

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Netskope, Inc.,
Petitioner

v.

Fortinet, Inc.,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2023-00458
U.S. Patent No. 9,280,678 B2

PATENT OWNER'S NOTICE OF OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Fortinet, Inc. (“Patent Owner”), submits the following objections to Petitioner Netskope, Inc.’s (“Petitioner”) Exhibits 1002, 1014, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, and 1023. As required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.62, Patent Owner’s objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence (“FRE”).

II. OBJECTIONS

A. Objections to Exhibit 1002 – Declaration of Wenke Lee, Ph.D.

Grounds for Objection: FRE 401-403, 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of certain portions of Exhibit 1002 under FRE 401, 402, and 403. Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1002 to the extent that is not cited by the petition, including but not limited to paragraphs 38-39, 41, 43-48, 50-66, 70-73, 92-95, 97, 108-109, 111, 113-117, 120, 122-123, 126-129, 136, 139, 147, 153-156, 160-161, 165-166, 169, 171, 174-184, 188-189, 191-194, 199, 203-209, 215-216, 222-231, 234-235, 237-242, 244, 246, 253-261, 266, 289-291, 295, 297, and Appendices B-E. Because the petition does not rely on those portions of Exhibit 1002, they are not relevant to the instituted grounds of review. Further, to the extent the uncited portions of Exhibit 1002 are deemed relevant, their admission would

be unduly prejudicial, misleading, and a waste of time in view of the fact that they are not cited in the petition.

Patent Owner further objects to Appendices B through E of Exhibit 1002 because Petitioner has not established the documents therein are authentic copies. Patent Owner further objects to Appendices B through E of Exhibit 1002 to the extent they are hearsay. Petitioner further objects to Appendices B through E of Exhibit 1002 as Petitioner has made no attempt to establish the documents therein are printed publications.

B. Objections to Exhibit 1014 – Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms, Tenth Edition (2009)

Grounds for Objection: FRE 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 1014 under FRE 801-803 and 901. Petitioner has not established that Exhibit 1014 is an authentic copy. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1014 as hearsay. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1014 as Petitioner has not established it is a printed publication.

C. Objections to Exhibit 1018 – Song

Grounds for Objection: FRE 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 1018 under FRE 801-803 and 901. Petitioner has not established that Exhibit 1018 is an

authentic copy. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1018 as hearsay. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1018 as Petitioner has not established it is a printed publication.

D. Objections to Exhibit 1019 – Agrawal

Grounds for Objection: FRE 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 1019 under FRE 801-803 and 901. Petitioner has not established that Exhibit 1019 is an authentic copy. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1019 as hearsay. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1019 as Petitioner has not established it is a printed publication.

E. Objections to Exhibit 1020 – Adya

Grounds for Objection: FRE 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 1020 under FRE 801-803 and 901. Petitioner has not established that Exhibit 1020 is an authentic copy. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1020 as hearsay. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1020 as Petitioner has not established it is a printed publication.

F. Objections to Exhibit 1021 – Mika

Grounds for Objection: FRE 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 1021 under FRE 801-803 and 901. Petitioner has not established that Exhibit 1021 is an authentic copy. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1021 as hearsay. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1021 as Petitioner has not established it is a printed publication.

G. Objections to Exhibit 1022 – Microsoft Computer Dictionary, Fifth Edition (2002)

Grounds for Objection: FRE 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 1022 under FRE 801-803 and 901. Petitioner has not established that Exhibit 1022 is an authentic copy. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1022 as hearsay. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1022 as Petitioner has not established it is a printed publication.

H. Objections to Exhibit 1023 – Balakrishnan

Grounds for Objection: FRE 801-803, 901

Patent Owner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 1023 under FRE 801-803 and 901. Petitioner has not established that Exhibit 1023 is an authentic copy. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1023 as hearsay. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1023 as Petitioner has not established it is a printed publication.

Date: September 24, 2023

By: /s/ James Glass

James M. Glass (Reg. No. 46,729)
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP

51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010

Email: jimglass@quinnemanuel.com

Phone: 212-849-7142

Fax: 212-849-7100

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Fortinet, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(E), 42.105(A))

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was served in its entirety on September 24, 2023 upon the following parties via Electronic Mail:

tmillikan@perkinscoie.com
wyuan@perkinscoie.com
btehranchi@perkinscoie.com
aklein@perkinscoie.com
Netskope-FortinetIPRTeam@perkinscoie.com

Date: September 24, 2023

By: /s/ James Glass
James M. Glass (Reg. No. 46,729)
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Email: jimglass@quinnemanuel.com
Phone: 212-849-7142
Fax: 212-849-7100

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Fortinet, Inc.*