



Journal of Environmental Engineering

Editorial

- 189 Clash of Engineering Scientists and Environmental Engineers
Teresa B. Culver
- 191 Editor's Note
Mark Rood

Technical Papers

- 192 Electrochemical Reduction of 2,4-Dinitrotoluene in a Continuous Flow Laboratory Scale Reactor
Rajesh B. Doppalapudi, George A. Sorial, and Stephen W. Maloney
- 202 Airborne Bacteria Control Under Chamber and Test-Home Conditions
Meng-Hui Lai, Demetrios J. Moschandreas, and Krishna R. Pagilla
- 209 Standardization of Methods for Fluence (UV Dose) Determination in Bench-Scale UV Experiments
James R. Bolton and Karl G. Linden
- 216 Comparative Study of Two Bioassays for Applications in Influent Wastewater Toxicity Monitoring
S. Ren and P. D. Frymier
- 222 Sphere Drag and Settling Velocity Revisited
Phillip P. Brown and Desmond F. Lawler
- 232 Field and Laboratory Evaluation of the Impact of Tall Fescue on Polyaromatic Hydrocarbon Degradation in an Aged Creosote-Contaminated Surface Soil
Sandra L. Robinson, John T. Novak, Mark A. Widdowson, Scott B. Crosswell, and Glendon J. Fetterolf
- 241 Investigation of Cadmium Desorption from Different-Sized Sediments
Sui Liang Huang
- 248 Natural Stabilization of Stored Industrial Sludges
Hazim Tugun, Raymond C. Loehr, and Xuijin Qui

contents continue on back cover

contents continued from front cover

- 258 Thermal Treatment for Incinerator Ash: Evaporation and Leaching Rates of Metals
Zhen-Shu Liu, Ming-Yen Wey, and Shu-Jen Lu
- 267 Uncertainty of Weekly Nitrate-Nitrogen Forecasts Using Artificial Neural Networks
Momcilo Markus, Christina W.-S. Tsai, and Misganaw Demissie

Technical Notes

- 275 Stabilization of Electrical Arc Furnace Dust with Low-Grade MgO Prior to Landfill
Ana I. Fernández, Josep M. Chimenos, Neus Raventós, Lourdes Miralles, and Ferran Espiell

ASCE
American Society
of Civil Engineers

1801 Alexander Bell Drive
RESTON, VA 20191-4400



0733-9372(200303)129:3;1-8

Journal of Environmental Engineering

Editor

Mark J. Rood
*University of Illinois,
Urbana-Champaign*

Associate Editors


Robert G. Arnold
University of Arizona
Margaret Katherine Banks
Purdue University
Morton Barlaz
North Carolina State University
Stuart A. Batterman
University of Michigan
Carl F. Cerco
*U.S. Army Engineer Research
and Development Center*
Jiayang (Jay) Cheng
North Carolina State University
Teresa B. Culver
University of Virginia
Bruce A. DeVantier
Southern Illinois University
Dionysios D. Dionysiou
University of Cincinnati
Wendell P. Ela
University of Arizona

Raymond A. Ferrara
Omni Environmental Corporation
Joseph R. V. Flora
University of South Carolina
Hilary I. Inyang
University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Bruce Ernest Logan
The Pennsylvania State University
Victor S. Magar
Battelle Memorial Institute
Spyros G. Pavlostathis
Georgia Institute of Technology
Eric A. Seagren
University of Maryland
George A. Sorial
University of Cincinnati
Joo Hwa Tay
Nanyang Technological University
Yi-Tin Wang
University of Kentucky



**Environmental and Water
Resources Institute
Governing Board**

Cecil Lue-Hing, *President*
Philip H. Burgi
C. Dale Jacobson
Donald M. Phelps
Michael A. Ports
Thomas M. Rachford
Kyle E. Schilling
Robert C. Williams



General Information

Journal of Environmental Engineering

Aims and scope. The *Journal of Environmental Engineering* presents broad interdisciplinary information on the practice and status of research in environmental engineering science, systems engineering, and sanitation. Papers focus on design, development of engineering methods, management, governmental policies, and societal impacts of wastewater collection and treatment; the fate and transport of contaminants on watersheds, in surface waters, in groundwater, in soil, and in the atmosphere; environmental biology, microbiology, chemistry, fluid mechanics, and physical processes that control natural concentrations and dispersion of wastes in air, water, and soil; nonpoint-source pollution on watersheds, in streams, in groundwater, in lakes, and in estuaries and coastal areas; treatment, management, and control of hazardous wastes; control and monitoring of air pollution and acid deposition; airshed management; and design and management of solid waste facilities, naming the more prominent contributions of the recent past and present. A balanced contribution from consultants, practicing engineers, and researchers is sought on engineering solutions, and professional obligations and responsibilities.

Journal of Environmental Engineering (ISSN 0733-9372) is published monthly by the American Society of Civil Engineers. The publications office is at ASCE, 1801 Alexander Bell Dr., Reston, VA 20191-4400. All editorial correspondence should be directed to the Journals Department. Periodicals postage is paid at Herndon, Virginia, U.S.A., and at additional mailing offices.

Submission of papers. Submit five double-spaced copies of papers and notes or four copies of discussions to the Journals Department. Papers under review, accepted for publication, or published elsewhere are not accepted. Contact the Journals Department for *ASCE Authors Guide to Journals, Books, and Reference Publications* for complete instructions for manuscript preparation or go to <http://www.pubs.asce.org/authors/guide.html>.

All manuscripts submitted to the journal should be accompanied by a list of four potential reviewers suggested by the author(s). This list should include for each reviewer the complete name, address, telephone and fax numbers, e-mail address, and (if possible) at least five key words that identify the expertise of each reviewer. The journal is not obliged to use all the recommended reviewers; however, the editors believe that this policy will lead to an improved review process.

Length requirements. For most ASCE journals, the maximum number of words or word-equivalents is 10,000 for papers, 3,500 for notes, and 2,000 for discussions. The editor may waive these restrictions to encourage papers on topics that cannot be treated within these limitations. Such topics may include state-of-the-art reviews and detailed case histories. However, authors are advised that most topics can be covered within these limitations, and that the editors of this journal require clear justification for longer manuscripts.

SI units. The use of System International (SI) units as primary units of measure is mandatory. Other units may be given in parentheses after the SI unit if the author desires. More information about SI units can be found in the *ASCE Author Guide* (<http://www.pubs.asce.org/authors/guide.html>) or from NIST at <http://physics.nist.gov/cuu/Units/index.html>.

CE Database keywords. The keywords are assigned by the manager of the Civil Engineering Database (www.pubs.asce.org) using a thesaurus also available on the ASCE Web site. New keywords are added to the thesaurus as warranted. We welcome the inclusion of keywords with your final manuscript; however, the keywords you provide should be chosen from the keyword list on the Web.

Reprints. Authors can order reprints using reprint order forms provided by the Production Department or on the internet at <http://www.pubs.asce.org/authors/jrnreprint.pdf>.

Photocopies. Authorization to photocopy material for internal or personal use under circumstances not falling within the fair use provisions of the Copyright Act is granted by ASCE to libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$18 per article is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Dr., Danvers, MA 01923. The identification for *Journal of Environmental Engineering* is 0733-9372/03 \$18. Requests for special permission or bulk copying should be addressed to the Copyrights and Permissions Department, ASCE.

Subscription pricing. Subscriptions are filled for the calendar year and must be prepaid according to rates in the table below. To keep member rates inexpensive and ensure price stability of ASCE journals, it is essential that members agree not to forward personal subscriptions to libraries or reading rooms for at least 24 months after publication of the last issue of the calendar year. The following rates apply for 2003:

Subscription Package	U.S.	U.S.	International	International
	Members	Institutions	Members	Institutions
Print	\$111	\$520	\$159	\$568
On-line	\$94	\$442	\$94	\$442
Print plus On-line	\$128	\$572	\$176	\$620

Paid on-line subscriptions in 2003 receive access to all archive issues for those journals from 1995 to the present at no additional charge. For a complete schedule of prices and registration information for on-line access, visit the ASCE Publications Web site at <http://www.pubs.asce.org> or inquire through e-mail to marketing@asce.org.

Cover illustration. Brown and Caldwell designed these egg-shaped digesters for the city of Lincoln's (Neb.) 30-mgd Theresa Street Wastewater Treatment Plant. The digesters are the centerpiece of a comprehensive, Brown and Caldwell-designed solids-processing system that meets new, more stringent Class A pathogen-control requirements.

Abstracting and indexing. This publication is abstracted annually in *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers* and in Civil Engineering Database at <http://www.pubs.asce.org>. Address inquiries to Information Products, ASCE.

Disclaimer. The Society is not responsible for any statement made or opinion expressed in its publications.

Postmaster. Send address changes to *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, ASCE, 1801 Alexander Bell Dr., Reston, VA 20191-4400.

Subscription inquiries and change of address. Address all member subscription inquiries and correspondence to Member Records, ASCE. Nonmembers should contact Publications Fulfillment Department, ASCE. Notify the appropriate ASCE department of an address change as soon as possible. All communications should include both old and new addresses with zip codes and be accompanied by a mailing label from a recent issue. Allow six weeks for all changes to become effective.

Change of Address, Members

Member Records
ASCE
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, VA 20191-4400
Telephone: (800) 548-2723
E-mail: memrec@asce.org

Change of Address, Nonmembers

Publications Fulfillment Department
ASCE
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, VA 20191-4400
Telephone: (703) 295-6210
E-mail: onlinejls@asce.org

Copyright © 2003 by American Society of Civil Engineers.

This journal is printed on acid-free paper, which meets the ANSI requirements for permanence.

Board Publications Committee

Dennis R. Martenson, P.E., *Chair*
Mario A. Baratta
Robert H. Marks
Gajanan H. Sabnis, P.E.
James A. Steele

Publications

Bruce Gossett, *Managing Director and Publisher*

Journals Department

Johanna M. Reinhart, *Director, Journals*
Jackie Perry, *Managing Editor, Journals*
Andrew Barber, *Discussions and Closures*

Production Department

Charlotte McNaughton, *Director, Production*
Angela Cochran, *Manager, Journals Production*
Gene Sullivan, *Senior Production Editor*
Julie Magrin, *Production Editor*
Noel Pratt, *Production Editor*
Xi Van Fleet, *Manager, Information Products*
Karen A. Ryan, *Manager, Copyrights and Permissions*
Donna Dickert, *Reprints*

Publishing Office

Journals Department
ASCE
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, VA 20191-4400
Telephone: (703) 295-6290
E-mail: journal-services@asce.org

Journal of Environmental Engineering

VOLUME 129 / NUMBER 3

MARCH 2003

Editorial

- 189 Clash of Engineering Scientists and Environmental Engineers
Teresa B. Culver
- 191 Editor's Note
Mark Rood

Technical Papers

- 192 Electrochemical Reduction of 2,4-Dinitrotoluene in a Continuous Flow Laboratory Scale Reactor
Rajesh B. Doppalapudi, George A. Sorial, and Stephen W. Maloney
- 202 Airborne Bacteria Control Under Chamber and Test-Home Conditions
Meng-Hui Lai, Demetrios J. Moschandreas, and Krishna R. Pagilla
- 209 Standardization of Methods for Fluence (UV Dose) Determination in Bench-Scale UV Experiments
James R. Bolton and Karl G. Linden
- 216 Comparative Study of Two Bioassays for Applications in Influent Wastewater Toxicity Monitoring
S. Ren and P. D. Frymier
- 222 Sphere Drag and Settling Velocity Revisited
Phillip P. Brown and Desmond F. Lawler
- 232 Field and Laboratory Evaluation of the Impact of Tall Fescue on Polyaromatic Hydrocarbon Degradation in an Aged Creosote-Contaminated Surface Soil
Sandra L. Robinson, John T. Novak, Mark A. Widdowson, Scott B. Crosswell, and Glendon J. Fetterolf
- 241 Investigation of Cadmium Desorption from Different-Sized Sediments
Sui Liang Huang
- 248 Natural Stabilization of Stored Industrial Sludges
Hazim Tugun, Raymond C. Loehr, and Xuijin Qui
- 258 Thermal Treatment for Incinerator Ash: Evaporation and Leaching Rates of Metals
Zhen-Shu Liu, Ming-Yen Wey, and Shu-Jen Lu
- 267 Uncertainty of Weekly Nitrate-Nitrogen Forecasts Using Artificial Neural Networks
Momcilo Markus, Christina W.-S. Tsai, and Misganaw Demissie

Technical Notes

- 275 Stabilization of Electrical Arc Furnace Dust with Low-Grade MgO Prior to Landfill
Ana I. Fernández, Josep M. Chimenos, Neus Raventós, Lourdes Miralles, and Ferran Espiell

Standardization of Methods for Fluence (UV Dose) Determination in Bench-Scale UV Experiments

James R. Bolton¹ and Karl G. Linden, M.ASCE²

Abstract: Ultraviolet (UV) disinfection is now an accepted technology for inactivation of a variety of waterborne pathogens in wastewater and drinking water. However, the techniques used in much of the previous research aimed at providing information on UV effectiveness have not yet been standardized. Thus in many peer reviewed published literature, it is not clear how the UV irradiations were carried out, nor how the average *fluence* (or UV dose) given to the microorganisms has been determined. A detailed protocol for the determination of the fluence (UV dose) in a bench scale UV apparatus containing UV lamps emitting either monochromatic or broadband UV light was developed. This protocol includes specifications for the construction of a bench scale UV testing apparatus, methods for determination of the average irradiance in the water, details on UV radiometry, and considerations for microbiological testing. Use of this protocol will aid in standardization of bench scale UV testing and provide increased confidence in data generated during such testing.

DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2003)129:3(209)

CE Database keywords: Ultraviolet radiation; Standardization.

Introduction

Ultraviolet light has been shown to be very effective for the disinfection of drinking water and wastewater (Meulemans 1987; von Sonntag and Schuchmann 1992; Jacangelo et al. 1995; Clancy et al. 2000). The inactivation mechanism involves absorption of ultraviolet light by DNA or RNA pyrimidine bases (thymine or cytosine in DNA and uracil or cytosine in RNA) causing a photochemical reaction in which a chemical dimer is formed between the two bases. The dimer inhibits the formation of new DNA (or RNA) chains in the process of cell replication (mitosis) thus resulting in the inactivation (inability to replicate) of affected microorganisms by ultraviolet light.

In most studies of the UV inactivation of microorganisms, a low pressure UV lamp has been utilized, which emits nearly monochromatic light at 253.7 nm, almost at the peak of germicidal effectiveness for *E. coli* and *Cryptosporidium* (Gates 1930; Linden et al. 2001). For this reason, such lamps are often called "germicidal" lamps. More recently, medium pressure UV lamps have been used because of their much higher germicidal UV power per unit length. Medium pressure UV lamps emit over a broad range of wavelengths, including germicidal wavelengths from 200 to 300 nm.

In studies of the UV inactivation of microorganisms, it is necessary to determine the UV response of a given microorganism in

the water matrix in which the organism is found or is spiked. The UV response is usually determined in a bench scale apparatus (often referred to as a "collimated beam"), in which part of the output of a UV lamp is directed onto a horizontal surface, either down a long "collimator," consisting of a cylindrical tube or through successive apertures. (The beam is never truly collimated, since there remains some dispersion in the beam. This dispersion has to be considered if long water path lengths are used.) The cell suspension to be irradiated is placed on the horizontal surface below the bottom of the collimator as illustrated in Fig. 1. Various workers have used a variety of procedures and types of collimated beam apparatus. In much of the peer reviewed published literature; it is not clear how the UV irradiations were carried out, nor how the average *fluence* (or UV dose—see below for terms and definitions) given to the microorganisms has been determined. Thus the quality of the data in the literature needs to be assessed with this fact in mind.

There are many uses of a bench scale (collimated beam) apparatus for investigations in UV disinfection. Among these are:

1. Development of standardized fluence (UV dose)—inactivation response relationships for use in biological actinometry (biodosimetry) testing;
2. Generation of fundamental fluence (UV dose)—inactivation response data for different pathogens to determine comparative UV susceptibility; and
3. Investigation of the photochemical degradation of contaminants.

In all these applications, proper use of the collimated beam testing equipment is essential to obtain accurate and reproducible results.

This paper aims to lay out a detailed step-by-step procedure by which fluences (UV doses) can be determined reliably and reproducibly in a bench-scale collimated beam apparatus for both monochromatic and broadband UV lamps.

Irradiance and *fluence rate* are closely related, but often misunderstood, concepts. The terminology reported herein adheres to the recent recommendations of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry Working Party on Ultraviolet Disinfection

¹President, Bolton Photosciences Inc., 628 Cheriton Cres., NW, Edmonton AB, Canada T6R 2M5.

²Professor, Duke Univ., Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, P.O. Box 90287, 121 Hudson Hall, Durham, NC 27708-0287. E-mail: kgilinden@duke.edu

Note. Associate Editor: George A. Sorial. Discussion open until August 1, 2003. Separate discussions must be submitted for individual papers. To extend the closing date by one month, a written request must be filed with the ASCE Managing Editor. The manuscript for this paper was submitted for review and possible publication on January 23, 2002; approved on April 29, 2002. This paper is part of the *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, Vol. 129, No. 3, March 1, 2003. ©ASCE, ISSN 0733-9372/2003/3-209-215/\$18.00.




Fig. 1. Examples of bench scale devices for conducting UV experiments

(Bolton 2000). Although details can be found elsewhere, three essential points of nomenclature need to be discussed in relation to proper experimentation with UV sources.

First, although in past literature the terms “intensity” and “irradiance” have been used, it is important to realize that *fluence rate* is the appropriate term for UV disinfection, since UV can impinge on the microorganism from any direction. On the other hand, the radiometer that is used with a collimated beam apparatus measures the *irradiance*. Fortunately, in a well designed bench setup, the *fluence rate* and the *irradiance* are virtually the same.

The *irradiance* is defined as the total radiant power *incident* from all upward directions *on* an infinitesimal element of *surface* of area dA containing the point under consideration divided by dA . *Irradiance* is the appropriate term when a surface (e.g., in UV curing) is being irradiated by UV light coming from all directions above the surface.

The *fluence rate* is defined as the total radiant power incident from all directions onto an infinitesimally small sphere of cross-sectional area dA , divided by dA . *Fluence rate* is the appropriate term when, for example, a microorganism is being irradiated by UV light emanating from many different directions (e.g., in a multilamp array).

The fluence rate or irradiance should be expressed in the International System of Units $W m^{-2}$; however, the unit $mW cm^{-2}$ ($= 10 W m^{-2}$) is still quite common in UV disinfection literature.

Second, the term “UV dose” is utilized almost universally in UV disinfection literature. However, for situations in which the *irradiance* or the *fluence rate* is constant (e.g., in a collimated

beam), multiplication by the exposure time (in seconds) gives the corresponding terms *radiant exposure* or *fluence*. The term “fluence” has most commonly been called the UV dose; however, “dose” is a term that, in other contexts, is used to describe the total absorbed energy (e.g., UV dose required to induce sun burning on the human skin). In the case of microorganisms, almost all of the incident ultraviolet light passes through the organism with only a few percent being absorbed. The term *fluence* is thus more appropriate, since it relates to the “incident” UV energy, rather than “absorbed” UV energy.

Third, the apparatus with which many researchers perform UV disinfection experiments on the bench scale is named a “collimated beam.” However, this term has a specific meaning in physics and optics, in which a light beam has truly parallel rays. This is not the case in the present context. Thus, use of the term collimated beam is a misnomer but has become common language to describe bench scale testing in UV studies. However, its use has become part of the jargon of UV research and the term should be well understood before utilizing it. An alternative term, “quasi-parallel beam” has been suggested (Sommer et al. 2001) to better describe the type of experimental apparatus utilized by most researchers.

Background

The use of a bench scale (collimated beam) apparatus in applications to UV disinfection was first reported by Qualls and Johnson

(1983). Their original apparatus consisted of low-pressure UV lamps housed in a cardboard box with a 2-in.-diam, 72-cm-long tube extending from a cut-out hole in the middle of the lamp arc length. A reflection correction (4%) was made for light reflected from the water surface, and corrected for UV absorption when the absorption by the sample was "significant." Since this first report, the design of collimated beam testing equipment has been somewhat of an art form based on utility and budget. Some designs of collimated beam systems presented in the literature are illustrated in Fig. 1. Blatchley (1997) mathematically and experimentally evaluated designs of collimated beam systems as well as typical building materials. He concluded that the sample to be irradiated should be at least 20 cm from the UV lamp and that an apparatus made of unpainted wood provided surfaces with minimal reflections.

The diversity of approaches to bench scale UV testing is evident in the literature. Sommer et al. (1995) compared fluence (UV dose)-response curves for *B. subtilis* among three different laboratories and apparatus. They concluded that to avoid "edge" effects, the sample should not be stirred and that only a small volume of cell suspension near the center of the dish should be used for analysis of the degree of inactivation. They also found that corrections should be made for divergence of the UV beam as it passes through the cell suspension. This study forms the basis of the current German protocol for UV testing. However, other studies utilize stirring during batch experiments and account for non-homogeneity of the irradiation field mathematically in the dose calculations (e.g., Bukari et al. 1999; Mofidi et al. 2001; Craik et al. 2001).

The appropriate use of a radiometer for measuring fluence rate was investigated by Severin and Roessler (1998), who studied radiometer readings as a function of the distance from a UV lamp versus calculations of the fluence rate. They found that the radiometer considerably underestimated the fluence rate near the UV lamp. These discrepancies illustrate the fact that a radiometer detector measures irradiance, not fluence rate and that the radiometer detector has a limited "viewing" angle (Ryer 1997).

How light travels through water containing particles was investigated by Qualls et al. (1983), who considered the effect of absorbing particles on the fluence (UV dose). They found that conventional spectrophotometry considerably overestimated the absorbance of the wastewater sample and recommended the use of an "opalescent plate" method to obtain true absorbances in the case of samples containing suspended solids. This work was later corroborated by Scheible et al. (1986) and Linden and Darby (1998).

Proper measurement of UV fluence in a bench apparatus is often based on the work by Morowitz (1950), who derived the expressions for calculating average UV fluence rate in a completely mixed batch reactor based on the Beer-Lambert Law. The definition of fluence rate for polychromatic UV sources was discussed by Meulemans (1987) and later Linden and Darby (1997) through the concept of "germicidal effectiveness" and they recommended a "germicidal weighting factor" to account for the observed different response of microorganisms at different wavelengths. Fluence measurement utilizing actinometry as an alternative to physical probes, such as a radiometer, has been used by numerous researchers (Harris 1987; Kryschi et al. 1988; von Sonntag and Schuchmann 1992; Rahn 1997; Linden and Darby 1997, 1998) and Hoyer et al. (1992) introduced the concept of using an actinometer solution to calibrate a radiometer.

Bench Scale Apparatus

The actual design of a bench scale (collimated beam) apparatus does not need to be standardized absolutely. There are many designs that are efficient in the deliverance of UV energy, and modifications are necessary for each specific application. However, a number of basic attributes and guidelines for the design of a bench scale UV system must be recognized to ensure comparable and reproducible results. Fig. 1 is a diagram of two possible bench scale testing designs.

In general, there are a number of components that should be considered essential in the design and construction of a bench scale UV testing device. These include:

1. **Shutter:** shutters are a means by which to regulate the time of exposure factor in the fluence (UV dose) calculation. Historically, shutter design has ranged from manually using a piece of cardboard to a pneumatically or electronically driven mechanism to block or allow passage of UV energy to a stage. During short irradiation times, the accuracy of a shutter system becomes important for delivering a repeatable dose.

2. **Window:** The lamp enclosure should be thermally stable, since the output of many UV lamps is quite temperature sensitive. It is often useful to employ a quartz window to assure that no change in air drafts occur when a shutter is used. This is important for medium pressure UV lamps that run quite hot (400–600°C) as the absolute lamp output and its spectral distribution are affected by changes in the temperature of the lamp. The output of low pressure lamps is also quite sensitive to temperature.

3. **Power supply:** it is very important to maintain a constant emission from the UV lamp over exposures that may be as long as an hour or two. If the electrical supply is subject to fluctuations, it may be necessary to use a constant voltage power source.

4. **Collimating tube:** The objective of a collimated beam apparatus is to provide a spatially homogeneous irradiation field on a given surface area. Therefore, it is important to note that many materials (glass, plastic, etc.) highly reflect UV when the incidence angle is very low. Thus the inner surface of the collimating tube should be "roughened" and painted with a "flat black" paint to prevent reflection from the sidewalls of the collimating tube. In some designs, a collimating tube is not used and the beam is defined by apertures placed at a few distances from the lamp to create quasi-parallel radiation. Whatever the design, the end result must be a beam that is reasonably uniform over the Petri dish (we use the term "Petri dish," although, in practice, any kind of dish or beaker may be used) to be irradiated. Also, the divergence of the beam must be small enough, such that the radiometer detector can measure the irradiance in the beam accurately (see "Background" section). A method to verify the extent of irradiance homogeneity achieved by the collimating device is presented below.

5. **Platform:** The platform on which the Petri dish and stirring motor is placed for UV exposure should be thermally and physically stable and easily raised or lowered. The need for easy and reproducible vertical adjustment is that the calibrated plane of the radiometer detector must be placed at exactly the same height as that of the top of the water during UV exposure for proper irradiance measurement. In some designs, there is a place for the radiometer detector at the side of the Petri dish, so that the relative output of the UV lamp can be monitored over the exposure time.

6. **Stirring:** In order to assure equal fluence (UV dose) for all microorganisms in the suspension, it is important to maintain adequate stirring during the UV exposure. The derivation of the




Fig. 2. Spectral emittance of low pressure mercury UV lamp (solid line) and medium-pressure mercury UV lamp (dashed line)

calculation of average irradiance in a batch system (Morowitz 1950) is predicated on the samples being stirred. However, this must be done without creating a “vortex” in the water. Thus the stir bar should be small and its speed carefully controlled.

7. Lamp: Lamps may be either low pressure mercury vapor (monochromatic at 253.7 nm) or medium pressure mercury vapor (polychromatic UV light). Lamps should be properly vented to keep the temperature stable throughout the irradiation. Emission of wavelengths below 200 nm should be avoided due to the formation of ozone in air during the irradiation; therefore most lamp sleeves will be “doped” to prevent emission of ozone forming wavelengths (185 nm in a low pressure lamp). The emission spectra for low and medium pressure lamps are shown in Fig. 2.

Measurement of Average UV Irradiance in Water

The fluence (UV dose) is calculated as the product of fluence rate (irradiance) (recall that in a collimated beam, the irradiance and the fluence rate are the same) and exposure time. In the typical batch reactor Petri dish setup used with a bench scale apparatus, the exposure time can be easily monitored with a shutter and a stopwatch or time-programmed shutter. The irradiance is typically measured with a radiometer.

Measurement of Irradiance with Radiometer

A radiometer consists of a very sensitive electronic ammeter, to which is connected a UV sensor head, which produces a current proportional to the incident irradiance. The radiometer usually reads directly in units of mW cm^{-2} , W m^{-2} or W cm^{-2} . When a low pressure UV lamp is used in the collimated beam apparatus, the radiometer provides an accurate measure of the irradiance at the surface of the water in the Petri dish, providing the calibration plane of the radiometer detector head is located at the same height as the surface of the water in the dish.

Calibration

Radiometers are instruments that measure photodetector currents emitted from the detector. The UV detector is typically a vacuum photodiode base with filters specific to a given application. For UV disinfection, the detector should be responsive in the 200–300 nm range. Optical filters may also be added to improve the input of light into the detector and the angle of light acceptance.

Radiometers and their detectors are typically calibrated by a third party that utilizes fully characterized primary standard detectors obtained directly from a national standards institute, such

as National Institute of Standards and Technology, a division of the United States Department of Commerce. Through the transfer of standards technique, the output of a detector is compared to a standard under tightly controlled laboratory conditions. The calibration factor is computed and programmed into the radiometer, allowing direct readings in the optical units desired. Detectors should be re-calibrated at least once per year.

Chemical actinometry can be a useful tool for periodically checking the calibration of the detector. If a baseline assessment of the irradiance is made using actinometry to calibrate the detector, drift of the calibration can be detected through comparison to periodic actinometric measurements. Useful actinometers and protocols for use have been presented in the literature (Kuhn 1989; Mark et al. 1990; von Sonntag and Schuchmann 1992; Murov et al. 1993; Rahn 1997).

Acceptance Angle

The radiometer detector head is designed to measure irradiance under conditions where the incident UV light is normal (or near normal) to the surface of the detector head. The manufacturer of the radiometer should specify the *acceptance angle*, which is defined as the total angle (sum of the left and right divergence angles) of the cone through which the detector can properly measure the irradiance. The acceptance angle is usually quite limited ($10\text{--}15^\circ$), hence a radiometer can give significant errors if used to measure the irradiance near a UV lamp where the beam is divergent. If the beam is somewhat divergent, a “diffuser” head should be used on the detector to improve the acceptance of off-angle light (Ryer 1997).

Spectral Sensitivity of Detector

The sensitivity of the detector is wavelength dependent, and thus, for polychromatic sources, the radiometer reading will not accurately measure the true irradiance. If the spectral emission of the UV lamp is known, a “sensor factor” correction can be made (see below).

Corrections Necessary When Using Low Pressure UV Lamp

The radiometer detector only provides a measure of the irradiance incident on the water at the center of the beam. Several corrections are required to obtain the *average irradiance in the water*. This latter value is most important, since this provides an estimate of the *average fluence rate* to which each microorganism is exposed and is the basis on which the delivered fluence (UV dose) to a sample can be calculated.

Reflection Factor

Whenever a beam of light passes from one medium to another, where the refractive index changes, a small fraction of the beam is reflected off the interface between the media. For a normally incident beam, the fraction reflected R is given by the Frensel Law (Meyer-Arendt 1984). For air and water, the average refractive indices in the 200–300 nm region are 1.000 and 1.372, respectively. Thus for these two media $R=0.025$, and the *reflection Factor* is $(1-R)=0.975$, and represents the fraction of the incident beam that enters the water.

Petri Factor

Depending on the design of the bench scale apparatus, the irradiance will vary somewhat over the surface area of the liquid sample to be irradiated. The *Petri Factor* is defined as the ratio of

the average of the incident irradiance over the area of the Petri dish to the irradiance at the center of the dish and is used to correct the irradiance reading at the center of the Petri dish to more accurately reflect the average incident fluence rate over the surface area. The Petri Factor may be determined by methodically scanning the radiometer detector (every 5 mm) over the area of the Petri dish, dividing the irradiance at each point by the center irradiance, and taking an average of these ratios. Because the detector sensor is wide, more accurate results are obtained with a partially blinded sensor, obtained by masking the sensor and thus reducing the area exposed to the light during the Petri Factor determination. Alternatively, for more accurate results a fiber optic probe can be used. A spreadsheet is used to allow these measurements to be made and to calculate the Petri Factor. In general, a well designed collimated beam apparatus should be able to deliver a Petri Factor of greater than 0.9 (90%).

Water Factor

If the water absorbs UV at the wavelength(s) of interest, then it is necessary to account for the decrease in irradiance arising from absorption as the beam passes through the water. The Water Factor is defined as

$$\text{Water Factor} = \frac{1 - 10^{-a\ell}}{a\ell \ln(10)} \quad (1)$$

where a = decadic absorption coefficient (cm^{-1}) or absorbance for a 1 cm path length and ℓ = vertical path length (cm) of the water in the Petri dish. For polychromatic light (e.g., for a medium pressure UV lamp), this correction must be made over a narrow band (usually 1–5 nm) of wavelengths. Eq. (1) is derived from integrating the Beer–Lambert Law over the sample depth, and holds true only for a completely mixed sample (Morowitz 1950).

Divergence Factor

For finite distances of the cell suspension from the UV lamp, the beam is not perfectly collimated and diverges significantly. For distances from the lamp more than about four times the aperture diameter, the irradiance falls off as the inverse square of the distance L from the UV lamp to the surface of the cell suspension. Thus the irradiance at $L+x$ relative to that at the distance L is

$$\frac{L^2}{(L+x)^2} \quad (2a)$$

The *Divergence Factor* is the average (to be exact, the divergence and the water absorbance effects need to be considered together. However, for path lengths less than 5 cm, the errors involved in treating them separately are negligible) of this function over the path length ℓ of the cell suspension

$$\text{Divergence Factor} = \frac{L}{(L+\ell)} \quad (2b)$$

For a low pressure UV lamp (only significant UV emission at 253.7 nm), only the above four corrections are necessary to obtain the *average germicidal fluence rate* E'_{avg} (W m^{-2}) in the water. Thus, for a low pressure UV lamp, E'_{avg} is given by

$$E'_{\text{avg}} = E_0 \times \text{Petri Factor} \times \text{Reflection Factor} \times \text{Water Factor} \times \text{Divergence Factor} \quad (3)$$

where E_0 = radiometer meter reading at the center of the dish and at a vertical position so that the calibration plane of the detector

head is at the same level as the top of the solution. The *average germicidal fluence (UV dose)* (H') (J m^{-2} or mJ cm^{-2}) is then given by the product of E'_{avg} and the *exposure time* t (s).

Corrections Necessary When Using a Medium-Pressure UV Lamp

When a broadband medium pressure UV lamp is used in the collimated beam apparatus, two additional corrections are required:

Sensor Factor

Since the incident UV beam contains wavelengths over the full range of 200–300 nm, allowance has to be made to account for the variation of the sensitivity of the detector over this band. The *Sensor Factor* is the sensitivity of the detector at 254 nm divided by the weighted average (weighted by the photon emission from the UV lamp as it impinges on the Petri dish) sensitivity of the detector over the 200–300 nm band. The *Sensor Factor* is given by

$$\text{Sensor Factor} = \frac{S_{254}}{\sum_i N_{\lambda_i} S_{\lambda_i}} \quad (4)$$

where S_{254} = detector sensitivity at 254 nm; and N_{λ_i} and S_{λ_i} = relative lamp emission (normalized to unity) and the detector sensitivity in a narrow wavelength band centered at wavelength λ_i . The summation is taken over a finite number of narrow wavelength bands (e.g., 5 nm) over the germicidal range (e.g., 200–300 nm). Once this factor is determined, it is fixed as long as the same UV lamp is used and minimal decay of the lamp output has occurred. The *Sensor Factor* is almost always greater than unity, reflecting the fact that the detector is generally less sensitive at wavelengths above or below 254 nm.

Germicidal Factor

The goal of the radiometer reading is to measure the germicidal UV irradiance. Because not all light emitted from a broadband UV lamp is equally germicidal, it is important to measure only the germicidal portion of the emission, and weight each wavelength to its relative germicidal effectiveness. The specific germicidal correction factor may be dependent on the microorganism under evaluation. As an alternative to relying on microbe specific germicidal effectiveness curves (action spectra), many researchers have utilized the absorbance spectrum of DNA as a surrogate. Although there are drawbacks to this approach, the DNA spectrum does approximate the action spectra of many microorganisms and is considered an acceptable alternative. It is important to measure (or have knowledge of) the emission spectrum for each polychromatic UV lamp utilized. This will aid in more accurate determination of UV fluences.

Determination of Fluence (UV Dose)

Once the average irradiance in the water is calculated, this is the same as the average fluence rate (W m^{-2}). The inverse of this number is the exposure time (s) to obtain a fluence (UV dose) of 1 J m^{-2} . To obtain the exposure time for a desired fluence (UV dose), simply multiply the exposure time (s) to obtain a fluence (UV dose) of 1 J m^{-2} by the fluence (UV dose) desired.

Microbiological Considerations

Stirring

Microbial suspensions should always be stirred during irradiation. Calculation of the average irradiance value (E_{avg}) is only valid for completely stirred suspensions. Stirring should be initiated approximately 10 s before application of UV light to ensure a well mixed solution.

Replicates and Random Order

For data precision it is recommended that at least three replicates be made at each dilution and that the complete fluence (UV dose)-response curve be performed at least in duplicate. Samples should be exposed in random order. For example, if the fluence (UV dose) set is 0, 200, 400, 600, 800, 1,000, 1,200 $J m^{-2}$, and the test is to be performed in triplicate, then a possible "random order" would be 400, 1,000, 0, 800, 200, 400, 600, 1,200, 0, 800, 400, 1,200, 200, 1,000, 600, 0, 1,200, 600, 1,000, 200, 800 $J m^{-2}$.

Handling of Samples Prior to Analysis

Prior to analysis, the water matrix sample shall be stored at 4°C. If a microorganism is to be seeded into solution, seeding should take place at least 10 min prior to irradiation and stirring initiated immediately.

Statistical Analysis

Replicates should be statistically analyzed to provide a measure of the geometric mean and standard deviation. Data should be plotted, including error bars, with the log inactivation— $\log_{10}(N_0/N)$ on the ordinate as a function of fluence (UV dose) on the abscissa. A linear regression of the fluence response data should be performed to determine the strength of the correlation between fluence and the log inactivation.

QA/QC Protocols

The irradiance should be checked regularly during the use of the system. Irradiance measurements taken before and after a UV exposure should be averaged and the average irradiance reported. The electrical source should be free of variance to assure a constant UV irradiance. The lamp age is not so important with a low pressure lamp where almost all the germicidal output is at 253.7 nm. However, for medium pressure UV lamps, the age of the lamp is important, since the spectral distribution can change with age (e.g., as the lamp "darkens"). For this reason, it is recommended that the user verify the spectral distribution of the polychromatic UV lamp after every 300 h of use.

Safety

One of the most important functions of the collimated beam apparatus is to protect the user from harmful UV rays. The lamp should be enclosed such that no light escapes other than through the collimating tube or aperture. In addition, use of UV protective glasses is mandated, and skin should be covered when using the UV apparatus. The UV system and room that it is used in should have proper labeling and warnings about the use of UV light.

Spreadsheets

Actual spreadsheets are available from the authors or from the Web Site of the International Ultraviolet Association (www.iu-va.org).

Conclusions

The development of regulations and public health decisions depends upon, and often assumes, accuracy and precision of microbial fluence (UV dose)-response data developed on the bench scale. Generating accurate and precise data can be achieved if the proper steps are taken in the design of a collimated beam apparatus and execution of the collimated beam testing protocol. Reporting essential data is also important so that any given study can be adequately reviewed and the experiments repeated by another researcher. In addition, reporting will provide a more in-depth analysis of the fluence (UV dose) measurement methods and allow the reader to reinterpret the fluence (UV dose) determination scheme to apply to specific situations. Finally, utilizing a standard set of guidelines for bench scale UV testing will allow different studies to be compared with confidence in a manner not previously possible.

References

- Blatchley, E. R., III. (1997). "Numerical modeling of UV intensity: application to collimated beam reactors and continuous-flow systems." *Water Res.*, 31, 2205–2218.
- Bolton, J. R. (2000). "Terms and definitions in ultraviolet disinfection." *Vol. Conf. Proc.: Disinfection 2000: Disinfection of Wastes in New Millennium*, March 15–18, New Orleans, Water Environment Federation, Alexandria, Va.
- Bukhari, Z., Hargy, T. M., Bolton, J. R., Dussert, B., and Clancy, J. L. (1999). "Medium pressure UV light for oocyst inactivation." *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.*, 91, 86–94.
- Craik, S. A., Weldon, D., Finch, G. R., Bolton, J. R., and Belosevic, M. (2001). "Inactivation of *Cryptosporidium Parvum* oocysts using medium- and low-pressure ultraviolet radiation." *Water Res.*, 35, 1387–1398.
- Gates, F. L. (1930). "A study of the bactericidal action of ultraviolet light. III. The absorption of ultraviolet light by bacteria." *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 14, 31–42.
- Harris, G. D., Adams, D., Moore, W. M., and Sørensen, D. L. (1987). "Potassium ferrioxalate as chemical actinometer in ultraviolet reactors." *J. Environ. Eng.*, 113(3), 612.
- Hoyer, O., Krysch, R., Piecha, I., Mark, G., Schuchmann, M. N., Schuchmann, H.-P., and von Sonntag, C. (1992). "UV fluence rate determination of the low-pressure mercury arc in the UV disinfection of drinking water." *J. Water SRT-Aqua*, 41, 75–81.
- Jacangelo, J., Darby, J. L., Loge, F., Tchobanoglous, G., Heath, M., and Swaim, P. (1995). "Comparison of UV irradiation to chlorination: Guidance for achieving optimal UV performance." *Final Rep., Water Environment Research Foundation*, Project 91-WWD-1.
- Krysch, R., Gürtler, K. R., and Perkampus, H.-H. (1988). "Photochemical measurement of the intensity of irradiation of UV lamps for water disinfection." *Vom Wasser*, 70, 197–207.
- Kuhn, H. J. (1989). "Chemical actinometry." *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 61, 187–210.
- Linden, K. G., and Darby, J. L. (1997). "Estimating the effective germicidal dose from medium pressure UV lamps." *J. Environ. Eng. Div.*

- Am. Soc. Civ. Eng.*, 123(11), 1142–1149.
- Linden, K. G., and Darby, J. L. (1998). "UV disinfection of marginal effluents: determining UV absorbance and subsequent estimation of UV intensity." *Water Environ. Res.*, 70(2), 214–223.
- Linden, K. G., Shin, G. A., and Sobsey, M. D. (2001). "Relative efficacy of UV wavelengths for the inactivation of *Cryptosporidium parvum*." *Water Sci. Technol.*, 43(12), 171.
- Mark, G., Schuchmann, M. N., Schuchmann, H.-P., and von Sonntag, C. (1990). "A chemical actinometer for use in connection with UV treatment in drinking-water processing." *J. Water SRT-Aqua*, 39, 309–313.
- Meulemans, C. C. E. (1987). "The basic principles of UV-disinfection of water." *Ozone Sci. Eng.*, 9, 299–314.
- Meyer-Arendt, J. R. (1984). *Introduction to classical and modern optics*, 2nd Ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 300–302.
- Mofidi, A. A., Baribeau, H., Rochelle, P. A., De Leon, R., Coffey, B. M., and Green, J. F. (2001). "Disinfection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* with polychromatic UV light." *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.*, 93(6), 95–109.
- Morowitz, H. J. (1950). "Absorption effects in volume irradiation dosimetry." *Science*, 111, 229–230.
- Murov, S. L., Carmichael, I., and Hug, G. L. (1993). *Handbook of photochemistry*, 2nd Ed., Dekker, New York, 330–336.
- Qualls, R. G., Flynn, M. P., and Johnson, J. D. (1983). "The role of suspended particles in ultraviolet disinfection." *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.*, 55, 1280–1285.
- Qualls, R. G., and Johnson, J. D. (1983). "Bioassay and dose measurement in UV disinfection." *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 45, 872–877.
- Rahn, R. O. (1997). "Potassium iodide as a chemical actinometer for 254 nm radiation: Use of iodate as an electron scavenger." *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 66, 450–455.
- Ryer, A. (1997). "Light and its measurement." International Light, 17 Graf Road, Newburyport, Mass. 01950, (<http://www.intl-light.com>).
- Scheible, O. K., Casey, M. C., and Forndran, A. (1986). "Ultraviolet disinfection of wastewaters from secondary effluent and combined sewer overflows." *EPA/600/2-86/005, PB86-145182*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati.
- Severin, B. F., and Roessler, P. F. (1998). "Resolving UV photometer outputs with modeled intensity profiles." *Water Res.*, 32, 1718–1724.
- Sommer, R., Cabaj, A., Schoenen, D., Gebel, J., Kolch, A., Havelaar, A. H., and Schets, F. M. (1995). "Comparison of three laboratory devices for UV-inactivation of microorganisms." *Water Sci. Technol.*, 31, 147–156.
- Sommer, R., Pribil, W., Appelt, S., Gehringer, P., Eschweiler, H., Leth, H., Cabaj, A., and Haider, T. (2001). "Inactivation of bacteriophages in water by means of non-ionizing (UV-253.7 nm) and ionizing (gamma) radiation: a comparative approach." *Water Res.*, 35(13), 3109–3116.
- von Sonntag, C., and Schuchmann, H.-P. (1992). "UV Disinfection of drinking water and by-product formation-some basic considerations." *J. Water SRT-Aqua*, 41, 67–74.