

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

- - - - - X
APEX BEAM TECHNOLOGIES LLC, :
Plaintiff, : CASE NO.
v. : 2:22-CV-00031-JRG-RSP
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., : (LEAD CASE)
Defendant. :
- - - - - X

APEX BEAM TECHNOLOGIES LLC, :
Plaintiff, : CASE NO.
v. : 2:22-CV-00188-JRG
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., : (MEMBER CASE)
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS :
AMERICA, INC., :
Defendants. :
- - - - - X

REMOTE DEPOSITION OF
MIGUEL GOMEZ
November 1, 2023
9:04 a.m. PST

Reported by:
Janice M. Kocek, CSR, CLR
Job No. 54576

Henderson Legal Services

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

2 1 The deposition of MIGUEL GOMEZ, via 2 Zoom videoconferencing, called by the Defendants 3 for examination, reported stenographically by 4 Janice M. Kocek, License No. 084-002871, 5 Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certified LiveNote 6 Reporter, and Notary Public for the State of 7 Illinois, commencing at the hour of 9:04 a.m. 8 PST, on the 1st day of November, 2023. 9 10 * * * 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	4 1 INDEX 2 3 TESTIMONY OF MIGUEL GOMEZ PAGE 4 Examination by Mr. High 5 5 6 DEPOSITION EXHIBITS 7 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 8 Exhibit 1 Curriculum Vitae of 29 9 Miguel Gomez 10 Exhibit 2 Miguel Gomez Deposition 59 11 Exhibit - Sample Claims 12 Exhibit 3 United States Patent 63 13 for Sample Claim 1 14 (redacted patent number) 15 Exhibit 4 United States Patent 80 16 for Sample Claim 5 17 (redacted patent number) 18 19 20 REPORTER'S NOTE: All quotations 21 are used for clarity and are 22 reflected in the manner in which 23 they were read into the record 24 and do not necessarily indicate an 25 exact quote from the document.
3 1 APPEARANCES: 2 ** ALL PARTIES APPEARING REMOTELY ** 3 4 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: 5 FABRICANT LLP 6 411 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite 206 7 South Rye, New York 10580 8 212.257.5797 9 BY: JULIAN PYMENTO, ESQ. 10 jpymento@fabricantllp.com 11 12 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS: 13 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & 14 DUNNER LLP 15 271 17th Street, NW, Suite 1400 16 Atlanta, Georgia 30363-6209 17 404.653.6400 18 BY: ROBERT K. HIGH, ESQ. 19 robert.high@finnegan.com 20 -and- 21 901 New York Avenue, NW 22 Washington, DC 20001-4413 23 212.480.4000 24 BY: CHRISTOPHER T. BLACKFORD, ESQ. 25 christopher.blackford@finnegan.com	5 1 (Witness remotely sworn.) 2 MIGUEL GOMEZ, 3 called as a witness herein, having been first 4 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 5 follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. HIGH: 8 Q. Good morning. My name is Bob High. 9 I'm here on behalf of the Samsung 10 defendants. And joining me today listening in 09:04 11 is my colleague, also from Finnegan, Christopher 12 Blackford. 13 So can you please state your name and 14 address for the record? 15 A. Miguel Gomez. The address is 2178 09:05 16 Sirah Court. That's in Livermore, California, 17 94450. 18 Q. And is that where you are today? 19 A. That is. 20 Q. Is there anyone else in the room with 09:05 21 you today? 22 A. No. 23 Q. Do you have any papers or notes with 24 you? 25 A. Not on this desk. Obviously I'm in a 09:05

6 1 small office that does have other equipment but 2 I have set up a special desk just for this 3 purpose. 4 Q. Do you understand that you are under 5 oath, meaning you must testify truthfully today 6 as if you were in court before the judge? 09:05 7 A. I do. 8 Q. Is there any reason that would 9 prevent from you testifying truthfully today? 10 A. No. 09:05 11 Q. I'm sure your counsel probably gave 12 you some general ground rules but I'm going to 13 go over a few of them for the record. Okay? 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. So we have a court reporter who will 16 be recording your answers as if you were giving 17 them in court. So I ask that you answer the 18 questions truthfully and to the best of your 19 knowledge. Okay? 20 A. Yes. 09:06 21 Q. Please respond in an audible answer, 22 not a gesture or a nod. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. If you do not understand any of the 25 questions I ask today, please tell me. If you 09:06	8 1 right at this moment was the -- an editor so I 2 could copy the link to this screen. But I'll 3 close that right now. 4 Q. Okay. 5 A. Don't need that anymore. 09:08 6 Q. So no -- no documents open on your 7 computer that you're using -- 8 A. Nope. 9 Q. -- for the deposition? 10 A. Nope. Not at all. 09:08 11 Q. Okay. When did you first become 12 involved in this case? 13 A. I believe it was late August of this 14 year. 15 Q. Is that when you were first retained? 09:08 16 A. I'd have to go look at that. I don't 17 recall off the top of my head. 18 Do you want me to check? 19 Q. Do you just have like a rough kind of 20 guess? 09:08 21 A. It would have been late September, 22 early -- I mean, excuse me, late July or August 23 from my recollection. 24 Q. Have you reviewed the patents that 25 are at issue in this case? 09:08
7 1 answer a question, I will assume that you 2 understand my question. 3 Do you agree? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. If you need a break, please let me 6 know. I'll try and take a break every hour or 7 so. 8 But if you need a break and a 9 question is pending, I just ask that you finish 10 answering my question before we take a break. 11 Okay? 12 A. Okay. 13 Q. Do you have a phone with you today 14 that you need to place on silent or do not 15 disturb or anything like that? 09:07 16 A. Yeah. Let me do that. Thank you for 17 that. 18 Okay. 19 Q. Do you have any email or instant 20 messenger applications that you're using on the 21 computer for this deposition? 09:07 22 A. No, they're not. 23 Q. Aside from the Zoom window, what 24 other screens do you have open on your computer? 25 A. The only other screen I had open 09:07	9 1 A. I have. 2 Q. How many of the patents have you 3 reviewed? 4 A. I believe there's five of them. 5 Q. And you reviewed all five? 09:09 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. When did you first review each of the 8 patents? 9 A. Well, shortly after I was retained 10 so, again, somewhere between late July and 09:09 11 August. 12 Q. How many hours have you spent on this 13 case thus far? 14 A. I don't have an exact number. I'd 15 hazard a guess. I could pull that up for you if 09:09 16 you want. 17 Q. Sure. 18 A. Okay. This might take a minute. 19 Do you want me to get that to you 20 afterwards or do you want me to do that now? 09:10 21 Q. If you have like an estimate of the 22 hours. I don't need an exact figure. But if 23 you want to... 24 A. It's between 30 and 50 hours. 25 Q. Okay. And that's everything from the 09:10

10 1 time you were first retained leading up to right 2 now? 3 A. Yeah. I believe it's probably closer 4 to 50 hours. But yes. 5 Q. How much time did you spend preparing 09:10 6 your declaration? 7 A. After reading the patents and reading 8 the supplemental information I was given, at 9 least three-quarters of that time. I'm thinking 10 two-thirds, three-quarters of that time. 09:10 11 Q. So between two-thirds and 12 three-quarters of roughly 50 hours? 13 A. Thereabouts. Like I said, I don't 14 have exact numbers. 15 Q. And you mentioned supplemental 09:10 16 information that you were given. 17 What's that referring to? 18 A. That was referring -- I can pull it 19 up. Give me a second here while I get to it. 20 That had to do with -- defendant's 09:11 21 patent local rules disclosures of the 22 preliminary constructions. I can get back to 23 that again. That had to do with the terms that 24 were -- that were originally asked -- or 25 originally proposed. That had to do with the 09:12	12 1 Do you understand that, you know, 2 sometimes us patent attorneys refer to the 3 patent by the last three numbers of the patent? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. So if I mention the '767 patent, you 09:14 6 would know what I was talking about? 7 A. I know which patent you're talking 8 about and which one to refer to, yes. 9 Q. Okay. If I use a number that you 10 don't recognize, just let me know. 09:14 11 But how much of that time that you 12 spent preparing your declaration was for the 13 '767 patent? 14 A. Well, I don't know that I could break 15 it down like that. You know, I looked at them 09:14 16 all in the aggregate and worked on each of them 17 individually. But I didn't track how much time 18 I spent on each individual patent. 19 Q. Did you spend roughly equal time on 20 each patent would you say? 09:14 21 A. No, not necessarily. But like I 22 said, I just didn't keep track of how much time 23 I spent on each individual patent. 24 Q. So of the five patents, which one did 25 you spend the most time on? 09:15
11 1 patents, prosecution histories. That also had 2 to do with preliminary claim constructions and 3 identification of extrinsic evidence. 4 Those -- that's generally the 5 information that I was given. 09:12 6 Q. Do you know, a rough estimate of the 7 between two-thirds and three-quarters of the 8 time you spent thus far in this case, does that 9 time estimate include that time you spent 10 reviewing those supplemental materials? 09:12 11 A. In part or in whole, sure. 12 Q. Okay. So when you said that you 13 spent roughly two-thirds to three-quarters of 14 the hours that you've spent on this case thus 15 far preparing your declaration, that number 09:13 16 could include the time you spent reviewing that 17 supplemental information? 18 A. Yes. 19 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. Form. 20 BY MR. HIGH: 09:13 21 Q. Of the time that you spent preparing 22 your declaration, how much of that time was for 23 -- well, sorry. Let me back up. 24 So you mentioned that there's five 25 patents at issue in this case. 09:13	13 1 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know if I could 3 answer that. I mean I did spend a fair 4 amount of time on the '767 patent. It is 5 the first one that came up in discussion. 09:15 6 But like I said, I just don't -- I didn't 7 track that. 8 BY MR. HIGH: 9 Q. Can you -- sorry. Strike that. 10 Of the five patents, do you know 09:15 11 which one you spent the least amount of time on? 12 A. Same answer. 13 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 14 THE WITNESS: Whoops. 15 Same answer. 09:15 16 BY MR. HIGH: 17 Q. So you might not have spent roughly 18 equal time on each of the patents but you can't 19 recall, you know, the relative amount of time 20 that you spent working on your declaration with 09:15 21 respect to each patent? 22 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: I -- I think that, you 24 know, they were generally approximately the 25 same amount of time. Sure. There's going 09:16

14 1 to be one that I spent more time on and I 2 would hazard to guess it might have been 3 the '767 patent. But I didn't track the 4 amount of time that I spent on each 5 individual patents. 09:16 6 You know, I'm not sure I could 7 really answer your question specifically or 8 -- or accurately. 9 BY MR. HIGH: 10 Q. Did you write the declaration 09:16 11 yourself? 12 A. I had help with the declaration. But 13 those are my opinions in the declaration, that 14 is -- that is correct. 15 Q. Did you write any of the sections of 09:16 16 your declaration by yourself? 17 A. I wrote -- like I said, I had help 18 with the declaration. Those are my opinions. I 19 did not write any of the legal sections but I 20 did help -- I did write the -- in conjunction 09:16 21 with the attorneys the -- the technical 22 sections. 23 Q. Is there any section that you wrote 24 without the help of your attorneys? 25 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 09:17	16 1 together until we were both happy. I 2 wasn't requesting to allow them to put 3 anything in that I wouldn't agree with. 4 MR. HIGH: Objection. Nonresponsive. 5 BY MR. HIGH: 09:18 6 Q. Mr. Gomez, I'd like you to answer the 7 question that I'm asking you, please, rather 8 than, you know, changing what my question is. 9 MR. PYMENTO: With all due respect, 10 counsel, he is answering the question. I 09:18 11 would appreciate -- 12 BY MR. HIGH: 13 Q. So my question is -- 14 MR. PYMENTO: If you reask the 15 question, that's fine. 09:18 16 BY MR. HIGH: 17 Q. Is there any section of your 18 declaration that you wrote without the help of 19 your attorneys? 20 A. I think I answered it thoroughly. I 09:18 21 think I answered your question. The way we 22 wrote the declaration is that I reviewed the 23 materials, I came up with my opinions, submitted 24 the draft, and then that's the one we worked on 25 until we were both happy. And I wouldn't allow 09:19
15 1 THE WITNESS: Well, like I said, I 2 did -- you know, those are my opinions. I 3 did review all of the documentation. I did 4 write the technical aspects. I gave them 5 the first draft and that's -- so I would 09:17 6 say, yes, I did. 7 BY MR. HIGH: 8 Q. Which section did you write without 9 the help of your attorneys? 10 A. I did not say that. 09:17 11 Q. But you -- you answered the last 12 question with "yes, I did" so I thought you were 13 saying that you did? 14 A. Well, like I said, I gave them a 15 draft and we went back and forth and worked on 09:17 16 the draft until we were happy with it. 17 Q. Okay. So let me ask my question 18 again. 19 Is there any section of your 20 declaration that you wrote without the help of 09:18 21 your attorneys? 22 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: As I said, you know, 24 those are my opinions. I -- I wrote a 25 draft and then we worked on the draft 09:18	17 1 them to put anything in unless I was agreeing 2 with that information. 3 Q. So is the answer no? 4 A. I'm sorry? 5 Q. Is the answer no? 09:19 6 A. No. The answer is -- 7 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 8 THE WITNESS: -- the one that I gave 9 you. 10 BY MR. HIGH: 09:19 11 Q. It's a yes-or-no question and I 12 haven't heard a yes or a no. 13 A. I don't believe it is a yes-or-no 14 question. You've asked me a question that I 15 explained how the process worked. And I don't 09:19 16 believe -- I -- I -- I can't answer that in a 17 yes or no although I'd like to. The truth is 18 I'm giving you the way that it worked. 19 Q. What's preventing you from answering 20 it with a yes or a no? 09:19 21 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 22 Argumentative. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm just trying to be 24 honest with you the way it worked and what 25 we did. 09:19

18 1 BY MR. HIGH: 2 Q. So going back to I think your 3 explanation of the process, I think you 4 mentioned that you submitted a first draft; is 5 that right? 09:20 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Which portion of the declaration did 8 you draft the first draft of? 9 A. That would be the technical sections. 10 Q. So you wrote the first draft of the 09:20 11 technical sections and then worked with your 12 attorneys after that to finalize things? 13 A. Yes. 14 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 15 BY MR. HIGH: 09:20 16 Q. Is there any other section of your 17 declaration that you wrote the first draft of? 18 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 19 THE WITNESS: I did not write the 20 legal sections. 09:20 21 BY MR. HIGH: 22 Q. And just to be clear, when you're 23 referring to the technical section, you're 24 referring to the section with the heading Claim 25 Construction where each of the terms are listed? 09:21	20 1 that would have been included in the report, in 2 the declaration, that's correct. 3 Q. So it's included in the declaration. 4 But I guess I'm asking if you were 5 the one that drafted the first draft of it. 09:22 6 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 7 THE WITNESS: You know, I was given a 8 number of different pieces of material to 9 consider. And I'm sure I would have 10 suggested that they need to be in the 09:23 11 report. 12 BY MR. HIGH: 13 Q. But you can't recall whether you were 14 the one that physically typed out the first 15 draft of that section? 09:23 16 A. They -- you know, I've written a 17 number of reports in the past and I always 18 include those sections. 19 So yes, I probably would have 20 suggested which ones to put in and then there 21 would have been a negotiation back and forth and 22 we would have reviewed to make sure that 23 everything that I considered was in there. 24 Q. Did you physically draft up the first 25 draft of the level of ordinary skill in the arts 09:23
19 1 A. I'd have to go back and look at the 2 -- at the section that you're referring to. 3 Do you want me to -- should you -- I 4 can look at it if you give me the -- I'm not 5 quite sure what you're -- what you're -- what 09:21 6 you're question actually is with regard to which 7 section. 8 Q. Yeah. I guess I just wanted to make 9 sure I understood which section you were 10 referring to when you say the "technical 09:21 11 section." 12 A. That would be the sections that 13 include technical information. 14 Q. Did you draft the first draft of the 15 materials considered section? 09:22 16 A. That was the materials that I 17 considered. I don't recall that. But you know, 18 if it had to do with the technical aspects, I 19 certainly was involved or I -- it was part of 20 the first draft. 09:22 21 Q. So you don't -- you don't recall 22 whether you drafted the first draft of the 23 materials considered section? 24 A. Oh, I looked at a number of different 25 pieces of information provided to me. And so 09:22	21 1 section? 2 A. No. I did not physically draft that 3 one. 4 Q. Did you draft the first draft of the 5 qualifications and background information 09:24 6 section? 7 A. I believe I did. I'd have to go back 8 and review that. 9 Q. And you've been deposed before? 10 A. Yes. 09:24 11 Q. About how many times? 12 A. I think it's been 14 times. 13 Q. Were all those patent cases? 14 A. All but one. I think the one case 15 that -- that wasn't a patent case was a trade 09:24 16 secrets case. 17 Q. How many times have you been deposed 18 in connection with a claim construction 19 declaration or report? 20 A. Three or four times. 09:25 21 Q. Three or four times. 22 Is that about how many times you have 23 submitted a declaration or a report regarding 24 claim construction? 25 A. That would probably be the case. 09:25

22 1 There was one case where I was deposed on my 2 report. And then based on some legal 3 maneuverings, they were able to depose me a 4 second time on the same report so that makes the 5 account a little confusing. But it's 09:26 6 approximately the same time. 7 Q. So I guess another way of asking 8 that, maybe to just eliminate some of the 9 confusion, prior to this case, about how many 10 times have you been drafted a declaration or a 09:26 11 report regarding claim construction? 12 A. Again, I'm -- I don't recall all of 13 them but it's three or four times. In that -- 14 in that ballpark. 15 Q. Of those three or four times, how 09:26 16 many of those involved whether a claim term was 17 indefinite? 18 A. I'm going to guess probably almost 19 every single one of them involved at least one 20 term that was indefinite or claimed to be 09:27 21 indefinite. Whether or not it survived to the 22 final report is what I don't fully recall. 23 In other words, there was proposals 24 that certain terms were indefinite in each and 25 every one of those cases. But some of those got 09:27	24 1 Yeah. Some of these -- some of these -- 2 some of these matters date back seven, 3 eight years. So it's difficult for me to 4 remember those. If you want, I can review 5 them and get back to you on that. 09:29 6 BY MR. HIGH: 7 Q. Do you have them -- do you have 8 access to them? 9 A. Not here, no. Not sitting here. 10 Q. So when you opine on what a claim 09:29 11 term means, how do you form your opinion? 12 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: Well, the first thing I 14 do is I look at the claims and try to 15 understand the meaning imparted by the 09:29 16 claims themselves, you know. 17 And then of course as required 18 I'll look at specifications in the file 19 history. In addition to those items, I'll 20 also use my own experience in trying to 09:30 21 understand how terms were used in that time 22 frame of the patent. 23 BY MR. HIGH: 24 Q. So when you ultimately form your 25 opinion on what a claim term means, are you ways 09:30
23 1 settled before we actually submitted the final 2 report and I just don't recall all the specifics 3 sitting here. 4 Q. So you can't recall about how many of 5 the three or four times you've been deposed 09:27 6 regarding claim construction actually involved 7 an issue where you were opining on whether a 8 claim term was indefinite? 9 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10 THE WITNESS: In each and every one 09:28 11 of the cases there was a claim made that a 12 certain term was indefinite. And in each 13 and every one of those cases I did work to 14 resolve whether it was or was not 15 indefinite. 09:28 16 What I don't recall is whether 17 or not each and every one of those cases 18 required me to actually submit a 19 declaration in which a term was indefinite. 20 BY MR. HIGH: 09:28 21 Q. Have you ever opined on a claim term 22 and reached the conclusion that it was 23 indefinite? 24 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 25 THE WITNESS: That I don't recall. 09:28	25 1 basing that on your own expertise? 2 A. No, not necessarily. If it's clear 3 from the claims themselves or the specification, 4 I'll try to understand what the author of the 5 patent had intended. 09:30 6 But having been in this industry now 7 for 45 years, there's often inside information 8 or just industry information that I might have 9 that may help shed light on the meaning if it's 10 not perfectly clear from the claims or the 09:31 11 specification or the file histories. 12 Q. So you're able to use your 45 years 13 of industry experience to, you know, rely on 14 that inside information to shed light on the 15 meaning of a term when it might not be perfectly 09:31 16 clear? 17 A. As a person of ordinary skill in the 18 art, I think that's what I'm often required to 19 do if it's not clear from the specifications. 20 Or even if it is, if -- if there's some extra 09:31 21 insight I can help for a judge, the jury, or the 22 other side to understand what -- what the 23 meaning of the claims are, I certainly will 24 provide that. 25 Q. What's your understanding of what a 09:32

26 1 person of ordinary skill in the art means in the 2 abstract? 3 A. A person -- 4 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 5 (Stenographer clarification.) 09:32 6 MR. HIGH: In the abstract. 7 THE WITNESS: Well, a person of 8 ordinary skill in the art is a concept of 9 an ideal person who would have been able to 10 have an understanding and be able to 09:32 11 practice the invention at the time. 12 BY MR. HIGH: 13 Q. So when you submit your claim 14 construction declarations, are you doing so from 15 the perspective of a person of ordinary skill in 09:32 16 the art? 17 A. I try to understand what a person of 18 ordinary skill in the art at the time would have 19 -- what their understanding of the technology 20 would have been at that time. 09:33 21 Q. In the declarations regarding claim 22 construction that you provided in the past, have 23 your opinions on what a person of ordinary skill 24 in the art would understand the claim terms to 25 mean always match the positions of the party 09:33	28 1 in the art. 2 BY MR. HIGH: 3 Q. So by the time you finalize your 4 declaration, your opinions are the same as the 5 party that retained you? 09:34 6 A. That's the goal. 7 Q. Has it always worked out that way in 8 the past? 9 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10 THE WITNESS: If I disagree, I'll 09:35 11 disagree loudly. 12 BY MR. HIGH: 13 Q. Have you ever testified at trial 14 before? 15 A. Yes. 09:35 16 Q. About how many times? 17 A. I believe it's five now. Well, let 18 me rephrase that. I think it's five times in 19 district court and there's two times at the ITC. 09:35 20 Q. Do you recall whether your testimony 21 at trial has ever been limited in any way by the 22 judge? 23 A. Do you mean -- I don't understand the 24 question. Perhaps you can give me an example of 25 what you mean. 09:35
27 1 that retained you? 2 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 3 THE WITNESS: No, not at all. I have 4 my experiences that I rely on in 5 understanding what a person of ordinary 09:33 6 skill in the art would have been. If I 7 don't agree with the -- with the definition 8 that sometimes is often decided before I 9 arrive but sometimes -- on the -- on the 10 case. 09:34 11 But sometimes I have the 12 opportunity -- many times I have the 13 opportunity to inform the counsel I'm 14 working with on what would be a person of 15 ordinary skill in the art. 09:34 16 BY MR. HIGH: 17 Q. So in the past you've submitted a 18 declaration containing an opinion that differed 19 from the position of the party that retained 20 you? 09:34 21 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I've always made 23 sure that we are in agreement on my 24 declarations of what the contents are. And 25 that includes the person of ordinary skill 09:34	29 1 Q. Have you ever had opinions excluded 2 by the judge? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Have you ever been found lacking the 5 credentials of an expert by a judge? 09:36 6 A. No. 7 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 8 MR. HIGH: I'm going to introduce 9 your CV as an exhibit. I'll call it 10 Deposition Exhibit 1. I have uploaded that 09:36 11 into the chat. You should see it. 12 (Gomez Deposition Exhibit 1 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MR. HIGH: 15 Q. Let me know when you have that open. 09:37 16 A. Yeah. I'm clicking on it. It's 17 opening all right. I have it open. 18 Q. And this is a copy of your CV that 19 you submitted in this case? 20 A. That's correct. 09:37 21 Q. You currently work for a company 22 called Rockslide Analytics, LLC; is that right? 23 A. Yes, that's correct. It's been 24 changed to a corporation but generally still the 25 same name. 09:37

30 1 Q. What is Rockslide Analytic -- 2 Rockslide Analytics? 3 A. Rockslide Analytics is my consulting 4 company. 5 Q. And your CV says that you're working 09:38 6 on developing and hopefully to eventually sell a 7 depth-sensing camera? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Is that still correct? 10 A. Yes. 09:38 11 Q. And so I guess when you say it's your 12 consulting company, is it also doing other 13 things as well? 14 A. Yes, it is. 15 Q. How many employees does Rockslide 09:38 16 Analytics have? 17 A. Rockslide Analytics has just me as an 18 employee but we have a number of contractors. 19 We tend to focus more on contracting than -- 20 than employees. 09:38 21 Q. And you have been working there since 22 April of 2013? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. Aside from your work on the 25 depth-sensing camera, what kind of work do you 09:39	32 1 Lately most of this focus has 2 been on the product development and also 3 some of the expert work. But you know, I 4 just got back yesterday from India where we 5 have a consulting team down there for the 09:41 6 product side. And I was there for three 7 weeks. 8 So you know, you can see that 9 the focus here is on product development. 10 BY MR. HIGH: 09:41 11 Q. And the product development you're 12 referring to is the depth-sensing camera? 13 A. There's several products, one of 14 which is the depth-sensing camera. And the 09:41 15 other is the software surrounding some of the 16 web-based applications we're building. 17 Q. Are the web-based applications 18 associated with the depth-sensing camera? 19 A. One of them is. One of them is not. 09:41 20 Q. So about how much of your time is 21 dedicated to consulting as an expert as a 22 percentage? 23 A. Approximately one-third to half. 24 Depends on the time of year and what's going on 25 at that particular moment. When I get an 09:42
31 1 do at Rockslide Analytics? 2 A. I do general engineering consulting, 3 also software design, a lot of embedded 4 applications or sometimes some web-based 5 applications, and then of course this expert 09:39 6 work. 7 Q. Is your engineering consulting that 8 you do for Rockslide Analytics included anywhere 9 in your CV? 10 A. Not it's not. Most of what I'm 09:39 11 focusing on right now is some product 12 development. And I'd like to get this startup 13 moving along here. But if you look at my CV, my 14 history is starting and running startups. And 15 of course, that's what I focus -- I'd like to 09:40 16 focus Rockslide on as well. 17 Q. So in terms of a percentage of your 18 time, about how much of your time for Rockslide 19 Analytics is spent as an expert consulting 20 versus as an engineer consulting? 09:40 21 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: There's three parts to 23 Rockslide. There's, you know, engineering 24 -- engineering services, there's product 25 development, and then there's expert work. 09:40	33 1 important expert project, I'll tend to focus on 2 that through its completion and then I'll take 3 time off to go work on the product development. 4 Q. Prior to Rockslide Analytics, you 5 worked for a company called ActSolar; is that 09:42 6 correct? 7 A. That's correct. That's another 8 startup I started. 9 Q. And you were there from August 10 of 2006 to March of 2009? 09:42 11 A. Yeah. We sold it to National 12 Semiconductors in March of 2009 and I elected 13 not to go with the company. 14 Q. What did you do between March 2009 15 and April 2013? 09:43 16 A. That was a fair amount of expert work 17 in that time frame. 18 Q. What is ActSolar? 19 A. That was a company that was designed 20 to build instrumentation for solar panels. The 09:43 21 idea -- at the time frame -- at that time solar 22 panels didn't have any way of telling you 23 whether they were working well or not. So we 24 built an instrumentation package that would be 25 added to solar panels that could -- that could 09:43

34 1 transmit the performance of the solar panels to 2 a server. 3 So we had application bits -- you 4 know, web-based software that would present the 5 -- the findings of the performance of the solar 09:44 6 panel, along with the instrumentation package 7 that went up on the roof. 8 We also built as part of that system 9 a shading compensator. And the shading 10 compensator would be a -- was a piece of 09:44 11 technology that would detect whether clouds were 12 passing overhead. And when the cloud shadows 13 passed overhead, it would protect the solar 14 panels from inrush of current that occurs when 15 you get shading. 09:44 16 And that total system we sold to 17 National Semiconductors in that time frame. 18 Q. And you worked as an engineer to help 19 develop that technology? 20 A. I was vice president of the 09:44 21 engineering department at ActSolar. 22 Q. As vice president, what were your 23 responsibilities? 24 A. I was tasked with coming up with the 25 initial architecture and then hiring the team 09:44	36 1 A. That's correct. BridgeWave was a 2 microwave corporation for backhaul 3 communications and -- mostly for overseas -- 4 over overseas implementations. 5 So my goal there -- my job there was 09:46 6 to build a series of test platforms that would 7 ensure that the backhaul communications was 8 first built properly and then -- and then 9 installed properly. 10 Q. What's the word that you're saying, 09:46 11 backhaul? 12 A. Backhaul. It means that it's the 13 backbone of the network that transmits all the 14 communications from various users. 15 Q. Before that you were a consultant for 09:47 16 PA Consulting Group? 17 A. Yeah. That was a group that was 18 interested in understanding how they could put 19 their product into FPGAs, field programmable 09:47 20 logic chips. And I had quite a bit of 21 experience in that technology as well. 22 Q. What did you do for them? 23 A. I advised them in the approaches that 24 they needed to take. 25 Q. Before that you were at Santel 09:47
35 1 that would carry out the actual implementation 2 and then working with that team to make sure 3 that the implementation happened in a way that 4 was appropriate. 5 Kind of a side responsibility also 09:45 6 was that the first concept that we came up with 7 wasn't necessarily marketable. So we had to 8 continually innovate and come up with new ways 9 of making the product more marketable. For 10 example, what happened was the first idea was an 09:45 11 instrumentation package. But by itself, we 12 didn't think that there was a market for it. 13 So we combined it with an inverter. 14 And I built the -- I built the architecture of 15 the inverter and also laid out the process that 09:45 16 the engineers would use to implement it. And 17 then -- and then that -- that evolved into the 18 shading compensator. The shading compensator is 19 essentially an inverter running in reverse. 20 So you can see the evolution of how 09:45 21 -- how the product model changed over time. And 22 that was also part of my responsibility, was to 23 align the product with what the market needed. 24 Q. Before ActSolar you were at 25 BridgeWave as a consultant; is that right? 09:46	37 1 Networks? 2 A. That's correct. At Santel I built a 3 10-gigabit-per-second fiber-optic link that 4 would -- that basically used what they called 5 optical dual-binary compression. And it would 09:48 6 allow us to get better throughput on the fiber 7 channel. And they needed a test platform to be 8 able to prove out the concept and then be able 9 to implement it. I did both. 10 Q. Before that you were director of 09:48 11 hardware content -- sorry. 12 Before that you were director of 13 hardware and content networking division of 14 Extreme Networks? 15 A. Yeah. There were two companies, 09:48 16 first Webstacks and then Extreme. Webstacks was 17 where we built the server load balancer that was 18 one of the fastest throughput machines around. 19 It was intended to be the fastest. And Extreme 09:49 20 Networks came to us early on and said that if we 21 could build it, they would buy it -- they would 22 buy us. So that's -- the two companies were 23 working around the same product line. 24 Q. I see. So you were at Webstacks kind 25 of January 2000 and then Extreme Networks 09:49

38 1 March 2001? 2 A. That's correct. Yeah, that's when 3 the sale occurred, was in March of 2001. 4 (Stenographer clarification.) 5 THE WITNESS: The sale of the 09:49 6 company. 7 BY MR. HIGH: 8 Q. What's a server load balancer? 9 A. A server load balancer is a device 10 that determines how to issue information 09:49 11 requests to redundancy array of servers. So for 12 example, if Yahoo! had football information on 13 one server -- on let's say five servers, a 14 server load balancer would balance the requests 15 for that information across those five servers 09:50 16 in an intelligent way. 17 Q. Before that you were a consultant for 18 Philips Semiconductor? 19 A. That's correct. 20 Q. What did you do there? 09:50 21 A. We did a number of different things 22 there. The original and primary product was 23 that they built a handheld computing system. 24 And Microsoft had an operating system that 25 Philips wanted to use with their handheld 09:50	40 1 Q. Before that you were president and 2 founder of Minden Group? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. What did you do there? 5 A. Well, Minden, I was the founder. I 09:52 6 came up with the initial idea and developed the 7 company around a memory trellis for personal 8 computers. So that people who owned computers 9 in the day and wanted to expand, they were often 10 out of slots. There wasn't just physically 09:52 11 enough slots in the computers for that time 12 frame. 13 And so this allowed them to reuse 14 their memory by placing them on a -- on a memory 15 trellis and then have extra slots available then 09:52 16 to -- to add in additional memory. And we sold 17 that through, gosh, like 1,500 stores across the 18 United States. Fry's Electronics, CompUSA, 19 Future Shop, Best Buy, they were all some of our 20 customers. 09:53 21 Q. So the memory trellis was kind of 22 like -- it provided extra memory slots for a 23 computer? 24 A. Yeah. Memory slots often were fairly 25 limited in those days. There might have been 09:53
39 1 computing system. It was Windows CE. Windows 2 CE was used in various -- various types of 3 handheld platforms including cell phones, some 4 of the early cell phones -- or smartphones I 5 should say. 09:50 6 And my role there was to ensure that 7 the certification process, as well as the 8 implementation of some of the peripherals, met 9 Microsoft's certification requirements. 10 Q. So you helped to develop the 09:51 11 technology used to verify that the Microsoft 12 operating system was running properly on the 13 handheld device? 14 A. It was more of the reverse. I 15 advised Philips on the requirements for the 09:51 16 Microsoft certification and helped modify their 17 designs mostly around the peripherals, which 18 included things like storage elements for PCMCIA 19 or for Microsoft's interface to their -- to 20 various radio links and to -- just to ensure 09:51 21 that those peripherals would work properly with 22 my Windows CE. 23 And then I had to build the in-test 24 environment to prove to Microsoft that those 25 things were working properly with Windows CE. 09:52	41 1 like four memory slots. And if somebody had 2 purchased in those days 4 megabytes of memory, 3 there would be 1 megabyte on each slot. If they 4 needed to expand on that, there just weren't any 5 more slots. So they could buy a memory trellis 09:53 6 to populate the first and second slot with the 7 existing memory and then have the next two 8 memory slots available for expansion. Memory 9 was pretty expensive in those days so it was a 10 good economic decision for them to buy these. 09:54 11 Q. Before that you were 12 founder/president of Spectrum Analysis? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. What did you do there? 15 A. Spectrum Analysis was a company I 09:54 16 started for -- a consulting company. And we 17 built a number of different products for various 18 customers. We also supported them in the 19 engineering design of various products. 20 The primary focus there was to work 09:54 21 with customers on implementing designs into 22 FPGAs as a test suite before moving them to 23 ASIC. FPGAs are programmable integrated 24 circuits that look a lot like an ASIC, which is 25 an application-specific physical circuit. But 09:54

42 1 PFGAs are reprogrammable so you can continue to 2 iterate on your design provided that you had a 3 -- a -- a circuit board that had FPGAs on them. 4 So it was basically an emulator and it would 5 emulate the ASIC. 09:55 6 Q. Is that more of a hardware focus? 7 A. Yeah, that was very much a hardware 8 focus. 9 Q. Before that you were applications 10 manager for Quickturn Systems? 09:55 11 A. Yes. So Quickturn -- 12 Q. What did you do -- 13 A. So Quickturn was a company designed 14 to build some of the world's first emulators -- 15 or hardware emulators. And again, they had a 16 completely automated system that would allow a 17 user to input their -- their ASIC design and the 18 emulator would then reprogram the FPGAs to 19 perform that emulation. 09:55 20 The work I did there was to support 21 the customers in making sure that their designs 22 actually worked on the emulator. Even though it 23 was billed as an automated emulator, it didn't 24 always work fully automatic. And then based on 25 that knowledge that I acquired at Quickturn 09:56	44 1 -- we were a bunch of engineers out of ROLM 2 Communications. And ROLM was a telecom company 3 that was building computerized branch exchanges, 4 which are basically telephone equipment for 5 businesses. And we realized that there was a 6 market for building telecommunications over 7 using packets, which seems obvious today but 8 wasn't at that time frame. 9 Originally we were asked to build a 10 voice communication system to go over cable 11 networks. And that's what we started building. 12 That was a company called PacketDAX. And 13 PacketDAX was looking to build voice and video 14 over cable networks. But unfortunately the 15 video aspect was more difficult at the time than 16 they expected. 09:58 17 So PacketDAX became Packet 18 Technologies. And we ended up repositioning the 19 voice element on to long-haul T1 lines. And 20 that's what became the -- the IPX, which is the 21 product that Packet Technologies developed. 09:58 22 That was later sold to Cisco in I think 1994, 23 including some of data elements that came later. 24 Data -- some of that data stuff became -- was 25 what was known at the time as frame relay. 09:59
43 1 design, that's what I used at Spectrum Analysis. 2 Q. Before that you were applications 3 engineer for Telestream? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. What did you do there? 09:56 6 A. Telestream was a startup that built 7 telecommunication -- a programmable 8 telecommunication platform. And at that time 9 frame in 1989 -- let's see. That would be 1987 10 actually, the bulk of the telecom products were 11 physically implemented in that they weren't 12 programmable or barely programmable. 09:56 13 And the Telestream platform was 14 designed to be almost 100 percent programmable 15 so that customers could use this product to test 16 out their telecom -- telecom hardware before 17 they actually went to a full chip or to a full 18 fixed product line. 09:57 19 Q. And before that you were design 20 engineer for StrataCom? 09:57 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. What did you do there? 23 A. StrataCom is a company that built 24 literally the first packet-based 25 telecommunications product. The idea was that 09:57	45 1 Q. Finally, before that you were design 2 engineer for R-O-L-M? 3 A. Yeah. That's ROLM Communications. 4 ROLM stood for the acronym -- first letter of 5 each founder's name, last name. And they built 6 computerized branch exchanges. Like I said, 7 it's business equipment for telecommunications. 09:59 8 Q. And that takes us back to when you 9 got your undergrad engineering degree, right? 10 A. That's correct. Quite a while back. 09:59 11 That was in 1983. 12 Q. So just going through that 13 engineering experience, would you agree that the 14 bulk of your engineering experience thus far has 15 been on the hardware side of thing as opposed to 16 software? 10:00 17 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 18 THE WITNESS: My early days was in 19 hardware for sure. That might be a step 20 away from Yale in 1983. Went to go work 21 ROLM Communications and we built circuit 22 cards for the computerized branch 23 exchanges. 10:00 24 Over time by the late mid -- 25 late '80s or early to mid 1990s, most of 10:00

46 1 the hardware was becoming more software 2 oriented. Computer chips were, you know, 3 getting the necessary processing power that 4 you could start doing software-defined 5 radios. You could do -- move quite a bit 10:00 6 of the hardware -- physical hardware and 7 more into software and still get the 8 processing times that you needed. 9 So -- and then of course 10 everything became more -- simulation became 10:01 11 a very big part of my career because 12 whenever you build an expensive product 13 that's going to be pumped out in millions, 14 you need to be able to ensure that it's 15 working properly. 10:01 16 And so, you know, originally it 17 was emulators, then it became -- simulators 18 became more powerful and became the 19 dominant method of ensuring that the 20 product worked. And then of course more of 10:01 21 the physical layers. Circuitry became 22 embedded more of the software -- into 23 software programming environments. And so 24 my career took a twist and ended up 25 becoming more of a software engineer than a 10:01	48 1 transmission of data from the rooftops down to 2 the -- down to the receivers. And so we were 3 using a protocol called Zigbee. I think it was 4 802.11.15 was the underlying technology to that 5 -- to that -- to Zigbee. And so, you know, I 10:09 6 built a number of radio systems over the years 7 related to transmitting various types of data. 8 Q. Are there any others besides those 9 that you just called out? 10 A. Those are the two primary ones that I 10:10 11 -- that I recall. 12 Q. What kind of protocol did the 13 wireless communications with Philips rely on? 14 A. That was a lot of second -- 2G and 10:10 15 technologies for working with some of the 16 integrated circuits that we were implementing in 17 the Philips products. 18 Q. So 2G, that's cellular network 19 communication? 20 A. Yeah, it was cellular as well as 10:11 21 local-area-network-type technologies embedded in 22 that -- in that product line. 23 Q. Which of the engineering experiences 24 involved work on telecommunication systems? 25 A. Well, all of them pretty much. I 10:11
47 1 hardware engineer. 2 MR. HIGH: We've been going about an 3 hour. Do we want to take a quick break? 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that 5 would be good. I need to go refill my 10:01 6 water. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: We are off the 8 record at 10:01 a.m. 9 (Whereupon, a recess was taken 10 from 10:01 a.m. to 10:07 a.m.) 10:08 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: The time is 12 10:07 a.m. and we're back on the record. 13 BY MR. HIGH: 14 Q. So before the break we just went 15 through the engineering experiences listed on 10:08 16 your CV. 17 Which of those engineering 18 experiences involved wireless networking? 19 A. There was quite a few that involved 10:08 20 wireless networking. The Philips environment 21 required wireless local area networking, as well 22 as working with Microsoft, to understand their 23 early smartphone implementations that they were 24 coming out with on CE. 25 Also the ActSolar required 10:09	49 1 mean, you start back in ROLM Communications, 2 that's telecom right there. And then StrataCom 3 was a combination of telecom and datacom. 4 And you know, each one of these 5 technologies, whether it be datacom or telecom, 10:11 6 required understanding the protocols that would 7 do the communications. 8 Then of course at Telestream, we were 9 building programmable telecom platforms. At 10 Philips I was working on linking our handheld 10:12 11 computer system with various other networks as 12 part of the peripheral work that I did there. 13 Then of course at -- at Santel, I built that 14 10-gigabit-per-second fiber-optic transmitter 15 for telecom environments. And then of course at 10:12 16 -- at ActSolar, we were building communications 17 to transport the data off the rooftops down to 18 the -- down to the receivers. So that was, you 19 know, low -- low-bit-rate communications. 20 I think at least half to two-thirds 10:12 21 of my work I've done during my years -- during 22 my career has been based on telecom of various 23 types. Oh, of course then there's Webstacks. 24 We built the server load balancer for, you know, 25 network-based communications distributing the 10:13

50 1 various types of requests out to various places. 2 Q. Did any of the engineering 3 experiences listed on your CV involve 4G 4 cellular network communications? 5 A. From the engineering experiences, 10:13 6 most of the work was done either on the -- on 7 the 2G or the local area network protocols like 8 802.11a and some of the other protocols that had 9 to do with technology similar to 4G. 10 Q. But none of them directly involved 10:13 11 4G? 12 A. (Witness indicating.) 13 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 14 THE STENOGRAPHER: I didn't get an 15 answer. I'm sorry. 10:14 16 THE WITNESS: Like I said, the 17 technologies included some of the same 18 elements of the 4G, like, you know, the 19 OFDM -- OF -- the orthogonal 20 frequency-division multiplexing. 10:14 21 (Stenographer clarification.) 22 THE WITNESS: Orthogonal 23 frequency-division multiplexing. 24 Some of the same technologies. 25	52 1 phased array antenna. But I haven't actually 2 specifically built a product related to those 3 technologies. 4 Q. Are you familiar with the third 5 generation partnership project or 3GPP? 10:15 6 A. Yes, I am. I've read the 7 specification but it's been quite a while. 8 Q. Have you ever been a part of the 9 development of the specifications for 3GPP? 10 A. No, I haven't. 10:16 11 Q. Have you ever worked on implementing 12 any specifications developed by 3GPP? 13 A. I'm sorry. Say that -- I didn't 14 quite catch that. 15 Q. Have you ever worked on implementing 10:16 16 any specifications developed by 3GPP in 17 telecommunications devices? 18 A. I'd have to go back and look. I 19 don't believe so but I'd have to go back and 20 see. You know, I did a fair amount of 10:16 21 consulting work for Spectrum Analysis that also 22 included some radio work on pagers and other 23 types of products. We had probably 40 projects 24 in there and I don't recall off the top of my 25 head if that included any of them. 10:16
51 1 BY MR. HIGH: 2 Q. But none of them directly involved 3 4G? 4 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: No. 10:14 6 BY MR. HIGH: 7 Q. Did any of the engineering 8 experiences involve 5G? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you familiar with multiple-input/ 10:14 11 multiple-output technologies? 12 A. MIMO, yes. 13 Q. What's your understanding of MIMO? 14 A. MIMO is a way to provide various 10:14 15 different services, including diversity, as well 16 as beamforming so that, you know, you can get 17 either -- you can get a more reliable signal to 18 the receiver that you're intending to target. 19 Q. Which of the engineering experiences 20 listed on your CV involved MIMO? 10:15 21 A. Well, I have a fair amount of 22 experience developing antenna systems in general 23 and, you know, I'm very familiar with all of the 24 technologies involved in MIMO, mostly on the 25 receiver side when I was looking at building a 10:15	53 1 Q. Spectrum Analysis was late '80s, 2 early '90s, right? 3 A. Yeah, correct. 4 Q. Do you recall when 3GPP was formed? 5 A. Not off the top of my head. 10:17 6 Q. You have a section in your CV called 7 Consulting Areas. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. One of those sort of subsections is 10 labeled Communications and under that you have 10:17 11 LTE listed. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. What consulting work have you done 15 related to LTE? 10:17 16 A. These are areas that I have consulted 17 in more on the legal side than on the -- on the 18 engineering side. I have supported litigation 19 in a number of different matters concerning LTE 20 for -- that would be in -- under -- let me go 10:18 21 back down there and look at it. 22 That would be under the Wi-LAN cases. 23 And that was work I did for McKool Smith back in 24 -- let's see. That would be 2000 -- where's the 25 date on that -- 2009 to 2011. 10:18

54 1 Q. So your consulting work related to 2 LTE has been more so focused on the legal side 3 than the engineering side? 4 A. Well, as I said, I understand the 5 technology very well. I've studied it and I've 10:18 6 supported litigation on -- on -- on LTE. 7 Q. What about OFDM, what -- what 8 consulting work have you done related to OFDM? 9 A. Well, OFDM is the underlying 10 technology used in LTE to transmit the -- the 10:19 11 information. 12 Q. Okay. So similar answer? 13 A. Yes. And by the way it's OFDM, I 14 think. 15 Q. I see. I was just reading what was 10:19 16 in your CV. 17 A. Did I get it backwards in my CV? 18 Q. Yeah. Looks like there's a typo in 19 your CV on page 2 there. 20 A. I'll change that. Thank you for 10:20 21 that. 22 Q. I knew that didn't sound right coming 23 out of my mouth. 24 A. Oh, yes I see it. Okay. Thank you. 25 Q. So earlier we were talking about your 10:20	56 1 something I focused on trying to remember 2 after the case. So I'd have to go back and 3 look at what I might have said -- you know, 4 what my opinions were at that time. 5 BY MR. HIGH: 10:22 6 Q. So you just remember that 7 indefiniteness was at issue but you can't 8 remember one way or the other which side your 9 opinion fell on? 10 A. Not sitting here right now. I didn't 10:22 11 prepare to answer that question. And these have 12 been eight years ago. 13 Q. Do you recall whether any court has 14 ever found a claim term indefinite despite you 15 providing testimony that the claim was definite? 10:22 16 MR. PYMENTO: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: Again, same answer. I 18 can't recall just sitting here. It's not 19 that I prepared for that type of a 20 question. So I'd have to go back and look 10:22 21 at the reports, even if I have them. 22 BY MR. HIGH: 23 Q. Are you aware that a claim can be 24 indefinite if it covers both an apparatus and a 25 method of use of that apparatus? 10:23
55 1 past claim construction declarations. 2 And I think you mentioned that you've 3 opined on whether a claim term is indefinite in 4 the past; is that right? 5 A. Yes. 10:20 6 Q. And remind me, have you ever opined 7 on a claim term and come to the conclusion that 8 it is indefinite? 9 A. I don't recall sitting here. I can 10 get back to you on that. The -- there were 10:21 11 several different cases that I did opine on 12 claim terms. The -- one of the ones that I 13 recall is for VIA versus Asus, which started off 14 as a claim -- as a patent matter, also included 15 the intellectual property matter. And I opined 10:21 16 on a large number of terms in that case. But 17 you know, that's already coming up on eight 18 years ago so I don't recall the details of them. 19 Q. Do you recall prior to this case 20 whether you have opined whether a term is 10:21 21 indefinite and come to the conclusion that it is 22 in fact definite? 23 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 24 THE WITNESS: Again, it's the same 25 answer. You know, it's been -- it's not 10:21	57 1 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 2 THE WITNESS: Can you restate the 3 question, please? 4 BY MR. HIGH: 5 Q. Are you aware that a claim can be 10:23 6 indefinite if it covers both an apparatus and a 7 method of use of that apparatus? 8 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 9 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I 10 understand all the legal aspects. But I 10:23 11 understand that a claim can be -- or a 12 claim term can be indefinite if it's not 13 properly supported by the specification or 14 -- or obvious within the claims, the use of 15 the way it's being used in the claims. 10:23 16 BY MR. HIGH: 17 Q. Are you aware of how to assess 18 whether an element in a claim is a method 19 element or a structural element? 20 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10:24 21 THE WITNESS: So if a claim is a 22 structural element, it's often cited by the 23 claim itself as a system or an apparatus. 24 If it's a method, it's often identified as 25 a method and the language within the claim 10:24

58 1 is structured as a method. 2 BY MR. HIGH: 3 Q. Are you aware of any claims that 4 purport to be a system but have been found to be 5 indefinite because they claim -- sorry. Let me 10:25 6 back up and make this question better. 7 Are you aware of any claims that 8 purport to be a system but are indefinite 9 because they include method steps? 10 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10:25 11 THE WITNESS: This is for any claim 12 that I've ever seen or -- I'm not sure I 13 understand the scope of the question. 14 BY MR. HIGH: 15 Q. Just in general in terms of assessing 10:25 16 indefiniteness. 17 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the 19 question then. 20 Can you restate it or break it 10:25 21 down. 22 BY MR. HIGH: 23 Q. Sure. Are you aware that a claim can 24 be found to be indefinite if it recites a system 25 in the preamble but it includes a method step in 10:26	60 1 with you and ask you a few questions about them. 2 A. Okay. Sure. 3 Q. So we can just start with the one on 4 the first page that's identified as Sample 5 Claim 1. 10:28 6 Do you see that? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Do you agree that Sample Claim 1 is a 9 system claim? 10 A. It says "a computer system for 10:28 11 redirecting internet communications." 12 Q. So you agree? 13 A. That's what the preamble says. And 14 the -- I'm reading through the rest of it. The 15 "first processor," "second processor," "third 10:28 16 processor," "fourth processor," "fifth 17 processor." And so these things do various 18 things so there is a structure here that seems 19 like it would fit with the system. 20 Q. Feel free to read through this claim 10:29 21 if you need to. 22 As you pointed out, this system 23 comprises multiple processors, right? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So for example, this claim recites 10:29
59 1 the body of the claim? 2 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 3 THE WITNESS: I think that the usage 4 of the term -- again, as a person of 5 ordinary skill in the art, if the usage of 10:26 6 the term is either defined in the 7 specification or it's clear from the 8 specification or it's clear from the claims 9 themselves, I would -- I would know how to 10 interpret that -- that term. 10:26 11 What I'm -- whether or not it's 12 a legal issue, I'd have to work with the 13 attorneys to try to understand if that's an 14 issue that needs to be addressed. 15 MR. HIGH: I'm going to drop another 10:27 16 exhibit into the chat and we'll call this 17 Deposition Exhibit 2. 18 (Gomez Deposition Exhibit 2 19 was marked for identification.) 20 BY MR. HIGH: 10:27 21 Q. Let me know when you have that open. 22 A. Right. I just got it. 23 All right. I have it open. 24 Q. So this exhibit just includes some 25 sample claims that I wanted to -- to go over 10:27	61 1 "a first processor that receives information 2 from a computer at a point of origin." 3 Do you see that? 4 A. Can I ask where this -- this is a 5 sample claim? 10:29 6 Q. Yeah, this is a sample claim. 7 A. Okay. And this doesn't come from any 8 of the patents that I analyzed or that I 9 provided an opinion on? 10 Q. Right. This does not come from any 10:29 11 of the five patents that are asserted in this 12 case. 13 A. So I didn't prepare anything in my 14 report on this particular claim so you're just 15 using this as an example to test my knowledge on 10:30 16 system claims at this point? 17 Q. Let me just ask the questions and I 18 think it will be pretty apparent. 19 A. All right. I just want to make sure 20 that you know that I'm not prepared to answer 10:30 21 stuff that I haven't actually considered. And 22 if -- you know, to the best of my ability, I'll 23 -- I'll try to answer a question but I don't 24 want to be put on the record for something -- 25 having to make decisions or having to analyze 10:30

62 1 information on the fly because, you know, I -- 2 as an expert, I need to be more considered in my 3 opinions. 4 Q. All I'm asking is that you answer the 5 questions to the best of your ability. 10:30 6 All right. So you see that first 7 processor limitation that -- that I read out, 8 right? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So in this limitation, do you agree 10:30 11 that "receives information from a computer at a 12 point of origin" is a function? 13 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 14 THE WITNESS: Again, I'd have to 15 analyze this more -- in the context of the 10:31 16 entire claim. And since there's no other 17 information other than what you're giving 18 me here, I am reluctant to answer this 19 because I just haven't considered it. 20 BY MR. HIGH: 10:31 21 Q. Do you want to -- do you want me to 22 upload the patent that this came from into the 23 chat? 24 A. You can. And then I'll have to read 25 the whole patent. 10:31	64 1 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 2 THE WITNESS: Can you explain what a 3 function is in the context of this patent? 4 BY MR. HIGH: 5 Q. I'm just using that word in normal, 10:33 6 everyday parlance. 7 A. Okay. But the function is a loaded 8 word. There could be lots of different meanings 9 to the word "function." I need to understand 10 what you mean by that. 10:33 11 Q. I don't know if I can think of 12 another word other than function. 13 Do you agree that the first processor 14 in this claim should receive information from a 15 computer at a point of origin? 10:33 16 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 17 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by 18 "should"? 19 BY MR. HIGH: 20 Q. Well, I guess what do you understand 10:34 21 the first processor to do just based on this 22 line in this claim? 23 A. Well, I would say "a first processor 24 that receives information from a computer at a 25 point of origin," I'd have to go back and look 10:34
63 1 Q. Okay. You should have access to the 2 patent now. 3 THE STENOGRAPHER: Do you want this 4 marked as Exhibit 3? 5 MR. HIGH: Yes. 10:32 6 (Gomez Deposition Exhibit 3 7 was marked for identification.) 8 THE WITNESS: All right. I got a 9 patent here. There's a lot of redactions 10 in the patent. The patent is -- looks like 10:32 11 it's 14 pages. I can start reading it now. 12 BY MR. HIGH: 13 Q. I don't -- I don't think that the 14 questions that I'm asking require any knowledge 15 of what this patent is actually discussing. I 10:32 16 think it's just more so basic questions about 17 how you're reading the claim structure. But if 18 you feel like you need to review any portions of 19 the patent, feel free. 20 A. So your first question, can you 10:33 21 restate that again about the first element? 22 Q. Sure. Would you agree that "receives 23 information from a computer at a point of 24 origin" is a function that's performed by the 25 first processor? 10:33	65 1 at what they -- what the patent means by "point 2 of origin" or -- 3 (Simultaneous speaking.) 4 THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. I 5 missed the end of that. "What the patent 10:34 6 means by 'point of origin' or"? 7 THE WITNESS: I think that's where I 8 got to and then I was -- and then the 9 attorney stepped in. 10 BY MR. HIGH: 10:34 11 Q. Let me see if I can just ask this a 12 different way. I want to get -- I don't want to 13 get hung up on the word "function." 14 For this limitation to be met by a 15 computer system, would the computer system have 10:34 16 to possess a first processor that is capable of 17 receiving information from a computer at a point 18 of origin or would the computer system have to 19 be used such that a first processor of the 20 computer system receives information from a 10:35 21 computer at a point of origin? 22 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I lost you 24 on that one. 25 Can you start over again? 10:35

66 1 BY MR. HIGH: 2 Q. Sure. Let me -- I'll just repeat my 3 question. 4 For this limitation to be met by a 5 computer system, would the computer system have 10:35 6 to possess a first processor that is capable of 7 receiving information from a computer at a point 8 of origin or would the computer system have to 9 be used such that a first processor of the 10 computer system receives information from a 10:35 11 computer at a point of origin? 12 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: Well, you know, the -- 14 the language in the element is "a first 15 processor that receives information from a 10:36 16 computer at a point of origin." 17 I'm sure there's some support in 18 the specification for that. And it would 19 be -- the correct analysis would be to go 20 look and see if there's some -- if that's 10:36 21 supported in the specification. 22 Do you want me to do that? 23 BY MR. HIGH: 24 Q. So I'm not so much asking whether 25 there's support in the specification. You're 10:36	68 1 not a lawyer so I have to be careful when I 2 answer these things. 3 But I think the problem I'm having 4 with this is that when you restate this element 5 you're using words that aren't in the element. 10:37 6 And so I have to be careful to make sure that I 7 understand that context. 8 Q. Right. 9 A. For example, the word "function" has 10 many meanings in engineering. 10:37 11 Q. I'm not using the word "function" 12 right now. So let me just repeat my question. 13 A. Okay. 14 Q. For there to be infringement, would 15 the first processor have to be just capable of 10:38 16 receiving the information or would the first 17 processor have to actually be used to receive 18 the information? 19 MR. PYMENTO: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, the first 10:38 21 processor receives the information from a 22 computer at the point of -- a point of 23 origin. 24 So is the first processor 25 capable? Is it capable always? There's a 10:38
67 1 welcome to -- to look through it if you'd like. 2 But I think my question is more so focused on 3 does the first processor -- sorry. 4 For there to be infringement, would 5 the first processor have to be just capable of 10:36 6 receiving the information that's listed or would 7 the first processor have to actually be used to 8 receive the information? 9 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10 I'm going to object to the whole 10:36 11 line of questioning in general for asking 12 an expert for legal conclusions 13 essentially. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Now I lost track 15 of the question. I'm sorry. 10:37 16 BY MR. HIGH: 17 Q. I'll repeat my question. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. You've analyzed infringement in the 20 past, right? 10:37 21 A. When I analyze infringement, it's 22 usually not on the fly like this. But yes. 23 Q. You understand generally what the 24 word "infringement" means? 25 A. Yeah, I think so. But you know, I'm 10:37	69 1 whole bunch of questions that come to my 2 mind as to, you know, what else would it 3 require to answer your question other than 4 that first processor -- the first processor 5 receives information from a computer. And 10:38 6 that's where I would want to go back and 7 look at the specification. 8 BY MR. HIGH: 9 Q. Let me ask this a different way then. 10 So you've run several startups in the 10:38 11 past and you've been involved in product 12 development, right? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. So if you wanted to know -- so let's 15 assume that you make a computer system and you 10:39 16 want to sell it. 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. If you wanted to know whether your 19 computer system infringes claim, you could read 20 this claim, would your computer system -- all 10:39 21 right. Let me back up again. Let me set it up. 22 Let's assume that you have a computer 23 system that has a first processor and that first 24 processor is capable of receiving information 25 from a computer at a point of origin. 10:39

70 1 A. What does "capable" mean? 2 Q. When you sell it, it possesses the 3 ability to do so. 4 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 5 I'm confused where the question 10:40 6 started and ended, to be honest. 7 BY MR. HIGH: 8 Q. When you sell your computer system -- 9 I'm sorry. Let me -- let me back up again. 10 Will your computer system satisfy 10:40 11 this limitation when you sell the computer 12 system or would your computer system have to 13 actually be used such that the first processor 14 physically receives information from a computer 15 at a point of origin? 10:40 16 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 17 THE WITNESS: That sounds like a 18 legal question. 19 Is that a technical question? 20 I'm not quite sure I followed that. 10:40 21 BY MR. HIGH: 22 Q. You've opined on whether -- well, 23 it's just a question. If you can answer it -- 24 A. So the question -- 25 Q. -- please do so. 10:41	72 1 be some storage or there would be some 2 element of -- of tracking state. 3 BY MR. HIGH: 4 Q. So in your opinion, "the system 5 further comprises maintaining a list of bit 10:43 6 strings or character sets" is describing 7 structure of the system? 8 A. A big part of the system -- 9 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 10:43 11 A big part of any system is to 12 maintain state. And that's what this is 13 describing to me. And that's definitely a 14 structure. 15 BY MR. HIGH: 10:43 16 Q. Let's -- let's jump now to the second 17 page, Sample Claim 2. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. This claim starts with "Apparatus 20 comprising:" 10:44 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. So do you agree that this is an 24 apparatus claim? 25 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10:44
71 1 A. The question I believe was when I 2 sold the computer, it would infringe -- it could 3 infringe or whether it just had the capability 4 in that it would infringe. And -- and I'm not a 5 lawyer so it's difficult for me to answer that 10:41 6 because I haven't studied case law. 7 But if I had a computer and it had a 8 first processor that receives information from a 9 computer at a point of origin, you know, that's 10 what it would -- that's -- that's what it would 10:41 11 do. 12 Q. Let me skip down to the last part of 13 this claim, the very last sort of portion where 14 it says "wherein the system further comprises." 15 Do you see that? 10:42 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. It says "wherein the system further 18 comprises maintaining a list of bit strings or 19 character sets..." 20 A. Okay. 10:42 21 Q. Is that a structural element or a 22 method element, the "maintaining a list"? 23 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You know, to me that 25 means that there's structure, there would 10:42	73 1 THE WITNESS: That's what it says. 2 BY MR. HIGH: 3 Q. If you skip down, let's see, to the 4 sixth line where it says "an input device 5 supported by the portable housing." 10:44 6 Do you see that? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Do you agree that the input device is 9 a structural element of the apparatus? 10 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 10:45 11 THE WITNESS: It says "device." 12 BY MR. HIGH: 13 Q. Sorry. I missed it. 14 Is that a yes or no? 15 A. Well, it says "input device." And I 10:45 16 consider device to be -- could be -- could be an 17 apparatus. 18 Q. Okay. So -- so you agree that an 19 input device, at least in this claim, it -- it 20 purports to be a structural element of the 10:45 21 apparatus? 22 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: All I know at this 24 point is that it says "an input device 25 supported by the portable housing." 10:46

74 1 BY MR. HIGH: 2 Q. And that's one of the elements 3 underneath "Apparatus comprising:", right? 4 A. That is underneath "Apparatus 5 comprising:" 10:46 6 Q. And then the very next element it 7 says "operation of the input device by the user 8 enabling the memory to retain the visual image 9 data in digital format." 10 A. Okay. 10:46 11 Q. So for there to be infringement of 12 this claim, does a user have to operate the 13 input device in this way or is there 14 infringement if a product is just sold that 15 allows a user to operate the input device in 16 this way? 10:47 17 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 18 THE WITNESS: You're asking me to 19 make a -- a legal claim here -- or a legal 20 statement. And I'm not sure I'm prepared 21 to do that. I haven't analyzed this 22 thoroughly. I'd need to go back and, like 23 I said, read the specification and then 24 also any other supporting material. 25	76 1 BY MR. HIGH: 2 Q. Let's skip down to the last 3 limitation, the "movement by the user of the 4 portable housing commonly moving the display." 5 Do you see that? 10:49 6 A. Oh, the very last line? 7 Q. Yes. 8 A. Uh-huh. 9 Q. By reading this claim, can you say 10 one way or another whether the portable housing 10:49 11 has to be actually moved by the user or whether 12 the portable housing could just be capable of 13 being moved for there to be infringement? 14 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: I couldn't say because 10:49 16 I haven't read all the rest of the material 17 here. There's a lot of other claim 18 elements. This is a kind of a sentence 19 fragment, "movement of the user by the 20 portable housing commonly moving the 21 display." That's not even a complete 10:49 22 sentence. 23 BY MR. HIGH: 24 Q. Feel free to take some time to read 25 the full claim if that would help. 10:49
75 1 BY MR. HIGH: 2 Q. So just by reading this claim you 3 can't say whether -- 4 (Simultaneous speaking.) 5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Go ahead 10:47 6 and finish your question. 7 BY MR. HIGH: 8 Q. Just by reading this claim, you can't 9 say one way or another whether there has to be 10 actual operation by the user of the input device 10:47 11 for there to be infringement? 12 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: Well, it says 14 "operation of the input device by the user 15 enabling memory." 10:48 16 Is user enabling memory or is 17 the user -- or is the user controlling the 18 operation of the device? I'd have to go 19 back and look at the specification to know 20 which way to interpret that, maybe even the 10:48 21 file history. 22 There's a lot of issues that I 23 have with the way that this particular 24 claim element is structured. And I'd have 25 to study that more thoroughly. 10:48	77 1 (Witness reviewing document.) 2 BY MR. HIGH: 3 Q. Just let me know when you're finished 4 reviewing the claim and ready to discuss again. 5 A. Yeah. I have more questions now that 10:54 6 I've read the claim than I -- than I did before. 7 You know, it's things like this is 8 saying that a portable housing -- a portable 9 housing being wireless is the first element. 10:55 10 And to me as a person of ordinary skill in the 11 art, without having read the specification or 12 any of the -- you know, the -- the rest of the 13 patent documentation, a housing to me is usually 14 a piece of plastic or a piece of metal. 15 I'm not sure how a housing by itself 10:55 16 could be wireless so I have to go back and look 17 at that. And then this "movement of the user of 18 portable housing commonly moving the display," 19 what does that mean, "commonly moving the 10:55 20 display"? I'd have to go back and study the 21 specification to understand that even better. 22 One interpretation might be that the 23 movement of the user and of the housing moving 24 -- when you move the housing, it moves the 25 display. But it's not clear what the housing is 10:55

78 1 because the housing is wireless. So I have more 2 questions now than I did before. I'm sorry. 3 Q. Right. So I guess I'm not so much 4 asking you to -- to say what any of these terms 5 individually mean. 10:56 6 But I'm more so asking, you know, 7 that last limitation, "movement by the user of 8 the portable housing," is that a structural 9 limitation or is that a method step? 10 A. Well, without understanding the 10:56 11 words -- 12 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 13 THE WITNESS: You asked me not to 14 focus on the words. But without 15 understanding the words, I don't know if I 16 can answer that question. 10:56 17 BY MR. HIGH: 18 Q. So you're not able to answer whether 19 it's a structural limitation or a method step? 20 A. I think -- 10:56 21 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: I'd have to go study 23 the entire patent. Just from not 24 understanding the words makes it difficult 25 to answer that question. 10:57	80 1 the patent that it's from into the chat. So you 2 should have access to the patent now. 3 And we can call this Deposition 4 Exhibit 4? 5 (Gomez Deposition Exhibit 4 11:00 6 was marked for identification.) 7 BY MR. HIGH: 8 Q. Okay. So do you see that the claim 9 starts off with "a data transmitting device"? 10 A. Yes. 11:00 11 Q. Do you agree that this claim is to a 12 device as opposed to a method? 13 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 14 THE WITNESS: It says "device." 15 BY MR. HIGH: 11:01 16 Q. And then -- so "the device for 17 transmitting signals corresponding to an 18 incoming stream of bits, comprising" and then it 19 lists several limitations. 20 Do you see that? 11:01 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So the first one is "first buffer 23 means." 24 Would you agree that the "first 25 buffer means" is a structural limitation? 11:01
79 1 BY MR. HIGH: 2 Q. Let's jump ahead now to Sample 3 Claim 5. It's on page 5. 4 A. Okay. 5 Q. Feel free to take a moment to read 10:57 6 the whole claim. 7 A. Okay. 8 (Witness reviewing document.) 9 THE WITNESS: All right. 10 BY MR. HIGH: 10:59 11 Q. Do you recall whether you've seen 12 this claim before? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. This claim starts off with "a data 15 transmitting device..." 10:59 16 Do you see that? 17 A. This is from -- is this claim from 18 any of the five patents that we are 19 investigating? 20 Q. I'll stipulate that it's not one of 10:59 21 the -- it doesn't come from one of the five -- 22 A. Okay. 23 Q. -- patents that are asserted in this 24 case. 25 I can -- I'll go ahead and just place 11:00	81 1 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 2 THE WITNESS: My understanding is 3 that the word "means," "means for" may 4 imply that it's a means plus function. But 5 that also depends on whether or not the 11:02 6 claim element is functional in nature or if 7 it also includes structure. So that's up 8 for interpretation. 9 I would say that this particular 10 element includes a stream into frames of 11:02 11 unequal bits, number of bits, and four 12 separating bits of each frame into a first 13 group and a second group of bits. There's 14 significant structure defined in this first 15 element. 11:02 16 (Stenographer clarification.) 17 THE WITNESS: There's significant 18 structure defined in this first element. 19 BY MR. HIGH: 20 Q. So if you were opining on whether a 11:02 21 data transmitting device satisfied this claim, 22 could you make that decision -- well, let me 23 strike that. 24 Do you see the last limitation, 25 "transmitting the trellis encoded frames"? 11:03

82 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that a structural limitation or a 3 method step? 4 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: You want me to analyze 11:03 6 that just in isolation? 7 BY MR. HIGH: 8 Q. I'm asking your understanding of -- 9 of that limitation, just in terms of whether 10 it's a structural limitation or a method step. 11:03 11 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 12 THE WITNESS: You know, it's -- 13 again, it's a -- it's a fragment sentence. 14 It's -- it has structure. There's trellis 11:04 15 encoded frames. There's, you know, other 16 elements before that help define what that 17 is. 18 So I would -- you know, reading 19 this, I would see that there -- that it's 20 structural because it's supporting a -- a 11:04 21 claim that is structural in nature 22 throughout the claim -- throughout all the 23 other elements. And then the fact that 24 it's sending trellis encoded frames, it's 25 defining what it's actually sending. And 11:04	84 1 streams into frames" and the stream would 2 be sent by the transmitting device. 3 But you know, I've only had like 4 a minute -- or a few minutes to look at 11:07 5 this. So I'd be very careful and very -- 6 very careful to go through this logic more 7 in detail and make sure that the 8 "transmitting the trellis encoded frames" 9 is the same frames that's in the "second 10 element" and that "the said stream" is 11:07 11 referring to the preamble. I'd have to go 12 through and check all that very carefully. 13 BY MR. HIGH: 14 Q. Feel free to reread the claim if you 15 need to. 11:07 16 (Witness reviewing document.) 17 THE WITNESS: It -- you know, it -- 18 with this quick read, it appears that it is 19 structural because we're sending the 20 trellis encoded frames, frames being an 11:08 21 object, frames is defined, when you're 22 putting the streams into frames in the 23 second element. 24 So if you follow back through 25 the -- through the definition of what a 11:09
83 1 the frames to me is a structural element. 2 It's a -- it's a -- it's a -- it's an 3 object. 4 BY MR. HIGH: 5 Q. Is there a requirement as to what has 11:05 6 to transmit the trellis encoded frames? 7 MR. PYMENTO: Objection. 8 (Witness reviewing document.) 9 THE WITNESS: Well, it would be the 10 device -- the transmitting device. I have 11:06 11 to be careful about the logic here. But 12 I'm going to go ahead and hazard to show 13 that the "transmitting device for 14 transmitting signals corresponding to 15 incoming bit streams, comprising: first 11:06 16 buffer means for partitioning said stream" 17 >-- and you don't see -- stream here isn't 18 defined. But I'm assuming that it's 19 implying -- it's -- it's "said stream." It 20 doesn't say what the said stream was. 11:06 21 I'm going to assume that it was 22 in the preamble. So if that's the case -- 23 and like I said, I need to, you know, 24 analyze this a lot more deeply. If that's 25 the case, then it's sending -- it's "said 11:07	85 1 frame is and where frames are being used 2 and what the streams are, except for the 3 fact it's -- "said stream" is not defined 4 anywhere in here, it -- it all appears to 5 be a structural element -- claim. 11:09 6 MR. HIGH: Let's go ahead and take 7 another break. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can I get ten 9 minutes this time? 10 MR. HIGH: Sure. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 12 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're off the 13 record at 11:09 a.m. 14 (Whereupon, a recess was taken 15 from 11:09 a.m. to 11:18 a.m.) 11:10 16 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're back on the 17 record at 11:18 a.m. 18 MR. HIGH: At this time I'm going to 19 pass the witness. 20 MR. PYMENTO: I have no further 11:19 21 questions. 22 MR. HIGH: Thank you very much, 23 Mr. Gomez. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 (Time concluded: 11:18 a.m.)

86 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS: COUNTY OF COOK) I, Janice M. Kocek, CSR, CLR, No. 084-002871, do hereby certify: That the foregoing remote deposition of MIGUEL GOMEZ, was taken at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath remotely by me; That the testimony of the witness and all objections made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me, were thereafter transcribed under my direction and supervision, and that the foregoing is a true record of same. I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action, nor in any way interested in the outcome thereof. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this 7th day of November, 2023. _____ JANICE M. KOCEK, CSR, CLR CSR NO. 084-002871.	
87 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I, _____, do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any corrections appear on the attached Errata Sheet signed by me. _____ (DATE) (SIGNATURE) NOTARIZATION (If Required) State of _____ County of _____ Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this _____ day of _____, 2023, by _____, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me. Signature: _____ (SEAL)	

A				
a.m 1:21 2:7 47:8,10,10 47:12 85:13 85:15,15,17 85:25	affirmed 87:18 aggregate 12:16 ago 55:18 56:12 agree 7:3 16:3 27:7 45:13 60:8,12 62:10 63:22 64:13 72:23 73:8,18 80:11,24 agreeing 17:1 agreement 27:23 ahead 75:5 79:2,25 83:12 85:6 align 35:23 allow 16:2,25 37:6 42:16 allowed 40:13 allows 74:15 AMERICA 1:14 amount 13:4 13:11,19,25 14:4 33:16 51:21 52:20 analysis 41:12 41:15 43:1 52:21 53:1 66:19 Analytic 30:1 Analytics 29:22 30:2,3 30:16,17 31:1,8,19 33:4 analyze 61:25 62:15 67:21 82:5 83:24 analyzed 61:8 67:19 74:21 and- 3:20	answer 6:17 6:21 7:1 13:3 13:12,15 14:7 16:6 17:3,5,6,16 50:15 54:12 55:25 56:11 56:17 61:20 61:23 62:4 62:18 68:2 69:3 70:23 71:5 78:16 78:18,25 answered 15:11 16:20 16:21 answering 7:10 16:10 17:19 answers 6:16 antenna 51:22 52:1 anymore 8:5 APEX 1:4,10 apparatus 56:24,25 57:6,7,23 72:19,24 73:9,17,21 74:3,4 apparent 61:18 appear 87:7 appeared 87:22 APPEARING 3:2 appears 84:18 85:4 application 34:3 application--- 41:25 applications	7:20 31:4,5 32:16,17 42:9 43:2 appreciate 16:11 approaches 36:23 appropriate 35:4 approximately 13:24 22:6 32:23 April 30:22 33:15 architecture 34:25 35:14 area 47:21 50:7 areas 53:7,16 Argumentati... 17:22 array 38:11 52:1 arrive 27:9 art 25:18 26:1 26:8,16,18 26:24 27:6 27:15 28:1 59:5 77:11 arts 20:25 ASIC 41:23,24 42:5,17 Aside 7:23 30:24 asked 10:24 17:14 44:9 78:13 asking 16:7 20:4 22:7 62:4 63:14 66:24 67:11 74:18 78:4,6 82:8 aspect 44:15	aspects 15:4 19:18 57:10 asserted 61:11 79:23 assess 57:17 assessing 58:15 associated 32:18 assume 7:1 69:15,22 83:21 assuming 83:18 Asus 55:13 Atlanta 3:16 attached 87:7 attorney 65:9 attorneys 12:2 14:21,24 15:9,21 16:19 18:12 59:13 audible 6:21 August 8:13 8:22 9:11 33:9 author 25:4 automated 42:16,23 automatic 42:24 available 40:15 41:8 Avenue 3:6,21 aware 56:23 57:5,17 58:3 58:7,23
				B
				back 10:22 11:23 15:15 18:2 19:1 20:21 21:7

24:2,5 32:4 45:8,10 47:12 49:1 52:18,19 53:21,23 55:10 56:2 56:20 58:6 64:25 69:6 69:21 70:9 74:22 75:19 77:16,20 84:24 85:16 backbone 36:13 background 21:5 backhaul 36:2 36:7,12 backhold 36:11 backwards 54:17 balance 38:14 balancer 37:17 38:8,9,14 49:24 ballpark 22:14 barely 43:12 based 22:2 42:24 49:22 64:21 basic 63:16 basically 37:4 42:4 44:4 basing 25:1 basis 87:21 BEAM 1:4,10 beamforming 51:16 becoming 46:1,25 behalf 3:4,12 5:9 believe 8:13	9:4 10:3 17:13,16 21:7 28:17 52:19 71:1 best 6:18 40:19 61:22 62:5 better 37:6 58:6 77:21 big 46:11 72:8 72:11 billed 42:23 bit 36:20 46:5 71:18 72:5 83:15 bits 34:3 80:18 81:11,11,12 81:13 Blackford 3:24 5:12 board 42:3 Bob 5:8 body 59:1 branch 44:3 45:6,22 break 7:5,6,8 7:10 12:14 47:3,14 58:20 85:7 BridgeWave 35:25 36:1 buffer 80:22 80:25 83:16 build 33:20 36:6 37:21 39:23 42:14 44:9,13 46:12 building 32:16 44:3,6,11 49:9,16 51:25 built 33:24 34:8 35:14	35:14 36:8 37:2,17 38:23 41:17 43:6,23 45:5 45:21 48:6 49:13,24 52:2 bulk 43:10 45:14 bunch 44:1 69:1 business 45:7 businesses 44:5 buy 37:21,22 40:19 41:5 41:10 C C 3:1 cable 44:10,14 California 5:16 call 29:9 59:16 80:3 called 2:2 5:3 29:22 33:5 37:4 44:12 48:3,9 53:6 camera 30:7 30:25 32:12 32:14,18 capability 71:3 capable 65:16 66:6 67:5 68:15,25,25 69:24 70:1 76:12 cards 45:22 career 46:11 46:24 49:22 careful 68:1,6 83:11 84:5,6 carefully 84:12 carry 35:1	case 1:5,6,11 1:12 8:12,25 9:13 11:8,14 11:25 21:14 21:15,16,25 22:1,9 27:10 29:19 55:16 55:19 56:2 61:12 71:6 79:24 83:22 83:25 cases 21:13 22:25 23:11 23:13,17 53:22 55:11 catch 52:14 CE 39:1,2,22 39:25 47:24 cell 39:3,4 cellular 48:18 48:20 50:4 certain 22:24 23:12 certainly 19:19 25:23 certification 39:7,9,16 Certified 2:5,5 certify 86:6,17 change 54:20 changed 29:24 35:21 changing 16:8 channel 37:7 character 71:19 72:6 chat 29:11 59:16 62:23 80:1 check 8:18 84:12 chip 43:17 chips 36:20 46:2	Christopher 3:24 5:11 christopher.... 3:25 circuit 41:25 42:3 45:21 Circuitry 46:21 circuits 41:24 48:16 Cisco 44:22 cited 57:22 claim 4:13,16 11:2 18:24 21:18,24 22:11,16 23:6,8,11,21 24:10,25 26:13,21,24 55:1,3,7,12 55:14 56:14 56:15,23 57:5,11,12 57:18,21,23 57:25 58:5 58:11,23 59:1 60:5,8,9 60:20,25 61:5,6,14 62:16 63:17 64:14,22 69:19,20 71:13 72:17 72:19,24 73:19 74:12 74:19 75:2,8 75:24 76:9 76:17,25 77:4,6 79:3,6 79:12,14,17 80:8,11 81:6 81:21 82:21 82:22 84:14 85:5 claimed 22:20
--	--	---	---	--

claims 4:11 24:14,16 25:3,10,23 57:14,15 58:3,7 59:8 59:25 61:16	49:16,19,25 50:4 53:10 60:11 companies 37:15,22 company 29:21 30:4 30:12 33:5 33:13,19 38:6 40:7 41:15,16 42:13 43:23 44:2,12 compensator 34:9,10 35:18,18 complete 76:21 87:5 completely 42:16 completion 33:2 compression 37:5 comprises 60:23 71:14 71:18 72:5 comprising 72:20 74:3,5 80:18 83:15 CompUSA 40:18 computer 7:21 7:24 8:7 40:23 46:2 49:11 60:10 61:2 62:11 63:23 64:15 64:24 65:15 65:15,17,18 65:20,21 66:5,5,7,8,10 66:11,16 68:22 69:5	69:15,19,20 69:22,25 70:8,10,11 70:12,14 71:2,7,9 computerized 44:3 45:6,22 computers 40:8,8,11 computing 38:23 39:1 concept 26:8 35:6 37:8 concerning 53:19 concluded 85:25 conclusion 23:22 55:7 55:21 conclusions 67:12 confused 70:5 confusing 22:5 confusion 22:9 conjunction 14:20 connection 21:18 consider 20:9 73:16 considered 19:15,17,23 20:23 61:21 62:2,19 construction 18:25 21:18 21:24 22:11 23:6 26:14 26:22 55:1 constructions 10:22 11:2	consultant 35:25 36:15 38:17 consulted 53:16 consulting 30:3,12 31:2 31:7,19,20 32:5,21 36:16 41:16 52:21 53:7 53:14 54:1,8 containing 27:18 content 37:11 37:13 contents 27:24 context 62:15 64:3 68:7 continually 35:8 continue 42:1 contracting 30:19 contractors 30:18 controlling 75:17 COOK 86:3 copy 8:2 29:18 corporation 29:24 36:2 correct 14:14 20:2 29:20 29:23 30:8,9 30:23 33:6,7 36:1 37:2 38:2,19 40:3 41:13 43:4 43:21 45:10 53:3 66:19 69:13 87:5 corrections	87:6 correspondi... 80:17 83:14 counsel 6:11 16:10 27:13 86:18 County 86:3 87:17 course 24:17 31:5,15 46:9 46:20 49:8 49:13,15,23 court 1:1 5:16 6:6,15,17 28:19 56:13 covers 56:24 57:6 credentials 29:5 CSR 1:24 86:5 86:24,25 current 34:14 currently 29:21 Curriculum 4:8 customers 40:20 41:18 41:21 42:21 43:15 CV 29:9,18 30:5 31:9,13 47:16 50:3 51:20 53:6 54:16,17,19
D				
data 44:23,24 44:24 48:1,7 49:17 74:9 79:14 80:9 81:21 datacom 49:3 49:5				

date 24:2 53:25 87:12	definitely 72:13	38:10	disclosures 10:21	duly 5:4
day 2:8 40:9 86:22 87:19	definition 27:7 84:25	develop 34:19 39:10	discuss 77:4	DUNNER 3:14
days 40:25 41:2,9 45:18	degree 45:9	developed 40:6 44:21	discussing 63:15	E
DC 3:22	department 34:21	52:12,16	discussion 13:5	E 3:1,1
decided 27:8	depends 32:24 81:5	developing 30:6 51:22	display 76:4 76:21 77:18	earlier 54:25
decision 41:10 81:22	DEPONENT 87:1	development 31:12,25	77:20,25	early 8:22 37:20 39:4
decisions 61:25	depose 22:3	32:2,9,11	distributing 49:25	45:18,25
declaration 10:6 11:15	deposed 21:9 21:17 22:1	33:3 52:9	district 1:1,1 28:19	47:23 53:2
11:22 12:12	23:5	69:12	disturb 7:15	EASTERN 1:1
13:20 14:10	deposition 1:18 2:1 4:6	device 38:9 39:13 73:4,8	diversity 51:15	economic 41:10
14:12,13,16	4:10 7:21 8:9	73:11,15,16	division 1:2 37:13	editor 8:1
14:18 15:20	29:10,12	74:7,13,15	document 4:25 77:1	eight 24:3 55:17 56:12
16:18,22	59:17,18	75:10,14,18	79:8 83:8	either 50:6 51:17 59:6
18:7,17 20:2	63:6 80:3,5	79:15 80:9	84:16	elected 33:12
20:3 21:19	86:7	80:12,14,16	documentati... 15:3 77:13	Electronics 1:7,13,14
21:23 22:10	depth-sensing 30:7,25	81:21 83:10	documents 8:6	40:18
23:19 27:18	32:12,14,18	83:10,13	doing 26:14 30:12 46:4	element 44:19 57:18,19,19
28:4	describing 72:6,13	84:2	dominant 46:19	57:22 63:21
declarations 26:14,21	DESCRIPTION 4:7	devices 52:17	draft 15:5,15 15:16,25,25	66:14 68:4,5
27:24 55:1	design 31:3 41:19 42:2	differed 27:18	16:24 18:4,8	71:21,22
dedicated 32:21	42:17 43:1	different 19:24 20:8 38:21	18:8,10,17	72:2 73:9,20
deeply 83:24	43:19 45:1	41:17 51:15	19:14,14,20	74:6 75:24
Defendant 1:8	designed 33:19 42:13	53:19 55:11	19:22 20:5	77:9 81:6,10
defendant's 10:20	43:14	64:8 65:12	20:15,24,25	81:15,18
defendants 1:15 2:2 3:12	designs 39:17 41:21 42:21	69:9	21:2,4,4	83:1 84:10
5:10	desk 5:25 6:2	difficult 24:3 44:15 71:5	drafted 19:22 20:5 22:10	84:23 85:5
define 82:16	despite 56:14	78:24	drop 59:15	elements 39:18 44:23
defined 59:6 81:14,18	detail 84:7	digital 74:9	dual-binary 37:5	50:18 74:2
83:18 84:21	details 55:18	direction 86:15	due 16:9	76:18 82:16
85:3	detect 34:11	directly 50:10 51:2		82:23
defining 82:25	determines	director 37:10 37:12		eliminate 22:8
definite 55:22 56:15		disagree 28:10 28:11		email 7:19
				embedded 31:3 46:22
				48:21
				employee

30:18 employees 30:15,20 emulate 42:5 emulation 42:19 emulator 42:4 42:18,22,23 emulators 42:14,15 46:17 enabling 74:8 75:15,16 encoded 81:25 82:15,24 83:6 84:8,20 ended 44:18 46:24 70:6 engineer 31:20 34:18 43:3,20 45:2 46:25 47:1 engineering 31:2,7,23,24 34:21 41:19 45:9,13,14 47:15,17 48:23 50:2,5 51:7,19 53:18 54:3 68:10 engineers 35:16 44:1 ensure 36:7 39:6,20 46:14 ensuring 46:19 entire 62:16 78:23 environment 39:24 47:20 environments 46:23 49:15	equal 12:19 13:18 equipment 6:1 44:4 45:7 Errata 87:7 ESQ 3:9,18,24 essentially 35:19 67:13 estimate 9:21 11:6,9 eventually 30:6 everyday 64:6 evidence 11:3 87:21 evolution 35:20 evolved 35:17 exact 4:25 9:14,22 10:14 examination 2:3 4:4 5:6 86:13 examined 5:4 87:3 example 28:24 35:10 38:12 60:25 61:15 68:9 exchanges 44:3 45:6,23 excluded 29:1 excuse 8:22 exhibit 4:8,10 4:11,12,15 29:9,10,12 59:16,17,18 59:24 63:4,6 80:4,5 EXHIBITS 4:6 existing 41:7 expand 40:9 41:4	expansion 41:8 expected 44:16 expensive 41:9 46:12 experience 24:20 25:13 36:21 45:13 45:14 51:22 experiences 27:4 47:15 47:18 48:23 50:3,5 51:8 51:19 expert 29:5 31:5,19,25 32:3,21 33:1 33:16 62:2 67:12 expertise 25:1 explain 64:2 explained 17:15 explanation 18:3 extra 25:20 40:15,22 Extreme 37:14 37:16,19,25 extrinsic 11:3 F FABRICANT 3:5 fact 55:22 82:23 85:3 fair 13:3 33:16 51:21 52:20 fairly 40:24 familiar 51:10 51:23 52:4 far 9:13 11:8 11:15 45:14	FARABOW 3:13 fastest 37:18 37:19 feel 60:20 63:18,19 76:24 79:5 84:14 fell 56:9 fiber 37:6 fiber-optic 37:3 49:14 field 36:19 fifth 60:16 figure 9:22 file 24:18 25:11 75:21 final 22:22 23:1 finalize 18:12 28:3 Finally 45:1 findings 34:5 fine 16:15 finish 7:9 75:6 finished 77:3 Finnegan 3:13 5:11 first 5:3 8:11 8:15 9:7 10:1 13:5 15:5 18:4,8,10,17 19:14,20,22 20:5,14,24 21:4 24:13 35:6,10 36:8 37:16 41:6 42:14 43:24 45:4 60:4,15 61:1 62:6 63:20,21,25 64:13,21,23 65:16,19 66:6,9,14	67:3,5,7 68:15,16,20 68:24 69:4,4 69:23,23 70:13 71:8 77:9 80:22 80:22,24 81:12,14,18 83:15 fit 60:19 five 9:4,5 11:24 12:24 13:10 28:17 28:18 38:13 38:15 61:11 79:18,21 fixed 43:18 fly 62:1 67:22 focus 30:19 31:15,16 32:1,9 33:1 41:20 42:6,8 78:14 focused 54:2 56:1 67:2 focusing 31:11 follow 84:24 followed 70:20 follows 5:5 football 38:12 foregoing 86:7 86:16 87:4 form 11:19 24:11,24 format 74:9 formed 53:4 forth 15:15 20:21 86:9 found 29:4 56:14 58:4 58:24 founder 40:2,5 founder's 45:5
---	---	--	--	--

41:12 four 21:20,21 22:13,15 23:5 41:1 81:11 fourth 60:16 FPGAs 36:19 41:22,23 42:3,18 fragment 76:19 82:13 frame 24:22 33:17,21 34:17 40:12 43:9 44:8,25 81:12 85:1 frames 81:10 81:25 82:15 82:24 83:1,6 84:1,8,9,20 84:20,21,22 85:1 free 60:20 63:19 76:24 79:5 84:14 Fremd 3:6 frequency-di... 50:20,23 Fry's 40:18 full 43:17,17 76:25 fully 22:22 42:24 function 62:12 63:24 64:3,7 64:9,12 65:13 68:9 68:11 81:4 functional 81:6 further 71:14 71:17 72:5 85:20 86:17 Future 40:19	G GARRETT 3:13 general 6:12 31:2 51:22 58:15 67:11 generally 11:4 13:24 29:24 67:23 generation 52:5 Georgia 3:16 gesture 6:22 getting 46:3 give 10:19 19:4 28:24 given 10:8,16 11:5 20:7 87:6 giving 6:16 17:18 62:17 go 6:13 8:16 19:1 21:7 33:3,13 44:10 45:20 47:5 52:18 52:19 53:20 56:2,20 59:25 64:25 66:19 69:6 74:22 75:5 75:18 77:16 77:20 78:22 79:25 83:12 84:6,11 85:6 goal 28:6 36:5 going 6:12 13:25 18:2 22:18 29:8 32:24 45:12 46:13 47:2 59:15 67:10 83:12,21 85:18	Gomez 1:19 2:1 4:3,9,10 5:2,15 16:6 29:12 59:18 63:6 80:5 85:23 86:8 good 5:8 41:10 47:5 gosh 40:17 ground 6:12 group 36:16 36:17 40:2 81:13,13 guess 8:20 9:15 14:2 19:8 20:4 22:7,18 30:11 64:20 78:3	14:20,24 15:9,20 16:18 25:9 25:21 34:18 76:25 82:16 helped 39:10 39:16 HENDERSON 3:13 High 3:18 4:4 5:7,8 11:20 13:8,16 14:9 15:7 16:4,5 16:12,16 17:10 18:1 18:15,21 20:12 23:20 24:6,23 26:6 26:12 27:16 28:2,12 29:8 29:14 32:10 38:7 47:2,13 51:1,6 56:5 56:22 57:4 57:16 58:2 58:14,22 59:15,20 62:20 63:5 63:12 64:4 64:19 65:10 66:1,23 67:16 69:8 70:7,21 72:3 72:15 73:2 73:12 74:1 75:1,7 76:1 76:23 77:2 78:17 79:1 79:10 80:7 80:15 81:19 82:7 83:4 84:13 85:6 85:10,18,22 hiring 34:25	histories 11:1 25:11 history 24:19 31:14 75:21 honest 17:24 70:6 hopefully 30:6 hour 2:7 7:6 47:3 hours 9:12,22 9:24 10:4,12 11:14 housing 73:5 73:25 76:4 76:10,12,20 77:8,9,13,15 77:18,23,24 77:25 78:1,8 hung 65:13
		H half 32:23 49:20 handheld 38:23,25 39:3,13 49:10 happened 35:3,10 happy 15:16 16:1,25 hardware 37:11,13 42:6,7,15 43:16 45:15 45:19 46:1,6 46:6 47:1 hazard 9:15 14:2 83:12 head 8:17 52:25 53:5 heading 18:24 heard 17:12 help 14:12,17		I idea 33:21 35:10 40:6 43:25 ideal 26:9 identification 11:3 29:13 59:19 63:7 80:6 identified 57:24 60:4 Illinois 2:7 86:1 image 74:8 imparted 24:15 implement 35:16 37:9 implementat... 35:1,3 39:8 implementat... 36:4 47:23 implemented 43:11

implementing 41:21 48:16 52:11,15	25:8,13 inform 27:13	integrated 41:23 48:16	iterate 42:2	62:1 64:11 66:13 67:25 69:2,14,18
imply 81:4	information 10:8,16 11:5	intellectual 55:15	J	71:9,24
implying 83:19	11:17 17:2	intelligent 38:16	Janice 1:24	73:23 75:19
important 33:1	19:13,25	intended 25:5	January 37:25	77:3,7,12
in-test 39:23	21:5 25:7,8	intending 37:19	job 1:25 36:5	78:6,15
include 11:9	25:14 38:10	intending 51:18	joining 5:10	82:12,15,18
11:16 19:13	38:12,15	interested 36:18 86:19	jpymeto@f... 3:10	83:23 84:3
20:18 58:9	54:11 61:1	interface 39:19	judge 6:6	84:17
included 20:1	62:1,11,17	internet 60:11	25:21 28:22	knowledge
20:3 31:8	63:23 64:14	interpret 59:10	29:2,5	6:19 42:25
39:18 50:17	64:24 65:17	75:20	JULIAN 3:9	61:15 63:14
52:22,25	65:20 66:7	interpretation 77:22 81:8	July 8:22 9:10	known 44:25
55:14	66:10,15	introduce 29:8	jump 72:16	Kocek 1:24 2:4
includes 27:25	67:6,8 68:16	invention 26:11	79:2	86:5,24
58:25 59:24	68:18,21	inverter 35:13	jury 25:21	
81:7,10	69:5,24	35:15,19	K	L
including 39:3	70:14 71:8	investigating 79:19	K 3:18	labeled 53:10
44:23 51:15	infringe 71:2,3	involve 50:3	keep 12:22	lacking 29:4
incoming 80:18 83:15	71:4	51:8	kind 8:19	laid 35:15
indefinite 22:17,20,21	infringement 67:4,19,21	involved 8:12	30:25 35:5	language 57:25 66:14
22:24 23:8	67:24 68:14	19:19 22:16	37:24 40:21	large 55:16
23:12,15,19	74:11,14	22:19 23:6	48:12 76:18	late 8:13,21,22
23:23 55:3,8	75:11 76:13	47:18,19	knew 54:22	9:10 45:24
55:21 56:14	infringes 69:19	48:24 50:10	know 7:6 11:6	45:25 53:1
56:24 57:6	initial 34:25	51:2,20,24	12:1,6,7,10	Lately 32:1
57:12 58:5,8	40:6	69:11	12:14,15	law 71:6
58:24	innovate 35:8	IPX 44:20	13:2,10,19	lawyer 68:1
indefiniteness 56:7 58:16	input 42:17	isolation 82:6	13:24 14:6	71:5
INDEX 4:1	73:4,8,15,19	issue 8:25	15:2,23 16:8	layers 46:21
India 32:4	73:24 74:7	11:25 23:7	19:17 20:7	LEAD 1:6
indicate 4:24	74:13,15	38:10 56:7	20:16 24:16	leading 10:1
indicating 50:12	75:10,14	59:12,14	25:13 29:15	legal 14:19
individual 12:18,23	inrush 34:14	issues 75:22	31:23 32:3,8	18:20 22:2
14:5	inside 25:7,14	ITC 28:19	34:4 46:2,16	53:17 54:2
individually 12:17 78:5	insight 25:21	items 24:19	48:5 49:4,19	57:10 59:12
industry 25:6	installed 36:9		49:24 50:18	67:12 70:18
	instant 7:19		51:16,23	74:19,19
	instrumenta... 33:20,24		52:20 55:17	let's 38:13
	34:6 35:11		55:25 56:3	43:9 53:24
			59:9,21	69:14,22
			61:20,22	72:16,16

73:3 76:2 79:2 85:6 letter 45:4 level 20:25 License 2:4 light 25:9,14 limitation 62:7 62:10 65:14 66:4 70:11 76:3 78:7,9 78:19 80:25 81:24 82:2,9 82:10 limitations 80:19 limited 28:21 40:25 line 37:23 43:18 48:22 64:22 67:11 73:4 76:6 lines 44:19 link 8:2 37:3 linking 49:10 links 39:20 list 71:18,22 72:5 listed 18:25 47:15 50:3 51:20 53:11 67:6 listening 5:10 lists 80:19 literally 43:24 litigation 53:18 54:6 little 22:5 LiveNote 2:5 Livermore 5:16 LLC 1:4,10 29:22 LLP 3:5,14 load 37:17	38:8,9,14 49:24 loaded 64:7 local 10:21 47:21 50:7 local-area-n... 48:21 logic 36:20 83:11 84:6 long-haul 44:19 look 8:16 19:1 19:4 24:14 24:18 31:13 41:24 52:18 53:21 56:3 56:20 64:25 66:20 67:1 69:7 75:19 77:16 84:4 looked 12:15 19:24 looking 44:13 51:25 looks 54:18 63:10 lost 65:23 67:14 lot 31:3 41:24 48:14 63:9 75:22 76:17 83:24 lots 64:8 loudly 28:11 low 49:19 low-bit-rate 49:19 LTE 53:11,15 53:19 54:2,6 54:10 M M 1:24 2:4 86:5,24	machines 37:18 maintain 72:12 maintaining 71:18,22 72:5 making 35:9 42:21 manager 42:10 maneuverings 22:3 manner 4:22 March 33:10 33:12,14 38:1,3 marked 29:13 59:19 63:4,7 80:6 market 35:12 35:23 44:6 marketable 35:7,9 MARSHALL 1:2 match 26:25 material 20:8 74:24 76:16 materials 11:10 16:23 19:15,16,23 matter 55:14 55:15 matters 24:2 53:19 McKool 53:23 mean 8:22 13:3 26:25 28:23,25 49:1 64:10 64:17 70:1 77:19 78:5 meaning 6:5 24:15 25:9	25:15,23 meanings 64:8 68:10 means 24:11 24:25 26:1 36:12 65:1,6 67:24 71:25 80:23,25 81:3,3,4 83:16 megabyte 41:3 megabytes 41:2 MEMBER 1:12 memory 40:7 40:14,14,16 40:21,22,24 41:1,2,5,7,8 41:8 74:8 75:15,16 mention 12:5 mentioned 10:15 11:24 18:4 55:2 messenger 7:20 met 39:8 65:14 66:4 metal 77:14 method 46:19 56:25 57:7 57:18,24,25 58:1,9,25 71:22 78:9 78:19 80:12 82:3,10 Microsoft 38:24 39:11 39:16,24 47:22 Microsoft's 39:9,19 microwave 36:2	mid 45:24,25 Miguel 1:19 2:1 4:3,9,10 5:2,15 86:8 millions 46:13 MIMO 51:12,13 51:14,20,24 mind 69:2 Minden 40:2,5 minute 9:18 84:4 minutes 84:4 85:9 missed 65:5 73:13 model 35:21 modify 39:16 moment 8:1 32:25 79:5 morning 5:8 mouth 54:23 move 46:5 77:24 moved 76:11 76:13 movement 76:3,19 77:17,23 78:7 moves 77:24 moving 31:13 41:22 76:4 76:20 77:18 77:19,23 multiple 60:23 multiple-inp... 51:10 multiple-out... 51:11 multiplexing 50:20,23 N N 3:1
---	---	---	--	---

name 5:8,13 29:25 45:5,5 86:22 National 33:11 34:17 nature 81:6 82:21 necessarily 4:24 12:21 25:2 35:7 necessary 46:3 need 7:5,8,14 8:5 9:22 20:10 46:14 47:5 60:21 62:2 63:18 64:9 74:22 83:23 84:15 needed 35:23 36:24 37:7 41:4 46:8 needs 59:14 negotiation 20:21 neither 86:17 network 36:13 48:18 50:4,7 network-bas... 49:25 networking 37:13 47:18 47:20,21 networks 37:1 37:14,20,25 44:11,14 49:11 new 3:7,21 35:8 nod 6:22 Nonrespons... 16:4 Nope 8:8,10 normal 64:5	NOTARIZATI... 87:15 Notary 2:6 NOTE 4:20 notes 5:23 November 1:20 2:8 86:22 number 4:7,14 4:17 9:14 11:15 12:9 19:24 20:8 20:17 30:18 38:21 41:17 48:6 53:19 55:16 81:11 numbers 10:14 12:3 NW 3:15,21 O oath 6:5 86:10 object 67:10 83:3 84:21 objection 11:19 13:1 13:13,22 14:25 15:22 16:4 17:7,21 18:14,18 20:6 23:9,24 24:12 26:4 27:2,21 28:9 29:7 31:21 45:17 50:13 51:4 55:23 57:1,8,20 58:10,17 59:2 62:13 64:1,16 65:22 66:12 67:9 68:19 70:4,16 71:23 72:9	72:25 73:10 73:22 74:17 75:12 76:14 78:12,21 80:13 81:1 82:4,11 83:7 objections 86:12 obvious 44:7 57:14 Obviously 5:25 occurred 38:3 occurs 34:14 ODFM 54:7,8,9 OFDM 50:19 54:13 office 6:1 Oh 19:24 49:23 54:24 76:6 Okay 6:13,14 6:19 7:11,12 7:18 8:4,11 9:18,25 11:12 12:9 15:17 54:12 54:24 56:16 60:2 61:7 63:1 64:7 67:18 68:13 69:17 71:20 72:18 73:18 74:10 79:4,7 79:22 80:8 85:8,11 one-third 32:23 ones 20:20 48:10 55:12 open 7:24,25 8:6 29:15,17 59:21,23 opening 29:17	operate 74:12 74:15 operating 38:24 39:12 operation 74:7 75:10,14,18 opine 24:10 55:11 opined 23:21 55:3,6,15,20 70:22 opining 23:7 81:20 opinion 24:11 24:25 27:18 56:9 61:9 72:4 opinions 14:13,18 15:2,24 16:23 26:23 28:4 29:1 56:4 62:3 opportunity 27:12,13 opposed 45:15 80:12 optical 37:5 ordinary 20:25 25:17 26:1,8 26:15,18,23 27:5,15,25 59:5 77:10 oriented 46:2 origin 61:2 62:12 63:24 64:15,25 65:2,18,21 66:8,11,16 68:23 69:25 70:15 71:9 origin' 65:6 original 38:22 originally	10:24,25 44:9 46:16 orthogonal 50:19,22 outcome 86:19 overhead 34:12,13 overseas 36:3 36:4 owned 40:8 P P 3:1,1 PA 36:16 package 33:24 34:6 35:11 Packet 44:17 44:21 packet-based 43:24 PacketDAX 44:12,13,17 packets 44:7 page 4:3,7 54:19 60:4 72:17 79:3 paggers 52:22 pages 63:11 panel 34:6 panels 33:20 33:22,25 34:1,14 papers 5:23 parlance 64:6 part 11:11 19:19 34:8 35:22 46:11 49:12 52:8 71:12 72:8 72:11 particular 32:25 61:14 75:23 81:9
--	--	--	---	--

PARTIES 3:2	performed 63:24	please 5:13 6:21,25 7:5	preparing 10:5 11:15,21	35:9,21,23
partitioning 83:16	peripheral 49:12	16:7 57:3	12:12	36:19 37:23
partnership 52:5	peripherals 39:8,17,21	70:25	present 34:4	38:22 43:15
parts 31:22	person 25:17	plus 81:4	president 34:20,22	43:18,25
party 26:25	26:1,3,7,9,15	point 61:2,16	40:1	44:21 46:12
27:19 28:5	26:17,23	62:12 63:23	pretty 41:9	46:20 48:22
86:18	27:5,14,25	64:15,25	48:25 61:18	52:2 69:11
pass 85:19	59:4 77:10	65:1,6,17,21	prevent 6:9	74:14
passed 34:13	87:22	66:7,11,16	preventing 17:19	products 32:13 41:17
passing 34:12	personal 40:7	68:22,22	primary 38:22	41:19 43:10
patent 4:12,14	perspective 26:15	69:25 70:15	41:20 48:10	48:17 52:23
4:15,17	PFGAs 42:1	71:9 73:24	prior 22:9 33:4	programma... 36:19 41:23
10:21 12:2,3	phased 52:1	pointed 60:22	55:19	43:7,12,12
12:3,5,7,13	Philips 38:18	populate 41:6	probably 6:11	43:14 49:9
12:18,20,23	38:25 39:15	73:25 76:4	10:3 20:19	programming 46:23
13:4,21 14:3	47:20 48:13	76:10,12,20	21:25 22:18	project 33:1
21:13,15	48:17 49:10	77:8,8,18	52:23	52:5
24:22 25:5	phone 7:13	78:8	problem 68:3	projects 52:23
55:14 62:22	phones 39:3,4	portion 18:7	process 17:15	properly 36:8
62:25 63:2,9	physical 41:25	71:13	18:3 35:15	36:9 39:12
63:10,10,15	46:6,21	portions 63:18	39:7	39:21,25
63:19 64:3	physically 20:14,24	position 27:19	processing 46:3,8	46:15 57:13
65:1,5 77:13	21:2 40:10	positions 26:25	processor 60:15,15,16	property 55:15
78:23 80:1,2	43:11 70:14	possess 65:16	60:16,17	proposals 22:23
patents 8:24	piece 34:10	66:6	61:1 62:7	proposed 10:25
9:2,8 10:7	77:14,14	possesses 70:2	63:25 64:13	prosecution 11:1
11:1,25	pieces 19:25	power 46:3	64:21,23	protect 34:13
12:24 13:10	20:8	powerful 46:18	65:16,19	protocol 48:3
13:18 14:5	place 7:14	practice 26:11	66:6,9,15	48:12
61:8,11	79:25 86:8	preamble 58:25 60:13	67:3,5,7	protocols 49:6
79:18,23	places 50:1	83:22 84:11	68:15,17,21	50:7,8
PCMCIA 39:18	placing 40:14	preliminary 10:22 11:2	68:24 69:4,4	prove 37:8
pending 7:9	Plaintiff 1:5,11	prepare 56:11	69:23,24	39:24
people 40:8	3:4	61:13	70:13 71:8	proved 87:20
percent 43:14	plastic 77:14	prepared 56:19 61:20	processors 60:23	provide 25:24
percentage 31:17 32:22	platform 37:7	74:20	product 31:11	51:14
perfectly 25:10	43:8,13		31:24 32:2,6	provided 19:25 26:22
25:15	platforms 36:6		32:9,11 33:3	
perform 42:19	39:3 49:9			
performance 34:1,5				

40:22 42:2 61:9 providing 56:15 PST 1:21 2:8 Public 2:6 pull 9:15 10:18 pumped 46:13 purchased 41:2 purport 58:4,8 purports 73:20 purpose 6:3 put 16:2 17:1 20:20 36:18 61:24 86:10 putting 84:22 PYMENTO 3:9 11:19 13:1 13:13,22 14:25 15:22 16:9,14 17:7 17:21 18:14 18:18 20:6 23:9,24 24:12 26:4 27:2,21 28:9 29:7 31:21 45:17 50:13 51:4 55:23 56:16 57:1,8 57:20 58:10 58:17 59:2 62:13 64:1 64:16 65:22 66:12 67:9 68:19 70:4 70:16 71:23 72:9,25 73:10,22 74:17 75:12 76:14 78:12 78:21 80:13 81:1 82:4,11	83:7 85:20 Q qualifications 21:5 question 7:1,2 7:9,10 14:7 15:12,17 16:7,8,10,13 16:15,21 17:11,14,14 19:6 28:24 56:11,20 57:3 58:6,13 58:19 61:23 63:20 66:3 67:2,15,17 68:12 69:3 70:5,18,19 70:23,24 71:1 75:6 78:16,25 questioning 67:11 questions 6:18,25 60:1 61:17 62:5 63:14,16 69:1 77:5 78:2 85:21 quick 47:3 84:18 Quickturn 42:10,11,13 42:25 quite 19:5 36:20 45:10 46:5 47:19 52:7,14 70:20 quotations 4:20 quote 4:25 R	R 3:1 R-O-L-M 45:2 radio 39:20 48:6 52:22 radios 46:5 reached 23:22 read 4:23 52:6 60:20 62:7 62:24 69:19 74:23 76:16 76:24 77:6 77:11 79:5 84:18 87:3 reading 10:7,7 54:15 60:14 63:11,17 75:2,8 76:9 82:18 ready 77:4 realized 44:5 really 14:7 reask 16:14 reason 6:8 recall 8:17 13:19 19:17 19:21 20:13 22:12,22 23:2,4,16,25 28:20 48:11 52:24 53:4 55:9,13,18 55:19 56:13 56:18 79:11 79:13 receive 64:14 67:8 68:17 receiver 51:18 51:25 receivers 48:2 49:18 receives 61:1 62:11 63:22 64:24 65:20 66:10,15	68:21 69:5 70:14 71:8 receiving 65:17 66:7 67:6 68:16 69:24 recess 47:9 85:14 recites 58:24 60:25 recognize 12:10 recollection 8:23 record 4:23 5:14 6:13 47:8,12 61:24 85:13 85:17 86:16 recorded 86:13 recording 6:16 redacted 4:14 4:17 redactions 63:9 redirecting 60:11 redundance 38:11 refer 12:2,8 referring 10:17 10:18 18:23 18:24 19:2 19:10 32:12 84:11 refill 47:5 reflected 4:22 regard 19:6 regarding 21:23 22:11 23:6 26:21 related 48:7 52:2 53:15	54:1,8 86:18 relative 13:19 relay 44:25 reliable 51:17 reluctant 62:18 rely 25:13 27:4 48:13 remember 24:4 56:1,6,8 remind 55:6 remote 1:18 86:7 remotely 3:2 5:1 86:10 repeat 66:2 67:17 68:12 rephrase 28:18 report 20:1,11 21:19,23 22:2,4,11,22 23:2 61:14 reported 1:23 2:3 reporter 2:5,6 6:15 REPORTER'S 4:20 reports 20:17 56:21 repositioning 44:18 reprogram 42:18 reprogramm... 42:1 requesting 16:2 requests 38:11,14 50:1 require 63:14 69:3
---	--	--	---	--

required 23:18 24:17 25:18 47:21,25 49:6 87:15	53:2 54:22 55:4 56:10 59:22,23 60:23 61:10	61:5,6 72:17 79:2	53:12,24 54:15,24 60:6 61:3 62:6 65:11	shading 34:9,9 34:15 35:18 35:18
requirement 83:5	61:19 62:6,8 63:8 67:20	Samsung 1:7 1:13,14 5:9	66:20 71:15 72:21 73:3,6 76:5 79:16	shadows 34:12
requirements 39:9,15	68:8,12 69:12,21	satisfactory 87:21	80:8,20 81:24 82:19 83:17	shed 25:9,14
reread 84:14	74:3 78:3 79:9	satisfied 81:21	seen 58:12 79:11	Sheet 87:7
resolve 23:14	ROBERT 3:18	satisfy 70:10	sell 30:6 69:16 70:2,8,11	Shop 40:19
respect 13:21 16:9	robert.high... 3:19	saying 15:13 36:10 77:8	Semiconduc... 38:18	Shorthand 2:5
respond 6:21	Rockslide 29:22 30:1,2	says 30:5 60:10,13 71:14,17	Semiconduc... 33:12 34:17	shortly 9:9
responsibilit... 34:23	30:3,15,17 31:1,8,16,18	73:1,4,11,15 73:24 74:7 75:13 80:14	sending 82:24 82:25 83:25 84:19	show 83:12
responsibility 35:5,22	31:23 33:4	scope 58:13	sent 84:2	side 25:22 32:6 35:5 45:15 51:25 53:17,18 54:2,3 56:8
rest 60:14 76:16 77:12	role 39:6	screen 7:25 8:2	sentence 76:18,22 82:13	signal 51:17
restate 57:2 58:20 63:21 68:4	ROLM 44:1,2 45:3,4,21 49:1	screens 7:24	separating 81:12	signals 80:17 83:14
retain 74:8	roof 34:7	SEAL 87:25	September 8:21	Signature 87:12,24
retained 8:15 9:9 10:1 27:1 27:19 28:5	rooftops 48:1 49:17	second 10:19 22:4 41:6 48:14 60:15 72:16 81:13 84:9,23	series 36:6 server 34:2 37:17 38:8,9 38:13,14 49:24	signed 87:7
reuse 40:13	room 5:20	secrets 21:16	servers 38:11 38:13,15	significant 81:14,17
reverse 35:19 39:14	rough 8:19 11:6	section 14:23 15:8,19 16:17 18:16 18:23,24 19:2,7,9,11 19:15,23 20:15 21:1,6 53:6	services 31:24 51:15	silent 7:14
review 9:7 15:3 21:8 24:4 63:18	roughly 10:12 11:13 12:19 13:17	sections 14:15 14:19,22 18:9,11,20 19:12 20:18	set 6:2 69:21 86:9	similar 50:9 54:12
reviewed 8:24 9:3,5 16:22 20:22	rules 6:12 10:21	see 29:11 32:8 35:20 37:24 43:9 52:20	sets 71:19 72:6	simulation 46:10
reviewing 11:10,16 77:1,4 79:8 83:8 84:16	run 69:10 running 31:14 35:19 39:12		settled 23:1 seven 24:2	simulators 46:17
right 8:1,3 10:1 18:5 29:17,22 31:11 35:25 45:9 49:2	Rye 3:7			Simultaneous 65:3 75:4
	S			single 22:19
	S 3:1			Sirah 5:16
	sale 38:3,5			sitting 23:3 24:9 55:9 56:10,18
	sample 4:11 4:13,16 59:25 60:4,8			sixth 73:4
				skill 20:25 25:17 26:1,8 26:15,18,23 27:6,15,25 59:5 77:10

skip 71:12 73:3 76:2 slot 41:3,6 slots 40:10,11 40:15,22,24 41:1,5,8 small 6:1 smartphone 47:23 smartphones 39:4 Smith 53:23 software 31:3 32:15 34:4 45:16 46:1,7 46:22,23,25 software-def... 46:4 solar 33:20,21 33:25 34:1,5 34:13 sold 33:11 34:16 40:16 44:22 71:2 74:14 somebody 41:1 sorry 11:23 13:9 17:4 37:11 50:15 52:13 58:5 65:4,23 67:3 67:15 70:9 72:10 73:13 75:5 78:2 sort 53:9 71:13 sound 54:22 sounds 70:17 South 3:7 speaking 65:3 75:4 special 6:2 specifically 14:7 52:2	specification 25:3,11 52:7 57:13 59:7,8 66:18,21,25 69:7 74:23 75:19 77:11 77:21 specifications 24:18 25:19 52:9,12,16 specifics 23:2 Spectrum 41:12,15 43:1 52:21 53:1 spend 10:5 12:19,25 13:3 spent 9:12 11:8,9,13,14 11:16,21 12:12,18,23 13:11,17,20 14:1,4 31:19 SS 86:2 start 46:4 49:1 60:3 63:11 65:25 started 33:8 41:16 44:11 55:13 70:6 starting 31:14 starts 72:19 79:14 80:9 startup 31:12 33:8 43:6 startups 31:14 69:10 state 2:6 5:13 72:2,12 86:1 87:16 statement 74:20 States 1:1 4:12	4:15 40:18 Stenographer 26:5 38:4 47:7,11 50:14,21 63:3 65:4 81:16 85:12 85:16 stenographi... 2:3 86:13 step 45:19 58:25 78:9 78:19 82:3 82:10 stepped 65:9 steps 58:9 stipulate 79:20 stood 45:4 storage 39:18 72:1 stores 40:17 StrataCom 43:20,23 49:2 stream 80:18 81:10 83:16 83:17,19,20 84:1,10 85:3 streams 83:15 84:1,22 85:2 Street 3:15 strike 13:9 81:23 strings 71:18 72:6 structural 57:19,22 71:21 73:9 73:20 78:8 78:19 80:25 82:2,10,20 82:21 83:1 84:19 85:5 structure	60:18 63:17 71:25 72:7 72:14 81:7 81:14,18 82:14 structured 58:1 75:24 studied 54:5 71:6 study 75:25 77:20 78:22 stuff 44:24 61:21 submit 23:18 26:13 submitted 16:23 18:4 21:23 23:1 27:17 29:19 subscribed 86:21 87:18 subsections 53:9 suggested 20:10,20 suite 3:6,15 41:22 supervision 86:15 supplemental 10:8,15 11:10,17 support 42:20 66:17,25 supported 41:18 53:18 54:6 57:13 66:21 73:5 73:25 supporting 74:24 82:20 sure 6:11 9:17 11:11 13:25 14:6 19:5,9	20:9,22 27:23 35:2 42:21 45:19 57:9 58:12 58:23 60:2 61:19 63:22 66:2,17 68:6 70:20 74:20 77:15 84:7 85:10 surrounding 32:15 survived 22:21 sworn 5:1,4 87:18 system 34:8 34:16 38:23 38:24 39:1 39:12 42:16 44:10 49:11 57:23 58:4,8 58:24 60:9 60:10,19,22 61:16 65:15 65:15,18,20 66:5,5,8,10 69:15,19,20 69:23 70:8 70:10,12,12 71:14,17 72:4,7,8,11 systems 42:10 48:6,24 51:22 T T 3:24 T1 44:19 take 7:6,10 9:18 33:2 36:24 47:3 76:24 79:5 85:6 taken 47:9
--	--	--	---	--

85:14 86:8 takes 45:8 talking 12:6,7 54:25 target 51:18 tasked 34:24 team 32:5 34:25 35:2 technical 14:21 15:4 18:9,11,23 19:10,13,18 70:19 technologies 1:4,10 44:18 44:21 48:15 48:21 49:5 50:17,24 51:11,24 52:3 technology 26:19 34:11 34:19 36:21 39:11 48:4 50:9 54:5,10 telecom 43:10 43:16,16 44:2 49:2,3,5 49:9,15,22 telecommun... 43:7,8 48:24 telecommun... 43:25 44:6 45:7 52:17 telephone 44:4 Telestream 43:3,6,13 49:8 tell 6:25 telling 33:22 ten 85:8 tend 30:19 33:1	term 22:16,20 23:8,12,19 23:21 24:11 24:25 25:15 55:3,7,20 56:14 57:12 59:4,6,10 terms 10:23 18:25 22:24 24:21 26:24 31:17 55:12 55:16 58:15 78:4 82:9 test 36:6 37:7 41:22 43:15 61:15 testified 5:4 28:13 testify 6:5 testifying 6:9 testimony 4:3 28:20 56:15 86:11 87:4,6 TEXAS 1:1 Thank 7:16 54:20,24 85:11,22,24 Theodore 3:6 Thereabouts 10:13 thereof 86:20 thing 24:13 45:15 things 18:12 30:13 38:21 39:18,25 60:17,18 68:2 77:7 think 13:23 16:20,21 18:2,3 21:12 21:14 25:18 28:18 35:12 44:22 47:4	48:3 49:20 54:14 55:2 59:3 61:18 63:13,16 64:11 65:7 67:2,25 68:3 78:20 thinking 10:9 third 52:4 60:15 thoroughly 16:20 74:22 75:25 thought 15:12 three 12:3 21:20,21 22:13,15 23:5 31:22 32:6 three-quarters 10:9,10,12 11:7,13 throughput 37:6,18 time 10:1,5,9 10:10 11:8,9 11:9,16,21 11:22 12:11 12:17,19,22 12:25 13:4 13:11,18,19 13:25 14:1,4 22:4,6 24:21 26:11,18,20 28:3 31:18 31:18 32:20 32:24 33:3 33:17,21,21 34:17 35:21 40:11 43:8 44:8,15,25 45:24 47:11 56:4 76:24 85:9,18,25	86:8,9,12 times 21:11,12 21:17,20,21 21:22 22:10 22:13,15 23:5 27:12 28:16,18,19 46:8 today 5:10,18 5:21 6:5,9,25 7:13 44:7 top 8:17 52:24 53:5 total 34:16 track 12:17,22 13:7 14:3 67:14 tracking 72:2 trade 21:15 transcribed 86:14 transcription 87:5 transmission 48:1 transmit 34:1 54:10 83:6 transmits 36:13 transmitter 49:14 transmitting 48:7 79:15 80:9,17 81:21,25 83:10,13,14 84:2,8 transport 49:17 trellis 40:7,15 40:21 41:5 81:25 82:14 82:24 83:6 84:8,20	trial 28:13,21 true 86:16 87:4 truth 17:17 truthfully 6:5,9 6:18 try 7:6 24:14 25:4 26:17 59:13 61:23 trying 17:23 24:20 56:1 twist 46:24 two 28:19 37:15,22 41:7 48:10 two-thirds 10:10,11 11:7,13 49:20 type 56:19 typed 20:14 types 39:2 48:7 49:23 50:1 52:23 typo 54:18
U				
Uh-huh 71:16 76:8 ultimately 24:24 undergrad 45:9 underlying 48:4 54:9 underneath 74:3,4 understand 6:4,24 7:2 12:1 24:15 24:21 25:4 25:22 26:17 26:24 28:23 47:22 54:4 57:10,11				

58:13,18 59:13 64:9 64:20 67:23 68:7 77:21 understandi... 25:25 26:10 26:19 27:5 36:18 49:6 51:13 78:10 78:15,24 81:2 82:8 understood 19:9 unequal 81:11 unfortunately 44:14 United 1:1 4:12,15 40:18 upload 62:22 uploaded 29:10 usage 59:3,5 use 12:9 24:20 25:12 35:16 38:25 43:15 56:25 57:7 57:14 user 42:17 74:7,12,15 75:10,14,16 75:17,17 76:3,11,19 77:17,23 78:7 users 36:14 usually 67:22 77:13	48:7 49:11 49:22 50:1,1 51:14 60:17 verify 39:11 versus 31:20 55:13 vice 34:20,22 video 44:13,15 videoconfer... 2:2 visual 74:8 Vitae 4:8 voice 44:10,13 44:19	75:20,23 76:10 86:19 ways 24:25 35:8 we'll 59:16 we're 32:16 47:12 84:19 85:12,16 We've 47:2 web-based 31:4 32:16 32:17 34:4 Webstacks 37:16,16,24 49:23 weeks 32:7 welcome 67:1 went 15:15 34:7 43:17 45:20 47:14 weren't 41:4 43:11 WHEREOF 86:21 Whoops 13:14 Wi-LAN 53:22 window 7:23 Windows 39:1 39:1,22,25 wireless 47:18 47:20,21 48:13 77:9 77:16 78:1 witness 5:1,3 13:2,14,23 15:1,23 17:8 17:23 18:19 20:7 23:10 23:25 24:13 26:7 27:3,22 28:10 31:22 38:5 45:18 47:4 50:12 50:16,22	51:5 55:24 56:17 57:2,9 57:21 58:11 58:18 59:3 62:14 63:8 64:2,17 65:7 65:23 66:13 67:14 68:20 70:17 71:24 72:10 73:1 73:11,23 74:18 75:5 75:13 76:15 77:1 78:13 78:22 79:8,9 80:14 81:2 81:17 82:5 82:12 83:8,9 84:16,17 85:8,11,19 85:24 86:9 86:11,21 word 36:10 64:5,8,9,12 65:13 67:24 68:9,11 81:3 words 22:23 68:5 78:11 78:14,15,24 work 23:13 29:21 30:24 30:25 31:6 31:25 32:3 33:3,16 39:21 41:20 42:20,24 45:20 48:24 49:12,21 50:6 52:21 52:22 53:14 53:23 54:1,8 59:12 worked 12:16 15:15,25	16:24 17:15 17:18,24 18:11 28:7 33:5 34:18 42:22 46:20 52:11,15 working 13:20 27:14 30:5 30:21 33:23 35:2 37:23 39:25 46:15 47:22 48:15 49:10 world's 42:14 wouldn't 16:3 16:25 write 14:10,15 14:19,20 15:4,8 18:19 written 20:16 wrote 14:17,23 15:20,24 16:18,22 18:10,17
	W			X
	want 8:18 9:16 9:19,20,23 19:3 24:4 47:3 61:19 61:24 62:21 62:21 63:3 65:12,12 66:22 69:6 69:16 82:5 wanted 19:8 38:25 40:9 59:25 69:14 69:18 Washington 3:22 wasn't 16:2 21:15 35:7 40:10 44:8 water 47:6 way 16:21 17:18,24 22:7 28:7,21 33:22 35:3 38:16 51:14 54:13 56:8 57:15 65:12 69:9 74:13 74:16 75:9			x 1:3,9,16
	V			Y
v 1:6,12 various 36:14 39:2,2,20 41:17,19				Yahoo 38:12 Yale 45:20 Yeah 7:16 10:3 19:8 24:1 27:22 29:16 33:11 36:17 37:15 38:2 40:24 42:7 45:3 47:4 48:20 53:3 54:18 61:6 67:14,25 77:5 year 8:14 32:24 years 24:3

25:7,12 48:6 49:21 55:18 56:12 yes-or-no 17:11,13 yesterday 32:4 York 3:7,21	1:6 2:22-CV-001... 1:12 2000 37:25 53:24 20001-4413 3:22 2001 38:1,3 2006 33:10 2009 33:10,12 33:14 53:25 2011 53:25 2013 30:22 33:15 2023 1:20 2:8 86:22 87:20 206 3:6 212.257.5797 3:8 212.480.4000 3:23 2178 5:15 271 3:15 29 4:8 2G 48:14,18 50:7	50:18 51:3 5 5 4:4,16 79:3,3 50 9:24 10:4 10:12 54576 1:25 59 4:10 5G 51:8 6 63 4:12 7 767 12:5,13 13:4 14:3 7th 86:22 8 80 4:15 802.11.15 48:4 802.11a 50:8 80s 45:25 53:1 9 9:04 1:21 2:7 901 3:21 90s 53:2 94450 5:17		
Z Zigbee 48:3,5 Zoom 2:2 7:23 0 084-002871 2:4 86:6,25 1 1 1:20 4:8,13 29:10,12 41:3 60:5,8 1,500 40:17 10-gigabit-p... 37:3 49:14 10:01 47:8,10 10:07 47:10,12 100 43:14 10580 3:7 11:09 85:13,15 11:18 85:15,17 85:25 14 21:12 63:11 1400 3:15 17th 3:15 1983 45:11,20 1987 43:9 1989 43:9 1990s 45:25 1994 44:22 1st 2:8 2 2 4:10 54:19 59:17,18 72:17 2:22-CV-000...	3 3 4:12 63:4,6 30 9:24 30363-6209 3:16 3GPP 52:5,9 52:12,16 53:4 4 4 4:15 41:2 80:4,5 40 52:23 404.653.6400 3:17 411 3:6 45 25:7,12 4G 50:3,9,11			