

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Engel, Matthew](#); [Trials](#)
Cc: [Williams, Eliot D.](#); [Tempesta, Jennifer C.](#); [Maier, Robert L.](#); goetz@fr.com; kdarby@fr.com; colvin@fr.com
Subject: RE: IPR2023-00465 and -00467, Nanobebe vs. Mayborn
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 1:54:32 PM

Counsel,

From the Board –

In each of IPR2023-00465 and IPR2023-00467, the parties are authorized to file a Joint Motion to Terminate along with a copy of the settlement agreement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74.

The parties are also authorized to file a Joint Request that the Settlement Agreement be Treated as Business Confidential Information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

Regards,

Esther Goldschlager
Supervisory Paralegal Specialist
Patent Trial & Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

From: Engel, Matthew <matthew.engel@bakerbotts.com>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 12:59 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Williams, Eliot D. <Eliot.Williams@BakerBotts.com>; Tempesta, Jennifer C. <jennifer.tempesta@bakerbotts.com>; Maier, Robert L. <Robert.Maier@BakerBotts.com>; goetz@fr.com; kdarby@fr.com; colvin@fr.com
Subject: IPR2023-00465 and -00467, Nanobebe vs. Mayborn

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Board,

The Parties seek authorization from the Board to file joint motions to terminate IPR2023-00467 and IPR2023-00465, Nanobebe vs. Mayborn, challenging U.S. Pat. Nos. 10,952,930 (“the ‘930 Patent”) and 11,207,244 (“the ‘244 Patent”), respectively. The Parties have settled their dispute related to the ‘930 and ‘244 Patents. Petitioner has yet to file its Petitioner’s Reply, and the Board has yet to decide the merits of these proceedings.

Along with the joint motions to terminate, the Parties are prepared to submit a copy of their confidential agreement, as well as joint motions to file the agreement as business confidential information and to keep the agreement separate from the file of the ‘930 and ‘244 Patents.

Termination is appropriate because the Parties' have reached agreement in principle to settle this case, and the confidential agreement resolves all disputes related to the '930 and '244 Patents between the Parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Engel

Scientific Advisor

Baker Botts L.L.P.

matthew.engel@bakerbotts.com

T +1.212.408.2626

M +1.631.334.9476

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, NY 10112-4498

United States

Confidentiality Notice:

The information contained in this email and any attachments is intended only for the recipient[s] listed above and may be privileged and confidential. Any dissemination, copying, or use of or reliance upon such information by or to anyone other than the recipient[s] listed above is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately at the email address above and destroy any and all copies of this message.