

Immunomodulation in multiple sclerosis: from immunosuppression to neuroprotection

Oliver Neuhaus¹, Juan J. Archelos² and Hans-Peter Hartung¹

¹Department of Neurology, Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Moorenstrasse 5, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

²Multiple Sclerosis Research Group, Department of Neurology, Karl-Franzens-Universität, Auenbruggerplatz 22, 8036 Graz, Austria

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common disabling neurological disease of young adulthood. Following advances in the understanding of the immunological mechanisms that underlie the pathogenesis of MS, a growing arsenal of immunomodulatory agents is available. Two classes of immunomodulators are approved for long-term treatment of MS, the efficacy of several promising new concepts is being tested in clinical trials and classical immunosuppressive agents used in MS treatment have been shown to exert specific, immunomodulatory effects. Furthermore, two recent observations have changed our basic understanding of the pathogenesis of MS. First, immune cells in MS lesions have neuroprotective activity, which indicates a beneficial role of neuroinflammation. Second, there is evidence that axonal loss, rather than demyelination, underlies the progression of MS and, hence, constitutes a therapeutic target.

'The surest way to lose a reputation in neurology is to advocate a treatment for multiple sclerosis.' (H. Houston Merritt)

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common inflammatory disorder of the CNS and the leading cause of neurological disability in young adults [1]. Many immune abnormalities have been described in MS, which indicates that the immune system plays a central role in its pathogenesis [2–4]. Although immune responses contribute to the formation and maintenance of MS lesions [5], neuroinflammation might have neuroprotective effects [6,7]. This crucial role of the immune system in disease pathogenesis has important therapeutic implications. For a long while corticosteroids were the only proven therapy for MS. However, these only shorten an acute attack and effective, long-term drug treatment was not available. Although several immunosuppressive agents (i.e. inhibitors of crucial components of the immune system that cause generalized immune dysfunction) were used off-label, the adverse systemic effects, such as increased risk of cancer and infection, limited the potential benefits in MS. More recently, two classes of immunomodulatory agents, interferon β (IFN- β) and glatiramer acetate (GA),

have been approved for the treatment of MS [8–10]. Immunomodulators, which do not cause general suppression of the immune system, shift immune responses from pro-inflammatory autoimmune conditions [mediated by T helper 1 (Th1) cytokines that are released by autoreactive T cells] towards more beneficial anti-inflammatory circumstances (mediated through Th2 cytokines that are secreted by regulatory T cells). Both IFN- β and GA have been proven to be partially effective in clinical trials [1,11–14]. In the search for more efficacious agents, many new drugs are under investigation in preclinical and clinical trials, but several promising approaches have failed [15]. In parallel there have been advances in understanding the underlying pathogenesis of the disease as well as modes of action of the different agents.

Here, we summarize current concepts about the mechanisms of action of therapies already approved for MS and the most promising future candidates.

Disease-relevant immune processes

Since the first description of MS in 1835 by J. Cruveilhier as 'sclérose en taches, en îles par masses disséminées' [16], the concepts of its pathogenesis have been adapted continuously [1,2,4,17]. Although unproven, the current consensus is that MS pathogenesis comprises an initial inflammatory phase, which fulfils the criteria for an autoimmune disease [18], followed by a phase of selective demyelination and last, a neurodegenerative phase [4,17]. Subjects with genetically determined susceptibility to MS [19] harbor T cells that react with CNS autoantigens. Although these can remain dormant for decades, at some point they are activated in the periphery, probably by molecular mimicry (i.e. recognition of epitopes that are common to autoantigens and microbial antigens as exogenous triggers [20,21]). This enables them to migrate through the blood–brain barrier to the brain and spinal cord. Reactivated in the CNS, these T cells of either CD4⁺ helper or CD8⁺ cytotoxic phenotype [22] release pro-inflammatory Th1 cytokines and orchestrate the destruction of the myelin sheath by various types of immune cells. Destruction follows the first two of four pathological patterns [5]: (1) T-cell- and macrophage-mediated demyelination; (2) antibody-mediated demyelination that involves complement activation [3,23]; (3) distal oligodendroglialopathy and

Corresponding author: Oliver Neuhaus (oliver.neuhaus@uni-duesseldorf.de).




Fig. 1. Pro-inflammatory T cells in the periphery are activated when foreign antigens (Ags) and self-antigens that are presented on major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC-II) by Ag-presenting cells, such as macrophages, bind to T-cell receptors (TCRs). Activated T cells migrate to, adhere at and penetrate through the blood–brain barrier, steps that are mediated by adhesion molecules, proteases and chemokines. In the CNS, the T cells are reactivated by CNS Ags presented on MHC-II by other Ag-presenting cells, predominantly microglial cells. The reactivated T cells secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interferon γ (IFN- γ) or interleukin 2 (IL-2), which induce CNS inflammation by subsequent activation of macrophages, other T cells and B cells. Macrophages and T cells attack the myelin sheath of oligodendrocytes (OGs) by cytotoxic mediators, mainly tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), O_2 radicals and nitric oxide (NO). B cells differentiate into plasma cells. These secrete demyelinating antibodies that can guide and activate macrophages, and ignite the complement cascade, which causes assembly of the membrane attack complex and causes pore formation in myelin membranes. Demyelination occurs by four different pathological patterns (1–4), as described in the main text.

oligodendrocyte apoptosis; and (4) primary oligodendrocyte degeneration. The mechanisms of the latter two patterns remain elusive.

In addition to this autoaggressive inflammatory phase, axonal loss, which causes irreversible disability, occurs early in the course of the disease [24,25]. It is unclear whether axonal damage is the consequence of a primary active destructive process executed by, for example, macrophages and cytotoxic molecules derived from CD8 cells [26], or a (patho)physiological response that occurs secondarily to demyelination and is based on increased vulnerability [24,27]. Axonal damage appears to be initiated by increased membrane permeability followed by enhanced Ca^{2+} influx. Disruption of axonal transport alters the cytoskeleton and leads to axonal swelling, lobulation and, finally, disconnection [28].

The different molecules involved in each phase of MS are summarized in Fig. 1 [1,17,29].

MS therapeutics: immunomodulatory profile *in vitro* and *in vivo*

The structural features of the therapeutic agents are shown in Fig. 2. Interferon β 1a (IFN- β 1a), IFN- β 1b and glatiramer acetate (GA) are approved for the treatment of relapsing-remitting (RR) MS, IFN- β 1b for secondary-progressive (SP) MS, IFN- β 1a for SP MS with superimposed relapses and mitoxantrone for worsening forms of RR and SP MS.

Glucocorticosteroids

Methylprednisolone and prednisolone are the mainstays of treatment for acute attacks in MS [30]. Because of side-effects in long-term treatment regimens and superior efficacy compared with oral application, steroids are mostly delivered in intravenous pulses. Most of the immunological effects of glucocorticosteroids are mediated by specific, ubiquitously distributed intracellular receptors. These form a multiprotein complex with two

molecules of heat shock protein 90 (HSP90), one molecule of HSP70, one molecule of HSP56, one so-called immunophilin, and other, less well characterized, proteins. After binding lipophilic glucocorticosteroid, the receptor dissociates irreversibly from the rest of the complex, and the steroid–receptor complex ligates specific glucocorticoid-responsive elements in nuclear DNA. This either upregulates or downregulates the transcription of target genes. The nuclear factor κ B (NF- κ B) transcription factor induces the expression of multiple inflammatory and immune genes [31]. Glucocorticosteroids inhibit NF- κ B, both by direct binding of the activated receptor to NF- κ B and by inducing expression of the specific inhibitory protein I κ B in lymphocytes.

The immunological effects of glucocorticosteroids include: (1) inhibition of T-cell activation and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin 2 (IL-2) and IFN- γ ; (2) increased production of anti-inflammatory Th2 cytokines by T cells; (3) inhibition of IFN- γ -induced major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II expression on macrophages; (4) decreased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, prostaglandins and leukotrienes by macrophages; (5) diminished adhesion of neutrophils to endothelial cells; and (6) inhibition of endothelial-cell activation, and expression of MHC class II and adhesion molecules. Taken together, these actions underlie the sealing effect of steroids on the blood–brain barrier, which prevents further access of immune cells and molecules to the brain.

In addition to the genomic effects mediated by steroid receptor activation, there is recent evidence that high doses of glucocorticosteroids induce apoptosis of target cells by a direct, non-genomic effect [32]. In this case, apoptosis is thought to be mediated by a direct effect on cellular membranes, which influences transmembranous ion transport and, subsequently, reduces the availability of ATP. Steroid-induced apoptosis of autoreactive T cells is important for the termination of an acute MS attack [32].

IFN- β

IFN- β is highly species specific. In humans, the IFN- β polypeptide is produced and secreted by fibroblasts, but virtually all mammalian cells can produce IFN- β on stimulation. Two recombinant IFN- β preparations, IFN- β 1a and IFN- β 1b, are approved for treatment of MS. The optimal dosage and route of administration (subcutaneously versus intramuscularly), the resulting pharmacodynamic properties of IFN- β and the role of neutralizing antibodies are controversial [33–37].

IFN- β has immunomodulatory properties, antiviral and anti-proliferative effects, and promotes cell differentiation [38]. Although the mechanisms of action of IFN- β are not fully understood, there is agreement that the major effects are mediated by activation of a transmembrane IFN receptor, which leads to either the upregulation or downregulation of target genes [39,40]. Unlike IFN- γ , the 'type II interferon', IFN- β and IFN- α (type I interferons) share a receptor, which consists of two chains, IFN- α R1 and IFN- α R2, or several subvariants. Binding of IFN- β (and other type I interferons) to the extracellular domain of the receptor induces an intracellular signal transduction cascade that involves: (1) recruitment and activation of the cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase 2 by IFN- α R1, and Janus kinase 1 by IFN- α R2; (2) subsequent phosphorylation and recruitment of signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT1 and STAT2) to form a STAT1–STAT2 heterodimer; (3) migration of the STAT1–STAT2 heterodimer to the nucleus; (4) association of STAT1–STAT2 with the p48 protein, to form the active 'IFN-stimulated gene factor 3'; (5) binding of IFN-stimulated gene factor 3 to promoter elements and initiation of the transcription of target genes. The variations in this rough scheme of signal transduction that lead to different effects of IFN- β in different target cells are based on variations in each of the steps outlined above, which are not yet fully understood [39].

The range of immune effects attributed to IFN- β is wide and ever broadening [38,41]. It suppresses T-cell proliferation, diminishes IFN- γ -induced upregulation of MHC class II expression, induces the production of Th2 cytokines and reduces synthesis of Th1 cytokines, and inhibits monocyte activation. In addition, IFN- β downregulates matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), decreases surface-expressed adhesion molecules and increases the release of soluble adhesion molecules, which combine to reduce the migratory potential of T cells.

GA

GA is the acetate salt of a standardized, randomized mixture of synthetic polypeptides. After subcutaneous administration, GA is quickly degraded to free amino acids and small oligopeptides and, thus, most probably initiates its major immunological effects in the periphery. Unlike the multiple immunological effects of IFN- β , which are antigen nonspecific, the immunomodulatory potential of GA is based on immune cells that are specific for myelin basic protein (MBP) and, probably, other myelin antigens [38,42]. Four major mechanisms of GA activity have been identified: (1) competition between GA and MBP for binding to MHC molecules; (2) competition between

GA–MHC complexes and MBP–MHC complexes for binding to the T-cell receptor (TCR); (3) activation and tolerance induction in MBP-specific T cells through an altered peptide ligand; and (4) induction of GA-reactive, Th2-like regulatory cells that mediate local bystander suppression.

Whereas the first two effects are thought to take place only *in vitro*, the latter two are also likely to occur *in vivo* and could contribute to the anti-inflammatory effects of GA [42].

Intravenous immunoglobulins

Intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIgs) are pooled, purified, human Igs with virtually unlimited specificities. Consistent with their composition, several mechanisms of action of IVIgs have been suggested [43,44]. These include: (1) anti-idiotypic antibodies (binding and inactivation of pathogenic antibodies by IVIgs); (2) blockade of Fc receptors on mononuclear phagocytes; (3) downregulation of the endogenous production of Igs; (4) attenuation and abrogation of complement-mediated effects (partially by 'consumption' of complement components); (5) neutralization of molecules (TCR, MHC, costimulatory molecules and cytokines) that are involved in inflammation; (6) induction of anti-inflammatory cytokines; and (7) induction of apoptosis. Experimental evidence indicates that IVIgs might also be involved in myelin repair, but clinical proof of this is lacking [44]. Currently, the role of IVIgs in treating MS is undecided.

Immunosuppressive agents

Mitoxantrone is effective in the treatment of severe active forms of MS [45,46]. Although mitoxantrone suppresses both T cells and B cells, *in vitro* experiments indicate that major sites of action in MS are antigen-presenting cells, which are induced to undergo apoptosis, and macrophages, the major effector cells of demyelination, which are deactivated [47].

Azathioprine is widely used in organ transplantation and autoimmune disorders [48] and is considered a second-line drug in MS. It mainly targets the activation, proliferation and differentiation of both T cells and B cells by competition between its metabolites and DNA nucleotides. Specific immunomodulatory properties have not been reported to date.


Cyclophosphamide is used to treat severe and rapidly progressive forms of MS, although evidence of its efficacy in clinical trials is conflicting. In addition to strong immunosuppression, cyclophosphamide exerts immunomodulatory effects that shift immune responses from Th1 towards Th2 by an unknown mechanism [49].

One study has shown methotrexate to convey some therapeutic benefit in progressive forms of MS [10], but its mechanisms of action in autoimmune diseases are largely unknown.

Potential new agents

Anti-adhesion molecules

Adhesion molecules comprise several families of molecules that are essential in virtually all cellular interactions of immune cells [50,51]. The selectins (e.g. L-selectin, E-selectin and P-selectin), which have a lectin-binding



domain that binds to glycosylated and sialylated ligands, are involved in the 'rolling' of leukocytes. Integrins comprise $\alpha 4\beta 1$, $\alpha 5\beta 1$, $\alpha 6\beta 1$ integrins [also known as very late antigen 4 (VLA-4) VLA-5 and VLA-6, respectively] and leukocyte function antigen 1 (LFA-1). VLA-4 and LFA-1 are present on circulating leukocytes and mediate their migration across the vascular endothelium; in addition, LFA-1 is a costimulatory molecule in T-cell activation. Cadherins (including classical cadherins, desmosomal cadherins and protocadherins) form molecular links between adjacent cells by zipper-like structures. Members of the Ig superfamily, such as intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), ICAM-2 and ICAM-3, vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM), and LFA-2 and LFA-3 have Ig-like domains. ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 are the counter-receptors of LFA-1 and VLA-4, respectively, and are thus involved in leukocyte migration.

Antibodies against single adhesion molecules can potentially inhibit crucial steps in the pathogenesis of MS, especially lymphocyte migration. Currently, the most promising candidate is natalizumab [52], a humanized monoclonal antibody against the $\alpha 4$ chain of $\alpha 4\beta 1$ integrin that has been effective in Phase II clinical trials [53]. 'Humanized' means that a murine antibody clone has been grafted to a human IgG4 framework at the complementary determining region to reduce its immunogenicity [52]. Two large-scale, Phase III clinical trials in RR MS have been initiated recently and small-molecule antagonists, which offer advantages over monoclonal antibodies (oral availability and lack of antigenicity) will certainly be explored in the future [54].

Statins

Statins are effective lipid-lowering agents. Recent findings indicate that they have additional immunomodulatory effects *in vivo* in the animal model experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), and *in vitro* [55–57]. One proposed immunomodulatory mechanism of statins is based on the selective inhibition of the adhesion molecule LFA-1, an integrin that is involved in inflammation [58]. Furthermore, statins reduce IFN- γ -induced MHC class II expression by blocking transcription of the class II

transactivator IV promoter [55]. In addition, statins curtail T-cell proliferation, lower expression of activation surface markers and induce production of the cytokine IL-4 [56]. Currently, simvastatin is being tested in a Phase II clinical trial in MS. The oral administration, extensive safety data, possible effects synergistic with IFN- β and simultaneous treatment of co-morbidity make statins particularly attractive candidate agents.

Neurotrophic factors

Neurotrophic factors are secreted proteins that regulate the survival and differentiation of nerve cells [59]. They act via specific neurotrophin receptors [60]. Neurotrophic factors have been observed to shift the CNS cytokine balance from Th1 to Th2 by an undefined mechanism [61]. In addition, they might promote survival of neurons in MS lesions [6] and pharmacological neuroprotection by the exogenous application of neurotrophic factors provides a promising therapeutic approach [59,62]. Insulin-like growth factor 1 is currently explored in a Phase I/II study. Other attractive candidates are brain-derived neurotrophic factor, glial growth factor and ciliary neurotrophic factor [63].

Neuroprotective agents

Recent evidence indicates that axonal and neuronal degeneration occur early in MS and – as the disease evolves – predominate the underlying pathogenetic mechanisms [4,17,24]. This paradigm shift has obvious therapeutic implications. In addition to neurotrophic factors, other chemically defined neuroprotective agents that save neurons from toxic stress [64], such as riluzole (a potent K^+ channel activator used in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) are either being investigated or are likely to be tested in clinical trials.

Similarities and peculiarities

Given the complexity of MS pathogenesis, there are multiple sites where immunomodulatory agents, either alone or in combination, might be effective. Current knowledge of the sites of action obtained from *in vitro* and *in vivo* data is summarized in Fig. 3. Although the

Fig. 2. Structures of immunomodulators. Interferon β (IFN- β), glatiramer acetate (GA) and mitoxantrone are currently approved for use in multiple sclerosis (MS). (a) Glucocorticosteroids are derived from cortisol, a naturally occurring adrenal hormone. Methylprednisolone is commonly used to treat acute relapses in MS. (b) IFN- β contains 166 residues that form five α -helices (A–E). Two preparations of recombinant IFN- β are approved for MS treatment. IFN- $\beta 1a$ is produced in Chinese hamster ovary cells and is pharmacologically identical to the natural form (i.e. it is glycosylated by oligosaccharides at Asn80). Compared with natural IFN- β , IFN- $\beta 1b$ is not glycosylated, it lacks Met1 (i.e. IFN- $\beta 1b$ has 165 residues) and there is a Cys to Ser substitution at residue 17. In both forms of recombinant IFN- β , there is a disulfide bridge between Cys31 and Cys141. Structurally important amino acids are shown in green. (c) GA is the acetate salt of a standardized, randomized mixture of synthetic polypeptides (average length 45–100 amino acids) that consist of L-glutamic acid, L-lysine, L-alanine and L-tyrosine in the molar ratio of 0.14:0.34:0.43:0.09. An example sequence is shown. (d) Intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIGs) are pooled, purified, human Igs prepared by cold ethanol fractionation of human plasma derived from 3000–10 000 donors. Igs share a common Y-shaped structure, which consists of two heavy chains ($50 < \text{hsp sp} = 0.25 > \text{kDa}$ each) and two light chains ($25 < \text{hsp sp} = 0.25 > \text{kDa}$ each) connected by disulfide bridges. The variable region is responsible for antigen recognition. The five heavy-chain isotypes determine the immunoglobulin classes. IVIGs contain ~95% IgG, 2.5% IgA and a minority of IgM. (e) Mitoxantrone is an anthracenedione derivative that is related to the anthracyclins doxorubicine and daunorubicine. It interacts with topoisomerase-2 and causes single and double strand breaks by intercalating with DNA. (f) Azathioprine is a purine analogue that is metabolized rapidly to the cytotoxic and immunosuppressant derivatives 6-mercaptopurine and thioguanosine, the latter competes with DNA nucleotides. (g) Cyclophosphamide is an alkylating agent of the nitrogen mustard group; its active metabolites, formed by the activity of hepatic cytochrome P450, induce DNA-strand breaks. (h) Methotrexate interferes with DNA synthesis by inhibiting dihydrofolate reductase and, thus, thymidine biosynthesis. It reduces 1-carbon transfers to purines. (i) Monoclonal antibodies against adhesion molecules inhibit homing of T cells to the CNS. To reduce immunogenicity, newer approaches use 'humanized' antibodies, which are chimeras of murine variable regions and human constant regions connected at the complementary determining region. (j) Statins inhibit 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase. Statins are effective lipid-lowering agents because HMG-CoA reductase is essential to the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway, but they also have immunomodulatory properties. (k) The structure of neurotrophic factors is dominated by four antiparallel pairs of β strands (green arrows) held in place by three disulfide bridges. Neurotrophic factors are active as homodimers and act via specific neurotrophin receptors. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is shown, with the position of the cysteine residues that form the disulfide bridges in green. (l) Neuroprotective agents comprise a heterogeneous group that is characterized by their ability to exert protection from neurotoxic stress. Riluzole acts by activating K^+ channels.



Taking neurobiological approaches further, the next step on the horizon (which is being investigated in animal models and *in vitro*) is neuroregeneration. This

Box 1. Current clinical trials in multiple sclerosis

<http://www.nationalmssociety.org/pdf/research/agents.pdf>
<http://www.nationalmssociety.org/pdf/research/clinicaltrials.pdf>

neuroconstructive therapy encompasses inhibition of scar formation and promotion of axonal regrowth and remyelination [72–74]. The contentious issue of the use of embryonic stem cells as source of progenitors for remyelination must be discussed in this context. Alternatively, retrieval of multipotential neuronal stem cells from the adult brain has been suggested [75]. Recently, oligodendrocyte progenitors have been identified, even in chronic MS lesions [76]. Transplantation of peripheral Schwann cells is being studied in Phase II clinical trials and defined chemical agents for CNS myelination, such as eliprodil (a high-affinity ligand of a so-called σ -receptor that mediates neuroprotection) are being explored experimentally [77]. Because activated immune cells commonly release glutamate, excitotoxicity induced by glutamate is thought to be involved in the formation of MS lesions and axonal damage. Hence, glutamate receptor antagonists are promising candidates [78].

Many new strategies to combat MS have been investigated and proven to be effective in animal models of MS [79]. Examples include Th2 cytokines other than IFN- β , anti-Th1 cytokines, cytokine receptor antagonists, phosphodiesterase inhibitors, hormones with anti-inflammatory properties, chemokines, MMP inhibitors, blockers of costimulatory molecules, blockers of other adhesion molecules, complement inhibitors, intrathecal application of viral vectors, DNA vaccination, and genetically engineered regulatory T cells. Thus, although predictions based on experimental immunology are only infrequently effective when put to test in clinical trials, the prospects for improved therapy for this crippling disease have never been better. Websites providing information about current clinical trials in MS are shown in Box 1.

Acknowledgements

We have been supported by the Gemeinnützige Hertie-Stiftung, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Max Planck Society.

References

- Noseworthy, J.H. *et al.* (2000) Medical progress: multiple sclerosis. *New Engl. J. Med.* 343, 938–952
- Hohlfeld, R. (1997) Biotechnological agents for the immunotherapy of multiple sclerosis: principles, problems and perspectives. *Brain* 120, 865–916
- O'Connor, K.C. *et al.* (2001) The neuroimmunology of multiple sclerosis: possible roles of T and B lymphocytes in immunopathogenesis. *J. Clin. Immunol.* 21, 81–92
- Hemmer, B. *et al.* (2002) New concepts in the immunopathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 291–301
- Lassmann, H. *et al.* (2001) Heterogeneity of multiple sclerosis pathogenesis: implications for diagnosis and therapy. *Trends Mol. Med.* 7, 115–121
- Hohlfeld, R. *et al.* (2000) The neuroprotective effect of inflammation: implications for the therapy of multiple sclerosis. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 107, 161–166
- Schwartz, M. *et al.* (1999) Innate and adaptive immune responses can be beneficial for CNS repair. *Trends Neurosci.* 22, 295–299
- Paty, D.W. (2000) Interferon beta-1b. In *Principles of Treatments in Multiple Sclerosis* (Hawkins, C.P. and Wolinsky, J.S., eds) pp. 24–37, Butterworth-Heinemann
- Wolinsky, J.S. (2000) Glatiramer acetate. In *Principles of Treatments in Multiple Sclerosis* (Hawkins, C.P. and Wolinsky, J.S., eds) pp. 71–94, Butterworth-Heinemann
- Goodin, D.S. (2000) Therapeutic developments in multiple sclerosis. *Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs* 9, 655–670
- The IFNB Multiple Sclerosis Study Group, (1993) Interferon beta-1b is effective in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: I. Clinical results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology* 43, 655–661
- Jacobs, L.D. *et al.* (1996) Intramuscular interferon beta-1a for disease progression in exacerbating-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* 39, 285–294
- PRISMS (Prevention of Relapses and Disability by Interferon beta-1a Subcutaneously in Multiple Sclerosis) Study Group, (1998) Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled study of interferon b-1a in relapsing/remitting multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* 352, 1498–1504
- Johnson, K.P. *et al.* (1995) Copolymer 1 reduces relapse rate and improves disability in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results of a phase III multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology* 45, 1268–1276
- Wiendl, H. and Hohlfeld, R. (2002) Therapeutic approaches in multiple sclerosis: lessons from failed and interrupted treatment trials. *BioDrugs* 16, 183–200
- Cruveilhier, J. (1835) *Anatomie pathologique du corps humain; descriptions avec figures lithographiées et coloriées; des diverses alterations morbides dont le corps humain est susceptible*, J.B. Bailliere
- Steinman, L. (2001) Multiple sclerosis: a two-stage disease. *Nat. Immunol.* 2, 762–764
- Davidson, A. and Diamond, B. (2001) Autoimmune diseases. *New Engl. J. Med.* 345, 340–350
- Sawcer, S. *et al.* (2002) A whole genome screen for linkage disequilibrium in multiple sclerosis confirms disease associations with regions previously linked to susceptibility. *Brain* 125, 1337–1347
- Benoist, C. and Mathis, D. (2001) Autoimmunity provoked by infection: how good is the case for T cell epitope mimicry? *Nat. Immunol.* 2, 797–801
- Lang, H.L. *et al.* (2002) A functional and structural basis for TCR cross-reactivity in multiple sclerosis. *Nat. Immunol.* 3, 940–943
- Jacobsen, M. *et al.* (2002) Oligoclonal expansion of memory CD8⁺ T cells in the cerebrospinal fluid from multiple sclerosis patients. *Brain* 125, 538–550
- Archelos, J.J. *et al.* (2000) The role of B cells and autoantibodies in multiple sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* 47, 694–706
- Trapp, B.D. *et al.* (1999) Axonal pathology in multiple sclerosis: relationship to neurologic disability. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 12, 295–302
- Coleman, M.P. and Perry, V.H. (2002) Axon pathology in neurological disease: a neglected therapeutic target. *Trends Neurosci.* 25, 532–537
- Medana, I. *et al.* (2001) Transection of major histocompatibility complex class I-induced neurites by cytotoxic T lymphocytes. *Am. J. Pathol.* 159, 809–815
- Bitsch, A. *et al.* (2000) Acute axonal injury in multiple sclerosis. Correlation with demyelination and inflammation. *Brain* 123, 1174–1183
- Bjartmar, C. and Trapp, B.D. (2001) Axonal and neuronal degeneration in multiple sclerosis: mechanisms and functional consequences. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 14, 271–278
- Bar-Or, A. *et al.* (1999) Molecular pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 100, 252–259
- Montalban, X. (1997) Do steroids have a long-term benefit? In *Multiple Sclerosis – Clinical Challenges and Controversies* (Thompson, A.J. *et al.*, eds), pp. 155–167, Martin Dunitz
- Barnes, P.J. (1997) Molecules in focus – nuclear factor- κ B. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 29, 867–870
- Gold, R. *et al.* (2001) Mechanism of action of glucocorticosteroid hormones: possible implications for therapy of neuroimmunological disorders. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 117, 1–8
- Deisenhammer, F. *et al.* (1999) Bioavailability of interferon beta 1b in MS patients with and without neutralizing antibodies. *Neurology* 52, 1239–1243

- 34 Buchwalder, P.A. *et al.* (2000) Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of IFN-beta 1a in healthy volunteers. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* 20, 857–866
- 35 Durelli, L. *et al.* (2002) Every-other-day interferon beta-1b versus once-weekly interferon beta-1a for multiple sclerosis: results of a 2-year prospective randomised multicentre study (INCOMIN). *Lancet* 359, 1453–1460
- 36 Coyle, P.K. and Hartung, H.P. (2002) Use of interferon beta in multiple sclerosis: rationale for early treatment and evidence for dose- and frequency-dependent effects on clinical response. *Mult. Scler.* 8, 2–9
- 37 Panitch, H. *et al.* (2002) Randomized, comparative study of interferon beta-1a treatment regimens in MS: The Evidence Trial. *Neurology* 59, 1496–1506
- 38 Yong, V.W. (2002) Differential mechanisms of action of interferon-beta and glatiramer acetate in MS. *Neurology* 59, 802–808
- 39 Karpusas, M. *et al.* (1998) The structure of human interferon- β : implications for activity. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 54, 1203–1216
- 40 Hohlfeld, R. (1999) Therapeutic strategies in multiple sclerosis. I. Immunotherapy. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 354, 1697–1710
- 41 Liu, Z. *et al.* (2001) Immunomodulatory effects of interferon beta-1a in multiple sclerosis. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 112, 153–162
- 42 Neuhaus, O. *et al.* (2001) Mechanisms of action of glatiramer acetate in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 56, 702–708
- 43 Wiles, C.M. *et al.* (2002) Intravenous immunoglobulin in neurological disease: a specialist review. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 72, 440–448
- 44 Stangel, M. and Hartung, H.P. (2002) Despair of repair. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 72, 1–4
- 45 Jain, K.K. (2000) Evaluation of mitoxantrone for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs* 9, 1139–1149
- 46 Hartung, H.P. *et al.* (2002) A placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomised, multicentre trial of mitoxantrone in progressive multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* 360, 2018–2025
- 47 Neuhaus, O. *et al.* (2002) Multiple sclerosis: immunological effects of mitoxantrone *in vitro* reveal antigen-presenting cells as major targets. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 9 (suppl. 2), 130
- 48 Hong, J.C. and Kahan, B.D. (2000) Immunosuppressive agents in organ transplantation: past, present, and future. *Semin. Nephrol.* 20, 108–125
- 49 Weiner, H.L. and Cohen, J.A. (2002) Treatment of multiple sclerosis with cyclophosphamide: critical review of clinical and immunologic effects. *Mult. Scler.* 8, 142–154
- 50 Archelos, J.J. *et al.* (1999) The role of integrins in immune-mediated diseases of the nervous system. *Trends Neurosci.* 22, 30–38
- 51 Hynes, R.O. (1999) Cell adhesion: old and new questions. *Trends Cell Biol.* 9, M33–M37
- 52 Tubridy, N. *et al.* (1999) The effect of anti- α 4 integrin antibody on brain lesion activity in MS. The UK Antegren Study Group. *Neurology* 53, 466–472
- 53 Miller, D. *et al.* (2003) A controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *New Engl. J. Med.* 348, 15–23
- 54 Jackson, D.Y. (2002) Alpha 4 integrin antagonists. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 8, 1229–1253
- 55 Kwak, B. *et al.* (2000) Statins as a newly recognized type of immunomodulator. *Nat. Med.* 6, 1399–1402
- 56 Neuhaus, O. *et al.* (2002) Statins as immunomodulators: comparison with interferon-beta1b in MS. *Neurology* 59, 990–997
- 57 Youssef, S. *et al.* (2002) The HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, atorvastatin, promotes a Th2 bias and reverses paralysis in central nervous system autoimmune disease. *Nature* 420, 78–84
- 58 Weitz-Schmidt, G. *et al.* (2001) Statins selectively inhibit leukocyte function antigen-1 by binding to a novel regulatory integrin site. *Nat. Med.* 7, 687–692
- 59 Thoenen, H. and Sendtner, M. (2002) Neurotrophins: from enthusiastic expectations through sobering experiences to rational therapeutic approaches. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 1046–1050
- 60 Bothwell, M. (1995) Functional interactions of neurotrophins and neurotrophin receptors. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 18, 223–253
- 61 Villoslada, P. *et al.* (2000) Human nerve growth factor protects common marmosets against autoimmune encephalomyelitis by switching the balance of T helper cell type 1 and 2 cytokines within the central nervous system. *J. Exp. Med.* 191, 1799–1806
- 62 Ransohoff, R.M. *et al.* (2002) Growth factor treatment of demyelinating disease: at last, a leap into the light. *Trends Immunol.* 23, 512–516
- 63 Linker, R.A. *et al.* (2002) CNTF is a major protective factor in demyelinating CNS disease: a neurotrophic cytokine as modulator in neuroinflammation. *Nat. Med.* 8, 620–624
- 64 De Keyser, J. *et al.* (1999) Clinical trials with neuroprotective drugs in acute ischaemic stroke: are we doing the right thing? *Trends Neurosci.* 22, 535–540
- 65 Kappos, L. (1996) Combination of drugs. *Mult. Scler.* 1, 400–403
- 66 Lublin, F.D. and Reingold, S.C. (1998) Combination therapy for treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* 44, 7–9
- 67 Polman, C.H. and Uitdehaag, B.M.J. (2000) Drug treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Br. Med. J.* 321, 490–494
- 68 Ziemssen, T. *et al.* (2002) Glatiramer acetate-specific T-helper 1- and 2-type cell lines produce BDNF: implications for multiple sclerosis therapy. *Brain* 125, 2381–2391
- 69 Krance, R. and Brenner, M. (1998) BMT beats autoimmune disease. *Nat. Med.* 4, 153–155
- 70 Fassas, A. *et al.* (2002) Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple sclerosis. A retrospective multicenter study. *J. Neurol.* 249, 1088–1097
- 71 Hohlfeld, R. (2002) How promising is hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple sclerosis? *J. Neurol.* 249, 1147–1149
- 72 Halfpenny, C. *et al.* (2002) Cell transplantation, myelin repair, and multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol.* 1, 31–40
- 73 Mitome, M. *et al.* (2001) Towards the reconstruction of central nervous system white matter using neural precursor cells. *Brain* 124, 2147–2161
- 74 Franklin, R.J. *et al.* (2001) What roles do growth factors play in CNS remyelination? *Prog. Brain Res.* 132, 185–193
- 75 Barres, B.A. (1999) A new role for glia: generation of neurons! *Cell* 97, 667–670
- 76 Chang, A. *et al.* (2002) Premyelinating oligodendrocytes in chronic lesions of multiple sclerosis. *New Engl. J. Med.* 346, 165–173
- 77 Demerens, C. *et al.* (1999) Eliprodil stimulates CNS myelination – new prospects for multiple sclerosis? *Neurology* 52, 346–350
- 78 Pitt, D. *et al.* (2000) Glutamate excitotoxicity in a model of multiple sclerosis. *Nat. Med.* 6, 67–70
- 79 Martin, R. *et al.* (2001) Immunotherapy of multiple sclerosis: where are we? Where should we go? *Nat. Immunol.* 2, 785–788

Letters to TiPS

If you wish to comment on any article published in *TiPS*, or would like to discuss issues of broad interest to pharmacologists, then why not write a **Letter to the Editor**?

Letters should be up to 700 words. Letters are often sent to the author of the original article for their response, in which case both the letter and reply will be published together. Please note: submission does not guarantee publication.

Please note: submission does not guarantee publication.