:16,17 37:14 39:19 40:7 41:20 44:15 48:19 62:9,24 firm 16:24 17:9 17:14,25 20:1 20:3 21:3,5 23:10,15 24:12 24:15 26:9,19 27:12 34:3,7 36:16,19 37:5 37:13 38:19 39:14,16 40:5 41:13,23 43:18 43:24 44:3 67:11,25 77:25 78:7 80:2,3 82:16 84:6,24	85:1,7,9 86:4 86:10,16 first 5:5 10:24 18:11 23:23 51:8,18 52:4,7 52:9,11 63:25 64:1,13 66:11 66:17 70:14 76:18 86:18 floor 51:18 52:4,5,11,11 53:17,19,20,21 54:16,18,21,21 55:6,7 57:4 floors 52:8 flow 49:8,10 flowing 49:12 focus 64:9 focused 35:5 86:21 87:2 focusing 21:16 26:13 follow 16:2 19:16 56:21 87:16 following 9:22 64:21 65:1 67:9,10 69:5 75:2,20 follows 5:6 force 5:17 foregoing 89:4 89:11 90:5 form 22:21 23:12,22 32:21	36:25 50:16 85:3 format 80:18 forming 68:4 85:21 forth 90:10 fortunately 88:9 found 40:23 81:24 foundation 14:22 53:5 francisco 3:13 frankel 3:17 front 8:24 71:16 full 5:11 9:15 fuller 3:19 6:25 fully 25:25 function 57:11 functional 63:2 63:7 functionalities 50:1,19 functionality 35:1 39:5 58:10 functions 52:21 55:18 62:4,8 62:23 further 59:12 88:13 90:14 future 43:12	g gateway 66:1,8 66:10,17,22 68:13 69:16 70:10 71:24 72:19 74:12 75:17 general 35:5,22 36:7 45:25 generally 31:2 generate 70:18 73:18 generated 34:2 34:8 43:25 87:1 generates 42:23 74:10 generating 26:10 87:2 generation 26:23 70:22 71:6 75:8 generic 24:7 38:12,20,24 39:9,11,17 41:13 43:12 80:22 86:11 generically 11:20 12:7 gina 1:22 90:1 90:23 give 6:17 51:3 55:2,12,22 56:14 58:13 85:7
---	---	---	---

[given - includes]

given 82:23 gives 16:18 60:8,11 global 9:24 go 11:24 18:17 27:20 34:13,13 36:14 37:7 41:9 48:13 52:14 61:13 63:2 goal 43:20 goes 54:20 64:7 74:23 going 5:14 13:13 28:11 47:12 48:10 51:25 52:2,13 53:19 55:6 56:23 59:13 70:13 81:12 84:8 85:5 good 5:10 40:9 87:25 88:1 goodrich 1:17 2:17 3:1 4:3,20 4:23 5:4,10,13 8:18 10:14,23 12:10 13:3 18:3 19:4 24:18 26:1 30:21 35:16 41:17 47:10 51:23 53:23 56:18 63:12,14 68:4 70:5,13	71:15 72:9,15 78:13 79:10 81:19 87:19,25 goodrich's 10:11 graph 31:1 graphic 14:8 18:23 31:11,20 32:2 graphical 19:17 23:6 33:3,24 ground 5:15 53:17,20 54:16 54:21 55:6	hope 83:25 host 45:3 65:24 66:3 69:19,24 hour 81:13 hours 7:4 8:5 hub 52:13 human 14:8,18 28:21 41:11 70:24 71:4 73:2,10,11,13 74:22,25 75:7 75:9,15 humans 71:1 hypothetical 21:23 23:8,25 24:1,2 50:4 51:3 53:8 54:3 54:23 55:17 57:9,19 60:5 63:7 75:19 84:8 87:15 hypothetically 62:22	implementati... 28:23 41:24 42:4,10 implemented 10:6 11:3,12 13:19 14:1,20 16:17 22:14 33:12 36:17,23 41:20 42:5,14 42:24 62:19 implementing 15:6 28:20 55:4 implements 12:14,15,21,21 53:1 54:19 implications 67:12 69:22 implies 87:8 imply 26:22 important 6:4 6:12 87:8,9 include 9:16,24 13:18,25 36:16 37:17 39:16 41:10 44:25 46:24 47:19 79:3,7 80:25 81:3,6,10 82:18 included 82:1 includes 12:18 14:15 78:17 79:16,20 83:15
	h		
	half 81:13 happened 76:4 happening 33:4 happens 27:11 happy 16:8 37:7 hardware 38:17 39:2 41:10 43:8 head 6:14 help 53:23 hereto 8:22 10:18,21 63:18 71:13 hereunto 90:17 highly 50:20 hindsight 38:3 home 51:17 52:1,8,19	i	
		identification 8:21 10:17,20 63:18 71:13 identified 78:7 82:19 84:9,11 84:13,15 identifies 78:10 identify 83:21 84:2 illustrating 46:21	

[including - know]

including 7:20 17:10 24:21 46:23 47:22 inclusive 28:24 79:1 incompatible 56:11 incomplete 21:22 57:18 75:18 incorporated 80:2 86:7 incorporating 77:20 82:15 indicating 61:10 indication 78:4 78:9 indicator 79:7 83:25 84:14 indicators 79:9 79:14 inferring 36:9 information 31:4,14,23 32:14,15,18,24 63:4 65:23 69:18 72:6 77:20 84:1 85:16 86:7 89:7 initial 7:24 8:1 14:6,15 15:13 16:14 19:16 20:8,20 33:18	37:4,17 38:7 38:15,19,25 41:19,24 42:4 42:10,19,24 43:2,6,14,15 44:2 57:2 initially 13:18 13:25 22:13 36:17,23 37:24 42:5,14 52:14 56:23 74:24 initiate 25:12 input 15:12 28:3 40:21 44:2 inside 58:18,21 59:6,8,11,12 instructs 5:25 integral 33:2,6 integrated 25:7 27:22 29:3,10 29:16 interest 85:20 86:25 interested 90:16 interface 14:8 19:17 23:7 30:8 31:12,22 33:3,24 34:24 35:12 36:7,12 41:2 intermediary 14:19	internet 46:1 46:15 47:15,22 48:9,20 49:1,6 49:10,15 50:9 52:2,10 53:25 54:7,11,12 85:4 interpret 21:15 23:14 25:10 68:6 interpretation 23:18,25 29:25 41:19 interpretations 23:17 interpreted 68:8 71:8 interpreting 64:22 80:4 intervention 70:25 73:10,11 73:13 intimate 16:13 intimately 24:11 invalid 75:6 involve 70:24 73:11 involved 31:15 31:25 involvement 35:14 70:18 71:4 73:3 74:22,25 75:7 75:9	involving 60:7 ip 55:19 57:3 ipr 7:9,13 14:5 63:11 71:11 ipr2023-00445 2:11 ipr2023-00448 1:11 isolate 50:21 issue 17:17
j			
january 1:18 2:18 3:3 5:1 90:18 jdetruxe 3:14 jeff 6:24 jeffrey 3:18 jenna 3:19 6:24 job 1:23 5:22 joined 46:10 60:24 joining 50:10 joint 50:23 jonathan 3:10 jprice 3:23 jump 38:2 84:4			
k			
keysight 1:5 2:5 kind 53:1 86:4 kinds 80:17 know 8:18 9:6 10:23 22:19 25:19 40:6			

[know - management]

57:10 62:21 63:12,14,21 68:22 71:15 84:4 89:5 knowing 38:16 85:20 86:25 knowledge 16:13 89:6 known 77:2 knows 19:21 kramer 3:17 kramerlevin.... 3:23	17:9,13,24 19:18 20:10,15 21:7 22:12,17 23:10,17,18,19 24:3,20,24 25:1,5,10 29:9 29:19,24 30:2 30:4 33:19,20 33:23 34:2,9 34:14,16 35:24 36:16,23 37:12 37:16 38:1,4,9 39:3 40:2,20 41:14,16,19,25 42:1 43:23,24 44:5,7,22 45:9 45:13,16 46:20 47:25 49:23 50:5,20 51:10 51:22 61:14 62:17 63:3 67:12 68:1 76:18 77:17 78:3,7 79:19 80:20 81:10,22 84:5,25 85:13 86:16 87:10,10 88:5,7,10,12 law's 41:20 43:17 layers 59:9 lead 73:10,13 73:15 leads 11:21	left 45:18 46:4 47:4 48:14,25 51:18 65:7 levin 3:17 likewise 12:3 18:9,17 31:19 41:5 59:3 limit 83:3 limitation 63:24 66:14,16 67:19 70:14,17 71:20,23 72:8 72:17 limitations 64:8 67:2 70:2 limited 82:25 line 48:19 58:11 63:21 66:7 71:21 72:14 little 9:23 24:19 66:6 llp 3:9,17 load 8:14 10:10 63:9 71:9 local 49:13 59:5 locally 58:17 located 65:24 long 7:3 9:5 74:23 longer 54:1 57:10 look 16:8 25:5 25:18 40:1	44:9 45:16 46:3 47:11 61:16 looked 76:19 82:10 looking 12:11 17:23 29:2 76:21 78:10 83:6,24 looks 17:19 losing 53:14 lost 57:9 lot 53:7 55:16 55:20 60:17
l			m
labeled 61:20 laguna 5:1 lan 47:18,22 49:14 language 30:9 31:8 32:9 64:17,20,23,25 65:19 66:25 67:18 68:3,5,6 68:17 69:10 70:11 71:8 72:6,11 73:1 73:14,22 74:17 74:20 75:15,22 86:15 law 4:18 5:18 8:16,23 9:2 12:24 13:6 14:12,17,25 15:2,6,16 16:4 16:7,9,18,20			m 1:22 90:1,23 made 8:2 52:21 maintain 18:8 32:7 43:18 make 7:21 19:5 24:23 43:13 71:7 75:22 84:19 makes 19:18 making 46:16 malicious 65:22,24 66:3 69:19,24 72:5 management 12:25 16:24 17:25 64:5,10 64:14,18,23 65:5,11,21 66:9,18 67:20 69:4,14 70:7

[management - network]

70:16 71:25 72:5,17 76:2 76:15 manual 25:24 26:3,16,22 27:1,15 28:5 28:14,21 manually 28:22 41:20 42:23 march 4:19 marked 8:15 8:20 10:16,19 63:11,17 71:10 71:12 mask 57:2,6 mass 14:20 matching 64:2 materials 7:19 matter 57:1 86:1 89:4 matters 8:4,8 52:23 86:2 89:6,8 mean 15:11 20:6,25 33:15 57:22 58:2 59:22 68:19 69:21 74:5 meaning 70:22 meanings 69:20 means 31:17 73:9 meant 9:11	measurements 31:12,21 meet 6:20,23 meeting 7:3,5 66:5 meetings 7:6 mellon 77:6 82:22 mentioned 12:11 24:19 38:3 85:25 met 6:24 michael 1:17 2:17 3:1 4:3,20 4:23 5:4,13 middle 48:21 midway 9:5 minute 81:14 minutes 11:9 mischaracteri... 19:11 mischaracteri... 27:7 32:23 37:2 missed 72:10 79:18 missing 55:17 55:21 mission 24:5 misstates 39:20 misunderstan... 36:3 79:24 misunderstood 79:5	mitigate 44:5 44:17 mixed 8:8 modification 39:23 40:2 modified 76:11 77:22 78:5 modify 22:2 73:21 74:7 modifying 74:22 75:15 78:3 modules 47:20 moment 50:5 monitor 18:7 32:6 monitored 31:14,24 monitoring 77:1,7 87:16 morning 5:10 move 30:14,18 30:20 multiple 49:23 59:9 83:24 84:2	nature 39:10 41:15 near 13:4 necessarily 32:18 34:10,19 49:10 55:11 59:20 84:22 need 22:6,20 28:13 51:8 53:8,10 55:1 55:12 59:21 60:4 74:2,18 88:18,20 needing 19:25 39:13 neither 90:14 nest 59:15 nested 59:18,24 60:1,10 nesting 60:18 network 13:1,8 17:6 18:8,14 18:16,19 25:21 30:4,6 31:6 32:4,4,7 35:9 35:11 43:19,21 44:5,8,11,15,17 45:6,7,10,14,18 45:22 46:4,8 46:11,13,16,23 47:1,3 48:1,11 48:15,16,21,25 49:2,3,5,8,12 49:13,17,24 50:2,6,7,10,14
		n	
		n 4:1 89:19 naftalis 3:17 name 5:11 41:12 47:24 77:8 83:4 90:18 named 90:4	

[network - opposed]

50:23 51:1,6,6 51:9,17,18,19 51:21 52:5,8 52:19,20 53:20 53:21 54:16,17 54:18,21,22 55:6,7,8,19,24 56:1,4,6,8,9,25 57:4,17 58:10 58:13,16,18,20 58:21,23 59:1 59:6,9,11,12,13 59:16,18,24 60:2,8,9,10,18 60:24 61:1,2,7 61:20 62:1,6 62:24 63:5 64:3 65:20,25 66:9,22 69:15 70:9 80:21 88:3,11 networks 1:8 2:8 41:15 44:17 45:1,11 45:25 46:10,18 48:2,6,11,22 49:5 50:10,13 50:18 51:4,7 52:7 53:2,3,20 55:23,25 56:11 56:16,17,22 57:8,8,14,15,16 58:9 59:8,8,12 59:13,20 60:11 60:23,24 61:4	61:6,9,11 65:25 77:3 86:19,23 87:3 88:4,7,8 never 51:14,14 80:18 nevertheless 39:8 new 16:16 22:2 22:3,8 niguel 5:1 nodding 6:14 notice 3:4 90:10 nuclear 19:20 24:6 38:11 80:22 87:13 number 4:10 7:9,13 8:8 47:19 58:22,24 58:25 83:8 numerical 83:7 o oath 5:15,17 object 30:6 35:2,6,10,19,23 36:6,12 objection 5:23 11:4,14 13:20 14:22 15:8,22 19:11 21:22 23:22 32:21 34:23 36:25 39:20 46:19 49:18 50:16	52:12 53:5 55:10 57:18 73:25 75:1,11 75:18 77:15 objective 60:21 obvious 23:19 24:1 33:19 38:2,5 39:23 40:3 50:2 80:5 80:13,15,25 81:6,9,24 obviously 19:24 occasionally 55:5 occur 48:9 49:6 74:9 office 1:1 2:1 offline 31:18 oh 79:12,23 okay 6:15 10:4 22:10 28:10 30:20 87:18 online 31:12,15 31:17,21,25 33:4 opened 64:24 operate 14:18 operation 31:5 33:2 operations 31:16,18,25 operator 14:18 16:12 18:22 19:18,21 20:21	23:6 24:10,21 25:1,7,9,11,14 27:22,24 28:3 28:9 29:3,4,10 29:16,20 30:7 31:3,5 32:14 32:15,16,18,19 32:25,25 33:6 33:10,25 34:4 34:11 35:12,14 36:7,12 37:4 38:14,16 40:21 41:4,21,23 42:9,23 44:2 73:20 75:15 85:17,19 87:17 operators 18:7 29:7 31:15,24 32:6 37:25 86:18 opinion 16:9 19:6 20:11 23:24 24:23 28:18 29:18 33:13 35:14 36:14 42:23 43:25 52:19,24 62:21 65:16 75:7 76:7 79:2 81:4,23 opinions 8:3 56:20 61:2 68:4 opposed 6:14 36:1
---	---	--	--

[opposite - performing]

opposite 51:25 optic 47:23 optional 35:15 order 22:7 25:3 27:17 70:25 ordinary 11:18 18:24 19:1 23:3 26:5 28:1 33:1,5 35:2 38:9 45:12 47:5 66:4,5 68:7 69:13,20 70:1,22 73:4,6 73:8 80:6,14 81:23 82:11,14 83:3,17 86:5 86:24 outcome 90:16 outdated 42:6 42:14 43:3 outgoing 58:24 outside 33:14 58:19 59:7 73:23 overall 18:5 overlap 83:18 overseen 70:11 overview 58:13 own 52:5 57:6 owned 64:18 65:5,14,15 67:4,20 68:14 68:14 69:7 owner 1:9 2:9 3:16	owner's 4:21 4:24 ownership 70:4 owns 69:3 70:7 70:19 <tr> <td colspan="2">p</td></tr> <tr> <td>p.m. 88:22</td><td rowspan="2"></td></tr> <tr> <td>packet 63:25 64:1,2,11,15 66:1,8,10,11,16 66:17,22 68:13 69:15 70:10 71:24 72:1,3 72:18 75:25 76:5</td></tr> <tr> <td>packets 51:13 55:18 58:20,25 59:3</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>page 4:4,10</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>pages 1:25 4:13 4:16,19,22,25</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>paint 16:8</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>pair 47:23</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>paragraph 9:1 9:5,10,11 11:2 11:3,18,21,22 12:5,24 13:4 13:10 14:5,11 16:12,20 17:8 17:13,23 18:6 18:10,11,18,18 18:25 19:15,22 20:17,19 21:16 21:17 22:23 23:4,14 24:25</td><td></td></tr>	p		p.m. 88:22		packet 63:25 64:1,2,11,15 66:1,8,10,11,16 66:17,22 68:13 69:15 70:10 71:24 72:1,3 72:18 75:25 76:5	packets 51:13 55:18 58:20,25 59:3		page 4:4,10		pages 1:25 4:13 4:16,19,22,25		paint 16:8		pair 47:23		paragraph 9:1 9:5,10,11 11:2 11:3,18,21,22 12:5,24 13:4 13:10 14:5,11 16:12,20 17:8 17:13,23 18:6 18:10,11,18,18 18:25 19:15,22 20:17,19 21:16 21:17 22:23 23:4,14 24:25	
p																		
p.m. 88:22																		
packet 63:25 64:1,2,11,15 66:1,8,10,11,16 66:17,22 68:13 69:15 70:10 71:24 72:1,3 72:18 75:25 76:5																		
packets 51:13 55:18 58:20,25 59:3																		
page 4:4,10																		
pages 1:25 4:13 4:16,19,22,25																		
paint 16:8																		
pair 47:23																		
paragraph 9:1 9:5,10,11 11:2 11:3,18,21,22 12:5,24 13:4 13:10 14:5,11 16:12,20 17:8 17:13,23 18:6 18:10,11,18,18 18:25 19:15,22 20:17,19 21:16 21:17 22:23 23:4,14 24:25																		

 25:5,18 26:11 26:14 27:19 28:1,6,25 29:1 29:2,15 30:4 30:11,12,24,25 31:10,19 32:1 34:13,18,22,22 35:24 36:5 37:12,19,21 41:9 44:9,14 44:21 45:9 46:20 47:11,12 61:13,16 76:18 77:17,23,23 78:16,20 79:19 81:22 82:3 85:6 **paragraphs** 19:15 23:5 37:6 62:16 **paralegal** 3:25 **parameters** 22:3 32:5 **part** 34:6 43:1 43:4 49:13 51:16 52:8 54:1 56:6 57:16 61:1 67:5 71:5 73:12 78:15 **particular** 29:17 34:25 39:18 40:6 85:21 86:11 87:1 | **parts** 39:9 **party** 16:14,23 65:23 66:3 69:18,24 78:7 81:10 90:15 **passed** 11:9 **passes** 56:4 **patent** 1:1,3,9 1:12 2:1,3,9,12 3:16 4:11,14 4:17,21,22,24 4:25 7:10,14 8:6,12 40:20 40:25 61:17 63:10,15,25 68:3,11,18 71:10,18 75:23 78:11 **patents** 7:19 **path** 65:12 **penalties** 89:10 **pending** 17:22 **people** 6:7 25:17 80:3 84:19 **perform** 7:21 25:24 26:21,25 55:18 58:10 62:3,8 **performed** 42:3 52:21 59:14 61:8 62:24 74:6 **performing** 26:16 28:5,12 |

[performing - process]

54:25 57:21 performs 57:13 perimeter 61:21,24 62:2 62:7 perjury 89:10 permitted 75:10 person 11:18 18:24 19:1 22:11,18 23:2 23:20 26:5 28:1 29:19 32:25 33:4 35:2 38:6,8 45:12 47:5 60:22 66:4 67:8 68:7 69:13 73:6,7 76:12 80:6,13 81:5,23 82:11 82:13 83:2,17 84:12 86:5,24 personal 45:5 personnel 16:15 18:7,22 31:15,25 32:6 70:12,19 perspective 26:19 27:11 petitioner 1:6 2:6 3:2,8 ph.d. 1:17 2:17 3:1 4:3 5:4	phrases 69:19 picture 40:1 53:15 86:16 piece 84:7,10 pipeline 73:19 74:9 place 15:16 16:7 21:7,12 42:1 60:14 67:23 74:14 86:18 90:10 plain 66:5 69:20 70:1,21 73:4 plant 18:7 31:6 32:6 plants 19:20 24:6 38:10,11 80:22 87:13 please 5:11 8:14,18 9:1 10:7,10 11:5 13:21 29:13 30:16,22 40:22 62:22 63:9,12 63:14,20,22 plurality 17:1 78:1 85:10 point 44:12 pointing 83:8 policy 14:7 64:5,10,14,18 64:23 65:5,11 65:21 66:9,11 66:18 67:20	69:4,14 70:7 70:16 71:24,25 72:2,4,5,17 75:24 76:1,2,4 76:5,15 78:9 port 53:16,18 58:22,24,25 59:4,5 portion 47:25 72:8 posita 78:6 position 14:17 83:14 possessed 84:24 possibility 49:16 possible 44:11 59:8,15 60:19 70:10 80:10 possibly 56:7 potential 77:2 83:18 potentially 55:5 61:3 power 19:20 24:6 38:11 80:22 87:13 preamble 37:10 premise 82:2 prepare 6:20 7:6 prepared 7:23 60:13	present 3:24 7:1 25:11 31:13,23 presents 44:11 previously 8:15 18:6,12 37:12 63:10 71:10 price 3:18 4:6 6:24 11:4,14 13:20 14:22 15:8,22 19:11 21:22 23:22 30:15 32:21 36:25 39:20 40:12 46:19 49:18 50:16 53:5 55:10 57:18 73:25 75:1,11,18 77:15 87:20,24 88:13,18,21 prior 7:18 90:4 problem 65:2 procedure 90:8 proceeding 7:9 7:13 10:12,13 71:20 process 7:16,18 16:13 18:8,20 30:8 31:1,6 32:7 34:25 35:12 44:11,16 44:24 45:1,2,7 45:9,10,14,18 45:21 46:4,7
---	--	---	--

[process - received]

47:1,13,14,16 47:17,18 48:14 48:15 70:23,24 77:3 85:1 86:12,22 87:2 88:4 processed 9:19 processes 39:7 processing 56:3 produced 37:24 product 77:8 profit 27:14 programmable 34:24 prohibit 74:22 prohibited 75:7 prohibition 69:7 prompt 25:23 26:21 properties 51:21 protected 39:19 40:6 65:25 66:10,22 69:15 70:9 80:21 protecting 19:19 43:20 44:8 protection 43:18 provide 24:12 31:4 32:4 38:6	44:16 60:7 68:13 80:11 86:17 provided 20:1 21:2,5 23:10 36:19 39:16 47:6 48:5 78:17 79:3,16 80:7 81:25 83:15,19 84:21 85:20 86:10 88:10 provides 22:6 48:2 67:2 72:18 82:9 providing 21:4 22:1 38:4 79:25 80:1 82:10 85:16 psg 78:8 purpose 43:16 44:4 pursuant 3:4 90:7,9 put 14:7 77:16 putting 20:20 50:5 62:18 85:2	21:1,4,9,14,19 23:16 25:6 29:13,23 30:16 34:11 35:18 37:10,15 38:3 40:16,19 42:7 42:8,13,15,16 43:5 45:8 47:3 49:7 50:12 54:15 57:10 58:2,12 59:22 60:4,16 62:5 62:18 63:8 64:21 65:1,8 65:13,17,18 66:2 67:1,5,10 67:15 68:2,16 68:19,20,25 69:5 74:2,13 74:19 75:5,12 75:14,21 76:3 78:13,14,15 79:13 82:3,7 questions 5:22 30:19 87:19 88:13 quick 40:10 81:14 quite 14:25 53:16 75:20 quote 17:9 quoting 27:2 79:22	r rather 29:11,21 30:1 35:15 51:6 read 9:15 16:9 17:14 18:10 28:25 35:4,8 37:12 68:5 69:10,12 76:22 78:16 82:3 89:4 readable 41:11 reading 15:18 18:9,25,25 22:12 23:19 67:18 68:11 69:6 79:21 82:12 reads 69:13 reason 6:17 24:2 36:22 78:6 80:24 rebutting 7:21 recall 8:7 21:9 58:1 68:1 74:13 86:9,14 86:14 88:2 receive 13:15 14:13 15:3,20 25:2,11 66:17 77:18 received 9:19 13:17,24 14:7 14:10,19 15:7 15:10,13,15,25
	q question 5:24 6:1,13 11:1,6 11:10,11,16 13:22 14:24 15:5,17,23 17:12,23 20:7		

[received - responsible]

24:22 30:5 35:9 36:14,15 36:24 37:5 65:23 69:18 86:12 receives 24:24 receiving 16:5 66:7 71:23 78:8 recess 40:13 81:16 recites 63:25 71:23 record 5:12 11:8,15 17:18 40:14 81:17 recount 84:25 recross 88:14 redirect 87:20 reduced 90:12 redwood 3:22 reed 3:9 reedsmith.com 3:14 refer 19:24 58:19 59:7 88:4,7 reference 8:17 8:23 11:21 16:22 19:2 20:11,12 21:16 29:1 67:13 68:1 77:23 referenced 11:2 11:17 21:3	23:4 24:25 39:3,12 41:12 references 7:19 27:3 referencing 28:18 43:12 83:8 referred 8:20 10:16,19 57:24 58:10 60:1 63:17 71:12 78:16 referring 11:19 12:6,6 15:10 20:15,16,18,19 21:18 22:24,25 24:13 26:6 33:17,22 34:6 34:21 37:21,22 37:23 42:9,19 42:22 45:13,14 49:7 65:18 66:2,6 68:24 82:6 86:15 refers 9:23 15:21 20:10 22:19 23:5 28:22 29:17 35:19 39:5 45:9 58:14 78:21 reflect 11:8 regard 49:4 regarding 8:11 67:1 77:21	related 44:20 90:15 relates 7:9,14 relating 8:6 reliability 84:1 reliable 84:14 relied 35:7,13 35:18 relying 29:24 remain 18:15 remember 60:15 remind 9:8 remote 5:16 repeat 11:5 13:21 17:22 29:13 62:5 79:15 rephrase 65:2 reported 1:21 reporter 6:5 40:9 81:12 88:15,20 90:2 represent 32:3 request 41:23 require 18:15 25:11 28:14,21 32:19 38:22 60:12 70:17 71:3 required 5:24 16:15 20:23 24:9 25:2 27:17 29:5,21 36:13 40:21	68:5 72:22 74:20 76:16 requirement 26:7 29:11 30:1 35:15,25 36:8 65:4,14 68:12 requirements 73:23 requires 20:16 41:4 64:14 65:11 66:16 requiring 70:14 research 77:4,7 82:19 reset 83:5 respect 35:1,23 36:5 59:10 61:14,17 63:5 67:12,25 68:2 69:11,23 86:22 respective 25:9 27:23 50:19 63:5 86:19 respectively 12:13 respond 6:12 responding 35:18 response 4:21 4:24 77:5 82:21 responsible 42:10
---	--	---	--

[result - saying]

result 31:13,23 review 7:19 rewriting 28:15 right 9:11 10:4 15:2 21:16 22:8 34:5 40:7 44:14 45:21 46:7 47:5 48:15 49:3 51:19 52:22 55:9 60:1 67:14 75:23 79:23 81:7 82:11 rise 60:8,11 risk 16:23 17:25 42:5 43:2 44:5,17 50:21 risky 87:11 rogers 4:12,15 rough 88:16,17 88:18 roughly 7:4 routed 55:19 router 52:13 56:24 57:11,13 57:21 routes 51:13 53:17 routing 58:16 rule 12:6,15 28:15,19,19,22 28:23 29:7 34:4 39:11	41:5,23 54:20 56:3 62:8 74:7 77:22 78:5 85:22 ruled 76:13 rules 5:15 9:24 14:15 22:2,3 24:13,15 26:10 30:6 35:10 39:9,12,17,17 51:14 53:1 54:25 55:4 56:9 61:4 64:1 64:2,15 65:13 66:12,18 68:13 69:17 70:15,18 72:1,3,18,23 73:19,21 74:10 74:11 75:25 76:6 78:9 80:2 80:11,19,25 81:3,6,10,11 82:1 83:16 ruleset 9:16 10:5,6 11:2,2 11:12,12,17,20 12:2,4,7,15,16 12:21,22 13:15 13:17,18,24,25 14:13,19,20 15:3,7,10,12,20 15:21,25 16:5 16:10 17:10 19:3,7,8,16 20:6,7,8,10,11	20:12,16,20,24 21:10,11,20,20 21:24,25 22:1 22:6,7,8,19,20 22:21 23:12,14 23:21 24:7,25 25:4,8,12 27:23 28:16 29:3,10,16,21 32:16 33:11,11 33:22 36:15,19 36:23 37:4,17 37:22 38:12,24 38:25 41:1,10 41:19,24 42:4 42:5,11,12,13 42:19,24 43:3 43:7,7,11,14,15 46:24,25 47:7 48:6 62:14,19 62:21,23 67:2 74:7,8,22,24 75:16 77:9,14 77:21 78:18,21 78:24 79:4,11 79:17,20,22,25 80:8,11 81:6 81:25 82:8,10 82:13,16,17 86:8,10,11,12 rulesets 9:17,23 10:3 12:12 15:15 16:14,15 17:2,3,4,10,15 18:1,1,12,13,21	19:3,23,25 20:3,5,18,22,22 21:3,5,6,18 22:13,17,24 23:1,7,21 24:10,21,22 25:23,24 26:16 26:17,23 27:4 27:5,6,13,16,18 33:9 36:15,17 36:20,21 37:13 37:14,17,21,23 37:24 38:5,7 38:15,19,23 39:1,16 41:13 43:17,19 44:1 44:3 51:10 63:3 73:2,18 75:8 77:22 78:2,3,5 80:7 80:20 81:1 85:11,16 86:17 running 67:8 s s 89:19,19 san 3:13 satisfy 64:19,24 70:11 76:8 saw 48:20,23 saying 19:6,8 19:14,14 20:13 20:14 22:9 25:1 27:4,8,9 28:24 32:23,23 36:4,4 55:3
--	---	---	---

[saying - share]

68:24 79:6,24 79:25 81:2,4,8 87:7 says 6:6 18:18 25:6 28:13 31:19 44:13 65:19 75:22,23 82:12 83:4 85:6,7 scenario 23:9 23:13 24:2 53:2 54:1 57:15 59:25 60:2 62:23 75:21 scenarios 24:8 38:21,22 schematic 39:6 schemes 56:12 scope 33:14 73:14,23 screen 45:6 scroll 12:18 13:10 66:6 second 3:11 10:25 14:16 16:22 35:8 44:24 52:5,7 52:11 82:9 secondly 51:15 section 34:16 44:19 90:7 secunia 77:6 82:22	secure 25:25 77:5 82:20 secured 30:5 35:9 security 14:6 16:23,24 17:24 18:8 31:6 32:5 32:7 44:16 64:4,10,14,18 64:23 65:5,11 65:21 66:1,8,8 66:10,11,17,18 66:22 67:20 68:13 69:4,14 69:16 70:7,10 70:16 71:24,24 71:25 72:2,3,5 72:17,18 74:11 75:17,24 76:1 76:2,4,5,15 77:2 78:9 see 8:19 9:7,8 9:14,20,24 10:2,3,23 11:24 13:3,13 13:16 16:2,6 30:25 36:12 41:3,5,10,25 48:18,21 62:14 63:1,3,6,13,15 64:6 66:14,15 67:18 68:12 69:6 70:2 71:15,22 72:8 76:13,24 77:11	78:22 79:19 seeing 79:18 seem 53:13 58:11 seems 14:24 42:16,20 43:5 seen 45:8 self 42:16,17,21 43:5 send 7:24 sending 26:10 sense 26:7 43:13 sensitive 50:20 87:12 sentence 9:5,7 9:8,12,15,22 13:14 15:18 16:22 17:13 18:11 25:10,19 26:2,13 27:3 28:6 29:4,6,15 29:25 35:4,7,8 35:13,19 36:10 44:10,24 47:13 78:20 79:21 80:5 82:9 sentences 13:13 16:3 35:17 76:21 81:22 separate 29:11 48:6,11 49:24 49:25 50:1 51:7 53:3 56:17 57:8,16	60:11,23 61:6 separated 45:25 46:13,14 48:19 49:1,1 separates 56:22 separating 53:22 september 4:12 server 41:12 64:5,11,14,18 64:23 65:5,11 65:14,22 66:9 66:19,21 67:1 67:4,20 68:12 68:14 69:4,7 69:14 70:7,9 70:11,16,17,20 71:25 72:5,18 72:21 73:17 74:10,24 75:16 76:2,15 service 65:24 66:3 69:19,25 77:4 82:20 serving 14:18 set 9:24 14:15 31:6 64:1 66:11,17 71:1 90:10 sets 12:6 several 11:9 30:2 37:6 share 8:15,19 10:11 63:10 71:10
---	--	---	--

[shared - states]

shared 85:3 shelf 24:14 short 87:20 shorthand 90:1 90:11 show 31:12,21 showed 47:17 showing 41:1 shown 28:6 46:15 85:1 shows 25:13 39:6 43:9 47:14 side 45:18 46:4 47:5,5 48:14 50:21 56:5,6 58:17,19 sides 51:16 63:5 signals 28:13 signature 90:22 similar 48:20 48:23 similarly 41:9 simple 23:2 59:23 simply 11:25 22:20 27:15 29:21 30:1 32:17 53:16 87:14 single 50:2,8,14 51:1,6 52:1,20 53:22 56:8 60:9 61:1	sitting 57:11 70:3 situation 49:16 57:7 six 83:11 84:8 84:11,14 skill 11:18 18:24 19:1 22:11,18 23:3 23:20 26:5 28:1 29:19 33:1,5 35:2 38:6,9 45:12 47:5 60:22 66:4 68:7 69:13 73:6,8 80:6,14 81:5 81:23 82:11,14 83:3,17 84:12 86:5,25 skipping 9:22 smart 52:25 smith 3:9 software 16:17 24:14 solely 42:10 sorry 9:10 17:12 42:7,15 57:9 62:5 63:1 64:21 65:7 67:10 69:5 75:3 79:12,18 83:7 sounds 22:9	source 58:22,24 77:21 78:10 84:15,22 87:1 87:15 sources 17:1 76:22,25 77:9 77:13,14,19 78:1,17,17,21 78:24,25 79:1 79:3,7,10,14,16 79:22 80:10,23 81:10,25 82:4 82:13,15,17,18 82:24,25 83:4 83:12,15,19,21 83:24 84:2,9 84:11,14 85:10 85:20 86:3,21 space 56:7,25 speak 14:4 16:11 30:3 37:5 49:22 67:10 special 69:22 specialized 19:19 24:4,6,9 24:15 38:10,11 38:17,23 39:2 39:4,10 41:15 49:25 50:24 specific 35:3,21 35:23,25 36:1 36:11 38:16,17 38:21,22 41:6 41:7,7,10 43:7	43:9 63:4 78:13 80:20 86:18 specifically 5:25 39:17 63:20 70:3 74:16 86:21 specification 40:20,25 73:7 specifics 61:14 specifies 67:19 specify 67:3 spell 37:3 spend 8:5 spent 8:7 spms 78:8 spot 40:9 stands 78:6 start 53:12 state 5:11,23 29:4 37:2 77:17 89:11 90:2 stated 81:23 89:7 states 1:1 2:1 4:11,14,17 13:14 15:2 16:22 17:9,14 17:24 25:21 29:2,9 30:4,25 37:16 38:14 44:10,15,24 47:12 76:25
--	--	--	---

[station - testimony]

station 18:23 stations 45:4,5 step 33:6 stepping 24:3 story 51:19 street 3:11 stress 31:17 strike 22:12 study 7:18,19 55:12 sub 45:21 46:4 46:7,10,17 48:2,6,11,14,16 48:22 49:2,4 49:23 53:2,20 53:20,21 54:21 54:21 56:11,22 56:25,25 57:14 57:15 60:23 61:4,8 88:3,8 88:11 submitted 7:8 7:12,20 subnetworks 49:16 subscribed 90:17 subsequently 76:11 subsystem 46:22 subsystems 44:25 47:14,19 success 70:25	sufficient 86:6 suggestions 7:22 suite 3:12,21 summarize 60:20 summary 34:16 support 4:21 4:24 16:18 37:3 40:19,20 40:23 62:15 suppose 23:16 23:17 33:9 70:6 sure 10:9 15:9 19:5 24:23 30:23 51:8 56:2 58:15 65:2 71:7 75:23 switch 51:13 52:13,15,16,22 52:25,25 53:16 53:17,18,22 54:5,9,11,17,18 54:19,24 55:4 55:18 56:2,3,4 56:9,23 sworn 5:5 90:5 system 12:25 14:8,17 16:13 16:17 18:9 20:20 24:24 25:25 30:8 31:13,22 32:8	33:7 34:1,25 35:12 36:8 39:19 40:6 44:6,11,12,25 46:22 47:13,18 50:20 63:2 86:12 systems 19:19 24:4,11 47:16 47:17	teach 33:23 teaches 44:7 46:20 49:23 84:25 teaching 14:25 24:3 27:21,25 29:9 35:5,22 35:25 36:5,7 37:16 38:10 87:10 teachings 27:19 29:7,19,24 30:2 33:20 34:9,18,22 38:1 team 77:6 82:21 technical 17:17 technologies 1:5 2:5 77:5 82:20 ten 81:14 term 57:24 58:3 88:3 terminology 53:3 terms 68:8 tested 60:7 testified 5:6 testify 5:16 90:6 testifying 11:14 testimony 6:18 16:4 19:12 21:17 37:10
		t	
		t 4:20,23 89:19 table 77:22 78:5 85:15 take 25:4 27:18 40:11 56:15 88:21 taken 3:2 5:15 40:13 81:16 90:9,11 talk 6:5 29:7 43:13 talked 32:2 43:6,10 46:14 80:19 talking 6:8 34:23 35:1,4 talks 22:25 targeted 39:18 taught 33:19 34:1 40:2 41:16 42:1,2 43:23,24 51:9 51:22 87:10	

[testimony - under]

39:20 text 40:24 62:16 thank 6:11 12:10 19:4 22:10 24:18 41:17 47:10 51:23 56:18 70:5 72:15 81:20 87:19 thanks 71:7 thereof 89:5 90:16 things 19:24 38:20 39:9 43:12 54:25 56:9 76:3 82:15 think 16:10 22:10 26:4 32:22 36:3,22 47:11 49:15 55:3 56:14,19 67:21 79:5,23 83:23 84:12,21 85:19 86:24 third 16:14,23 65:23 66:3 69:18,24 78:7 81:9 thought 37:20 79:13 threat 12:25 17:1 77:1,19 77:25 82:4,5	83:15,16,19 84:1,2,6,7,9,10 84:13,13,15,18 84:18,19,21 85:7,9,21,25 86:1,2,21 87:1 87:6,7,14 threats 16:16 18:16 77:2 80:17 83:22 86:22 87:2,7,8 87:11,16 three 7:4 54:10 time 6:8 8:7 55:1,12 56:15 60:12 74:18 87:19 90:10 title 10:15 today 6:7,18,21 7:6 43:10 57:12 60:13 70:3 87:21 top 13:4 44:14 48:18 83:6,7 topologies 60:3 60:19 62:12 topology 59:16 60:5 towards 83:8 tracker 65:24 69:19,25 tracking 66:3 trademark 1:1 2:1	traffic 30:4,6 35:9,11 54:20 55:5 56:4,10 59:10,13 61:3 65:22 69:17 72:6 transcript 1:15 transcription 90:13 transform 20:24 translate 59:4 translation 57:14,21 58:4 58:11,14,16,23 59:1,10,14,17 59:19,24 60:2 60:8,10,19,25 61:3,8 traversing 66:13 trial 1:3 2:3 trigger 25:22 26:21 27:12,14 28:11 triggering 26:7 26:15,24 27:3 true 17:8 83:23 89:6,9,11 truman 5:13 truth 90:6,6,7 truthfully 5:16 try 60:20 78:14 trying 6:6 39:24 60:21	74:16 tuesday 1:18 2:18 3:3 5:1 turn 9:1 16:20 25:18 44:21 63:20 tweak 73:21 74:5 twin 3:20 twisted 47:23 two 6:7 23:16 46:10 47:14,17 48:5,11 50:8 50:10 51:6,14 53:2,22 55:8 55:23 56:10,11 56:16 57:7 60:23 61:4,8 61:10 81:21 tyme 3:25 type 6:7 28:10 45:4 47:22 57:1,1 67:7 86:22 87:12 types 47:1 80:21 typewriting 90:12 typically 31:14 31:23 44:16
u			
u.s. 4:22,25 under 23:25 89:10 90:13			

[understand - violate]

understand 5:19 6:2 8:16 11:19 14:23 19:2,5 21:17 22:5,10,12 23:3,9 24:11 24:23 26:5 28:2,17 29:18 29:20 33:1,5 35:3 38:9 39:15 42:7,15 43:4 45:13 47:6 50:5 52:18,24 55:3 56:19 60:22 61:1 66:4 67:6 68:16,17,18,24 70:17,21 73:1 73:21 74:17,20 74:21 75:6 79:12 81:4 82:14 83:2,14 83:18 85:15 understanding 10:5 11:11 12:14,20 20:15 20:25 42:22 48:1 62:1 64:10,17 65:8 65:17 70:2 72:25 73:5,22 75:5 understandings 73:7	understood 18:4 71:8 unified 12:25 united 1:1 2:1 4:11,14,17 university 77:6 update 15:11 16:15 20:6,12 21:10,19,24,25 22:1,5 23:10 25:1,2,3,15,17 25:22,24 26:8 26:15,16,20,22 26:25 27:1,4,5 27:6,13,15,21 28:3,4,5,9,12 28:14,14,18,22 33:6,22,25 34:1,7 41:23 42:3,20 updated 24:15 24:22 25:4,12 27:18 28:19 32:17 38:5 39:1 43:13,19 updates 14:9 18:15 19:3,7 19:23,25 20:5 20:18,22,24 21:3,5,18 22:24 23:1 24:12 25:8,16 26:2,3 27:16 27:23 29:4,11 29:17,21 32:19	37:21,24 38:19 42:11 43:14,17 43:22,23 44:1 77:21 86:17 updating 19:25 39:13 upgrades 16:16 upload 10:7 upper 51:19 53:19,21 54:18 54:21 55:6 57:4 use 81:13 used 23:11 36:20 38:21 59:1 82:15 88:3 useful 43:22 user 14:8 15:12 19:17 23:6 25:24 26:21 27:14,17 28:9 31:4 33:24 40:21 41:1 77:7 users 77:8 uses 35:6 57:6 using 19:17 20:1 23:6 34:23 36:11 38:18 48:11 55:20 58:6 59:4 73:4 utilized 31:14 31:24	utms 9:19 13:1 13:1,8,14,19 14:1,12 15:3 15:19 16:1 17:4,5,6,6,11 18:2,14,19 32:3 46:23 77:18,24 85:7 v v 1:7 2:7 valid 80:7 validate 17:3 78:2 85:11 valves 39:6 vancouver 16:25 variable 18:20 variables 60:18 various 13:1 17:1,2,25 18:12 32:13 78:2 85:4,11 verify 17:3 78:2 85:11 version 88:10 view 23:9 26:14 28:20 36:19 39:15 40:5,20 41:22 42:9 58:14 61:5 74:17 75:14 83:25 86:10 viewable 85:17 violate 47:7 48:6
---	--	--	---

[violates - zoom]

violates 30:5 35:10 virtual 3:3 vrs 77:4 82:20 vulnerability 77:4 82:19	37:1 39:21 40:15 46:20 49:19 50:17 53:6 55:11 57:20 74:1 75:2,12,20 77:16 90:4,17
w	word 18:25 31:17 72:10,12 88:11 words 83:21 work 18:23 45:3,5 51:24 66:12 working 80:3 works 40:12 70:19 worse 84:19 write 6:6 writing 7:16 written 6:13 69:10 wurldtech 16:24
w 89:19 walls 61:23 wan 47:16 want 24:22 37:7 50:21 56:13 wanted 71:7 way 10:1 21:21 23:18 39:21 48:24 60:6 67:3 80:4 81:10 we've 15:4 17:14 45:8 46:14 81:12 welcome 81:19 went 43:8 85:21 whereof 90:17 windows 24:14 wire 50:8 53:18 wireless 47:23 witness 3:2 4:2 8:23 11:5,16 13:21 14:23 15:9,23 19:13 21:24 23:23 30:16 32:22	x x 4:1 y yesterday 6:25 7:3 z zoom 3:4 6:24 17:19