

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,	)	CERTIFIED COPY
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	IPR2023-00621
	)	Patent No. 8,020,083
vs.	)	
	)	IPR2023-00701
DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC,	)	Patent No. 8,510,407
	)	
Patent Owner.	)	IPR2023-00756
	)	Patent No. 9,369,545

DEPOSITION OF
EDWIN JOSEPH SELKER, PH.D.
VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE
MAY 10, 2024

Reported by:
COLLEEN M. PETERMAN, CSR 7882
Job No. 24-136955
Reference 39843-0148IP1, 39843-0149IP1, 39843-0150IP1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,	)	
	)	IPR2023-00621
Petitioner,	)	Patent No. 8,020,083
	)	
vs.	)	IPR2023-00701
	)	Patent No. 8,510,407
DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC,	)	
	)	IPR2023-00756
Patent Owner.	)	Patent No. 9,369,545
	)	

DEPOSITION OF EDWIN JOSEPH SELKER,
PH.D., a witness herein, taken on
behalf of the petitioner via Zoom
videoconference at 9:07 a.m. on
Friday, May 10, 2024, before
Colleen M. Peterman, CSR 7882.

1 **APPEARANCES (All Participants Appeared Remotely):**

2
3 **For Petitioner:**

4 **FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.**
5 **BY MICHAEL J. BALLANCO**
6 **HYUN JIN IN, PH.D.**
7 **1000 Maine Avenue SW, Suite 1000**
8 **Washington, DC 20024**
9 **202.783.5070**
10 **ballanco@fr.com**
11 **in@fr.com**

12
13 **For Patent Owner:**

14 **DAIGNAULT IYER LLP**
15 **BY RICHARD JUANG**
16 **8618 Westwood Center Drive, Suite 150**
17 **Vienna, Virginia 22182**
18 **614.208.5321**
19 **rjuang@daignaultiyer.com**

20
21 **For Apple:**

22 **ERISE IP**
23 **BY CHRISTINA CANINO**
24 **PAUL R. HART**
25 **717 17th Street, Suite 1400**
 Denver, Colorado 80202
 720.307.8382
 christina.canino@eriseip.com
 paul.hart@eriseip.com

26
27 **Also Present:**

28 **Christopher von Gunten**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

WITNESS: EDWIN JOSEPH SELKER, PH.D.

EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
MR. BALLANCO	5
MR. JUANG	137

PRIOR EXHIBITS REFERENCED

Exhibit	Page
Exhibit 1001	76
Exhibit 1005	113
Exhibit 1006	113
Exhibit 2010	69
Exhibit 2011	12

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE

MAY 10, 2024

EDWIN JOSEPH SELKER, PH.D.,
HAVING BEEN DULY ADMINISTERED AN
OATH BY THE REPORTER, WAS EXAMINED
AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BALLANCO:

Q. Good morning, sir. Would you please state
your name for the record.

A. Yeah. I'm Ted Selker, legally called Edwin
Joseph Selker.

MR. JUANG: Counsel, real quick, can we make
our appearances for the record, all the attorneys in the
room?

MR. BALLANCO: Yeah. Let's do that.

So appearing for Samsung in this proceeding is
myself, Michael Ballanco, with the law firm of Fish &
Richardson. Joined with me are my colleagues, my fellow
partner Hyun Jin In and our technical adviser
Christopher von Gunten. And that's all for Samsung.

MR. JUANG: And appearing on behalf of DoDots

1 is Richard Juang, for the witness, and we are from the
2 law firm of Daignault Iyer LLP.

3 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I'm having problems
4 with the volume, Mr. Juang.

5 MR. JUANG: Oh, you know what? We only have
6 one mic. I'll talk louder. I apologize.

7 THE REPORTER: Okay.

8 MR. JUANG: But I'll say it again.

9 Do you need me to say it again, or are you
10 okay with that one?

11 THE REPORTER: I'm good.

12 MR. JUANG: Okay. And I reckon one more
13 party's here.

14 THE WITNESS: Who?

15 MS. CANINO: Paul Hart and Christina Canino
16 are here, with Erise IP, on behalf of Apple, just
17 sitting in.

18 MR. BALLANCO: And before we start with the
19 questioning, I did want to ask one thing on the record
20 to counsel. As you know, there's three IPR proceedings.
21 We're doing one deposition for the common expert witness
22 across those three.

23 Can we agree that this transcript can be used
24 across all three of those proceedings?

25 MR. JUANG: Yeah. I think this one will apply

1 to all three. Usually there's a videographer that reads
2 the case numbers onto the record.

3 Do we need to read those onto the record, or
4 can we just agree?

5 THE WITNESS: '545, '083, '407.

6 MR. JUANG: The IPR numbers?

7 MR. BALLANCO: Maybe we can handle that after
8 the next break. I can pull those, and we can read them
9 into the record.

10 MR. JUANG: Okay. Yeah.

11 BY MR. BALLANCO:

12 Q. So good morning, Dr. Selker.

13 How many times have you been deposed before
14 today?

15 A. Oh, I don't know. Something around 10.

16 Q. Okay. And have you been deposed in an IPR
17 proceeding before?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. How many times in an IPR?

20 A. Gosh. I'd have to look at my resume. I don't
21 remember. A couple.

22 Q. Well, I'm going to just start with some ground
23 rules. You've probably been through this before, but I
24 think it's always good just to make sure we're on the
25 same page.

1 So, sir, do you understand you're under oath
2 today?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. So you understand that you have to tell
5 the truth in all your responses?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And you'll do that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you understand that if you don't
10 understand a question I ask, you will let me know that
11 you don't understand it; right?

12 A. I'll do my best.

13 Q. Okay. And then if you answer a question, I'll
14 take that to mean that you understood it; is that fair?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. We'll take breaks about every hour.

17 Okay?

18 A. Good.

19 Q. If you at any point need to take a break
20 sooner than that, let me know, and I'll let you take a
21 break.

22 Okay?

23 A. Thank you.

24 Q. The only caveat I'll give there is I'll ask
25 you to finish answering any question that's pending

1 before we take the break.

2 Fair?

3 A. If I can. Yes. I'll try. I mean, you know,
4 let's just say, you know, if you hit me 25 times with
5 something, I might get to the point where I need a break
6 anyway.

7 Q. All right. All I'll ask is for you to answer
8 the pending question, and then we can stop there and
9 take the break.

10 A. I'll try.

11 Q. Is there any reason, medical or otherwise, you
12 can't give truthful, complete, and accurate testimony
13 today?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Okay. If that ever changes, please tell me.
16 Okay?

17 A. Sure.

18 Q. Okay, sir. Let's start by asking, you
19 mentioned you've been deposed maybe about 10 times; is
20 that right?

21 A. Yeah.

22 Q. And those depositions, have they all been for
23 cases involving patent infringement?

24 A. There was one real estate deposition. Other
25 than that, they've been for patent infringement --

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. -- or -- yeah. I think they're all
3 infringement. Or, I mean -- well, there may be -- like
4 I said, there's a couple that were IPRs.

5 Q. Okay. All relating to patents in some way
6 except for the one that was a --

7 A. Yeah.

8 Q. -- real estate; right?

9 A. Oh. I was also -- I'm sorry. I was also
10 deposed -- I thought I'd turned this off. I'm so sorry.
11 Yeah. Just a second. I've got to turn off my messages.
12 Hang on. Ring volume to zero.

13 Yeah. So I was also -- there was one
14 deposition that was around some Carnegie Mellon -- what
15 do you call it -- somebody -- a work -- you know, HR
16 issue.

17 Q. Understood. So there was a real estate
18 matter, the employment matter, and the rest of your
19 depositions have been for patent matters; fair?

20 A. Right.

21 Q. Okay. Let me first ask you about the real
22 estate matter.

23 Were you serving as an expert in that
24 proceeding?

25 A. No. It was real estate that I -- I was trying

1 to buy a house, and then I was trying to get -- I mean I
2 was trying to get my earnest money back, and, you know,
3 it became a mess. Yeah.

4 Q. Okay. A personal matter, not an expert.

5 A. Yeah, personal. And the other one was, you
6 know, actually, I -- I actually was no longer employed
7 with Carnegie Mellon. It was not about me. It was
8 about another employee.

9 Q. Okay. So just to be clear, the Carnegie
10 Mellon matter then was also not you serving as an
11 expert. You were serving as --

12 A. I -- yeah. I was somebody that had been --
13 that had worked with this person and can tell what
14 happened.

15 Q. Okay. So in your patent matters, on the other
16 side of the ledger, when you testified in those matters,
17 have you always served in the capacity of an expert
18 witness?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And so if we put aside the Carnegie Mellon
21 matter and the real estate matter, would you say you've
22 been involved in eight or so patent matters?

23 A. Yeah. I mean it's in my resume, but that's
24 kind of my recollection.

25 Q. Okay. And in those patent matters, have you

1 always represented the patent owner or the patent
2 holder?

3 A. No. Some of them have been -- you know,
4 they've been both sides. They've been different sides.

5 Q. Okay. In any of the cases that you've worked
6 as an expert in -- strike that.

7 In the patent cases where you've worked as an
8 expert, has your testimony, to your knowledge, ever been
9 excluded from a case?

10 A. Oh, my gosh. I don't -- not that I know of.

11 Q. Sir, I'm going to start this morning by asking
12 you a few questions about your background. And to do
13 that, I'd like to have a look at your C.V. that was
14 submitted in this proceeding. So I'm going to add that
15 to the chat.

16 (Exhibit 2011 referenced)

17 THE WITNESS: Is there some way I could use
18 both of these screens?

19 MR. JUANG: Yeah.

20 THE WITNESS: There's just another screen. It
21 would be fun to have it up there.

22 BY MR. BALLANCO:

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. I don't know how to do that right off the bat.
25 There's a little card here. But anyway -- oh, yeah.

1 Right there. Okay. Go there. Type in browser -- this
2 will be fun -- 756. Sorry. I'm not going to take long
3 doing this, I hope.

4 Q. Take your time.

5 Just for the record, I've added the document
6 to the chat. Let me know if you've received that and if
7 you're able to open that and view it, and let me know
8 when you have.

9 A. Yeah. It would just be so nice to not have to
10 exclude you while I'm looking at this stuff.

11 Q. Take your time.

12 A. Yeah. Now, what do I want to do? Window.
13 Oh. Can I get another screen? Yeah. But how do I --

14 MR. BALLANCO: Counsel, if you'd like, if you
15 want to go off the record for a minute to get the
16 technology set up, we can do that. I'm happy to.

17 MR. JUANG: It shouldn't take him too much
18 longer. We'll be done in a second.

19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Okay. So I've got my
20 C.V., and I want to save it or open. I don't have
21 permission to save it. Well, we don't see it there.
22 Let me see. Oh, I can't go there. Save as file name --
23 where the hell am I -- this PC.

24 THE REPORTER: We're still on the record;
25 right?

1 MR. JUANG: Let's go off the record.

2 MR. BALLANCO: Yeah.

3 (Discussion off the record)

4 BY MR. BALLANCO:

5 Q. All right. So, sir, now you have your C.V. in
6 front of you, which I'll note for the record has been
7 marked in, I think, the '083 patent proceeding as
8 Exhibit 2011; is that right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And to your observation, it appears to be a
11 fair and accurate copy of the C.V. that you submitted in
12 this proceeding?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. So, sir, let's start with your educational
15 background.

16 You graduated with an M.S. in computer and
17 information sciences in 1981; right?

18 A. That's right. That was my master's degree.
19 Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Could you explain for me what you did
21 for work following graduation with your master's degree.

22 A. I worked as a graduate student and lecturer at
23 Stanford University until I began working at Atari
24 Research in 1983. That was so much fun. And then I --
25 the place collapsed, and I went back to Stanford and

1 taught their AI graduate class. And then from there, I
2 went and worked at Xerox PARC for about nine months.
3 And then from there, I went to IBM Research, where I
4 spent 14 years.

5 Q. Okay. Let me unpack that a little bit.

6 When you mentioned working at Atari, is that
7 Atari as we know it, the video game manufacturer?

8 A. Absolutely. Back when they did that.

9 Q. Can you describe the work that you did at
10 Atari.

11 A. Oh, yeah. I was allowed -- I was encouraged
12 to envision the future. I worked on several things,
13 some software for actually making a window system that
14 would be stored and brought up at will. Somehow that
15 seemed like a fancy, unusual thing. I used a Lisp
16 machine to do that programming.

17 I designed something called Dreamwriter, which
18 is a mobile device that would allow you to write
19 anything you want anywhere, you know, pictures and
20 stuff, rub them onto paper. And I worked on some motion
21 platform stuff to get simulations that people could use
22 for games. And I probably did other things. I
23 evaluated their telephone offering, which was a piece of
24 crap. And other things like that.

25 Q. One of the first things you mentioned in

1 describing your work at Atari was working -- I think I
2 heard this correctly, but I maybe didn't.

3 You said you worked with envisioning windows
4 for the application?

5 Maybe I misheard that. Could you explain to
6 me what --

7 A. Yeah. So at that time there were these very,
8 very fancy computers. Lisp machine was the name of the
9 one I was using. And when you brought up windows for
10 purposes to run applications in them, you'd have to set
11 up your desktop every time you came to use it. These
12 were shared computers, and so -- because they were --
13 they were a hundred thousand dollars each.

14 So I wrote a little piece of software that
15 would collect all of the -- all the ways I've laid out
16 my windows and let me regenerate them from -- when I
17 needed them.

18 Q. And when you say "windows," are you talking
19 about, like, a -- what are you talking about?

20 A. Yeah. I'm talking about the layout on the
21 screen with various applications in them. I mean
22 application's a little bit -- you know, there would be a
23 text editor. There would be the compiler. There would
24 be, you know, maybe something else that I've written.
25 We had -- you know, we had an application for

1 controlling the room. Things like that.

2 Q. Okay. And you said this was on a -- did you
3 say a Lisp machine, as in the programming language Lisp,
4 or --

5 A. Yeah. Symbolics was the company that made
6 something called the Lisp machine. There was also two
7 other companies, TI and LMI, that made the same
8 compatible machines, sort of. And then there was the
9 Xerox Dorado, which I liked better. But they wouldn't
10 let me have it.

11 Q. Well, we'll get to Xerox in a moment, because
12 I know you worked there as well, but I want to ask a few
13 more questions about Atari. I want to make sure I
14 understand, though.

15 When you're talking about creating these
16 windows and the use for them, are you talking about
17 the -- this was for the developers, as opposed to the
18 user using the Atari system; is that fair?

19 A. Certainly no Atari user was playing with these
20 Lisp -- Symbolics Lisp machines, that I know. I mean
21 except, you know, it was all -- it was all -- you know,
22 there were 14 researchers, and I was one of them.

23 But what we -- what we -- what I used it for
24 was resetting up my desktop the way I'd left it the last
25 time I used it. And then I could modify it and save

1 that again.

2 Q. I understand. At least I think I do.

3 And is this -- I'm just trying to put myself
4 back into the '80s and computing environments back then.

5 Is this because you could use windows, as
6 opposed to, say, a DOS prompt, and windows would give
7 you a better view as to how to access the programming
8 interfaces that you wanted to work with, as opposed to,
9 say, a DOS prompt?

10 A. Yeah. On the Lisp machine, there was -- the
11 system was very, very -- you know, it was an extremely
12 early use of mouse and windows. And certainly it had
13 nothing to do with Microsoft DOS prompts or Windows
14 systems. It was their own -- it was all constrained.

15 Built into the programming language was this
16 idea of, you know, placing things on the screen. And so
17 everything, you know, you did was as though you were
18 rewriting the operating system. So everything was
19 integrated in. And you'd have what would be a main
20 space, it was called, where you controlled everything,
21 and you could save your image.

22 Anyway, it was a long time ago. It's
23 interesting. I hadn't even thought of it until you
24 asked your question. It's been a long time since I've
25 thought about that system. Yeah. I mean I had one that

1 I built specially.

2 (Reporter clarification)

3 BY MR. BALLANCO:

4 Q. And I don't want to go on for too much longer.

5 (Simultaneous speakers)

6 BY MR. BALLANCO:

7 Q. And I'm just curious.

8 These windows that you worked on, would you
9 only be able to view one at a time, or were multiple
10 windows viewable on the screen simultaneously?

11 A. Well, funny you should ask. At Stanford I
12 actually built a system which allowed you to snap a
13 different window onto a screen one at a time. Didn't
14 show ever more than one. That was called the Stanford
15 AI lab data disk system. And I helped build it. We
16 could talk about that if you want.

17 At Xerox -- I mean at Atari, we were using
18 this -- these Lisp machines, and we had the -- you know,
19 this luxury of these gigantic screens, kind of like what
20 we have today, about the same size, by the way. Well,
21 not physically, but they had a couple thousand pixels by
22 a couple thousand pixels. That's why they were
23 expensive.

24 But they -- and so you could place different
25 things you were -- different things, your email and the

1 compiler and some code you're looking at, maybe some --
2 you know, some interactive thing on the screen
3 simultaneously.

4 Q. Okay. I understand. And this was -- you
5 mentioned there's a big monitor. So I assume this was
6 a -- I don't want to say a desktop.

7 Was it a mainframe that had a monitor
8 connected to it? Is that a fair characterization?

9 A. These things actually, yeah, ran on 220, and
10 they were, like, in a raised-floor room with big air
11 going through them. We called them scientific
12 workstations.

13 Q. Okay. Fair. Scientific workstations. Large
14 monitor. And you would have different windows that
15 would have a compiler, email, various things displayed
16 on the screen.

17 A. Yeah. More in my case than most people. Most
18 people would just bring up one window, mostly, and that
19 was why I was kind of -- you know, I built this to make
20 it easy for them to do that.

21 Q. But it must have been pretty convenient back
22 in those days to have people like that, I have to
23 imagine.

24 A. Yeah. It was fun. I mean even the mouse was,
25 you know, kind of novel. Yeah. That was the first

1 place I worked with a mouse, yeah.

2 Q. Okay. Let's talk then about -- I think the
3 next one you mentioned after Atari would have been
4 Xerox; is that right?

5 A. Yeah. Yeah. I was at Xerox --

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. -- PARC for nine months.

8 Q. Xerox --

9 A. Palo Alto Research Center.

10 Q. What were you doing at Xerox PARC?

11 A. I was working on a project called CoLab. It
12 was a collaboration project. We had a room that was
13 just full of monitors, and they could share information
14 across the monitors and on the big, wall-size display.
15 That display was something called a GE Light Valve that
16 cost a hundred thousand bucks. Very interesting thing.
17 And I made the touchscreen for that, actually, for that
18 wall's device.

19 But anyway, I made something called -- I mean
20 I don't know if any of this stuff is relevant, actually,
21 but, you know, I'm happy to talk about it. I made
22 something called Instruments. Instruments was this
23 thing called -- which I called a composite icon.

24 And you have these different parts of icons
25 that you could snap together to make icons that were,

1 like, you know, if the -- if the -- one was a picture of
2 a Pyrex pitcher, and if it filled up, it would kind of
3 go over -- it would go over the edges. And if it was
4 completely empty, the thing would crack. So those were
5 states. But, also, there was this analog nature of
6 filling up like a bar chart.

7 And these were both controls as well as
8 presentation devices. So I could hook it onto a
9 computer program, and then I could watch the variable
10 changing, or I could change the variable with my mouse.

11 Q. And what was the application of this work that
12 you did at Xerox?

13 I mean I'm familiar with Xerox copiers.

14 Was this relevant to that or for some other
15 aspect --

16 A. No.

17 Q. -- of Xerox?

18 A. Xerox PARC was the envisioning center of the
19 universe, in my view. I mean it was where the mouse and
20 windows were first demonstrated. It was a place where
21 people were trying out new ways of using systems, things
22 like the WYSIWYG editor that we use on Word. That was
23 actually stolen from PARC. So it was a very exciting
24 place, full of these quite arrogant but very
25 impressively smart people.

1 And so -- and so I worked on kind of, you
2 know, two things. That -- well, more than two. But
3 that interface Instruments thing that I was building,
4 that was to demonstrate some interesting ideas we called
5 active values in a language called Loops.

6 Loops was supposed to be something where you
7 could attach functionality to any of the variables or
8 even constants. And the problem with that, of course,
9 is it's kind of invisible programming. You don't see
10 whether something's going to start a program or not.

11 So this idea of Instruments was using that
12 facility, demonstrating it, and thinking about -- well,
13 for me, I was thinking about new ways of thinking
14 about -- you know, I had this idea of active icons,
15 icons that were live. You could wiggle them and change
16 them, and they would change, and they weren't just
17 static. So that was one aspect of my work there.

18 The other aspect was supporting this team that
19 was building this collaborative work environment, where
20 there was something called Argnoter and Cognoter.

21 These were applications which allowed you to
22 lay out your arguments for a discussion, or your ideas,
23 and they would be shown on multiple computers
24 simultaneously, so people could sit around this nice,
25 beautiful room full of built-in oak furniture and try to

1 have meetings and pretend they were being serious.

2 Q. Going back briefly to Instruments, what became
3 of your work there? Was that commercialized in any way,
4 shape, or form, to your knowledge?

5 A. The only thing I -- yeah. The sad news is the
6 only place that I think you can find it now is there's a
7 paper I wrote about visualization that went into a
8 book -- Art Appel was a coauthor on that -- about
9 presentation layer of -- graphics being presentation
10 layer. It was visual language.

11 So I had this dream of, you know, making a
12 whole linguistics, a visual language, and I had a few
13 papers about that back in '88, '9. You can look in this
14 C.V. I don't remember. But something in that area.

15 So there's two or three papers, one with Larry
16 Koved, one with Wendy -- what's her last name? I don't
17 remember. It's not Wendy. Anyway, with the woman and
18 Larry. And then this one.

19 Those are the only places I ever -- and, you
20 know, I left to go to IBM. And I thought it was such a
21 special thing, but they didn't kind of keep working on
22 it. And then PARC had this history. They had a very
23 big problem. They never really liked -- they never
24 commercialized things effectively. That was their big
25 problem. So --

1 Q. Going back to Wendy, is it Wendy Ark?

2 A. No. Let me see. I can look it up. It was a
3 long time ago. She was -- well, she's gone now. Cathy
4 Wolf.

5 Q. Cathy Wolf. Okay.

6 A. Yeah. Psychologist.

7 Q. So I know you mentioned going to IBM.

8 Before that, did you go back to Stanford -- is
9 that right -- after Xerox PARC?

10 A. No. No. I was -- I mean I -- you know, when
11 there was a grad student there, I ran a seminar series
12 called User System Ergonomics from '81 to '83. I don't
13 think I continued running that -- I may have when I was
14 at PARC. I think I did.

15 But then I -- but then I went -- when I left
16 Atari and I needed something to do, I taught at Stanford
17 until my job started at -- well, for the fall of '84 is
18 my memory. And then -- and then I went to PARC
19 sometime -- my memory is around the turn of -- maybe
20 January. Maybe earlier. Maybe earlier.

21 Q. Okay. So then I guess after PARC, that's when
22 you went over to IBM, where you were --

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. -- close in time; right?

25 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah.

1 Q. I know you were at IBM for a while, and I seem
2 to have left there. Would you summarize for me the main
3 projects or endeavors you worked on at IBM.

4 A. Well, there are three projects that I'm most
5 proud of at IBM. And I got to be an IBM fellow, which
6 was quite an honor for me, and I probably recognize its
7 value more in retrospect.

8 Q. Congratulations.

9 A. Yeah. It was great fun. They treated -- you
10 know. But basically, there was this pointing device
11 that became my calling card, and you see it on my
12 collar, which is now called TrackPoint. See? There it
13 is. See?

14 (Simultaneous speakers)

15 (Discussion off the record)

16 THE WITNESS: So anyway, I worked -- I went
17 there to work on -- what I wanted to do is adaptive
18 help. I wanted to make that my Ph.D. And I went to IBM
19 because they were going to give me the equipment and the
20 freedom to do research as I wanted to. I had several
21 ideas, but the one I wanted to do was making AI drive a
22 help system. So that became my Ph.D.

23 And what happened was that turned into
24 SmartGuides in OS/2. So it did get commercialized. My
25 boss told me he wasn't going to give me as big an award

1 as I wanted at all because I picked an operating system
2 that was going to die. They still -- but it still
3 shipped. This is 25 years ago it went in, something.

4 And believe it or not, it was two associates
5 with SmartGuides, which changes the way it helped,
6 depending on demonstrated performance and experience and
7 expertise. So that ended up to be my Ph.D. at City
8 University of New York.

9 But my side projects turned into things too.
10 So one was I worked with some -- I was in the math
11 department for a lot of that time. And there were some
12 people thinking about visualization -- I mean thinking
13 about how memory went through to a computer.

14 And I made a visualization called the Memory
15 Hierarchy, which you'll see is a paper in
16 visualizations, kind of 1990, I think. Should be in
17 here somewhere. And also -- there it is. Maybe. Yeah.
18 And also as an algorithmic paper.

19 And the value of that was that it -- for
20 all -- for operations on the power PC, it demonstrated
21 how you could speed them up by 30 times by just how you
22 filled with memory. So that was a really exciting
23 thing.

24 And my contribution was the visualization.
25 There would be some mathematicians that were doing a lot

1 of the heavy lifting, but I did the visualization and
2 made it obvious, and there were plenty of good things
3 about that visualization I'm very proud of.

4 And the third thing was that I'd had this
5 idea, even before I went to Atari, actually, of -- it
6 came from an early paper by English and Englebart, where
7 they showed that the mouse was better than other things.
8 But I looked at the data, and I saw that the knee bar
9 was actually better than a mouse for the first 10 or
10 15 minutes.

11 And that blew my mind, and it made me think
12 about the time you take to take your hand away from the
13 keyboard. And so I thought what if I integrate the
14 pointing device into the keyboard.

15 And the hard part -- well, there were lots of
16 hard parts, but it all looks like quite simple. But the
17 hard part was figuring out that people, whenever they
18 made joysticks in the past, they made them so they were
19 uncontrollable.

20 THE REPORTER: Hold on. Could I just get you
21 to slow down? You're just going super fast.

22 THE WITNESS: Okay.

23 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

24 THE WITNESS: I will speak slower.

25 So anyway, the big problems were that people

1 would -- with a joystick, they couldn't control the
2 cursor very well.

3 And I figured out how to control the cursor
4 well by running some experiments and figured out what
5 the problems were with controlling it, which had to do
6 with a lot of things. One of them was the control of
7 your finger, and the other one was how fast your eye
8 could track things. Some very simple things that nobody
9 had noticed in 40 years.

10 And I had a big fight with the -- I had a big
11 fight with the people that had written the other papers
12 saying that joysticks can't be very good. But in the
13 end, immediately, when this went into product -- and it
14 took so many ideas. So many different places I tried to
15 put this into product. You can't believe it. I used to
16 say that getting things to product is 75 airplane
17 flights and getting things rejected is 50.

18 So anyway, I got it into this product, and
19 then I spent the next several years just trying to do
20 all sorts of great innovation, from my point of view,
21 that some of it got into the ThinkPad.

22 For example, the camera at the top of the
23 screen. We put the first one in. That was my idea.
24 Tipping keyboards, thin keyboards, putting the wrist
25 rest below the key- -- a bunch of stuff, a bunch of

1 industrial design stuff, even power supply stuff.

2 So that at that point, after I got this
3 TrackPoint going, I had a big group. So at that point I
4 got to hire a few people, people that normally don't get
5 hired at IBM: Anthropologists, psychologists, as well
6 as computer scientists, electrical engineers.

7 And my group was 35 people during the winter,
8 and in the summer a little bit more. I think. Maybe
9 I'm wrong about those numbers, but that's my memory.
10 And we prototyped ideas and tried to make them matter to
11 the company.

12 I don't know if that's all relevant. I hope
13 it is.

14 BY MR. BALLANCO:

15 Q. It is. I'm very interested to hear about your
16 background. And I'd like to ask a few questions to
17 follow up on a few of those, but before I do, I just
18 want to make sure I got it correctly.

19 You had mentioned that there were three
20 projects you were most proud of at IBM.

21 I think I tracked them as the AI-related help
22 system is one, the second is the visualization of memory
23 hierarchy, and the third is TrackPoints and related
24 technology to TrackPoint; is that fair?

25 A. Yeah. I mean there's a fourth area that I'll

1 mention that's relevant to this, to what we're talking
2 about, a little bit more than those, which is I did a
3 whole lot of prototyping of mobile devices. And the one
4 that actually made it to market was the One Laptop per
5 Child. So I had this idea of a hundred-dollar device
6 that a child would use instead of a book. And I made --
7 I made prototypes of that.

8 But I also made prototypes of many other
9 things, such as wearables and a wallet that had software
10 in it, a PDA you hung on the wall next to your office
11 door, before Steelcase did that. I don't know. I'm
12 trying to remember. I can probably remember if I think
13 about it. I've designed lots of -- I was dreaming of --
14 gosh, we can -- you know.

15 But the wallet was the one I was most excited
16 about because I said -- I had kind of decided that when
17 you replace something that people are used to using with
18 something that they can use better, that, you know, it's
19 easier for them to accept it than if you make something
20 they've never imagined. So a wallet, a better computer,
21 stuff like that.

22 Q. I hate to keep going back, but I do have a
23 couple follow-up questions on the first project that you
24 mentioned, the AI help system.

25 Was there a name for that?

1 A. Yeah. Well, there was -- it was called
2 SmartGuides in the product. In my thesis, it was called
3 Proactive and Reactive Computer Help, PIACH, a
4 ridiculous name, and that name came because my thesis
5 adviser hated me calling it COACH. That's Cognitive
6 Assistive Computer Help.

7 And the problem with COACH was I used it in
8 many different ways throughout the thesis, something
9 that you lawyers could understand, as you don't use the
10 same word for multiple things. And I didn't understand
11 that, and my adviser slapped my wrists over and over
12 again until I ended up with PIACH.

13 Q. I thought it might have been COACH, which is
14 why I asked you the question.

15 A. Yeah, yeah.

16 Q. So I appreciate you clarifying that.

17 A. It was COACH.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. Yeah.

20 Q. Briefly, on COACH, or PIACH -- we'll call it
21 that, I suppose.

22 A. COACH.

23 Q. Was there a graphical user interface component
24 to that, or was your work on that more based on the use
25 of AI to assist the user?

1 A. It's a really interesting point, which is that
2 what I -- what I built was an interface that put stuff
3 that was really relevant to what you were doing right
4 close to where you're doing it and then stuff that was
5 more background information or examples farther away.
6 And that, actually, my boss pointed out, might have been
7 the reason people were succeeding with it.

8 So then I ran an experiment showing that with
9 that same super-fancy interface that I've made with the
10 help system, people did five times more work than with
11 the help system that was exactly the same, the same
12 contents, same everything, only it used pull-down menus.

13 Now, there's one more piece to the answer, if
14 you want to -- if it's all right for me to go on, which
15 is that --

16 Q. Please.

17 A. -- when we went to try and put it into the
18 OS/2 operating system, the request was to use this thing
19 to -- the same techniques to teach people how to use a
20 graphical user interface. And we had -- I don't even
21 know if there's any -- I'd love to have some video
22 showing this thing.

23 I made a tool where you could literally point
24 at things on the screen and say I'm going to make a help
25 system about this, and here's the text I want to show

1 about it, here's the graphics I want to happen next to
2 it, and stuff like that.

3 So it was an authoring tool, but, also, there
4 was techniques for putting animated GIFs and things like
5 that on the screen near -- as a layer on top of the
6 operating system to annotate what we were trying to --
7 what a person was having difficulty with on the
8 interface, be it a form they were trying to fill out, in
9 which case we put a scrim over parts of it that would
10 highlight certain places where it let you see through,
11 or there was -- or there was that -- like I talked
12 about, some little animated thing that happened near it.
13 And sometimes there would be some demarcation that this
14 GIF is about that thing.

15 So there was -- I don't know if that -- is
16 that being helpful?

17 Q. Yes, it is. And I'll ask you as far as, like,
18 you're on team GIF, as opposed to team JIF [phonetic].
19 I know there's a big debate.

20 A. I don't know. I can't even remember if they
21 were BIPs. They may have been -- they may have been
22 JPEGs. I'm not sure. But yeah. I'm trying to remember
23 what they were. It was a while ago.

24 Q. One point of clarification I just want to make
25 sure I have here is you mentioned this SmartGuide's

1 first shift with OS/2.

2 Did you say '95? Is that right?

3 A. It was in the Merlin edition. That I
4 remember. And it could have been -- it could have
5 been -- it certainly was around that time. '94, '95,
6 '96. We'd have to look it up. We could look up when,
7 Merlin shift. I'm not going to do it unless you ask me.

8 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Shift with Merlin.
9 Probably in the '94-to-'96 time.

10 A. Yes, yeah.

11 Q. Let's talk a little bit more -- as much as I
12 would like to talk more about TrackPoint, because I find
13 that fascinating, I'd like to jump to the fourth project
14 that you mentioned, which was -- correct me if I'm
15 wrong -- prototyping mobile devices, and this also
16 included wearables, the wallet. That's sort of one
17 bucket of things that you were doing --

18 A. Yeah.

19 Q. -- at IBM.

20 A. Yeah.

21 Q. First of all, what time frame was this work
22 being done at IBM?

23 A. I think '94 to '98, when I left IBM. Maybe
24 '99. I mean I actually was still associated with my
25 job, but I was on sabbatical, for '98, '99. And then

1 the stuff continued after I left.

2 But the one that no one knows that did deploy
3 was we made a smart boarding pass that was based on a
4 Hitachi computer, as I remember it. And so as you went
5 into the airport, it seemed so fancy back then to have
6 this idea that the airport would know who you are, like,
7 you could go through without any reason, tell you that
8 the gate had changed, give you a free Starbucks while
9 you waited because it had been delayed.

10 And we actually got this used in New Zealand
11 by an airline, that's also defunct, named Ansett. And
12 they tried to -- and we made 50 of these things. It was
13 a big push. And -- well, that was the end of that.

14 Q. And would the person boarding the flight have
15 a mobile device that had this boarding pass on it, like
16 a PDA or something like that?

17 A. Yeah. It was a custom-made PDA we made that
18 was leather cover. It was very -- it was absolutely
19 only made for this purpose. We -- yeah. We wrote the
20 color ourselves.

21 Q. Okay. You had mentioned earlier that you'd
22 done prototyping of mobile devices and that --

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. -- some went to market.

25 Was this the one you were referring to when

1 you mentioned that some went to market?

2 A. Well, there was another one that went to
3 market, in a much more circuitous manner, and that was
4 the One Laptop per Child, which I showed my -- what I
5 called an Eslate at a presentation at MIT in '95 or '6.
6 Nicholas Negroponte, the man that led the media lab, was
7 delighted and excited about it.

8 In the next several years, a project was
9 formed. I was part of parts of it. And a device that
10 had all the aspects that I was envisioning came out of
11 the lab. There was -- I don't know -- a million of them
12 made or something. Maybe -- I don't know the real
13 number.

14 So that was -- that was a -- you know, many
15 people thought that was a success. I wish I had been
16 more engaged in that to make it more of a success than
17 it was.

18 Q. What was the Eslate? What was --

19 A. The Eslate is this little green thing that
20 was -- that had a little handle on it, just like the one
21 that the child had a handle. It was green. And it
22 had -- it had -- we made an authoring language for
23 making things that had hyperlinks on it and making
24 things that also had images.

25 And the idea was to make authoring for

1 teaching and training of children. So you could read
2 books, but also you could go through some curated
3 activities, graphically or textually, and, you know,
4 take -- you know, answer questions, and it would keep
5 track of what you learned.

6 And then it had this cute thing on it, which
7 was a button. So if you were in trouble, you'd push the
8 button, and somebody would come running to help. So it
9 was kind of a safety/security device also.

10 Q. Okay. And did it have a -- strike that. Let
11 me ask you this.

12 What was the display for viewing these
13 different --

14 A. Yeah.

15 Q. -- three --

16 A. Well, we were trying -- yeah. We tried
17 various things. And at first we prototyped it with a
18 PDA that was existent and made a form factor that was
19 kind of that shape, with a little handle on top, with a
20 PDA inside.

21 And I'm actually trying to remember what -- I
22 mean displays were -- you know, were kind of -- well,
23 they were the size of these phones, basically. They
24 were a little bit wider, maybe, but they weren't -- you
25 know, they weren't -- they weren't gigantic.

1 You know, at that time I was trying to hit a
2 hundred-dollar price point, and that didn't -- that
3 didn't allow a lot of processor, a lot of display, or
4 anything. It was just a very simple presentation device
5 for teaching children and then collecting data when they
6 interacted with it.

7 Q. Okay. And just because we're not on video, I
8 want the record to reflect, when you say this phone,
9 you're talking about a modern smartphone there?

10 A. Yeah, that's right. Yeah, that's right.

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. But, I mean, it didn't have the resolution of
13 these phones. It was, you know, a similar size, you
14 know.

15 Q. I'm thinking back to that time frame of the
16 mid '90s.

17 Is it fair to say similar to the PDAs that
18 were around back then, the PalmPilots?

19 A. Exactly. Exactly. It had that kind of a
20 display.

21 Q. Okay. Okay. I understand.

22 A. Black and white, you know. Yeah. I mean I
23 could put -- I could draw something on the screen, but,
24 you know, very, you know, impoverished --

25 Q. Okay.

1 A. -- from what we're used to now.

2 Q. Sir, I wanted to ask you. On your C.V., it
3 shows that you were at IBM clearly through the '90s, but
4 there were also other entities that appear that you
5 worked for in that time frame.

6 Were those consulting projects that were going
7 on in the '90s?

8 If you could explain to me sort of what you
9 were doing besides IBM in the '90s. I guess that would
10 be my question.

11 A. Yeah. In the '90s I was teaching at Stanford
12 as an adjunct consulting professor, and I did that one
13 class a year, on Fridays. And it was Proactive and
14 Reactive Agents in User Interface. Fun to teach that.

15 And I was not allowed to consult as an IBM
16 employee, but I did spend time with lots of graduate
17 students at Stanford. You know, the ones that I'm --
18 that are most notable are Sergey and -- Sergey Brin and
19 Larry Page. They were students that I don't know why,
20 but they sought me out all the time, and that was a lot
21 of fun, although they were frustrating because they
22 wouldn't get off this stupid idea of a search engine.

23 (Simultaneous speakers)

24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. In the end, it seems like
25 they did a good job. And other students. So I hung out

1 with students, and I mentored them, and that was just a
2 real joy for me.

3 The other thing I did was IBM sent me all over
4 the world giving talks about innovation and the research
5 projects I was doing. And I went to conferences about
6 papers that I've written.

7 ACM CHI -- I'm going to go there Sunday,
8 actually, again -- is my -- was one of my go-to ones.
9 SIGGRAPH was another conference that I would go to. And
10 AAAI artificial intelligence conference. Those are -- I
11 mean there may have been others. Visualization. So I
12 went to conferences. You know, how many? You know, two
13 or three a year.

14 BY MR. BALLANCO:

15 Q. And what was the focus of these conferences
16 that you were going to?

17 A. Scientific exchange.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. Yeah. They were all -- they were all
20 scientific exchange, full of professors and people that,
21 you know, wrote papers and thought that was going to
22 make their life better.

23 Q. All focused on computing, on display,
24 depending on the conference?

25 A. Sure. So things about visual interface,

1 things about intelligent interface, and things about,
2 you know, ergonomic interface. But, you know, I was
3 always focused on user experience and technologies that
4 would support that.

5 So I was very, very early on, you know, very
6 excited about AI interface. That's what my Ph.D. thesis
7 stuff was about. But somehow visual interface stuff was
8 a big piece of my life, and I always built things. So
9 that's the other part.

10 Q. And at those conferences in the '90s, what
11 were some of the things that people were talking about
12 when it came to user interaction with interfaces? What
13 were the hot topics, so to speak?

14 A. Well, you know, I -- the TrackPoint was an
15 extremely well-received paper. I presented at Interact
16 in 1989, I think, in Cambridge, England. The
17 ScrollPoint -- that's a mouse with a joystick on it --
18 was another paper that I wrote. I wrote papers about
19 adaptive help systems that I presented that were well
20 received.

21 And I got in deep discussion about pie menus.
22 I had a path at one point about pie menus. That's --
23 sometimes they're called marking menus. I had
24 colleagues that we talked about that. There were
25 discussions about graphical user interface.

1 You know, it was a very exciting time. So
2 much going on in the development of the graphical user
3 interface and dealt with computers, interaction, you
4 know, lots of stuff.

5 Q. And particularly with graphical user
6 interfaces, what were people aiming to achieve with
7 innovations there?

8 A. There were some camps, like Ben Schneiderman
9 used to talk a little bit that direct manipulation was
10 the only way to interact with things, and he'd have
11 fights with Pattie Maes about whether AI had anyplace in
12 user interface, and they'd have discussions about that,
13 you know.

14 And there was techniques for, you know -- of
15 various sorts that people would show off. You know, I
16 described a couple that I presented. I mean I'm trying
17 to remember if we even got some of these things to
18 papers or they weren't. But, you know, different ways
19 of presenting mood, motion, and representing things
20 and -- you know, a lot of cognitive psychology was
21 involved with the CHI community, sometimes to a fault.

22 Just to give you an example of a debunked
23 paper that pissed me off when I went to IBM, somebody at
24 IBM had proved that "what you see is what you get" text
25 editors were not as good as text editors that just put

1 the text up and you couldn't layer up.

2 And that was because, in their experiment,
3 people would focus more on the text than on the layout.
4 So they'd spend more time doing that, which makes sense,
5 but, as you know, people got used to laying things out
6 and got very bored with looking at text by itself.
7 Anyway, so that's an example of an unusual paper.

8 And, you know, collaboration, there's so many
9 interesting things. I mean I'll give you one more that
10 I loved, which is not that -- you did ask -- is if you
11 give -- if you give everybody in a collaboration a
12 window to speak to the group in and you give one person
13 a bigger window, because maybe they're more important,
14 they get listened to less. I just loved that result.
15 So --

16 Q. Don't want to listen to the person with the
17 big window.

18 A. Well, a big window is harder to read through.
19 The little window is much more like a headline. You
20 know, I just was surprised. You know, there's lots of
21 surprises like that. Yeah. You know, I could go on and
22 on.

23 But one of my favorite -- I teach this stuff.
24 So one of the things I loved is when Nex came out with
25 the drop shadows. Drop shadows are great because they

1 actually -- there's something called precognitive
2 psychology that shows that there's things that you can
3 see before you ever use any thinking. And drop shadows
4 you can pick up automatically.

5 And there's just a few different techniques,
6 and one of them is it turns out shadows. So when you
7 put shadows on icons all over the screen, you can find
8 them much faster. And so all of this last 10 years of
9 people taking them off makes me mad.

10 And then there's skeuomorphing. I mean we can
11 go into this all day long. I can talk about lots of
12 different interface things.

13 Q. Well, let me ask you about something that you
14 mentioned in your declaration that I wasn't sure how it
15 related to your C.V., and I was hoping you can explain
16 it to me.

17 This MotoCarma app, what is that?

18 A. It was a way of me demonstrating, look, I made
19 this toolchain for making private generative AI systems,
20 and it's called transformermodeling.com, and my team in
21 Bangladesh called techdojo.org. One of my students from
22 Carnegie Mellon made this little consulting company I've
23 been helping forever. They made this.

24 And so somebody -- I was sitting at dinner
25 with somebody that wanted to make an app for selling

1 cars. And I said I want to try out -- I want to
2 demonstrate a generative AI system.

3 So being Ted, as much of the app's value if
4 you play with it -- I'd love if you play with it -- I
5 would be interested in your feedback -- is about the
6 graphical user interface simplifying the process of
7 buying a car as about the generative AI, which it
8 generates from graphical things you do, but also from
9 textual things you do, to give you a unique and
10 simplified way of getting more information and better
11 information that you can share with whoever you're going
12 to buy a car from.

13 So that's what I'm -- I've spent the last year
14 trying to do that with a guy named Jeremy Taunton.

15 Q. So this is a newer -- this is not something
16 that was going on in the '90s. This was a newer
17 endeavor.

18 A. This started in May of 2023, maybe --

19 Q. Got it.

20 A. Yeah.

21 Q. Got it. And what was your first foray into
22 work on mobile apps, like this one?

23 A. You know, working on systems that were mobile
24 that had applications on them goes back with me to
25 before the WAP phone.

1 So it goes back to this -- you know, things
2 like that -- like that boarding pass, things, you know,
3 very -- very early I, in fact, even had a mock-up that I
4 carried around in '83 called Dreamwriter. And it was
5 this box that I put on my belt. And I tried to explain
6 how this pen would be better than a, you know, display
7 for being an interface. You know, it was a provocative
8 mobile scenario.

9 So I often make scenarios, when I had
10 resources later -- not when I made that Dreamwriter, but
11 later. I made working versions. We call them mock-ups
12 when they're just a box, prototypes when they work, and
13 products when they sell.

14 And so we -- you know, in 1998 or '9, I helped
15 some people make a phone-based search system, a company
16 called Sift, because they weren't able to compete with
17 Google on the desktops, so they tried to do it on the
18 phone. And those phones used -- I think they used the
19 WAP protocol.

20 But when I was at IBM earlier, like I said, I
21 made a range of things. We had a PC that was so small
22 that I made up a little holster. You could wear it on
23 you. I made a wearable computer that you wore as a belt
24 that you had virtual reality glasses in '96, '97. I
25 made probably half a dozen different wearable things in

1 the '90s.

2 You know, in the 2000s, you know, a lot of
3 apps like -- for example, we made one for shopping. You
4 went into a grocery store, and we put sensors all over
5 this grocery store so you could tell which aisle you
6 were on. And based on which aisle you were on, we could
7 give you a different experience, and you could actually
8 even buy stuff.

9 And they actually took our device and turned
10 it into something, and Stop & Shop deployed something
11 based on -- based on our work. I don't think they used
12 our code or a physical device, but it was absolutely we
13 were involved in getting -- helping get a company to
14 get a -- you know, you don't have university students
15 write products that sell things at big stores. So for
16 that -- but yeah. Many things. Many things.

17 And then the thing that -- in terms of apps
18 on -- maybe I'm jumping ahead, but I taught -- when
19 Android came out, I taught -- when the One Laptop per
20 Child came out, I taught a course at MIT to make the --
21 to make the applications for it. We had students from
22 MIT and Harvard, and they actually wrote applications
23 that actually did ship with that product.

24 Then when I was at Carnegie Mellon and the
25 Android came out, for four years I taught a course on

1 Android product development. Very different from the
2 way we develop now. It was -- I had people writing Java
3 on the phones, you know. You'd make it for one phone.
4 It wouldn't reshape to go on the other phone, you know.
5 You'd have to use the facilities that were available on
6 that particular phone.

7 You know, we had all these problems. This was
8 in 2000- -- I don't know -- '8 -- no -- '9 to '13. But
9 it was a lot of fun. Made probably a hundred different
10 apps. Most of -- a lot of them you can get off of my
11 website. You can go there and actually run some of them
12 or see them, videos of them, some of those ones.

13 But they were all -- those were all -- you
14 know, those were all very one-off, teaching people. You
15 know, those were graduate students learning how to
16 envision products.

17 Android product development, my focus was,
18 what's a product? What's an idea? What's a value idea?
19 Creating -- of course, the Android was the brand-new,
20 beautiful, simple, unusual, and extremely capable device
21 that we could -- that we could -- that we could show off
22 some of these ideas on.

23 MR. JUANG: We've been going for about an
24 hour. I mean I don't know if you want to take a break
25 for 10, 15 minutes.

1 MR. BALLANCO: Yeah. We can take a break now.
2 Yeah. This is a good time for a break. I could do
3 10 minutes. Does that work?

4 THE WITNESS: That's fine.

5 MR. JUANG: A 10-minute break?

6 MR. BALLANCO: Yeah. And then let's come back
7 at -- I guess your time, it's 10:12. Let's come back
8 10:22. How about that?

9 MR. JUANG: Sounds good.

10 (Recess)

11 THE WITNESS: I have to say, Mike, you're
12 bringing me back to stuff I haven't talked about or
13 thought about in a long time. So it's kind of fun.

14 BY MR. BALLANCO:

15 Q. It's very fascinating for me as well. I
16 appreciate it. Thank you very much, Dr. Selker.

17 MR. BALLANCO: Before we get back into
18 questions, I do want to read into the record the
19 proceeding numbers just so that we have them, as counsel
20 for DoDots mentioned.

21 So the proceedings are IPR2023-00621, and
22 that's a proceeding that concerns the -- I'll call it
23 the '083 patent. The second proceeding is
24 IPR2023-00701. That's the proceeding that relates to
25 what I will short-cut as the '407 patent. The last

1 proceeding that we're here today in relation to is
2 IPR2023-00756, and that's the proceeding that relates to
3 what I will call the '545 patent.

4 BY MR. BALLANCO:

5 Q. That's not a question, Dr. Selker. I'm just
6 letting the record reflect.

7 One area I want to follow up on, that we were
8 talking about just before the break, was the -- I
9 believe you mentioned that WAP -- is that protocol?
10 Could you explain what you meant by that?

11 A. Yeah. WAP was a kind of phone that had a goal
12 of letting people run, you know, stuff on their phone
13 besides just being a phone. And so you could have an
14 app, and you could have an internet browser. You could
15 have a -- you could have a geolocation system.

16 I'm thinking about -- I think the Nokia N95,
17 which is the one I always think about, was ran on WAP.
18 But it had, you know, a variety of things that you could
19 do on the phone that, you know, use the graphical and
20 sensors in it and use the, you know, camera and this and
21 that.

22 And people tried, even before the N95, which
23 was later, with the phones that we had -- I remember
24 that these WAP phones were -- when we were looking at it
25 with Sift, you know, they were -- we could put up a web

1 browser, and that was kind of exciting. I'm talking
2 about '98, '99. Already we were trying to put web
3 browsers on these phones.

4 Q. Okay. And N95, that was a phone that was an
5 early adopter of the WAP protocol?

6 A. Actually, the WAP -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean
7 to talk over you.

8 The N95 was kind of the pinnacle of
9 smartphones before the iPhone came, in 2008. So it had
10 a beautiful Zeiss camera. It had an AM/FM radio. It
11 had a good battery life. It had a good antenna. All
12 things that the iPhone didn't have at the beginning, by
13 the way. And, you know, it burned through batteries
14 like crazy.

15 Q. Like any good smartphone.

16 When did the N95 release?

17 A. I mean I remember having one in -- I think it
18 was 2007, I think. That's pretty -- you know, that's
19 pretty -- it's pretty late, you know. Yeah. If you
20 tried to use the GPS on that thing -- no, no. It wasn't
21 2007. It was earlier. I think it was 2005, maybe.

22 Q. Okay. And you described it as the pinnacle
23 and that WAP phones started coming out in the '98-'99
24 time frame.

25 So what were some of the early WAP phones that

1 you can recall from that time?

2 A. I don't remember the model numbers of the WAP
3 phones.

4 Q. Okay. The WAP phones, though, that were
5 coming out in the '90s, they attempted to integrate
6 things like internet browsers onto the phones
7 themselves; is that fair?

8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. And what other types of applications would
10 these phones have on them besides the web browsers that
11 made them more --

12 MR. JUANG: I'm just going to object to the
13 form because it seems like we're getting into technical,
14 nonbackground questions that seem to be outside of the
15 scope of the report, but I'll allow it for now.

16 THE WITNESS: So I can really speak more to
17 the things that I was building. It's hard for me to
18 remember everything that was going on. And I really
19 haven't been thinking about that. Maybe I should.

20 So we built, you know, things to work with --
21 we made a smart couch that had a phone in it. We
22 made -- we made, you know, this thing that, like I said,
23 would keep track of, you know, whether a room was being
24 used.

25 We made various applications. We used various

1 technologies, sometimes WAP phones, but sometimes they
2 weren't the most -- they weren't the only or simplest
3 way to try things. So we'd try them with whatever
4 mobile hardware we would snap or slap together.

5 Things that -- I mean I don't think we were
6 able to do it on a WAP phone. I had something called --
7 that was supposed to make you aware of what was
8 available where on campus at MIT, and we found ourselves
9 using a Sony laptop with a camera on it, not a WAP
10 phone.

11 You go around campus, and it would show you
12 where there's free food and where there's, you know,
13 lectures and where there's some sports event and stuff
14 like that.

15 So, you know, people -- yeah. I mean back
16 then, quite frankly, touchscreens had yet to be
17 something people embraced well. So there was a big
18 fight, you know, and, you know, people loved their
19 BlackBerrys, and the WAP phones didn't do very well,
20 phones that had this ability to, you know -- had
21 internet and other activities to them.

22 And even this N95 I described, it was big. It
23 was very cumbersome software. So, you know, they were
24 slow, burn through batteries, and then the applications
25 weren't very compatible.

1 BY MR. BALLANCO:

2 Q. Okay. Let me make sure I understand.

3 The WAP phones were touchscreens, and people
4 didn't adopt them, or were they not touchscreens because
5 people didn't want touchscreens?

6 A. You know, I'm trying to remember which ones
7 were touchscreens and which ones weren't. Variously,
8 most phones had a keyboard. And I think that -- I'm
9 trying to remember phones that didn't have -- that
10 didn't have buttons on them at that time frame. And
11 it's vague. I'm sorry. I'm not -- I'm not -- if you
12 showed me some, I'd recognize them is all.

13 Q. I'm going to move on to something related but
14 slightly different.

15 The work you were doing in the '90s at IBM,
16 particularly this work on mobile applications, I think
17 you kind of described it before as the fourth project
18 that you were really proud of --

19 A. Right.

20 Q. -- what type of coding languages were being
21 used to develop that technology?

22 A. Mostly C++, you know. Mostly -- I mean I'm
23 sure we used Java and C++. You know, iPhone wasn't a
24 thing, though, yet.

25 Q. So fair to say --

1 (Simultaneous speakers)

2 THE WITNESS: What?

3 BY MR. BALLANCO:

4 Q. So fair to say object-oriented programming
5 languages?

6 A. Yeah, yeah. I mean I'm thinking about, you
7 know -- so, you know, I was the manager of this group,
8 and, I mean, a guy named Steve Ihde and Ron Barber did
9 most of those programs, and, you know, I really -- you
10 know, I mean I didn't care what they both wrote them in.

11 I mean I made -- yeah. I mean if I had it my
12 way, we'd be using HyperCard because I -- and Flash, but
13 those -- you know, those were -- those were not things
14 that we could run on mobile phones so easily.

15 Q. I'm not familiar with HyperCard.

16 What is that?

17 A. HyperCard was a language that let people --
18 like, you know, they used to pretend secretaries could
19 do it -- snap together cards with controls on a
20 Macintosh to make applications.

21 I actually made some very big applications
22 using HyperCard. I mean big in that they had a lot of
23 functionality, for creating a visual language, for
24 example. And yeah. They were all very visual, very
25 graphical.

1 Flash was the same, in that you could make
2 things animate, make them have actions, and interact
3 with them. And so those were the -- those were two of
4 my go-to prototyping tools until they became
5 unavailable.

6 Q. Okay. Is -- well, strike that.

7 What about, to what extent at that time was
8 HTML being used for the work that you were doing?

9 A. Well, HTML came on like a -- like a -- you
10 know, it was great. And, you know, like a lot of these
11 guys say in these patents, I mean, what I at first saw
12 HTML like is I saw it as this half-duplex -- that means
13 it writes to you; you don't write back to it --
14 communication.

15 You know, we could see all these books that
16 were online, you know, the whole HTML being SGML. It
17 was all formatted. The data in these documents was
18 formatted with these tags.

19 And the whole point of the HTML was mostly to
20 just give layout information. It didn't specify the
21 fonts or the actual layouts. So, in fact, as a user
22 interface guy, I hated that because if the visual look
23 of a page changes, you actually can't find things on it
24 anywhere near as easily, and so that drove me crazy.

25 So HTML was obviously just completely,

1 spectacularly better than what we had before, though. I
2 mean WAIS and Archie and Veronica. All these -- you
3 know, I ran a workshop at IBM. And Terry Winograd, who
4 actually helped Larry and Sergey also, he gave a talk
5 before Mosaic came out about, you know, using all these
6 tools to hack through the forest of the internet with
7 Archie, Veronica, and WAIS and all this stuff.

8 You know, within months of when he gave that
9 talk, it was obsolete because this -- because you
10 could -- you know, because people make these web pages,
11 and they were just exponentially growing, a tremendous
12 amount of information available on the web. And it
13 seemed like interactivity was not going to happen
14 easily.

15 But guess what? People, when they have a
16 will, they have a way. And they found all sorts of ways
17 to start adding interactivity, you know, the HTML and
18 all of these tools that we're going to talk about
19 probably in these today.

20 Q. HTML, did that shift from being, like,
21 Veronica and these other approaches?

22 Is HTML what then introduced, like, a style
23 template? Is that an HTML-derived notion?

24 MR. JUANG: Object to form.

25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean HTML didn't start

1 off with a style template, but the idea -- all those
2 other things didn't have, you know -- I mean you
3 could -- sure. You could do them through a command
4 line. They were interface -- you know, all those
5 things, you would go, and you'd, you know, make a
6 request, and something might come back.

7 Whereas HTML, it was formatted, and there was
8 this uniform -- well, you know, the protocol, you know,
9 the HTML, the WWW. And so there was a uniform way of
10 putting it so that people could find it, and there was a
11 uniform way of presenting it on the screen. And it
12 worked across platforms to the extent that you have
13 browsers on all these things, and quick like a rabbit,
14 everybody wrote browsers for every damn thing they could
15 think of.

16 BY MR. BALLANCO:

17 Q. So that was HTML.

18 When did XML start becoming a thing? Was that
19 also in the '90s, along that same timeline, or was that
20 a different time?

21 A. Well, that came later. I don't offhand recall
22 when the first XML was released. I saw that timeline
23 recently. But it was a big change. I mean it was -- it
24 came after, you know, several -- maybe it was -- I think
25 it was HTML 3 or so, at that time frame.

1 But it really was a new -- it had new goals.
2 It wasn't all about formatting a page on the screen. It
3 was about storing data that you would utilize for
4 various purposes. And it was used with web browsers,
5 but also with other things. So it became a data format
6 in a structure that you could store things in.

7 Q. Okay. You mentioned just a moment ago HTML 3.
8 So I apologize for my ignorance here.

9 Is that a version of HTML that started with --

10 A. Yeah.

11 Q. -- 1, 2, then it went to 3?

12 A. Yeah. And I think -- I mean we'd have to look
13 at the dates, but I think that HTML 3 kind of, you know,
14 came out around the time of these patents.

15 Q. Okay. And going back to something else that
16 you mentioned a moment ago, is that HTML didn't start
17 off with style templates.

18 At what point did it gain those? Was it with
19 3? Was it with 2? Somewhere along there?

20 A. I'm not -- I'm not enough of a historian to
21 have that in my mind. But certainly the -- you know,
22 the march forward was people wanting more -- trying --
23 wanting to figure out more interactivity, more function,
24 more responsiveness, and, finally, to put things that
25 looked so different from what we thought could happen

1 with the web browser that we ended up having apps in
2 browsers.

3 And to this day -- I mean now -- I mean I
4 guess not that it's relevant to this, but one of the
5 most shocking things to me was I got a demonstration of
6 using a TPU for inside of a browser, you know, that
7 they'd actually integrated that stuff.

8 And so lots and lots of work has gone into,
9 somehow or other, making browsers compatible enough.
10 They weren't, for a long time, compatible with each
11 other. For example, you know, ActiveX was not available
12 in all browsers. But they, you know, have gotten better
13 at that.

14 Q. And so was introduction of style templates a
15 way to address that problem, to make it so that you
16 could use the HTML across different browsers because
17 there was a template that would work on all of them? Is
18 that sort of the idea?

19 MR. JUANG: Object to form.

20 THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at what we're
21 talking about specifically for style templates. I
22 haven't -- I haven't thought -- I don't know if it's in
23 my declaration. I'm not sure that I talked about which
24 browsers used what kind of -- had what kind of
25 technology around then.

1 BY MR. BALLANCO:

2 Q. Let's actually talk a little bit about your --
3 well, actually, no. There is one other thing I just
4 have to ask about.

5 At Magic Leap, your position was a wizard.

6 Can you tell me what that means, sir?

7 A. Well, technically, I was a consultant. But at
8 Magic Leap, there was this role of wizard.

9 And I definitely, you know, talked to Rony
10 Abovitz, the CEO, about strategy for the company. I've
11 talked to the people that were doing industrial design
12 about the design of the physical object. I talked to
13 the people that were trying to make a user interface
14 research group about how to set that up. I talked to
15 the people doing physiology about how to do that.

16 But most of my work, by the end of my tenure
17 there, were two things. One was writing a quite long
18 piece about what it would be like to live in a world all
19 day long with mixed reality. That means you have things
20 out there that are real and things that aren't.

21 And the second thing was I was involved with
22 the research group in Seattle for the last -- most of
23 the time the last couple years that I was there, and
24 that group's charge was to make an alternative headset
25 that would have a new kind of a display, and the headset

1 would be, you know, one, you know, fifth the weight of
2 the one that we were about to ship as a product.

3 And so there was this -- there was this
4 special mechanical, spinning fiberoptic display that was
5 one millimeter cubed that I -- I spent a lot of time in
6 the clean room, actually. I spent a lot of time
7 physically working on mechanical, optical, electrical
8 engineering around this very, very difficult display
9 that we were trying to make.

10 Q. Got it.

11 A. So pretty broad. Pretty broad. Typical Ted,
12 you know. I mean -- you know, I mean I brought in
13 machine learning to help with calibration, you know.
14 So, you know, I reach across borders, and that's kind of
15 why people would give me a role. Like, they'd call me
16 something like a wizard because I'm reaching across
17 borders to solve problems that will impact more
18 generally than a person that's just at a simple task.

19 Q. Got it. Oh. One other thing that I forgot to
20 ask that I wanted to ask you, sir.

21 In your time -- in your career, before working
22 on this case, had you worked with DoDots before at all,
23 the DoDots entity that was around in the early 2000s?

24 A. No. I was not actually aware of it until this
25 case.

1 Q. Okay. And, actually, that's a good segue.

2 How were you first -- and without revealing
3 any privileged information, how were you first
4 approached about working on this case?

5 MR. JUANG: Object to form.

6 THE WITNESS: I remember it being a little
7 more than a year ago. And I don't remember, actually,
8 how it started. I mean I talked to Ron. And that's --
9 I remember -- I remember talking to him first. That's
10 my memory.

11 BY MR. BALLANCO:

12 Q. Ron being one of the main partners in --

13 A. Yeah.

14 Q. -- the law firm?

15 A. He's the main partner. Yeah. Yeah.

16 Q. Have you worked with this law firm before?

17 A. Never.

18 Q. And you submitted for DoDots three separate
19 declarations; right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. One in each of the proceedings that I read out
22 into the record earlier?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And I want to ask about the work you did on
25 those declarations.

1 How much time did you spend over the course of
2 those three declarations putting them together?

3 A. Oh, I'd have to look at my accounting. But I
4 would say it's in the hundred to 200 -- it would be --
5 you know, I see it as maybe a couple hundred hours.
6 Maybe it's more.

7 Q. Okay. And that's from your first work on the
8 case, looking at material, to finished product?

9 A. Yeah. I mean it might be a couple hundred.
10 It might be 400. I'd have to -- I'd have to look it up.
11 Yeah.

12 Q. Okay. What material did you review in putting
13 together your declaration -- or declarations?

14 MR. JUANG: Just object to form and caution
15 the witness not to reveal any attorney-client
16 communication. But you can answer it to the extent you
17 know.

18 THE WITNESS: Well, I looked at -- I looked at
19 prior judgments in the Lenovo case. I looked at the
20 patents mostly. I looked at the provisionals a lot. I
21 looked at other produced patents that I think you guys
22 may have brought up: Wecker, Shimada, Buchholz, Beer.

23 You know, I -- you know, I would do the
24 occasional, you know, just reminding myself of
25 techniques and technologies running around in Google

1 searches.

2 But none of that that I -- I don't even
3 think -- I guess at one time I remember printing out
4 Darnell and thinking this is just gigantic and stupid
5 and I better not bother myself with this. Later on I
6 found myself finding it a fascinating document. But,
7 you know, it's a long document. Well-written, actually.

8 So let's see. I have read Schmidt's
9 statement, and I've read Kembels' statements. And, boy,
10 that's pretty much it, I think.

11 BY MR. BALLANCO:

12 Q. And just so the record's clear, when you say
13 Schmidt's statement, you're talking about Dr. Schmidt, a
14 statement he submitted in an earlier proceeding; is that
15 fair?

16 A. Yeah. I mean I don't think I have seen
17 proceedings from the earlier IPR. I'm trying to think.
18 I mean I saw the judgment. I don't think I saw any of
19 the, you know, declarations or depositions from then.

20 Q. Yeah. And I misspoke. I meant to say not an
21 earlier proceeding, but earlier in this proceeding --

22 A. Yeah, yeah.

23 Q. -- Dr. Schmidt.

24 A. Right. Yeah. I don't even know if he was
25 involved with the earlier one or not.

1 Q. One thing I did want to ask. You mentioned
2 you've reviewed patents. You've described several of
3 the prior art patents. You also reviewed -- or I'll ask
4 you.

5 Did you review the DoDots patents that are at
6 issue in this case?

7 A. Absolutely. So I read those.

8 Q. And -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you
9 off.

10 A. No, no. And I -- you know, and it's a lot.
11 And I hope I have everything as well in my mind as I
12 want it to be, but, you know, hopefully we'll have to --
13 maybe I'll have to look at things again to remind
14 myself.

15 Q. Sure. And we'll have the patents, too, so if
16 you need to refer to them.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. We're talking, though, when we talk about the
19 DoDots patents at issue in this set of IPR proceedings,
20 about the '083 patent, the '545 patent, and the '407
21 patent; right?

22 A. Yes, yes.

23 Q. Beyond those, which other DoDots patents did
24 you review as part of your analysis?

25 A. Only the provisionals, the '99 provisional and

1 the 2000 provisionals, to my memory.

2 Q. Okay. The provisional applications that the
3 '083, '407, and '545 patents list on their cover as --

4 A. Right.

5 Q. -- claim priority. Okay.

6 The other set of patents that you reviewed are
7 patents that were submitted by Samsung as prior art;
8 right?

9 A. Right. Right.

10 Q. What was your practice for generating your
11 declarations in these cases?

12 A. So I -- you know, I tried to take down notes
13 and write in some text and then collaborate heavily with
14 the other -- with the other people involved, with the
15 lawyers at whatever you call -- how do you say the name?

16 MR. JUANG: Daignault Iyer. Daignault Iyer.

17 THE WITNESS: Daignault Iyer. Okay. Fine.

18 Anyway, so yeah. I mean, so how many -- how
19 many drafts? Dozens. How many times did I read it?
20 Dozens. How many times did I -- did I edit all of the
21 drafts? Yes. You know, were there big changes that I
22 wanted? Yes.

23 BY MR. BALLANCO:

24 Q. And so the resulting work product, the
25 declaration that you submitted, is your declaration;

1 right?

2 A. Yep. Yep.

3 Q. I'm going to put into the chat your -- well,
4 let me put it in the chat first, and then we can talk
5 about it. Give me just a moment here. It's loading
6 now.

7 (Exhibit 2010 referenced)

8 BY MR. BALLANCO:

9 Q. Okay. You should be able to see it in the
10 chat. Let me know if you've able to view the document I
11 just placed in there.

12 A. Right.

13 Q. Okay. When you're able to see it, can you
14 identify for the record what this document is.

15 A. Yeah. I'm trying to. Just a second.

16 Q. Take your time.

17 A. No. It's just that there's -- the screen
18 that's on the wall has delay. That's all. So why isn't
19 it downloading? I've got to change something. Then I
20 can do it. Yeah. Okay. Save.

21 Yeah. This is the '083 version of the
22 declaration. I say version because there's quite a bit
23 of similarity between the various versions.

24 Q. And that's actually something I wanted to
25 discuss.

1 You'd agree that there's significant overlap
2 between the three patents that you looked at and then,
3 because of that, your declarations?

4 A. They're distinct, you know, claims. They're
5 different, you know, on the three. But there's, you
6 know, a lot of commonality, and that makes it easy to
7 speak to all of them with a lot of the same language.

8 Q. Okay. I'm going to focus my questions, at
9 least right now, on the '083 version of your
10 declaration. If at any point in a question that I ask,
11 if there's a distinction between the different
12 declarations or patents that you identify, please let me
13 know that. But I'm going to focus on the '083.

14 Okay?

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. One question I had for you before we get into
17 the substance of your declaration, sir, is, throughout
18 the declaration -- for example, at paragraph 34.

19 A. Yeah. Let's go to 34.

20 Q. Yeah. Let's go there.

21 A. Omitted. That's not a paragraph. That's
22 omitted. Let's go to something that -- that's nothing.
23 That's a non.

24 Q. Well, that's the question I have.

25 So there are several paragraphs that, instead

1 of having substance, they, in brackets, say omitted.
2 I'm just wondering if you can explain to me why that is.

3 A. When you're drafting something, lots of
4 changes happen. And, you know, you could -- you know,
5 basically, it was a way of keeping the numbering the way
6 we thought of it before we didn't want that paragraph in
7 there anymore.

8 Q. Okay. And whose decision was it to omit those
9 paragraphs?

10 A. It was a -- it was a collaborative thing. And
11 I, quite frankly, don't remember what was in 34 or 35
12 before we omitted them or if there was anything there.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. You caught me off guard with that question.

15 Q. Purely out of --

16 A. No.

17 (Simultaneous speakers)

18 BY MR. BALLANCO:

19 Q. I just wanted to know.

20 A. Absolutely. Absolutely.

21 Q. You, in your declarations, proposed several
22 constructions for claim terms that are present in the
23 patents; right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And in proposing those constructions, what

1 material did you consider or evaluate to propose them?

2 A. I considered technology that was available at
3 the time, materials that people were thinking about,
4 what these patents descriptively included. That's what
5 I think I included. We'd have to look at a specific one
6 for me, you know, to get specific about it.

7 Q. Well, let's do that. So if I could direct you
8 to paragraph 42 of the '083 declaration that you're
9 looking at. This, I'll just say for the record, relates
10 to the term "execute the template."

11 Do you see that?

12 A. Oh, yeah. No. That -- yeah. Okay. That's
13 interesting. I mean there's -- there's some -- there
14 was some -- you know, some things that came out of, I
15 guess, the Lenovo IPR that affect this also.

16 Q. Okay. So that was another --

17 (Simultaneous speakers)

18 THE WITNESS: Source.

19 (Reporter clarification)

20 THE WITNESS: Source. It was another document
21 that I considered.

22 BY MR. BALLANCO:

23 Q. And so the record's clear, we're talking about
24 a prior IPR proceeding between Lenovo and DoDots that
25 involved these patents; right?

1 A. Right.

2 Q. And what in particular from the Lenovo
3 proceeding did you rely upon in coming up with the
4 construction for "execute the template" you provided in
5 paragraph 42?

6 MR. JUANG: Object to form.

7 THE WITNESS: So the idea of a NIM template
8 has to be a -- something that does not have executable
9 code.

10 And executable code means there's going to be
11 a program counter, and you've got to go through a
12 program, instruction by instruction, doing something,
13 whereas executing a NIM template has a different -- has
14 a different meaning, and it means instantiating it.
15 That means making it come to life.

16 And that has to do with the installer, you
17 know, bringing in everything from the XML description,
18 the NIM description, which is a data structure that
19 has everything you need to make yourself a NIM, and
20 using that kind of like a blueprint, I would call it.

21 So there's a blueprint that you -- that gives
22 you the instructions of what to do, and then there's
23 everything else about building a house, where there's
24 actual physical things happening and, you know,
25 carpenters moving around and procedures occurring and

1 all of that. But the blueprint doesn't have any of that
2 stuff.

3 So that's what I say is executing a NIM
4 template, is to go gather all that wood and those
5 carpenters, but it doesn't have the -- it doesn't have
6 the code itself. It just tells you how to do it.

7 BY MR. BALLANCO:

8 Q. And to back up, you had mentioned that in the
9 Lenovo case, the board talked about executing a NIM, and
10 if I understand you, your contention is that's different
11 than executing a NIM template.

12 Did I get that right?

13 MR. JUANG: Objection. Misstates testimony.

14 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure about executing a
15 NIM. I talk about instantiating a NIM and running an
16 app that's a NIM, running a NIM. I think of executing a
17 NIM template as, you know, bringing it to life, putting
18 all the things in place and getting it ready to do its
19 thing.

20 BY MR. BALLANCO:

21 Q. I guess my question is -- let me try to ask it
22 a better way.

23 In Lenovo, the board or patent office didn't
24 provide a construction of "execute the template"; right?

25 A. We'd have to look at that for me to remember

1 exactly what they did and what they said in that regard.
2 If you want, we can do that.

3 Q. Well, let's instead talk about the '083
4 patent.

5 So you said you considered the '083 patent in
6 coming up with the construction you're proposing for
7 "execute the template"; right?

8 A. Right.

9 Q. Okay. I am going to, so we have it, because
10 I'm sure we'll be talking about it --

11 (Simultaneous speakers)

12 BY MR. BALLANCO:

13 Q. Yeah. Let's bring that to the chat.

14 A. Right.

15 Q. Give me one moment.

16 A. It's so nice to have it in front of me, these
17 things.

18 Q. Yeah. And I will tell you. If you have a
19 printed copy in front of you that you'd like to look at,
20 I'm fine with that. I mean --

21 A. I brought -- I didn't -- I brought nothing
22 here.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. I was instructed not to bring anything. I
25 mean in my backpack over there, there might be

1 something, but nothing in front of me.

2 Q. Well, let's use this version then. I dropped
3 it into the chat. So let me know when you're ready --

4 A. Great.

5 Q. -- to access that.

6 (Exhibit 1001 referenced)

7 THE WITNESS: Great. Great.

8 Okay. I'm looking at it now.

9 BY MR. BALLANCO:

10 Q. Okay. And I'll just let the record reflect
11 that the exhibit we're looking at now is the '083 patent
12 at issue in the '083 proceeding. It's Exhibit 1001 to
13 that proceeding.

14 Dr. Selker, can you direct me to where in this
15 '083 patent you found support for your construction of
16 "execute the template"?

17 MR. JUANG: Object to form.

18 THE WITNESS: It's going to take a moment to
19 get oriented here. Well, actually, let's see.

20 (Discussion off the record)

21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm looking for
22 where the Home Dot gets the NIM template and puts it in.
23 Sorry it's taking me a little bit of time to find that.

24 BY MR. BALLANCO:

25 Q. Okay.

1 A. Dot, Dot, Dot. I'm reading the whole damn
2 thing. It's ridiculous.

3 I mean even in, you know, column 33, 7, the
4 XML, you know, describes the Dot. So XML was not a --
5 is not, you know, something that's read sequentially.
6 It's something that slipped out as a source, a data
7 structure.

8 And in describing this Dot, it's not, you
9 know, downloading the code of the Dot. It is simply
10 describing it, giving the resources that are needed for
11 it, the styles, you know, the icons, where to find --
12 where to find resources, you know, where the URLs are,
13 where the code can be found, you know, and so things
14 like that.

15 Q. And that's in column 33.

16 Could you read the line you were --

17 A. Seven. Yeah. Line 7, it just describes the
18 Dot, and that's what describing the Dot means to me.

19 Q. Okay. So --

20 A. Yeah. I'm sorry I -- you know, I absorb
21 information, and then I internalize it, and that's a
22 little different than tying it to specific lines, which
23 I should be able to do, but that happens more in
24 writing.

25 Q. Okay. So the support you, sitting here today,

1 can provide for the "executing the template" limitation,
2 construction that you provided, is at column 33, line 7,
3 where it says "The server 153 returns the XML that
4 describes the Dot"; right?

5 A. Yeah.

6 MR. JUANG: Object to form. Also misstating
7 the expert report.

8 BY MR. BALLANCO:

9 Q. Any other places that you --

10 A. Well --

11 (Simultaneous speakers)

12 THE WITNESS: I guess I do -- I guess I do
13 want to go to the report and -- 'cause, you know, that
14 would be a place where I wrote down and then I can go
15 back to easily. So let me take --

16 BY MR. BALLANCO:

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. -- a quick look at that, if it's all right.
19 Maybe you can even point me to where you'd like me to
20 look for that.

21 Q. Well, you know, yeah. To short-circuit it, I
22 think in your report at paragraph 42, if I'm remembering
23 correctly, where you provide your construction for this
24 claim term. Actually, paragraphs 42 and 43 is where I
25 think it is.

1 MR. JUANG: I'm going to object to form
2 because it also misstates the testimony.

3 THE WITNESS: I see that. Of course, what I'm
4 looking for is back to your question, exactly line and
5 columns of the patent. And so we talked about the
6 claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 13, and 16.

7 BY MR. BALLANCO:

8 Q. Is there a different part of your declaration
9 that provides the column and line numbers where there's
10 support for the "execute the template" construction in
11 your declaration somewhere?

12 A. I can't remember these document numbers.
13 1010. What's 1010? Samsung 1010. That's not --

14 MR. JUANG: You'll find it in 1014,
15 paragraph 23.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes. I have to go look at these
17 specifically to make sure. But the '083, what is that?
18 Which Samsung Bates number? What is that called?
19 That's 10- --

20 BY MR. BALLANCO:

21 Q. The '083 patent proceeding is the proceeding
22 that ends in 621, if that's helpful.

23 Or are you asking the exhibit --

24 A. Yeah.

25 Q. -- number?

1 A. Yeah. The document number for '083.

2 Q. The '083 patent in that proceeding is
3 Exhibit 1001.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. Correct. So it would be Samsung 1001.

6 A. Okay. All right.

7 Q. At least I'll represent that.

8 MR. BALLANCO: And, Counsel, please correct me
9 if I misstate anything. But that's my understanding.
10 It's 1001.

11 MR. JUANG: I believe there's a listing at the
12 front of the report. And I haven't checked it yet,
13 but -- or no. It's an attachment to the report.

14 MR. BALLANCO: Oh, yes. I see it.

15 BY MR. BALLANCO:

16 Q. Just so we can help along here, at Exhibit A
17 in the declaration, beginning at page 148, there's a
18 listing of the exhibit numbers that correlate. And feel
19 free to reference that.

20 MR. JUANG: Is there a question pending right
21 now?

22 THE WITNESS: He's asking if there's other
23 evidence I have for the "executable" definition. And I
24 think for now -- I think I'll leave it for now.

25

1 BY MR. BALLANCO:

2 Q. Okay. So you had identified the line 7 of
3 column 33, and we'll leave it at that for now.

4 A. Yeah, for now.

5 MR. JUANG: I'm going to object to form. He
6 also mentioned the Lenovo decision earlier.

7 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

8 MR. BALLANCO: Okay. I'm talking about the
9 patent itself. And we'll let the record speak for
10 itself. We can move on.

11 BY MR. BALLANCO:

12 Q. Let's discuss, Dr. Selker, "client device."
13 That's another term that you had mentioned in your
14 declaration.

15 Do you recall that?

16 A. What was that term?

17 Q. "Client device."

18 A. "Client device." Yes.

19 Q. What are examples of client devices?

20 A. I think of a client device relative to a
21 server. So a client device is something that a user's
22 engaged with. And for these patents, typically these
23 would be something like a cell phone. And so that's
24 what I think of as a client device.

25 Q. Okay. Would a desktop computer be a client

1 device in --

2 A. Yeah.

3 (Simultaneous speakers)

4 THE WITNESS: A desktop computer could be a
5 client device, but these patents are particularly
6 focused on making a technique that will be a bit
7 cross-platform. So it would work on a variety of client
8 devices.

9 BY MR. BALLANCO:

10 Q. Okay. So if it would work on a variety of
11 client devices, a desktop computer might be one.

12 A. That's right.

13 Q. And what would be others?

14 A. The others would be, like, a phone, maybe a
15 tablet, although tablets weren't very much around in
16 19- -- well, there were some, but they were terrible.
17 But yeah. I think there was a PalmPilot, I guess. You
18 know, there were PDAs.

19 But, again, the PDAs didn't have facility to
20 render web pages in the ways that we think of being the
21 premium, preferred way that the developer would want.

22 Q. So the PDAs weren't able of rendering web
23 pages in a user-friendly manner, but they'd still be
24 considered client devices that were one of the flavors
25 of cross-platform client devices that would be

1 considered under the patent; right?

2 (Reporter clarification)

3 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not completely
4 sure that they were considering PDAs at all. They were
5 much more interested in this fully configurable frame.
6 And so in terms of impoverished devices that couldn't
7 actually render the things that they were trying to --
8 these Dots -- to the extent that they weren't, the
9 whole -- the process breaks down because they aren't
10 able to fully configure them.

11 BY MR. BALLANCO:

12 Q. Let me unpack that a little bit. I just want
13 to make sure I understand.

14 So PDAs, your contention is, weren't able of
15 receiving and displaying the type of information that
16 was being used on client devices by the patent.

17 Is that a fair way to put it?

18 MR. JUANG: Object to form. Misstates the
19 testimony.

20 THE WITNESS: So it depends on the PDA. And,
21 you know, there are clients that were able to do the
22 kind of fully functioned, fully configured, you know,
23 Dots that we're talking about, and there were ones that
24 weren't. And I really am not convinced that those ones
25 are relevant, because the point of the patents is to

1 make these fully configured NIMs, frames.

2 BY MR. BALLANCO:

3 Q. But for the PDAs that were capable, they would
4 be considered client devices; right?

5 A. Yes. Yes.

6 MR. JUANG: We've been going for an hour,
7 exactly one hour. Is this a good time for a break? It
8 goes fast.

9 MR. BALLANCO: It goes fast. I thought we'd
10 been going for about 10 minutes. Yeah. We can take a
11 break.

12 (Recess)

13 BY MR. BALLANCO:

14 Q. All right. Dr. Selker, before the last break
15 and earlier in our discussion, we were talking about
16 HTML and XML.

17 Do you recall that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Now, HTML and XML are both markup languages;
20 right?

21 A. That's right.

22 Q. And they are also both structured languages;
23 is that right?

24 A. Structured? They have tags that relate to
25 definitions.

1 Q. Okay. And so is that what would be known in
2 the industry as a structured language?

3 A. I don't think of it by that name. But they
4 both have tags that define the way they're going to --
5 you know, in HTML, the way things are going to be laid
6 out by a browser, that's going to define the fonts and
7 the size and, you know, layout and stuff and, in XML,
8 the way the data's going to be structured that can be
9 used for a variety of purposes.

10 Q. What is -- strike that.

11 Are they also both hierarchical languages?

12 Does that make sense?

13 A. When I think of a hierarchical, there's, you
14 know, these different headings, heading 1, 2, 3 in HTML,
15 and certainly in XML, there are tags that structure
16 data. So yeah. I guess they're -- are they -- I'm
17 trying to think of where they are hierarchical, but I
18 think of them as hierarchical.

19 Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to your declaration
20 for the '083 proceeding in particular. And you offered
21 a construction for "data structure" in that declaration.
22 If I could direct you, to make it more convenient, to
23 paragraph 103.

24 Do you see that?

25 A. Right, right. It's going to have about

1 efficient access to data. Let's see. 103. Yeah. "A
2 data structure is a way of organizing and storing data
3 in a computer so that it can be accessed and used
4 efficiently."

5 Q. And what did you evaluate in proposing this
6 construction that's presented in paragraph 103?

7 A. I mean there are various definitions of "data
8 structure" and "data." You know, Niklaus Wirth, for
9 example, has these four things that he thinks you have
10 to do. You have to be -- delete it. You have to be
11 able to access it, and this and that. I don't know. I
12 just wanted a simple way of describing a data structure.

13 You know, a data structure is something --
14 it's not something that's part of a linear sequence of
15 doing things. It's something that you can look at and
16 find things when you need to find them if you'd stored
17 them in an organized way. That means, you know --
18 actually, a lot of people make databases that they can't
19 find the things they're looking for, unfortunately.

20 But anyway, that's what I -- that's what I
21 came up with.

22 Q. Okay. And so this is your understanding of
23 the term, not necessarily the industry understanding.
24 It's what you came up with.

25 A. I don't see any conflict with it with other

1 things that others have described, and I'm aware of many
2 people, you know, talking about data structures. So I'm
3 not ignorant of other things. I wasn't trying to ride
4 away from anybody else's definition of a data structure.
5 This is just a simple thing I could write down without
6 making this document even longer.

7 Q. Fair enough. In other words, it's your
8 articulation of it, even if it's, you know --

9 A. Yeah.

10 (Simultaneous speakers)

11 (Reporter clarification)

12 BY MR. BALLANCO:

13 Q. Even if it's not in conflict with, you know,
14 other articulations. I'm not trying to suggest that's
15 what I'm trying to say. Let me strike that and just ask
16 another question.

17 Dr. Selker, this is your articulation of "data
18 structure"; fair?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Now, we got at this a bit before, but let's
21 talk about it some more.

22 NIM templates. We agree that they must be
23 coded in a web browser-readable language; right?

24 A. They have to be coded in a way that can be
25 interpreted by an installer. I haven't really thought

1 of it as having to be a web browser-readable language
2 because they are -- they're installed by an installer.
3 And is it within the browser only? I kind of haven't
4 thought about that, as to whether it has to be inside
5 the browser when you -- that this happens.

6 But yes. That's an example of what a NIM
7 template is, interpreted within -- in a web
8 browser-interpretable way.

9 Q. Okay. Would XML be an example of such a
10 language?

11 A. XML is used by the internet community
12 extensively for things inside and outside of browsers.
13 Yes.

14 Q. So XML would be a web browser-readable
15 language?

16 A. It is used by web browsers in various ways.
17 It is not read in a sequential way, the way HTML is,
18 when you're building a web page. It is something that's
19 a resource in that data structure sort of way that these
20 apps or whatever is worth running on a web browser often
21 use.

22 Q. Okay. Let's take a step back.

23 I had asked you a question about whether NIM
24 templates had to be coded in a web browser-readable
25 language; right?

1 A. Right.

2 Q. Okay. And I believe your response -- and
3 please correct it if I'm misstating it. I'm not
4 intending to. I just want to make sure we're on the
5 same page.

6 You had, I believe, testified that your
7 understanding of NIM template is that it had to be
8 something that could be -- go through an interpreter to
9 do --

10 A. Well, you have to parse. It has to be parsed.
11 Now, parsing doesn't mean that it's read with a program
12 counter as a piece of code. That's different.

13 Q. Okay. And so let me take that to XML.

14 XML is a language that can be parsed; right?

15 A. It can be -- you can use, you know, something
16 to look through it -- that's what they call parsing
17 it -- and finding the things you're looking for in it as
18 a data structure.

19 Q. Okay. So let me just ask a simple question as
20 a yes or no.

21 XML is a language that can be parsed? Yes?

22 A. It is not parsed in a sequential way, is my
23 point. It is this question of, you know, what is
24 executable? You know, things where you run through a
25 program counter, that's parsed, as in running a program.

1 Things that you parse by looking through them for
2 specific things, that is parsed in a way, like a data
3 structure or some other resource that you might rely on
4 for parts of -- finding things for a program or
5 whatever.

6 Q. Putting aside sequential parsing, XML is a
7 language that is parsed; right?

8 A. The reason I keep putting these caveats on
9 "parsed" is because there's a way in which parsing looks
10 like going through something in a sequential way, and
11 that is not the typical way that XML was used. So yes.
12 It is parsable in that you can interpret the tags and
13 know what you're looking at and find them, do things
14 with the things that -- or the data that is stored
15 within.

16 Q. So yes, XML is parsable.

17 MR. JUANG: Objection. Asked and answered.

18 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know. I've said
19 it the way I want to say it.

20 BY MR. BALLANCO:

21 Q. Okay. Well, I believe this is a slightly
22 different question than was asked before.

23 (Simultaneous speakers)

24 BY MR. BALLANCO:

25 Q. XML is parsable. Agree?

1 MR. JUANG: Objection. Asked and answered.

2 THE WITNESS: A program can look inside of it
3 and find things. To the extent that that's parsable,
4 that's a yes.

5 BY MR. BALLANCO:

6 Q. What are examples of other parsable programs,
7 beyond XML?

8 MR. JUANG: Objection. Outside the scope of
9 the report.

10 THE WITNESS: All languages are parsable.
11 English language is parsable. So that's the idea of a
12 language, is that there are -- that it has aspects that
13 can be separated and have different meanings for
14 different purposes, whether it's a dataflow programming
15 language or a functional line of program language or an
16 object-oriented language or a, you know, applicative
17 language. All of them can be parsed. But, also, data
18 structures are parsed to find things within them as
19 well.

20 BY MR. BALLANCO:

21 Q. Is HTML parsable?

22 A. HTML is parsable.

23 Q. Is HTML a web browser-readable language?

24 A. Yes. HTML is the primary language that
25 browsers were designed to use to lay out pages in a

1 sequential way --

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. -- by reading it in a sequential way.

4 Q. Is HTML code capable of being stored in memory
5 and then accessed later?

6 A. Absolutely. Yes.

7 Q. All right, sir. I'd like to ask you, going
8 back to the '083 patent, there is a description in that
9 patent about a web conduit.

10 Do you recall that?

11 A. A web conduit?

12 Q. Yeah.

13 A. I will look at what you're talking about. I
14 like that term.

15 MR. JUANG: I'm going to object to the form of
16 the questioning because it seems to be outside the scope
17 of both the Schmidt declaration and also the Selker
18 declaration. I'm not finding "web conduit" in the
19 Schmidt declaration. So it seems like you're treading
20 on these territories.

21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't remember using
22 this term.

23 BY MR. BALLANCO:

24 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this, sir.

25 Did you consider the web conduit described in

1 the '083 patent in rendering the opinions you provided
2 in your declaration?

3 A. Well, I don't actually recall. I mean there's
4 34 mentions of conduit. But I wasn't thinking of that
5 term as I was writing my declaration. I was thinking
6 about the things Schmidt had said and what I wanted to
7 respond to those.

8 Q. Okay. We can put that aside then for a
9 minute.

10 What type of controls are compatible with the
11 Dot framework described in the '083 patent?

12 A. So I think of the controls as something within
13 the patent that let a user have -- change what the NIM
14 is doing. So it can -- you know, it can bring up
15 information. It can change what's presented. It can be
16 part of some -- any kind of interactive. So it's
17 something within the NIM that is defined by the
18 developer, has something that they would want to do,
19 they would want the user to do.

20 Q. A quick point of clarity. You mentioned
21 "defined by the developer."

22 When you say "the developer," who exactly are
23 you speaking about?

24 A. Yeah. I mean that's kind of an interesting
25 thing in these patents, where they're fully configurable

1 by the developer.

2 So the point of these patents is that whoever
3 is paying for these NIMs to be built is basically saying
4 I want an experience for this user. I want them to fill
5 out a form to get their, you know, IRS money or whatever
6 it is that I've designed this thing to do. And so I
7 want to have an experience that I have provided for
8 them, that I can depend on having the qualities that I
9 want it to have, them seeing the things that I want them
10 to see, and having the actions that are planned for them
11 to do.

12 So that's kind of part of the fully
13 configurable idea. And --

14 Q. And --

15 A. -- the developer have too -- let me just -- or
16 the funder, you know, whoever meant for this thing to be
17 created.

18 Q. Okay. So I just want to make sure we're
19 piecing that -- so we're not talking, when we say
20 "developer," necessarily about the specific coder there.

21 It might be the entity that that person is
22 employed to do the work for; right?

23 That's the developer.

24 A. In the end, there's a -- I believe in
25 programmers, when they get paid, they actually made

1 the -- they had to be evaluated. Hopefully they did
2 what they're asked to do. But they -- you know, some --
3 I don't know whether there's a distinction -- that's
4 interesting -- between the persons programming and the
5 person that designed it and the people that wanted it in
6 the first place.

7 Q. Okay. All right. I appreciate that
8 clarification.

9 A. Right.

10 Q. I wanted to make sure we were precise.

11 A. Yeah.

12 Q. What does it mean to -- going back to
13 controls, what does it mean to call a control?

14 A. The actions of the user of using a control on
15 a NIM is calling on some level a control. It is going,
16 and it's making an action happen over the -- over an
17 active network to make something -- to make something
18 happen.

19 Q. Okay. And talking about the '083 patent and
20 these patents at issue, the Dot framework, what types of
21 controls are compatible with that Dot framework?

22 A. I'm trying to think about which ones aren't.
23 The ones that are compatible are ones that don't subvert
24 the developer's goals.

25 So these controls are within the realm of what

1 the developer wanted this NIM to do, you know, whether
2 it's going and getting a new app in Play Store or it's
3 finding out about an app in Play Store -- you may click
4 on something, and it will give you some information
5 about that, about that Play Store -- or it's updating or
6 comparing some stocks, things that are -- things that --
7 you know, they will often ask for information over the
8 network.

9 Q. Okay.

10 A. But not always. They might -- there might be
11 something local that happens.

12 Q. What is ActiveX, and is that -- well, let me
13 just ask that.

14 What is ActiveX?

15 A. ActiveX is a framework that was first
16 originated with -- I don't know. At one point it was
17 called OLE, and that was, you know, their -- Microsoft's
18 attempt to have these embedded programs that you could
19 grab. It's kind of a library of things that you can
20 snap into something on the desktop or the web, certainly
21 the web.

22 And it became -- you know, they're kind of a
23 way of getting people kind of -- I don't know -- I don't
24 want to call them widgets, but parts that they could
25 include in their programs. And so they, you know, have

1 a program inside of them and way of finding them. And
2 they could, you know, be behind the scenes on a web --
3 on a web experience.

4 Some people worry that they're a little bit
5 like a virus, and in fact, that's what was the downfall
6 of the ActiveX thing, is that people did use them as a
7 tunnel to get security problems. I don't know. They
8 may have tried to fix that, but somehow by then -- who
9 knows. So that's some about it.

10 Q. And I think part of that, if I'm understanding
11 correctly, is they could be exploited because ActiveX
12 was an example of something that allowed for control; is
13 that fair?

14 MR. JUANG: Objection. Misstates the
15 testimony.

16 THE WITNESS: Well, ActiveX runs programs, and
17 these programs had behavioral -- behavior change. And
18 yes, it could have sequential.

19 BY MR. BALLANCO:

20 Q. And is ActiveX a type of control scheme that's
21 compatible with the Dot framework?

22 A. I hadn't thought of it that way, but the
23 possibility of using ActiveX code after the NIM has been
24 instantiated, you have code that is running in a NIM,
25 and it could be any code. That's when the NIM's

1 running. That's not when the NIM's being set up.

2 Q. I just want to make sure I heard that
3 correctly.

4 You said it could be any code?

5 A. When the NIM is running, the NIM runs programs
6 that do things. And the patents are agnostic as to what
7 programs are run. They are much more about how you
8 install and set up a NIM.

9 Q. I see. So if they're agnostic, fair to say
10 then that ActiveX could be one of the control schemes
11 used because of the agnosticism?

12 A. Yeah. I mean, quite frankly, I would be
13 surprised because the NIM template -- if anybody would
14 do that because the NIM template, NIM architecture, is,
15 you know, trying to be cross-platform, and ActiveX was
16 not good at being cross-platform.

17 Q. What does it mean to embed a control?

18 I saw that in the patent. This term "embed,"
19 could you explain to me what that means.

20 A. Is this something I wrote about in my
21 declaration?

22 Q. Well, it's certainly related to the control
23 characteristic described in your declaration. It's
24 something in the patent. Maybe we can look at the
25 patent and I can show you the --

1 A. No. I see -- I see at, you know, line 4 or
2 something of column 17, the developer might embed an
3 ActiveX control in an HTML page rendered in the web
4 conduit.

5 Q. Right. So let me ask a new question then.

6 Having looked at that portion of the
7 specification you just mentioned, what does that mean to
8 embed a control?

9 A. That means it's part of the program that is
10 being run when the NIM is using it.

11 Q. Okay. And what is the relationship of the
12 X -- strike that. Let me orient where we are again.

13 So you had just read from the specification at
14 column 17. I'm going to read it again so we're all
15 looking at the exact same thing. So I'm reading at
16 column 17 from the '083 patent.

17 And it says "Also, just like a browser, a Dot
18 developer may embed an active-x control in an HTML page
19 rendered in the Web Conduit control as is the practice
20 for use with full screen browsers."

21 Do you see that?

22 A. I do see that.

23 Q. In this passage I just read, what is the
24 relationship of this HTML page to the Dot?

25 A. This is part of when the program, the NIM, is

1 running, in my understanding.

2 I am not convinced that I've written about
3 this in my declaration, however. And so I really should
4 be -- we should be referring to things that I can --
5 that I can refer to in my -- you know, I'm -- at some
6 point I'm not going to be able to go into hypotheticals
7 and think about things that I haven't -- that weren't
8 part of me responding to Schmidt very well.

9 Q. Okay. Well, let's move on then. I want to
10 ask about something that I think is in your declaration,
11 which is "control characteristic."

12 What is your understanding of "characteristic"
13 in that claim term "control characteristic"? What is
14 "characteristic"?

15 A. It's something that has an affordance. So I
16 have this idea of it having something I can do, and that
17 characteristic is what it can do. So I have this
18 control, and the control has the ability to be pushed or
19 moved or whatever, typed into.

20 Q. Okay. The patent talks about characteristics.

21 What are the characteristics that the patent
22 talks about that you considered when you talk about this
23 in your declaration?

24 A. I'm just looking at if I defined "control
25 characteristic" in my declaration. That's what I'm

1 looking at.

2 Do you want me to go to the patent?

3 There's exactly one reference to "control
4 characteristic." That surprises me. Yeah. We got
5 frame viewer and control characteristics. So I describe
6 what I thought a control characteristic was. I don't
7 know if that was adequate for you.

8 Q. Right. Well, is size a characteristic? Is
9 that one of the things contemplated?

10 A. Size within a frame might be something, but
11 the size of the frame certainly is not. And it also has
12 to do with whether it was a control that, you know, was
13 put in by the developer for the purpose of controlling
14 the NIM.

15 Q. Okay. I apologize. There's no question
16 pending. Too many things open on my screen. Just give
17 me a moment.

18 A. Luckily, I don't have that luxury right now.

19 Q. Dr. Selker, you had mentioned, I believe, that
20 "control characteristics" is defined or mentioned in the
21 specification in just one place; is that right?

22 A. I see control characteristics, you know,
23 frame, viewer, and control characteristics. But the
24 word "control" comes up many times.

25 Q. Okay. The place where "control

1 characteristics" comes up is in column 7. It looks like
2 it's around line 22; is that right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And so we're all on the same page, why don't I
5 just read into the record that sentence, and let's talk
6 about it. It says "Each Application Media Package
7 template defines the characteristics of a specific
8 Application Media Package, including fully configurable
9 frame characteristics, viewer and control
10 characteristics, and Application Media Package content
11 references."

12 Did I read that right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And so here there's no specific, narrow
15 definition of "control characteristics" provided in the
16 patent; right?

17 A. Well, it is applicable to the NIM that's going
18 to be created. That is my understanding.

19 There's no -- there's no question about --
20 it's not -- this is not something that has to do with
21 the operating system it's in or -- you know, in Brown,
22 it's not controlling the placement on the screen.
23 That's defined by the developer, where this frame's
24 going to be placed and how -- what size it's going to be
25 and things like that.

1 So this really has nothing to do with things
2 outside of the fully configurable frame.

3 Q. Okay. So just so we're on the same page,
4 control characteristics, in your opinion, relate to the
5 NIM, not things outside of that; right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. But beyond that, nothing that we see here in
8 column 7 gives a narrower articulation of control
9 characteristics; right?

10 A. The only thing that I would add is that the
11 NIM template has to have the complete definition of the
12 NIM that can be expanded within it. And it does not
13 depend on other things. It isn't depending on specific
14 operating system tools.

15 It includes its own libraries, its own -- you
16 know, its own programs, whatever -- not the programs,
17 but all of the resources it's going to have to -- it's
18 going to have to access. As a blueprint, you know,
19 it's -- you know, I'm going to have to have all these
20 things show up before I can build my building.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. And those are all contained within what I -- I
23 think of them -- I think of the APK when I think of
24 that, although there's some, you know, other diagrams
25 that are very similar in some of the provisional and

1 patents. But anyway, that's my understanding, is
2 everything has to be right there.

3 Q. Okay. You mentioned APK a moment ago.

4 Is that Android --

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. -- programming kit?

7 A. Yeah.

8 Q. APKs aren't specified specifically in the
9 patents; right?

10 A. No, they aren't. But they are -- but the
11 diagrams that they show of -- you know, I call it a
12 blueprint. The, you know, stuff that really relates
13 very much to the APK, that is something that's -- a data
14 structure that has all the -- that points at all the
15 things you need to make the application is so consistent
16 with it that I find myself thinking about it all the
17 time.

18 Q. Okay.

19 MR. JUANG: So our lunch is here. I don't
20 know if --

21 MR. BALLANCO: This would actually be a good
22 time to stop for a break if you want to eat lunch.

23 MR. JUANG: Okay.

24 * * *

25 (LUNCHEON RECESS)

* * *

BY MR. BALLANCO:

Q. All right. Welcome back.

Dr. Selker, one of the pieces of the patents here that they discuss is the display of internet content.

Do you recall that from the patents?

A. Yes.

Q. What are examples of internet content?

A. Internet content is content that comes over the network. In general, it's in, you know, some HTML format, but -- so it, you know, can be images, text, animations.

Q. You mentioned coming across the wire on HTML format.

What other formats might internet content take the form of?

A. Well, the web is defined by HTML content, but the internet has every possible, you know, format. You can have GP. You know, that isn't really what we're talking about here, though.

Q. For example, is Flash a format that might be used by internet content?

MR. JUANG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well, Flash is not supported by

1 web browsers so much anymore. At the time of the
2 patent, I don't -- I mean the -- I'm not sure I wrote
3 about that. I mean it's hard -- you know, what -- Flash
4 is a whole world of its own. And -- yeah.

5 I don't -- I really don't know if I've opined
6 on that. I'm not sure what I'd say about -- I'd have to
7 think about that word carefully, whether Flash is a --
8 you know, is a language that browsers interpret and
9 would be on this.

10 BY MR. BALLANCO:

11 Q. The '083 patent, at least, describes Flash as
12 one of the formats that internet content might comprise;
13 right?

14 A. Okay. I'd forgotten that.

15 MR. JUANG: I'm going to object to form to the
16 extent it's going beyond the scope of the report. I
17 don't think he discussed Flash, and Dr. Schmidt I don't
18 think has discussed Flash as well.

19 BY MR. BALLANCO:

20 Q. Okay. Well, let's do this.

21 Do you still have the '083 patent from when
22 I put it up?

23 A. I do. I do. But I'd really like to stay with
24 the declaration and the work that I've really spent all
25 my time understanding and thinking about relative to

1 Schmidt's problems with these patents.

2 Q. Okay. Well, that's fine, sir. And I do want
3 to talk about your declaration. But, you know, one of
4 the pieces of information that you explained that you
5 considered in putting together your declaration was the
6 '083 patent.

7 So --

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. -- I think we should be able to talk about
10 what's contained in the patent; fair?

11 A. Sure. I can talk about it. But, you know, I
12 mean there's, you know -- let's just say when the guy
13 brought the paperwork down my driveway, it was a hundred
14 pounds of stuff. And, you know, there's a lot --
15 there's a lot there. And while I'm -- and we didn't
16 really --

17 Q. I'm sorry.

18 A. We didn't really -- yeah. We didn't really
19 spend -- I really wasn't thinking about Flash in my
20 declaration, but --

21 Q. Okay. Let me ask you a different question,
22 sir.

23 A. I mean I can think about it for a while, and,
24 you know, we can go out and learn about -- I mean I can
25 remind myself of everything I think about Flash and

1 how it was -- how -- you know, whether you can define a
2 control characteristic that is there and how something's
3 presented on the screen and where, you know, the
4 viewer -- what is, you know, in the background of the
5 part you can draw in or the frame, you know, where it is
6 on the device, those are the things we really define.

7 Now, you know, Flash -- yeah. I'm going to
8 have to think about Flash.

9 Q. Okay. I want to take a step back, and let's
10 put aside, at least for now, control characteristics.
11 So my question doesn't relate to control
12 characteristics. I'm talking about internet content,
13 which is something that's in the '083 patent.

14 Can we just talk about that separate from
15 control characteristics?

16 A. Sure.

17 Q. Okay. And let's also just put to the side,
18 for now, Flash.

19 And one of the things you had mentioned that
20 internet content could comprise in terms of format is
21 HTML; is that fair?

22 A. Sure. Sure.

23 Q. How about XML? Is XML a format that internet
24 content comprises?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Let me ask you about another format, that I
2 wasn't familiar about. It's CDF.

3 What is that?

4 A. It's channel description format. And so it,
5 you know, sets up these channels, if you will. You
6 know, we see that, you know, in a couple of patents, you
7 know, that Schmidt talks about.

8 Q. And what language are these CDF files written
9 in?

10 A. The CDF files -- let me take a look at them
11 for a moment.

12 Q. I'm just after -- are you pulling something up
13 on your computer?

14 A. I'm going to look -- I'm going to look inside
15 of my -- inside of my declaration, actually.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. I mean I think of them as being -- you know,
18 calling out to JavaScript to do some of their work. I'm
19 looking for -- yeah. I mean, you know, they're -- I
20 mean I'm looking at -- what am I looking at?

21 I'm looking at page 51, and I've got this CDF
22 file, and it's, you know, telling us where to look for
23 the stock, which is a URL, but I'm not going to be able
24 to point you to any -- point at something beyond, you
25 know -- beyond a URL, something -- a place to look for

1 something to put up. Microsoft, whatever.

2 So yeah. This is -- this is -- you know, it's
3 got angle brackets. It's frame readable.

4 I'm sorry. Was there a question I didn't
5 answer maybe?

6 Q. No. No. There's no question pending. Sorry.
7 I'm just thinking about my construction. And let me ask
8 you -- let me ask you this way.

9 You had mentioned, I believe -- correct me if
10 I'm wrong -- that the CDF file might call out to
11 JavaScript.

12 Did I get that right?

13 A. Yeah. Yeah. To --

14 Q. What --

15 A. -- script file.

16 Q. Okay. So the CDF file might call out to
17 script files.

18 And what programming language in the CDF files
19 are making those callouts to the script files?

20 MR. JUANG: Object to form.

21 THE WITNESS: I really -- you know, I hadn't
22 considered, but I think -- I mean it looks to me like,
23 you know, it's a scripting language. It's -- these
24 are -- they're set up to set up these channels, and they
25 have this -- they go off to files to do it, and they

1 label things and places to go and seem to be more of a
2 functionality.

3 BY MR. BALLANCO:

4 Q. I'm not sure I'm understanding, only because I
5 had thought you'd said that the CDF file needs to call
6 out to a scripting file.

7 But are you also now saying that the CDF file
8 itself is written in a scripting language?

9 MR. JUANG: Object to form. Misstates the
10 testimony.

11 BY MR. BALLANCO:

12 Q. I'm sorry. Did you --

13 (Simultaneous speakers)

14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm --

15 BY MR. BALLANCO:

16 Q. Take your time. I just wasn't sure if I had
17 missed a response.

18 A. I see the MisScript files that -- you know,
19 that can access JavaScript and other things to make a
20 channel.

21 Q. Okay. And I don't want to keep going over
22 this, but there was some interruption. So I just want
23 to make sure the record's clear.

24 So your testimony is that a CDF file is
25 written in a scripting language, and it calls out to

1 other working languages like JavaScript?

2 MR. JUANG: Object to form.

3 THE WITNESS: That is the way I think of it.

4 BY MR. BALLANCO:

5 Q. And how did you form that opinion on CDF
6 files?

7 A. I was looking at these channel definitions in
8 a couple of the patents that we're talking about.

9 Q. Before your work on this case, had you
10 encountered CDF files before?

11 A. I didn't -- no. I had not specifically used
12 them.

13 Q. Can CDF files be written using XML?

14 MR. JUANG: Object to form. Outside the scope
15 of this report.

16 THE WITNESS: You know, I looked into channel
17 to these things enough to see what the purpose was and
18 what they -- and how they did it. I don't know. It's
19 not something that I've -- I don't see why they would be
20 written in XML, by the way, but that's just a
21 hypothetical. I'm just -- I see them as something
22 that's doing something, not something that's sitting
23 there as a data structure.

24 BY MR. BALLANCO:

25 Q. Okay. One of the prior art references that

1 you opined about in your declaration is the Brown.

2 Do you recall that?

3 A. Absolutely.

4 Q. Okay. And let's talk about that for a moment.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. I'm going to try to put it into the chat just
7 so we have it.

8 A. Thank you.

9 Q. Yeah. No problem. Give me a moment here.
10 Okay. It should load here in just a moment in the chat.

11 For the record, this is Exhibit 1005 to
12 Samsung's '083 petition.

13 (Exhibit 1005 referenced)

14 BY MR. BALLANCO:

15 Q. Let me know when you have it available,
16 Dr. Selker.

17 A. Sure.

18 Q. And at the same time, I'm also going to put
19 into the chat another reference that we might talk
20 about, which is the Wecker reference. So I'm going to
21 let that one go in as well so we have both of them.

22 (Exhibit 1006 referenced)

23 THE WITNESS: Good. Good.

24 BY MR. BALLANCO:

25 Q. And for the record, this is Exhibit 1006 to

1 the '083 proceeding, Wecker. And if you have both of
2 those, I think we can move along. Just let me know if
3 you do.

4 A. Yeah. I'm just --

5 Q. Take your time.

6 A. -- thinking. Sometimes I'm surprised what
7 my -- okay.

8 By the way, my -- I should be a little
9 careful. At some point this Adobe Reader of mine, with
10 too many things in it, will blow up, and then we'll have
11 to reload everything. It's just -- I don't know what's
12 wrong with it, but that's probably because I'm not
13 paying. I just have a reader and not a --

14 Q. Don't have the professional? Don't worry. I
15 don't have too many more. So hopefully it stays stable
16 with these.

17 A. Right. Right. Okay.

18 Q. Okay. So one of the questions that I wanted
19 to ask you about Brown was its disclosure of a desktop.

20 Do you recall that?

21 A. A desktop, yeah. Brown has this active
22 desktop. Right.

23 Q. Okay. And describe that for me.

24 What is the active desktop that Brown
25 instructs?

1 MR. JUANG: And, Mike, you're breaking up a
2 little. You're a little choppy. I don't know if your
3 kid's streaming something else.

4 THE WITNESS: Probably streaming.

5 So an active desktop allows Microsoft to have
6 this text.htm, which is a list of all of the active
7 desktop elements. That's going to show the starting
8 places where they are on the screen, and it's going to
9 make them different shapes and sizes. They might have,
10 you know, static or dynamic data in them.

11 They are sitting on a desktop as a layer,
12 separate from the icons that are part of the rest of the
13 graphical user interface. There might be -- yeah --
14 multiple parts to the screen where the active desktop
15 gets to sit.

16 And they are basically coming out to let
17 whoever gets a window to put up whatever they want
18 inside of that window from the network.

19 BY MR. BALLANCO:

20 Q. Okay. Fair to say that the desktop -- the
21 active desktop, rather, in Brown, it relates to a
22 graphical user interface? Is that what I'm
23 understanding?

24 A. Absolutely.

25 Q. Okay. How is that graphical user interface

1 instantiated to the user? Through what medium?

2 MR. JUANG: Object to the form.

3 THE WITNESS: There's -- the operating system
4 has a facility, and it refers to the text.htm to decide
5 what should go up. And the user can shape and size and
6 move these things around. And they'll probably be
7 recorded back into this text file, this script file, for
8 the next time that they load it.

9 That's how I do it. I mean it reminds me of
10 my Symbolics example, where I had these windows that I
11 could store the positions of and come back to them.

12 BY MR. BALLANCO:

13 Q. The example from your work at Atari?

14 A. Yeah. Yeah.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. Although I haven't thought of that until
17 today.

18 Q. And going back to that, if I recall correctly,
19 you had mentioned that when you were at Atari, working
20 on the Symbolics, you were looking at it on a large
21 monitor in the room; is that fair?

22 A. Yeah. Large monitors at the time did not get
23 beyond 30 inches. But yes, large.

24 Q. Relatively large for 1983.

25 A. Well, yeah. About 150 pounds, yes. Very

1 heavy.

2 Okay. So we've got this -- we have this --
3 you were asking me about what ActiveX --

4 MR. JUANG: Do you have a pending question?

5 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

6 MR. BALLANCO: I don't think there is, but
7 there will be in a minute.

8 THE WITNESS: I'm sure there will be.

9 BY MR. BALLANCO:

10 Q. The Brown active desktop would then also be
11 displayed to the user in a similar way, on a monitor?

12 A. Yeah. It's displayed on a monitor.

13 Q. Okay. So if I understand it, the Brown active
14 desktop is then instantiated on the user's desktop
15 computer; right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Now, Brown also -- well, strike that.

18 Let me ask you, stepping aside, is the notion
19 of an active desktop unique to Brown, or is that
20 something that was known in the industry?

21 MR. JUANG: Object to the form. Outside the
22 scope of the report.

23 THE WITNESS: There was something very special
24 about what they were trying to do. They were -- they
25 were trying to present web content excluding browsers so

1 that they could -- so that they could try to, you know,
2 eliminate the -- their goal was to kill browsers. I
3 mean Bill Gates said he was hoping to kill every
4 browser.

5 So this was an idea of, hey, our desktop's
6 such a great thing. Why don't we absorb everything
7 that's being done today in the desktop.

8 BY MR. BALLANCO:

9 Q. Okay. That's interesting. But let's talk
10 about Wecker then for a moment, which that was a
11 different reference that you also considered --

12 A. Yeah.

13 Q. -- as far as --

14 A. Yeah.

15 Q. Okay. And, again, for the record, I think I
16 mentioned this. I've added it into the chat. It's
17 Exhibit 1006.

18 A. I'm looking at it.

19 Q. Okay. So Wecker is related to -- sorry.
20 Strike that. I want to ask a better question.

21 Wecker uses which Windows operating system?

22 A. Windows CE.

23 Q. And what is Windows CE?

24 A. Windows CE is a attempt for -- by Microsoft to
25 make small computers be able to, you know, have things

1 happen on them that would be relevant at the time. And,
2 you know, the early ones had questionable use of their
3 visual real estate, and later on they kind of tried to
4 mimic, pretty much, Windows 3.1 kind of look. So they
5 had things that looked like Windows but were done in a
6 very different way.

7 And because they were meant to be mobile, they
8 had very different constraints on, you know, how they
9 did things, as well as the processing power being less.
10 You know, they weren't sitting there wired up by a T1
11 line to anything.

12 Q. Okay. Now, if you recall, in your
13 declaration -- we can actually go there. This is
14 paragraph --

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. -- 159 that I want to talk about.

17 A. Well, that's helpful. Okay.

18 Q. And I will just state for the record I believe
19 in this paragraph you provided an opinion that a person
20 of skill would not combine Brown's system with Wecker's
21 system because they use different versions of the
22 Microsoft operating system.

23 A. They were different operating systems. They
24 aren't the same operating system at all. So it isn't
25 versions of the Microsoft operating system. Microsoft

1 was a gigantic company -- it still is -- that makes
2 many, many products, with, you know, hundreds of
3 thousands of programmers doing different things. So
4 they're different operating systems, actually.

5 MR. JUANG: I also would like to interject
6 that misstates the full scope of his opinion. I don't
7 want to represent on the record that that's the full
8 scope of his opinion.

9 BY MR. BALLANCO:

10 Q. Okay. Well, let's just talk about this one
11 opinion in --

12 A. Sure.

13 Q. -- paragraph 159 for now, sir.

14 And I appreciate this isn't the full scope of
15 your opinions and motivation to come out of it, but I
16 want to talk about this one; is that fair?

17 A. Fine.

18 Q. So I did misspeak before. You're right.
19 These aren't different versions of an operating system.
20 We're talking about different operating systems
21 altogether.

22 Brown is talking about Windows 95 and its
23 progeny, whereas Wecker is talking about Windows CE;
24 right?

25 A. Uh-huh, yeah.

1 Q. And so your opinion is based -- that one still
2 would not combine the two, as reflected in
3 paragraph 159, is because they are different operating
4 systems.

5 And do I have that right?

6 A. They have different goals. And that's kind of
7 the way I was describing it as well. So they're
8 different operating systems, but they have different
9 goals, and they have different constraints.

10 Q. Okay. Now, for your opinion in paragraph 159,
11 had Brown been using Windows 95, that opinion would no
12 longer hold -- right -- because then Brown and Wecker
13 would both be using Windows 95; fair?

14 A. You meant, probably, to say that if it was
15 Brown using Windows CE, probably; right? 'Cause Brown
16 does use Windows 95, I think.

17 Q. Let me start it again.

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. If Wecker was using Windows 95, then your
20 opinion in paragraph 159 would no longer hold because
21 then Brown and Wecker would both be using Windows 95;
22 right?

23 A. So the use of the operating system is not the
24 only thing that keeps them from being combined. They're
25 really solving different purposes -- or different things

1 for different -- in different ways.

2 And, you know, certainly this idea of Brown
3 being able to put something around on a screen and make
4 it different sizes is so different from, you know, the
5 figure A of Wecker, where you've got this hierarchy of
6 things that you've subscribed to, and you're going to be
7 updating, and you're literally taking very small amounts
8 of space, not being real complicated, you know, fancy
9 graphical thing.

10 This was really -- you know, these ideas that
11 Wecker was putting forward and the way that they were
12 doing it were for the purpose of a kind of efficiency
13 that made sense for what they were trying to do, which
14 was different.

15 Q. Okay. I understand.

16 Now, as just a matter of context, Windows 95
17 described in Brown has progressed along to the current
18 Windows operating system we have on our computers today;
19 is that fair?

20 In other words, it's still a going concern in
21 that progeny of operating systems?

22 A. Oh, yeah. Absolutely. Surprising, but yes.

23 (Simultaneous speakers)

24 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

25

1 BY MR. BALLANCO:

2 Q. Go ahead. I don't mean to cut you off.

3 A. Oh, it's just, you know, other companies, like
4 Lotus, gave up their desktop, and Windows didn't.

5 Q. Windows CE, on the other hand, is that still a
6 going concern, as far as you're aware, or no longer?

7 A. Windows CE is no longer. There's just been a
8 big war in the mobile -- in the mobile operating system
9 world and -- yeah. It just ceased to be competitive. I
10 think. I mean I actually saw that they had some support
11 for it, but, you know, I think it's pretty much dead.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. I know there was something in 2019 that I saw
14 about Windows CE. I can't remember what it was.

15 Q. Now, I want to make sure I understand a little
16 bit more about Wecker. If I understand your position
17 correctly, it's that Wecker had certain requirements
18 that caused it to use Windows CE.

19 Is that a fair way to say it?

20 And if it's not complete or if it's incorrect,
21 please let me know.

22 MR. JUANG: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I see it as an
24 architecture for making simple information accessible
25 from these mobile devices. Windows CE was its target.

1 And the way Microsoft happens -- right -- whether it's
2 applicable to every operating system, I'm not really,
3 you know, able to say.

4 BY MR. BALLANCO:

5 Q. Okay. In your review of Wecker, would it have
6 been possible to implement that invention or claimed
7 invention on Windows 95?

8 MR. JUANG: Objection to the form. Outside
9 the scope of the report.

10 THE WITNESS: I don't see -- I don't see a
11 reason to do it. I mean any Turing machine can run any
12 program. And, you know, there's some display and
13 everything like that. But I don't see, you know, a
14 reason to put Wecker on a Windows platform.

15 I mean one can imagine mobile devices where
16 some of the same advantages might be accrued. For
17 example, the OQO handheld Windows machine, that failed,
18 by the way, was a small device that, you know, had a lot
19 of the same problems that Windows CE devices have. But
20 it ran Windows whatever at the time, whatever lineage it
21 was at.

22 BY MR. BALLANCO:

23 Q. So even if not perhaps optimal, the Wecker
24 conceivably could be run on Windows 95.

25 MR. JUANG: Objection. Misstates the

1 testimony and outside the scope of the report.

2 THE WITNESS: You have to speak louder because
3 the microphone's --

4 THE REPORTER: I can't hear.

5 MR. JUANG: Yeah. Sorry. You got it, though.

6 THE WITNESS: Did she get it?

7 MR. JUANG: Yeah. She got it.

8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. There's a pending
9 question?

10 BY MR. BALLANCO:

11 Q. Yes. I'll ask it again.

12 So even if not optimal, it's conceivable that
13 the Wecker invention could be run on the Windows 95.

14 MR. JUANG: Objection. Misstates the
15 testimony and outside the scope of the report.

16 THE WITNESS: My answer before was I would
17 need to have a reason to do it for it to be a valuable
18 thing to do. Any computer can run programs, and you
19 could use the display that way. I don't see why I'd do
20 it.

21 BY MR. BALLANCO:

22 Q. So in other words, you could do it, but you
23 don't see a reason why one would do it.

24 MR. JUANG: Objection. Misstates the
25 testimony.

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't see a reason to
2 do it. I certainly -- the main thing about it is that I
3 don't see how these two patents would work together to
4 support any goal that a user would need. They just are
5 solving different problems in different ways with
6 different technology.

7 BY MR. BALLANCO:

8 Q. There's no pending question. I'm just
9 scrolling through menus.

10 A. No, that's fine.

11 Q. So I know we've only been going about
12 40 minutes, but I'll be quite frank. I don't have much
13 left. But would we be able to take maybe a five-minute
14 break just so I can organize my notes for the next
15 session? And, again, I don't think we'll be too much
16 longer.

17 MR. JUANG: Yeah, that's fine.

18 (Recess)

19 BY MR. BALLANCO:

20 Q. Dr. Selker, I would like you to pull up the
21 Brown exhibit that I sent earlier in the chat. And let
22 me know when you have that in front of you.

23 A. All right.

24 Q. And, again, for the record, I believe this is
25 Exhibit 1005 in the '083 proceeding.

1 A. Brown.

2 Q. Okay. If you would navigate to figure 3A. I
3 believe it's PDF page 4.

4 A. Okay. I'm looking at it.

5 Q. Okay. Dr. Selker, this is one of the figures
6 that you analyzed in preparing your opinions in this
7 case; is that right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And what is displayed here in figure 3 of
10 Brown?

11 A. There's three kinds of active desktop items,
12 one that's static and one that's animated and one that's
13 got some updatable content.

14 Q. Okay. And I'll just note for the record
15 figure 3A identifies different icons with numbers.

16 Do you see that, Dr. Selker?

17 A. Yes, I do. Different --

18 Q. Would you identify -- would you identify for
19 the record the three icons that you had explained were
20 active desktop icons here.

21 A. So I don't call them icons. I call the things
22 on the left, 304, icons. I call those other things
23 active desktop -- I don't know -- windows or something.

24 And so if we take a look at, you know, 308, it
25 has, you know, some operating system controls that allow

1 you to resize it on the right, and something that lets
2 you start or stop it is part of -- not part of the
3 definition that I saw in the -- in desktop.htm.

4 And then for 310, we have similar. We use
5 some scroll things. Again, they're part of the desktop.
6 They are not part of -- they actually deviate from a
7 fully configurable frame in that you can make it any
8 shape you want.

9 And whoever's designed that animation's going
10 to be mad because they aren't going to get presented in
11 that beautiful way that whatever it is is presented. I
12 don't know what it is.

13 And 306 does not seem to have any controls,
14 but it's got a nice shadow border on it. I like shadow
15 borders.

16 Q. Okay. Can I understand then the active
17 desktop windows you've identified in figure 3A are 306,
18 308, and 310? Right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. I want to ask you a few more questions
21 about them.

22 A. Sure.

23 Q. In 308, I believe you mentioned that it has
24 several controls, including to start and stop.

25 Did I get that right?

1 A. Those are not control -- they don't have a
2 control characteristic defined in the -- in any NIM
3 template that I've been able to see. So I don't -- and
4 they aren't actually described as a resource that is
5 defined in a NIM template that I've seen. So I don't
6 see those as controls in the sense of the paths we're
7 talking about.

8 Q. Okay. And in 308, you see where it says "Dow"
9 and then it provides a number?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What do you understand that to represent?

12 A. That looks like a stock price, you know, Dow,
13 you know, a conglomerate stock price. And I assume that
14 you can find it for specific times that occur.

15 Q. Okay. Let's move then down to active desktop
16 window 306, which is below 308.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Do you see that one?

19 And what content is displayed in active
20 desktop window 306?

21 A. It looks like the whole world's in somebody's
22 hands. That's what -- I see an animation -- I mean an
23 image. And -- yeah. That's what I see.

24 Q. Okay. And then moving to the third one that's
25 displayed in figure 3A, which is active desktop window

1 310 --

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. -- what content is displayed in that active
4 desktop window?

5 A. Well, there's some sort of an image I don't
6 know how to parse myself, but I can imagine that it's,
7 you know, part of some -- it could be an animation. It
8 could be an image. In the patent they talk about it
9 being an animation.

10 Q. Okay. And when you say in the patent they
11 describe it as being an animation --

12 A. It's in Brown, I believe. I can go back and
13 look. But I believe that that one is their example of
14 something that's animated.

15 Q. Okay. Actually, could we look at that?

16 I believe, just to short-circuit it, column --

17 A. Sure.

18 Q. -- 11 is where these active desktop windows
19 are described in Brown.

20 A. 11, you say?

21 Q. Column 11.

22 A. Fine.

23 Q. And I -- again, please have a look at it, but
24 I believe they talk about active desktop window 310 in
25 this column.

1 Does that help refresh your recollection --

2 A. You can see it.

3 Q. -- of what's described?

4 And let me direct you to -- it's about line --

5 (Simultaneous speakers)

6 BY MR. BALLANCO:

7 Q. -- 22.

8 A. Thank you for saying.

9 "A Web page inserted onto a composite desktop
10 as a Web page desktop component functions similarly to
11 the corresponding Web page viewed in a browser window.
12 When a user clicks on a hyperlink within a Web page
13 desktop component 310, a new browser window is created
14 and the URL corresponding to the hyperlink is used."

15 Well, so I do have a way of going to a new web
16 page, but it isn't by filling in anything.

17 Q. So is it fair to say then that in active
18 desktop window 310, that content is retrieved from a
19 URL?

20 (Reporter clarification)

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 BY MR. BALLANCO:

23 Q. Okay. Let's put down Brown, at least for the
24 moment, and I want to ask about a different section of
25 your declaration. I want to give you the paragraph

1 number so we can just go there together. I believe it's
2 paragraph 294 of your declaration. If you wouldn't mind
3 pulling that back up or navigating there to it.

4 A. Yes. I've got it.

5 Q. Okay. So I've got to navigate there myself.
6 Give me one second.

7 A. Okay. It's nexus. Yeah. It's recent. It
8 does the stuff.

9 So what do you want me to think about?

10 Q. Give me one moment. I actually think it might
11 be paragraph -- oh. Yeah. This is the question I
12 wanted to ask you, sir, about paragraph 294. If you --
13 it's a long paragraph, and it's really toward the end
14 that I wanted to focus on.

15 Do you see there's a sentence maybe six lines
16 up from the end of the paragraph beginning with "This is
17 shown by the fact that each and every element"? Do you
18 see that?

19 A. I've reviewed -- I've looked at this. "Each
20 and every element" -- so we've got 294. It's not a very
21 long thing. Where's this?

22 Q. And actually, you know, maybe I'll strike that
23 because I'd actually like to even start at the sentence
24 before that that says "Based on this information."

25 A. Yeah. I've forgotten how to read maybe.

1 Q. And not to interrupt, but --

2 A. No. Just tell me --

3 (Simultaneous speakers)

4 BY MR. BALLANCO:

5 Q. -- paragraph so that we can talk about it.

6 I'm happy to let you read the whole paragraph again and
7 refresh your --

8 A. Okay. Yeah, yeah, yeah. Okay.

9 Okay. "Based on this information it is my
10 opinion that such a nexus exists between the DoDots
11 technology, and the evidence discussed below, because
12 the DoDots technology is the invention disclosed and
13 claimed by the '083 patent." Yes.

14 This is shown by the fact that each and every
15 element of claim 1, which is exemplary of the other
16 claims, is met by DoDots technology. I have reviewed
17 the elements contained in the other claims as well, and
18 it is my opinion that all the claims also have such a
19 nexus based on the foregoing and my analysis and
20 discussion.

21 Q. Okay. I think that now you've read the
22 paragraph, is it okay if I proceed with my question?

23 A. Oh, absolutely.

24 Q. This paragraph explains your basis for why you
25 believe there's a nexus for the patents and DoDots

1 commercialized technology; is that right? Do I
2 understand that correctly?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And I just want to make sure that my
5 understanding is correct that your basis for believing
6 there's a nexus is that all of the claim elements for
7 the '083 patent are found in the DoDots technology;
8 right?

9 A. That -- I believe that's true.

10 Q. And I know now we're talking about the '083
11 patent, but the nexus opinion you derived for the '545
12 and the '407 patents is for the same basis; right?

13 A. Well, they have slightly different things.
14 You've got, you know, multiple templates in the '545 and
15 time varying in the '407. But yes.

16 Q. Fair enough. I guess the point I'm trying to
17 make is, your basis for believing that there's a nexus
18 is that for the claims of the '545 and the '407 patents,
19 those claim features are all found in the DoDots
20 technology that was commercialized; right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay. Now, if we go down to page 131 of your
23 declaration --

24 A. 131.

25 Q. -- beginning at about paragraph 300.

1 Are you there with me?

2 A. I'm at "With regards to long felt need."

3 Q. Right. Well, actually, let me take it a level
4 up. Paragraph 299, you lay out that you're going to
5 provide opinions on long felt need, praise by others,
6 and commercial success.

7 Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And then those opinions follow, beginning at
10 paragraph 300 and going through -- well, through the end
11 of 325; is that right?

12 A. Okay. Okay. Yeah. I'm in.

13 Q. Now, if I understand it, your basis for that
14 opinion is conversations you had with the Kembels and
15 declarations they provided; is that right?

16 A. Yeah. It's really the declarations and then
17 looking at their materials. I actually did not have any
18 substantive conversation with them.

19 Q. Okay. So it was really the declarations the
20 Kembels provided and the materials referenced in those
21 declarations; right?

22 A. Yeah, yeah. Yeah.

23 Q. And that material forms the sum total of your
24 basis for providing these secondary consideration
25 opinions; right?

1 MR. JUANG: Objection. Misstates the report.

2 THE WITNESS: I did -- I did consider those
3 materials.

4 BY MR. BALLANCO:

5 Q. Are there other materials, beyond those, that
6 you analyzed in forming your secondary consideration
7 opinions provided in your declarations?

8 A. Just my experience and what I -- you know,
9 what I knew of at that -- putting myself back in that
10 time frame and recognizing that those frustrations were
11 frustrations that I experienced as well and, quite
12 frankly, was not convinced that anyone was going to
13 overcome.

14 I also was somewhat surprised by these patents
15 in that regard, in that they anticipated a commercial
16 direction that was being -- was in question. I don't
17 know if you remember, but in -- even as late as 1998,
18 people were saying, oh, the web will never be
19 commercially valuable.

20 And these -- what I find intriguing about
21 these patents is they're so focused on a company or
22 developer wanting to give an experience to a user, which
23 runs counter to the whole idea that, you know, many
24 people were considering of it being all about the user,
25 you know, and their -- and their -- and their desktop

1 and whatever was going on there.

2 So those were considerations that aren't in
3 the Kembels', you know, presented materials. But, you
4 know, as everyone else, I go back to what a POSITA was
5 experiencing then relative to my experience.

6 MR. BALLANCO: Dr. Selker, with that, I have
7 no more questions for you, but I expect your counsel
8 might have a few. But I pass the witness.

9 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

10 MR. JUANG: Mike, let's take a 10-, 15-minute
11 break.

12 (Recess)

13 MR. JUANG: I'm going to go ahead and share on
14 the screen Dr. Selker's report. It will be relatively
15 quick.

16

17 EXAMINATION

18

19 BY MR. JUANG:

20 Q. All right. So if you have the report,
21 Dr. Selker, if you can go to paragraph 64.

22 A. 64. You can't share?

23 Q. It's shared, but it's blocking --

24 A. Yeah. Okay. "Unlike a frame characteristic,
25 a control characteristic is a characteristic of a

1 control."

2 Q. Go ahead and read that sentence to -- or that
3 paragraph to yourself, Dr. Selker.

4 A. I've got it.

5 Q. And does that refresh your recollection as to
6 what a control characteristic is?

7 A. Well, all these characteristics are visual
8 characteristics. The frame characteristic is the shape
9 and size of the -- of the NIM, and the view
10 characteristic's the part of it that is -- that is
11 writable, and maybe it's blue -- I don't know -- and
12 the -- and the control characteristic, again, is the
13 size and shape and where it is.

14 Q. And, also, does this refresh your recollection
15 as to the sources from the '083 patent -- scratch that.
16 Let me clarify.

17 You'll see in paragraph 64, there's some
18 citations to Samsung 1001.

19 Do you see that?

20 A. Yes. I do see that.

21 Q. So does that refresh your recollection as to
22 what support you used in the '083 to support this --

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. -- construction?

25 A. So do you want me to go there, or is that

1 enough?

2 Q. And just for the record, that's columns 8:49
3 to 53; 9:61 to 10:6; and column 14, lines 47 to 53; and
4 figures 4 and 5. So those are the portions of the '083
5 specification that you relied on to support.

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. BALLANCO: Objection. Leading.

8 MR. JUANG: Okay. I have no further
9 questions, unless you have any redirect, Mike.

10 MR. BALLANCO: Nothing for me. So I think we
11 can go off the record.

12 (Discussion off the record)

13 THE REPORTER: Richard, did you want to order
14 a rough draft of the transcript?

15 (Discussion off the record)

16 MR. JUANG: I will take whatever Fish is
17 taking. So if you're already preparing it, go ahead.
18 It might as well happen.

19 (Discussion off the record)

20 MR. JUANG: We're going to go ahead and
21 reserve the right to check the transcript and sign and
22 serve an errata sheet.

23 (Discussion off the record)

24 MR. JUANG: We'll send back an errata, a
25 signed errata sheet, by the 20th of May, to the extent

1 there's any issue.

2 (Discussion off the record)

3 MR. JUANG: Go ahead and close the deposition
4 of Ted Selker in all three of the cases, so the
5 IPR2023-701, IPR2023-756, and IPR2023-621. The
6 depositions are now closed.

7 MR. BALLANCO: Yes.

8 (Deposition concluded at 2:20 p.m.)

9 * * *

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) ss

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I, EDWIN JOSEPH SELKER, PH.D., having appeared for my deposition on May 10, 2024, do this date declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing deposition, I have made any corrections, additions or deletions that I was desirous of making in order to render the within transcript true and correct.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this _____ day of _____, 20____.

EDWIN JOSEPH SELKER, PH.D.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, COLLEEN M. PETERMAN, CSR No. 7882, Certified
Shorthand Reporter, certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
me at the time and place therein set forth, at which
time the witness was put under oath by me;

That the testimony of the witness, the questions
propounded, and all objections and statements made at
the time of the examination were recorded
stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;

That a review of the transcript by the deponent
was requested;

That the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript of my shorthand notes so taken;

I further certify that I am not a relative or
employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially
interested in the action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws
of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 17th day of May, 2024.



COLLEEN M. PETERMAN, CSR No. 7882

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DEPONENT'S CHANGES OR CORRECTIONS

Note: If you are adding to your testimony, print the exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form.

DEPOSITION OF: EDWIN JOSEPH SELKER, PH.D.
CASE: SAMSUNG vs. DODOTS
DATE OF DEPOSITION: MAY 10, 2024

PAGE	LINE	CHANGE/ADD/DELETE/REASON
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Deponent's Signature _____ **Date** _____

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE/ADD/DELETE/REASON
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Deponent's Signature _____		Date _____

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

Exhibits	1006 113:22,25 118:17	14:24 116:24	25 9:4 27:3
Selker Ph.D. Exhibit 1001 4:10 76:6,12 80:3	1010 79:13	1989 42:16	294 132:2,12,20
Selker Ph.D. Exhibit 1005 4:11 113:11,13 126:25	1014 79:14	1990 27:16	299 135:4
Selker Ph.D. Exhibit 1006 4:12 113:22,25 118:17	103 85:23 86:1,6	1998 47:14 136:17	2:20 140:8
Selker Ph.D. Exhibit 2010 4:13 69:7	10:12 50:7	2	3
Selker Ph.D. Exhibit 2011 4:14 12:16 14:8	10:22 50:8	2 60:11,19 85:14	3 59:25 60:7,11,13,19 85:14 127:9
0	10:6 139:3	200 65:4	3.1 119:4
083 7:5 14:7 50:23 67:20 68:3 69:21 70:9,13 72:8 75:3,5 76:11,12,15 79:17,21 80:1,2 85:20 92:8 93:1,11 95:19 99:16 106:11,21 107:6 108:13 113:12 114:1 126:25 133:13 134:7,10 138:15,22 139:4	11 130:18,20,21	2000 68:1	30 27:21 116:23
1	13 49:8 79:6	2000- 49:8	300 134:25 135:10
1 60:11 79:6 85:14 133:15	131 134:22,24	2000s 48:2 63:23	304 127:22
10 5:2 7:15 9:19 28:9 45:8 49:25 50:3 84:10	14 15:4 17:22 139:3	2005 52:21	306 128:13,17 129:16,20
10- 79:19 137:10	148 80:17	2007 52:18,21	308 127:24 128:18,23 129:8,16
10-minute 50:5	15 28:10 49:25	2008 52:9	310 128:4,18 130:1,24 131:13,18
1001 76:6,12 80:3,5,10 138:18	15-minute 137:10	2010 69:7	325 135:11
1005 113:11,13 126:25	150 116:25	2011 12:16 14:8	33 77:3,15 78:2 81:3
	153 78:3	2019 123:13	34 70:18,19 71:11 93:4
	159 119:16 120:13 121:3, 10,20	2023 46:18	35 30:7 71:11
	16 79:6	2024 5:2	3A 127:2,15 128:17 129:25
	17 99:2,14,16	20th 139:25	4
	19- 82:16	22 102:2 131:7	4 99:1 127:3 139:4
	1981 14:17	220 20:9	40
	1983	23 79:15	

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

29:9 126:12			Activex 61:11 96:12,14,15 97:6, 11,16,20,23 98:10,15 99:3 117:3
400 65:10	8	A	activities 38:3 54:21
407 7:5 50:25 67:20 68:3 134:12,15,18	8 49:8 79:6	AAAI 41:10	actual 57:21 73:24
42 72:8 73:5 78:22,24	80s 18:4	ability 54:20 100:18	adaptive 26:17 42:19
43 78:24	81 25:12	Abovitz 62:10	add 12:14 103:10
47 139:3	83 25:12 47:4	absolutely 15:8 36:18 48:12 67:7 71:20 92:6 113:3 115:24 122:22 133:23	added 13:5 118:16
5	84 25:17	absorb 77:20 118:6	adding 58:17
5 79:6 139:4	88 24:13	accept 31:19	address 61:15
50 29:17 36:12	8:49 139:2	access 18:7 76:5 86:1,11 103:18 111:19	adequate 101:7
51 109:21	9	accessed 86:3 92:5	adjunct 40:12
53 139:3	9 24:13 47:14 49:8 79:6	accessible 123:24	ADMINISTERED 5:5
545 7:5 51:3 67:20 68:3 134:11,14,18	90s 39:16 40:3,7,9,11 42:10 46:16 48:1 53:5 55:15 59:19	accounting 65:3	Adobe 114:9
6	94 35:5,23	accrued 124:16	adopt 55:4
6 37:5	94-to-'96 35:9	accurate 9:12 14:11	adopter 52:5
621 79:22	95 35:2,5 37:5 120:22 121:11,13,16,19,21 122:16 124:7,24 125:13	achieve 43:6	advantages 124:16
64 137:21,22 138:17	96 35:6 47:24	ACM 41:7	adviser 5:23 32:5,11
7	97 47:24	action 95:16	affect 72:15
7 77:3,17 78:2 81:2 102:1 103:8	98 35:23,25 52:2	actions 57:2 94:10 95:14	affordance 100:15
75 29:16	98-'99 52:23	active 23:5,14 95:17 114:21, 24 115:5,6,14,21 117:10,13,19 127:11, 20,23 128:16 129:15, 19,25 130:3,18,24 131:17	Agents 40:14
756 13:2	99 35:24,25 52:2 67:25	active-x 99:18	agnostic 98:6,9
	9:61 139:3		agnosticism 98:11

Index: 400-agnosticism

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

agree 6:23 7:4 70:1 87:22 90:25	analyzed 127:6 136:6	appearances 5:17	arrogant 22:24
ahead 48:18 123:2 137:13 138:2 139:17,20 140:3	Android 48:19,25 49:1,17,19 104:4	appearing 5:20,25	art 24:8 67:3 68:7 112:25
AI 15:1 19:15 26:21 31:24 32:25 42:6 43:11 45:19 46:2,7	angle 110:3	appears 14:10	articulation 87:8,17 103:8
AI-RELATED 30:21	animate 57:2	Appel 24:8	articulations 87:14
aiming 43:6	animated 34:4,12 127:12 130:14	Apple 6:16	artificial 41:10
air 20:10	animation 129:22 130:7,9,11	applicable 102:17 124:2	aspect 22:15 23:17,18
airline 36:11	animation's 128:9	application 16:4,25 22:11 102:6,8, 10 104:15	aspects 37:10 91:12
airplane 29:16	animations 105:13	application's 16:22	assist 32:25
airport 36:5,6	annotate 34:6	applications 16:10,21 23:21 46:24 48:21,22 53:9,25 54:24 55:16 56:20,21 68:2	Assistive 32:6
aisle 48:5,6	Ansett 36:11	applicative 91:16	associates 27:4
algorithmic 27:18	answering 8:25	apply 6:25	assume 20:5 129:13
allowed 15:11 19:12 23:21 40:15 97:12	antenna 52:11	approached 64:4	Atari 14:23 15:6,7,10 16:1 17:13,18,19 19:17 21:3 25:16 28:5 116:13,19
alternative 62:24	Anthropologists 30:5	approaches 58:21	attach 23:7
Alto 21:9	anticipated 136:15	apps 46:22 48:3,17 49:10 61:1 88:20	attachment 80:13
altogether 120:21	anymore 71:7 106:1	Archie 58:2,7	attempt 96:18 118:24
AM/FM 52:10	anyplace 43:11	architecture 98:14 123:24	attempted 53:5
amount 58:12	APK 103:23 104:3,13	area 24:14 30:25 51:7	attorney-client 65:15
amounts 122:7	APKS 104:8	Argnoter 23:20	attorneys 5:17
analog 22:5	apologize 6:6 60:8 101:15	arguments 23:22	authoring 34:3 37:22,25
analysis 67:24 133:19	app 45:17,25 51:14 74:16 96:2,3	Ark 25:1	automatically 45:4
	app's 46:3		award 26:25

Index: agree-award

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

aware 54:7 63:24 87:1 123:6 B back 11:2 14:25 15:8 18:4 20:21 24:2,13 25:1,8 31:22 36:5 39:15,18 46:24 47:1 50:6,7,12,17 54:15 57:13 59:6 60:15 74:8 78:15 79:4 85:19 88:22 92:8 95:12 105:3 108:9 116:7,11,18 130:12 132:3 136:9 137:4 139:24 background 12:12 14:15 30:16 33:5 108:4 backpack 75:25 Ballanco 5:11,19,21 6:18 7:7,11 12:22 13:14 14:2,4 19:3,6 30:14 41:14 50:1,6,14,17 51:4 55:1 56:3 59:16 62:1 64:11 66:11 68:23 69:8 71:18 72:22 74:7,20 75:12 76:9,24 78:8,16 79:7,20 80:8,14,15 81:1,8,11 82:9 83:11 84:2,9,13 87:12 90:20,24 91:5,20 92:23 97:19 104:21 105:2 106:10,19 111:3, 11,15 112:4,24 113:14, 24 115:19 116:12 117:6,9 118:8 120:9 123:1 124:4,22 125:10, 21 126:7,19 131:6,22 133:4 136:4 137:6 139:7,10 140:7 Bangladesh 45:21 bar 22:6 28:8 Barber 56:8 based 32:24 36:3 48:6,11 121:1 132:24 133:9,19	basically 26:10 38:23 71:5 94:3 115:16 basis 133:24 134:5,12,17 135:13,24 bat 12:24 Bates 79:18 batteries 52:13 54:24 battery 52:11 beautiful 23:25 49:20 52:10 128:11 Beer 65:22 began 14:23 beginning 52:12 80:17 132:16 134:25 135:9 behalf 5:25 6:16 behavior 97:17 behavioral 97:17 believing 134:5,17 belt 47:5,23 Ben 43:8 big 20:5,10 21:14 24:23,24 26:25 28:25 29:10 30:3 34:19 36:13 42:8 44:17, 18 48:15 54:17,22 56:21,22 59:23 68:21 123:8 bigger 44:13	Bill 118:3 BIPS 34:21 bit 15:5 16:22 30:8 31:2 35:11 38:24 43:9 62:2 69:22 76:23 82:6 83:12 87:20 97:4 123:16 Black 39:22 Blackberrys 54:19 blew 28:11 blocking 137:23 blow 114:10 blue 138:11 blueprint 73:20,21 74:1 103:18 104:12 board 74:9,23 boarding 36:3,14,15 47:2 book 24:8 31:6 books 38:2 57:15 border 128:14 borders 63:14,17 128:15 bored 44:6 boss 26:25 33:6 bother 66:5 box 47:5,12	boy 66:9 brackets 71:1 110:3 brand-new 49:19 break 7:8 8:19,21 9:1,5,9 49:24 50:1,2,5 51:8 84:7,11,14 104:22 126:14 137:11 breaking 115:1 breaks 8:16 83:9 briefly 24:2 32:20 Brin 40:18 bring 20:18 75:13,24 93:14 bringing 50:12 73:17 74:17 broad 63:11 brought 15:14 16:9 63:12 65:22 75:21 107:13 Brown 102:21 113:1 114:19, 21,24 115:21 117:10, 13,17,19 120:22 121:11,12,15,21 122:2, 17 126:21 127:1,10 130:12,19 131:23 Brown's 119:20 browser 13:1 51:14 52:1 61:1,6 85:6 88:3,5,20 99:17 118:4 131:11,13 browser- interpretable 88:8 browser-readable 87:23 88:1,14,24 91:23
---	---	--	--

Index: aware-browser-readable

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

browsers 52:3 53:6,10 59:13,14 60:4 61:2,9,12,16,24 88:12,16 91:25 99:20 106:1,8 117:25 118:2	10:15 32:20 47:11 50:22 51:3 63:15 68:15 73:20 89:16 95:13 96:24 104:11 110:10,16 111:5 127:21,22	carefully 106:7 Carnegie 10:14 11:7,9,20 45:22 48:24 carpenters 73:25 74:5 carried 47:4 cars 46:1 case 7:2 12:9 20:17 34:9 63:22,25 64:4 65:8,19 67:6 74:9 112:9 127:7 cases 9:23 12:5,7 68:11 140:4 Cathy 25:3,5 caught 71:14 caused 123:18 caution 65:14 caveat 8:24 caveats 90:8 CDF 109:2,8,10,21 110:10, 16,18 111:5,7,24 112:5, 10,13 CE 118:22,23,24 120:23 121:15 123:5,7,14,18, 25 124:19 ceased 123:9 cell 81:23 center 21:9 22:18 CEO 62:10	change 22:10 23:15,16 59:23 69:19 93:13,15 97:17 changed 36:8 changing 22:10 channel 109:4 111:20 112:7,16 channels 109:5 110:24 characteristic 98:23 100:11,12,13,14, 17,25 101:4,6,8 108:2 129:2 137:24,25 138:6, 8,12 characteristic's 138:10 characteristics 100:20,21 101:5,20,22, 23 102:1,7,9,10,15 103:4,9 108:10,12,15 138:7,8 characterization 20:8 charge 62:24 chart 22:6 chat 12:15 13:6 69:3,4,10 75:13 76:3 113:6,10,19 118:16 126:21 check 139:21 checked 80:12 CHI 41:7 43:21 child 31:5,6 37:4,21 48:20 children 38:1 39:5 choppy 115:2
C C++ 55:22,23 C.V. 12:13 13:20 14:5,11 24:14 40:2 45:15 calibration 63:13 call	called 5:14 15:17 17:6 18:20 19:14 20:11 21:11,15, 19,22,23 23:4,5,20 25:12 26:12 27:14 32:1, 2 37:5 42:23 45:1,20,21 47:4,16 54:6 79:18 96:17 calling 26:11 32:5 95:15 109:18 callouts 110:19 calls 111:25 Cambridge 42:16 camera 29:22 51:20 52:10 54:9 camp 43:8 campus 54:8,11 Canino 6:15 capable 49:20 84:3 92:4 capacity 11:17 car 46:7,12 card 12:25 26:11 cards 56:19 care 56:10 career 63:21 careful 114:9		

Index: browsers–choppy

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

Christina 6:15	25:24 33:4 140:3	columns 79:5 139:2	component 32:23 131:10,13
Christopher 5:24	closed 140:6	combine 119:20 121:2	composite 21:23 131:9
circuitous 37:3	COACH 32:5,7,13,17,20,22	combined 121:24	comprise 106:12 108:20
citations 138:18	coauthor 24:8	command 59:3	comprises 108:24
City 27:7	code 20:1 48:12 73:9,10 74:6 77:9,13 89:12 92:4 97:23,24,25 98:4	commercial 135:6 136:15	computer 14:16 22:9 27:13 30:6 31:20 32:3,6 36:4 47:23 81:25 82:4,11 86:3 109:13 117:15 125:18
claim 68:5 71:22 78:24 100:13 133:15 134:6,19	coded 87:23,24 88:24	commercialized 24:3,24 26:24 134:1,20	computers 16:8,12 23:23 43:3 118:25 122:18
claimed 124:6 133:13	coder 94:20	commercially 136:19	computing 18:4 41:23
claims 70:4 79:6 133:16,17,18 134:18	coding 55:20	common 6:21	conceivable 125:12
clarification 19:2 34:24 72:19 83:2 87:11 95:8 131:20	cognitive 32:5 43:20	commonality 70:6	conceivably 124:24
clarify 138:16	Cognoter 23:20	communication 57:14 65:16	concern 122:20 123:6
clarifying 32:16	Colab 21:11	community 43:21 88:11	concerns 50:22
clarity 93:20	collaborate 68:13	companies 17:7 123:3	concluded 140:8
class 15:1 40:13	collaboration 21:12 44:8,11	company 17:5 30:11 45:22 47:15 48:13 62:10 120:1 136:21	conduit 92:9,11,18,25 93:4 99:4,19
clean 63:6	collaborative 23:19 71:10	comparing 96:6	conference 41:9,10,24
clear 11:9 66:12 72:23 111:23	collapsed 14:25	compatible 17:8 54:25 61:9,10 93:10 95:21,23 97:21	conferences 41:5,12,15 42:10
click 96:3	collar 26:12	compete 47:16	configurable 83:5 93:25 94:13 102:8 103:2 128:7
clicks 131:12	colleagues 5:22 42:24	competitive 123:9	configure 83:10
client 81:12,17,18,19,20,21, 24,25 82:5,7,11,24,25 83:16 84:4	collect 16:15	compiler 16:23 20:1,15	configured 83:22 84:1
clients 83:21	collecting 39:5	complete 9:12 103:11 123:20	conflict 86:25 87:13
close	color 36:20	completely 22:4 57:25 83:3	conglomerate
	column 77:3,15 78:2 79:9 81:3 99:2,14,16 102:1 103:8 130:16,21,25 139:3	complicated 122:8	

Index: Christina-conglomerate

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

129:13	33:12	cost 21:16	D
Congratulations 26:8	context 122:16	couch 53:21	Daignault 6:2 68:16,17
connected 20:8	continued 25:13 36:1	counsel 5:16 6:20 13:14 50:19 80:8 137:7	damn 59:14 77:1
consideration 135:24 136:6	contribution 27:24	counter 73:11 89:12,25 136:23	Darnell 66:4
considerations 137:2	control 29:1,3,6 95:13,14,15 97:12,20 98:10,17,22 99:3,8,18,19 100:11,13, 18,24 101:3,5,6,12,20, 22,23,24,25 102:9,15 103:4,8 108:2,10,11,15 129:1,2 137:25 138:1,6, 12	couple 7:21 10:4 19:21,22 31:23 43:16 62:23 65:5, 9 109:6 112:8	data 19:15 28:8 39:5 57:17 60:3,5 73:18 77:6 85:16,21 86:1,2,7,8,12, 13 87:2,4,17 88:19 89:18 90:2,14 91:17 104:13 112:23 115:10
considered 72:2,21 75:5 82:24 83:1 84:4 100:22 107:5 110:22 118:11	controlled 18:20	cover 36:18 68:3	data's 85:8
consistent 104:15	controlling 17:1 29:5 101:13 102:22	crack 22:4	databases 86:18
constants 23:8	controls 22:7 56:19 93:10,12 95:13,21,25 127:25 128:13,24 129:6	crap 15:24	dataflow 91:14
constrained 18:14	convenient 20:21 85:22	crazy 52:14 57:24	dates 60:13
constraints 119:8 121:9	conversation 135:18	created 94:17 102:18 131:13	day 45:11 61:3 62:19
construction 73:4 74:24 75:6 76:15 78:2,23 79:10 85:21 86:6 110:7 138:24	conversations 135:14	creating 17:15 49:19 56:23	days 20:22
constructions 71:22,25	convinced 83:24 100:2 136:12	cross-platform 82:7,25 98:15,16	dead 123:11
consult 40:15	copiers 22:13	cubed 63:5	dealt 43:3
consultant 62:7	copy 14:11 75:19	cumbersome 54:23	debate 34:19
consulting 40:6,12 45:22	correct 35:14 80:5,8 89:3 110:9 134:5	curated 38:2	debunked 43:22
contained 103:22 107:10 133:17	correctly 16:2 30:18 78:23 97:11 98:3 116:18 123:17 134:2	curious 19:7	decide 116:4
contemplated 101:9	correlate 80:18	current 122:17	decided 31:16
content 102:10 105:6,9,10,16, 18,23 106:12 108:12, 20,24 117:25 127:13 129:19 130:3 131:18		cursor 29:2,3	decision 71:8 81:6
contention 74:10 83:14		custom-made 36:17	declaration 45:14 61:23 65:13 68:25 69:22 70:10,17, 18 72:8 79:8,11 80:17 81:14 85:19,21 92:17,
contents		cut 67:8 123:2	
		cute 38:6	

Index: Congratulations-declaration

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

18,19 93:2,5 98:21,23 100:3,10,23,25 106:24 107:3,5,20 109:15 113:1 119:13 131:25 132:2 134:23 declarations 64:19,25 65:2,13 66:19 68:11 70:3,12 71:21 135:15,16,19,21 136:7 deep 42:21 define 85:4,6 108:1,6 defined 93:17,21 100:24 101:20 102:23 105:18 129:2,5 defines 102:7 definition 80:23 87:4 102:15 103:11 128:3 definitions 84:25 86:7 112:7 defunct 36:11 degree 14:18,21 delay 69:18 delayed 36:9 delete 86:10 delighted 37:7 demarcation 34:13 demonstrate 23:4 46:2 demonstrated 22:20 27:6,20 demonstrating 23:12 45:18 demonstration 61:5	department 27:11 depend 94:8 103:13 depending 27:6 41:24 103:13 depends 83:20 deploy 36:2 deployed 48:10 deposed 7:13,16 9:19 10:10 deposition 6:21 9:24 10:14 140:3,8 depositions 9:22 10:19 66:19 140:6 derived 134:11 describe 15:9 101:5 114:23 130:11 describes 77:4,17 78:4 106:11 describing 16:1 77:8,10,18 86:12 121:7 description 73:17,18 92:8 109:4 descriptively 72:4 design 30:1 62:11,12 designed 15:17 31:13 91:25 94:6 95:5 128:9 desktop 16:11 17:24 20:6 81:25 82:4,11 96:20 114:19, 21,22,24 115:5,7,11,14, 20,21 117:10,14,19 118:7 123:4 127:11,20, 23 128:5,17 129:15,20, 25 130:4,18,24 131:9, 10,13,18 136:25	desktop's 118:5 desktop.htt. 128:3 desktops 47:17 develop 49:2 55:21 developer 82:21 93:18,21,22 94:1, 15,20,23 96:1 99:2,18 101:13 102:23 136:22 developer's 95:24 developers 17:17 development 43:2 49:1,17 deviate 128:6 device 15:18 21:18 26:10 28:14 31:5 36:15 37:9 38:9 39:4 48:9,12 49:20 81:12,17,18,20,21,24 82:1,5 108:6 124:18 devices 22:8 31:3 35:15 36:22 81:19 82:8,11,24,25 83:6,16 84:4 123:25 124:15,19 diagrams 103:24 104:11 die 27:2 difficult 63:8 difficulty 34:7 dinner 45:24 direct 43:9 72:7 76:14 85:22 131:4 direction 136:16	disclosed 133:12 disclosure 114:19 discuss 69:25 81:12 105:5 discussed 106:17,18 133:11 discussion 14:3 23:22 26:15 42:21 76:20 84:15 133:20 139:12,15,19,23 140:2 discussions 42:25 43:12 disk 19:15 display 21:14,15 38:12 39:3,20 41:23 47:6 62:25 63:4,8 105:5 124:12 125:19 displayed 20:15 117:11,12 127:9 129:19,25 130:3 displaying 83:15 displays 38:22 distinct 70:4 distinction 70:11 95:3 document 13:5 66:6,7 69:10,14 72:20 79:12 80:1 87:6 documents 57:17 Dodots 5:25 50:20 63:22,23 64:18 67:5,19,23 72:24 133:10,12,16,25 134:7, 19 dollars 16:13 door 31:11
--	---	--	--

Index: declarations-door

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

Dorado 17:9	DULY 5:5	efficiently 86:4	engaged 37:16 81:22
DOS 18:6,9,13	dynamic 115:10	electrical 30:6 63:7	engine 40:22
Dot 76:22 77:1,4,8,9,18 78:4 93:11 95:20,21 97:21 99:17,24	E	element 132:17,20 133:15	engineering 63:8
Dots 83:8,23	earlier 25:20 36:21 47:20 52:21 64:22 66:14,17, 21,25 81:6 84:15 126:21	elements 115:7 133:17 134:6	engineers 30:6
Dow 129:8,12	early 18:12 28:6 42:5 47:3 52:5,25 63:23 119:2	eliminate 118:2	England 42:16
downfall 97:5	earnest 11:2	else's 87:4	Englebart 28:6
downloading 69:19 77:9	easier 31:19	email 19:25 20:15	English 28:6 91:11
dozen 47:25	easily 56:14 57:24 58:14 78:15	embed 98:17,18 99:2,8,18	entities 40:4
Dozens 68:19,20	easy 20:20 70:6	embedded 96:18	entity 63:23 94:21
draft 139:14	eat 104:22	embraced 54:17	environment 23:19
drafting 71:3	edges 22:3	employed 11:6 94:22	environments 18:4
drafts 68:19,21	edit 68:20	employee 11:8 40:16	envision 15:12 49:16
draw 39:23 108:5	edition 35:3	employment 10:18	envisioning 16:3 22:18 37:10
dream 24:11	editor 16:23 22:22	empty 22:4	equipment 26:19
dreaming 31:13	editors 43:25	encountered 112:10	ergonomic 42:2
Dreamwriter 15:17 47:4,10	educational 14:14	encouraged 15:11	Ergonomics 25:12
drive 26:21	Edwin 5:4,14	end 29:13 36:13 40:24 62:16 94:24 132:13,16 135:10	Erise 6:16
driveway 107:13	effectively 24:24	endeavor 46:17	errata 139:22,24,25
drop 44:25 45:3	efficiency 122:12	endeavors 26:3	Estate 37:5,18,19
dropped 76:2	efficient 86:1	ended 27:7 32:12 61:1	estate 9:24 10:8,17,22,25 11:21 119:3
drove 57:24		ends 79:22	evaluate 72:1 86:5
			evaluated

Index: Dorado-evaluated

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

15:23 95:1	expanded 103:12	F	fellow 5:22 26:5
event 54:13	expect 137:7	facilities 49:5	felt 135:2,5
evidence 80:23 133:11	expensive 19:23	facility 23:12 82:19 116:4	fiberoptic 63:4
exact 99:15	experience 27:6 42:3 48:7 94:4,7 97:3 136:8,22 137:5	fact 47:3 57:21 97:5 132:17 133:14	fight 29:10,11 54:18
EXAMINATION 5:9 137:17	experienced 136:11	factor 38:18	fighths 43:11
EXAMINED 5:6	experiencing 137:5	failed 124:17	figure 60:23 122:5 127:2,9,15 128:17 129:25
examples 33:5 81:19 91:6 105:9	experiment 33:8 44:2	fair 8:14 9:2 10:19 14:11 17:18 20:8,13 30:24 35:8 39:17 53:7 55:25 56:4 66:15 83:17 87:7, 18 97:13 98:9 107:10 108:21 115:20 116:21 120:16 121:13 122:19 123:19 131:17 134:16	figured 29:3,4
exchange 41:17,20	experiments 29:4	fall 25:17	figures 127:5 139:4
excited 31:15 37:7 42:6	expert 6:21 10:23 11:4,11,17 12:6,8 78:7	familiar 22:13 56:15 109:2	figuring 28:17
exciting 22:23 27:22 43:1 52:1	expertise 27:7	fancy 15:15 16:8 36:5 122:8	file 13:22 109:22 110:10, 15,16 111:5,6,7,24 116:7
exclude 13:10	explain 14:20 16:5 40:8 45:15 47:5 51:10 71:2 98:19	farther 33:5	files 109:8,10 110:17,18,19, 25 111:18 112:6,10,13
excluded 12:9	explained 107:4 127:19	fascinating 35:13 50:15 66:6	fill 34:8 94:4
excluding 117:25	explains 133:24	fast 28:21 29:7 84:8,9	filled 22:2 27:22
executable 73:8,10 80:23 89:24	exploited 97:11	faster 45:8	filling 22:6 131:16
execute 72:10 73:4 74:24 75:7 76:16 79:10	exponentially 58:11	fault 43:21	finally 60:24
executing 73:13 74:3,9,11,14,16 78:1	extensively 88:12	favorite 44:23	find 24:6 35:12 45:7 57:23 59:10 76:23 77:11,12 79:14 86:16,19 90:13 91:3,18 104:16 129:14 136:20
exemplary 133:15	extent 57:7 59:12 65:16 83:8 91:3 106:16 139:25	features 134:19	finding 66:6 89:17 90:4 92:18 96:3 97:1
exhibit 12:16 14:8 69:7 76:6, 11,12 79:23 80:3,16,18 113:11,13,22,25 118:17 126:21,25	extremely 18:11 42:15 49:20	feedback 46:5	fine 50:4 68:17 75:20 107:2 120:17 126:10,17
existent 38:18	eye 29:7	feel 80:18	
exists 133:10			

Index: event-fine

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

130:22	forever 45:23	framework 93:11 95:20,21 96:15 97:21	furniture 23:25
finger 29:7	forgot 63:19	frank 126:12	future 15:12
finish 8:25	forgotten 106:14 132:25	frankly 54:16 71:11 98:12 136:12	
finished 65:8	form 24:4 34:8 38:18 53:13 58:24 61:19 64:5 65:14 73:6 76:17 78:6 79:1 81:5 83:18 92:15 94:5 105:17,24 106:15 110:20 111:9 112:2,5, 14 116:2 117:21 124:8	free 36:8 54:12 80:19	G
firm 5:21 6:2 64:14,16	format 60:5 105:12,15,19,22 108:20,23 109:1,4	freedom 26:20	gain 60:18
Fish 5:21 139:16	formats 105:16 106:12	Fridays 40:13	game 15:7
five-minute 126:13	formatted 57:17,18 59:7	front 14:6 75:16,19 76:1 80:12 126:22	games 15:22
fix 97:8	formatting 60:2	frustrating 40:21	gate 36:8
Flash 56:12 57:1 105:22,25 106:3,7,11,17,18 107:19,25 108:7,8,18	formed 37:9	frustrations 136:10,11	Gates 118:3
flavors 82:24	forming 136:6	full 21:13 22:24 23:25 41:20 99:20 120:6,7,14	gather 74:4
flight 36:14	forms 135:23	fully 83:5,10,22 84:1 93:25 94:12 102:8 103:2 128:7	gave 58:4,8 123:4
flights 29:17	forward 60:22 122:11	fun 12:21 13:2 14:24 20:24 26:9 40:14,21 49:9 50:13	GE 21:15
focus 41:15 44:3 49:17 70:8, 13 132:14	found 54:8 58:16 66:6 76:15 77:13 134:7,19	function 60:23	general 105:11
focused 41:23 42:3 82:6 136:21	fourth 30:25 35:13 55:17	functional 91:15	generally 63:18
follow 30:17 51:7 135:9	frame 35:21 39:15 40:5 52:24 55:10 59:25 83:5 101:5, 10,11,23 102:9 103:2 108:5 110:3 128:7 136:10 137:24 138:8	functionality 23:7 56:23 111:2	generates 46:8
follow-up 31:23	frame's 102:23	functioned 83:22	generating 68:10
fonts 57:21 85:6	frames 84:1	functions 131:10	generative 45:19 46:2,7
food 54:12		funder 94:16	geolocation 51:15
foray 46:21		funny 19:11	GIF 34:14,18
foregoing 133:19			GIFS 34:4
forest 58:6			gigantic 19:19 38:25 66:4 120:1
			give 8:24 9:12 18:6 26:19,25 36:8 43:22 44:9,11,12 46:9 48:7 57:20 63:15 69:5 75:15 96:4 101:16

Index: finger-give

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

113:9 131:25 132:6,10 136:22	26:9 29:20 44:25 57:10 76:4,7 118:6	Hang 10:12	helped 19:15 27:5 47:14 58:4
giving 41:4 77:10	green 37:19,21	happen 34:1 58:13 60:25 71:4 95:16,18 119:1 139:18	helpful 34:16 79:22 119:17
glasses 47:24	grocery 48:4,5	happened 11:14 26:23 34:12	helping 45:23 48:13
go-to 41:8 57:4	ground 7:22	happening 73:24	hey 118:5
goal 51:11 118:2 126:4	group 30:3,7 44:12 56:7 62:14,22	happy 13:16 21:21 133:6	hierarchical 85:11,13,17,18
goals 60:1 95:24 121:6,9	group's 62:24	hard 28:15,16,17 53:17 106:3	hierarchy 27:15 30:23 122:5
good 5:12 6:11 7:12,24 8:18 28:2 29:12 40:25 43:25 50:2,9 52:11,15 64:1 84:7 98:16 104:21 113:23	growing 58:11	harder 44:18	highlight 34:10
Google 47:17 65:25	guard 71:14	hardware 54:4	hire 30:4
gosh 7:20 12:10 31:14	guess 25:21 40:9 50:7 58:15 61:4 66:3 72:15 74:21 78:12 82:17 85:16 134:16	Hart 6:15	hired 30:5
GP 105:20	Gunten 5:24	Harvard 48:22	historian 60:20
GPS 52:20	guy 46:14 56:8 57:22 107:12	hate 31:22	history 24:22
grab 96:19	guys 57:11 65:21	hated 32:5 57:22	hit 9:4 39:1
grad 25:11		heading 85:14	Hitachi 36:4
graduate 14:22 15:1 40:16 49:15	H	headings 85:14	hold 28:20 121:12,20
graduated 14:16	hack 58:6	headline 44:19	holder 12:2
graduation 14:21	half 47:25	headset 62:24,25	holster 47:22
graphical 32:23 33:20 42:25 43:2, 5 46:6,8 51:19 56:25 115:13,22,25 122:9	half-duplex 57:12	hear 30:15 125:4	Home 76:22
graphically 38:3	hand 28:12 123:5	heard 16:2 98:2	honor 26:6
graphics 24:9 34:1	handheld 124:17	heavily 68:13	hook 22:8
great	handle 7:7 37:20,21 38:19	heavy 28:1 117:1	hope 13:3 30:12 67:11
	hands 129:22	hell 13:23	hoping 45:15 118:3
			hot 42:13

Index: giving-hot

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

hour 8:16 49:24 84:6,7	55:15 58:3	impoverished 39:24 83:6	instantiating 73:14 74:15
hours 65:5	icon 21:23	impressively 22:25	instructed 75:24
house 11:1 73:23	icons 21:24,25 23:14,15 45:7 77:11 115:12 127:15, 19,20,21,22	inches 116:23	instruction 73:12
HR 10:15	idea 18:16 23:11,14 28:5 29:23 31:5 36:6 37:25 40:22 49:18 59:1 61:18 73:7 91:11 94:13 100:16 118:5 122:2 136:23	include 96:25	instructions 73:22
HTML 57:8,9,12,16,19,25 58:17,20,22,25 59:7,9, 17,25 60:7,9,13,16 61:16 84:16,19 85:5,14 88:17 91:21,22,23,24 92:4 99:3,18,24 105:11, 14,18 108:21	ideas 23:4,22 26:21 29:14 30:10 49:22 122:10	included 35:16 72:4,5	instructs 114:25
HTML-DERIVED 58:23	identified 81:2 128:17	includes 103:15	Instruments 21:22 23:3,11 24:2
hundred 16:13 21:16 49:9 65:4, 5,9 107:13	identifies 127:15	including 102:8 128:24	integrate 28:13 53:5
hundred-dollar 31:5 39:2	identify 69:14 70:12 127:18	incorrect 123:20	integrated 18:19 61:7
hundreds 120:2	ignorance 60:8	industrial 30:1 62:11	intelligence 41:10
hung 31:10 40:25	ignorant 87:3	industry 85:2 86:23 117:20	intelligent 42:1
Hypercard 56:12,15,17,22	lhde 56:8	information 14:17 21:13 33:5 46:10, 11 57:20 58:12 64:3 77:21 83:15 93:15 96:4, 7 107:4 123:24 132:24 133:9	intending 89:4
hyperlink 131:12,14	image 18:21 129:23 130:5,8	infringement 9:23,25 10:3	interact 42:15 43:10 57:2
hyperlinks 37:23	images 37:24 105:12	innovation 29:20 41:4	interacted 39:6
hypothetical 112:21	imagine 20:23 124:15 130:6	innovations 43:7	interaction 42:12 43:3
hypotheticals 100:6	imagined 31:20	inserted 131:9	interactive 20:2 93:16
Hyun 5:23	immediately 29:13	inside 38:20 61:6 88:4,12 91:2 97:1 109:14,15 115:18	interactivity 58:13,17 60:23
I	impact 63:17	install 98:8	interested 30:15 46:5 83:5
IBM 15:3 24:20 25:7,22 26:1,3,5,18 30:5,20 35:19,22,23 40:3,9,15 41:3 43:23,24 47:20	implement 124:6	installed 88:2	interesting 18:23 21:16 23:4 33:1 44:9 72:13 93:24 95:4 118:9
	important 44:13	installer 73:16 87:25 88:2	interface 23:3 32:23 33:2,9,20 34:8 40:14 41:25 42:1, 2,6,7,25 43:3,12 45:12 46:6 47:7 57:22 59:4 62:13 115:13,22,25
		instantiated 97:24 116:1 117:14	interfaces

Index: hour-interfaces

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

18:8 42:12 43:6	IPR2023-00701 50:24	joystick 29:1 42:17	kill 118:2,3
interject 120:5	IPR2023-00756 51:2	joysticks 28:18 29:12	kind 11:24 19:19 20:19,25 22:2 23:1,9 24:21 27:16 31:16 38:9,19,22 39:19 50:13 51:11 52:1,8 55:17 60:13 61:24 62:25 63:14 73:20 83:22 88:3 93:16,24 94:12 96:19,22,23 119:3,4 121:6 122:12
internalize 77:21	IPR2023-621 140:5	JPEGS 34:22	kinds 127:11
internet 51:14 53:6 54:21 58:6 88:11 105:5,9,10,16,19, 23 106:12 108:12,20,23	IPR2023-701 140:5	Juang 5:16,25 6:1,4,5,8,12,25 7:6,10 12:19 13:17 14:1 49:23 50:5,9 53:12 58:24 61:19 64:5 65:14 68:16 73:6 74:13 76:17 78:6 79:1,14 80:11,20 81:5 83:18 84:6 90:17 91:1,8 92:15 97:14 104:19,23 105:24 106:15 110:20 111:9 112:2,14 115:1 116:2 117:4,21 120:5 123:22 124:8,25 125:5,7,14,24 126:17 136:1 137:10, 13,19 139:8,16,20,24 140:3	kit 104:6
interpret 90:12 106:8	IPR2023-756 140:5	judgment 66:18	knee 28:8
interpreted 87:25 88:7	IPRS 10:4	judgments 65:19	knew 136:9
interpreter 89:8	IRS 94:5	jump 35:13	knowledge 12:8 24:4
interrupt 133:1	issue 10:16 67:6,19 76:12 95:20 140:1	jumping 48:18	Koved 24:16
interruption 111:22	items 127:11		
intriguing 136:20	Iyer 6:2 68:16,17		
introduced 58:22			
introduction 61:14	J		L
invention 124:6,7 125:13 133:12	January 25:20		lab 19:15 37:6,11
invisible 23:9	Java 49:2 55:23		label 111:1
involved 11:22 43:21 48:13 62:21 66:25 68:14 72:25	Javascript 109:18 110:11 111:19 112:1	K	laid 16:15 85:5
involving 9:23	Jeremy 46:14	keeping 71:5	language 17:3 18:15 23:5 24:10, 12 37:22 56:17,23 70:7 85:2 87:23 88:1,10,15, 25 89:14,21 90:7 91:11, 12,15,16,17,23,24 106:8 109:8 110:18,23 111:8,25
IP 6:16	JIF 34:18	Kembels 135:14,20	languages 55:20 56:5 84:19,22 85:11 91:10 112:1
iphone 52:9,12 55:23	Jin 5:23	Kembels' 66:9 137:3	laptop 31:4 37:4 48:19 54:9
IPR 6:20 7:6,16,19 66:17 67:19 72:15,24	job 25:17 35:25 40:25	key- 29:25	large 20:13 116:20,22,23,24
IPR2023-00621 50:21	Joined 5:22	keyboard 28:13,14 55:8	
	Joseph 5:4,15	keyboards 29:24	
	joy 41:2	kid's 115:3	

Index: interject-large

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

Larry 24:15,18 40:19 58:4 late 52:19 136:17 law 5:21 6:2 64:14,16 lawyers 32:9 68:15 lay 23:22 91:25 135:4 layer 24:9,10 34:5 44:1 115:11 laying 44:5 layout 16:20 44:3 57:20 85:7 layouts 57:21 Leading 139:7 Leap 62:5,8 learn 107:24 learned 38:5 learning 49:15 63:13 leather 36:18 leave 80:24 81:3 lecturer 14:22 lectures 54:13 led 37:6 ledger 11:16 left 17:24 24:20 25:15 26:2 35:23 36:1 126:13 127:22	legally 5:14 Lenovo 65:19 72:15,24 73:2 74:9,23 81:6 lets 128:1 letting 51:6,12 level 95:15 135:3 libraries 103:15 library 96:19 life 41:22 42:8 52:11 73:15 74:17 lifting 28:1 Light 21:15 limitation 78:1 lineage 124:20 linear 86:14 lines 77:22 132:15 139:3 linguistics 24:12 Lisp 15:15 16:8 17:3,6,20 18:10 19:18 list 68:3 115:6 listen 44:16 listened 44:14 listing 80:11,18 literally 33:23 122:7	live 23:15 62:18 LLP 6:2 LMI 17:7 load 113:10 116:8 loading 69:5 local 96:11 long 13:2 18:22,24 25:3 45:11 50:13 61:10 62:17,19 66:7 132:13, 21 135:2,5 longer 11:6 13:18 19:4 87:6 121:12,20 123:6,7 126:16 looked 28:8 60:25 65:18,19,20, 21 70:2 99:6 112:16 119:5 132:19 Loops 23:5,6 lot 27:11,25 29:6 31:3 39:3 40:20 43:20 48:2 49:9, 10 56:22 57:10 63:5,6 65:20 67:10 70:6,7 86:18 107:14,15 124:18 lots 28:15 31:13 40:16 43:4 44:20 45:11 61:8 71:3 Lotus 123:4 louder 6:6 125:2 love 33:21 46:4 loved 44:10,14,24 54:18 Luckily 101:18	lunch 104:19,22 LUNCHEON 104:25 luxury 19:19 101:18 M M.S. 14:16 machine 15:16 16:8 17:3,6 18:10 63:13 124:11,17 machines 17:8,20 19:18 Macintosh 56:20 mad 45:9 128:10 made 17:5,7 21:17,19,21 27:14 28:2,11,18 31:4, 6,7,8 33:9,23 36:3,12, 17,19 37:12,22 38:18 45:18,22,23 47:10,11, 21,22,23,25 48:3 49:9 53:11,21,22,25 56:11, 21 94:25 122:13 Maes 43:11 Magic 62:5,8 main 18:19 26:2 64:12,15 126:2 mainframe 20:7 make 5:16 7:24 17:13 20:19 21:25 26:18 30:10,18 31:19 33:24 34:24 37:16,25 41:22 45:25 47:9,15 48:20,21 49:3 54:7 55:2 56:20 57:1,2 58:10 59:5 61:15 62:13, 24 63:9 73:19 79:17 83:13 84:1 85:12,22 86:18 89:4 94:18 95:10,
--	--	--	---

Index: Larry-make

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

17 98:2 104:15 111:19, 23 115:9 118:25 122:3 123:15 128:7 134:4,17 makes 44:4 45:9 70:6 120:1 making 15:13 24:11 26:21 37:23 45:19 61:9 73:15 82:6 87:6 95:16 110:19 123:24 man 37:6 manager 56:7 manipulation 43:9 manner 37:3 82:23 manufacturer 15:7 march 60:22 marked 14:7 market 31:4 36:24 37:1,3 marking 42:23 markup 84:19 master's 14:18,21 material 65:8,12 72:1 135:23 materials 72:3 135:17,20 136:3,5 137:3 math 27:10 mathematicians 27:25 matter 10:18,22 11:4,10,21 30:10 122:16 matters	10:19 11:15,16,22,25 meaning 73:14 meanings 91:13 means 57:12 62:6,19 73:10,14, 15 77:18 86:17 98:19 99:9 meant 51:10 66:20 94:16 119:7 121:14 mechanical 63:4,7 media 37:6 102:6,8,10 medical 9:11 medium 116:1 meetings 24:1 Mellon 10:14 11:7,10,20 45:22 48:24 memory 25:18,19 27:13,14,22 30:9,22 64:10 68:1 92:4 mention 31:1 mentioned 9:19 15:6,25 20:5 21:3 25:7 30:19 31:24 34:25 35:14 36:21 37:1 45:14 50:20 51:9 60:7,16 67:1 74:8 81:6,13 93:20 99:7 101:19,20 104:3 105:14 108:19 110:9 116:19 118:16 128:23 mentions 93:4 mentored 41:1 menus 33:12 42:21,22,23 126:9	Merlin 35:3,7,8 mess 11:3 messages 10:11 met 133:16 mic 6:6 Michael 5:21 microphone's 125:3 Microsoft 18:13 110:1 115:5 118:24 119:22,25 124:1 Microsoft's 96:17 mid 39:16 Mike 50:11 115:1 137:10 139:9 millimeter 63:5 million 37:11 mimic 119:4 mind 28:11 60:21 67:11 132:2 mine 114:9 minute 13:15 93:9 117:7 minutes 28:10 49:25 50:3 84:10 126:12 misheard 16:5 Misscript 111:18	missed 111:17 misspeak 120:18 misspoke 66:20 misstate 80:9 misstates 74:13 79:2 83:18 97:14 111:9 120:6 124:25 125:14,24 136:1 misstating 78:6 89:3 MIT 37:5 48:20,22 54:8 mixed 62:19 mobile 15:18 31:3 35:15 36:15, 22 46:22,23 47:8 54:4 55:16 56:14 119:7 123:8,25 124:15 mock-up 47:3 mock-ups 47:11 model 53:2 modern 39:9 modify 17:25 moment 17:11 60:7,16 69:5 75:15 76:18 101:17 104:3 109:11 113:4,9, 10 118:10 131:24 132:10 money 11:2 94:5 monitor 20:5,7,14 116:21 117:11,12 monitors 21:13,14 116:22
--	--	---	--

Index: makes-monitors

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

months 15:2 21:7 58:8 mood 43:19 morning 5:12 7:12 12:11 Mosaic 58:5 motion 15:20 43:19 motivation 120:15 Motocarma 45:17 mouse 18:12 20:24 21:1 22:10, 19 28:7,9 42:17 move 55:13 81:10 100:9 114:2 116:6 129:15 moved 100:19 moving 73:25 129:24 multiple 19:9 23:23 32:10 115:14 134:14 N N95 51:16,22 52:4,8,16 54:22 named 36:11 46:14 56:8 narrow 102:14 narrower 103:8 nature 22:5 navigate 127:2 132:5 navigating 132:3	necessarily 86:23 94:20 needed 16:17 25:16 77:10 Negroponte 37:6 network 95:17 96:8 105:11 115:18 newer 46:15,16 news 24:5 Nex 44:24 nexus 132:7 133:10,19,25 134:6,11,17 nice 13:9 23:24 75:16 128:14 Nicholas 37:6 Niklaus 86:8 NIM 73:7,13,18,19 74:3,9, 11,15,16,17 76:22 87:22 88:6,23 89:7 93:13,17 95:15 96:1 97:23,24 98:5,8,13,14 99:10,25 101:14 102:17 103:5,11,12 129:2,5 138:9 NIM's 97:25 98:1 NIMS 84:1 94:3 Nokia 51:16 nonbackground 53:14 notable 40:18 note 14:6 127:14	notes 68:12 126:14 noticed 29:9 notion 58:23 117:18 number 37:13 79:18,25 80:1 129:9 132:1 numbering 71:5 numbers 7:2,6 30:9 50:19 53:2 79:9,12 80:18 127:15 O oak 23:25 oath 5:6 8:1 object 53:12 58:24 61:19 62:12 64:5 65:14 73:6 76:17 78:6 79:1 81:5 83:18 92:15 105:24 106:15 110:20 111:9 112:2,14 116:2 117:21 object-oriented 56:4 91:16 Objection 74:13 90:17 91:1,8 97:14 123:22 124:8,25 125:14,24 136:1 139:7 observation 14:10 obsolete 58:9 obvious 28:2 occasional 65:24 occur 129:14 occurring 73:25	offered 85:20 offering 15:23 offhand 59:21 office 31:10 74:23 OLE 96:17 omit 71:8 omitted 70:21,22 71:1,12 one-off 49:14 online 57:16 open 13:7,20 101:16 operating 18:18 27:1 33:18 34:6 102:21 103:14 116:3 118:21 119:22,23,24,25 120:4,19,20 121:3,8,23 122:18,21 123:8 124:2 127:25 operations 27:20 opined 106:5 113:1 opinion 103:4 112:5 119:19 120:6,8,11 121:1,10,11, 20 133:10,18 134:11 135:14 opinions 93:1 120:15 127:6 135:5,9,25 136:7 opposed 17:17 18:6,8 34:18 optical 63:7 optimal 124:23 125:12
---	---	--	--

Index: months-optimal

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

OQO 124:17 order 139:13 organize 126:14 organized 86:17 organizing 86:2 orient 99:12 oriented 76:19 originated 96:16 OS/2 26:24 33:18 35:1 overcome 136:13 overlap 70:1 owner 12:1 P p.m. 140:8 Package 102:6,8,10 pages 58:10 82:20,23 91:25 paid 94:25 Palmpilot 82:17 Palmpilots 39:18 Palo 21:9 paper 15:20 24:7 27:15,18 28:6 42:15,18 43:23 44:7	papers 24:13,15 29:11 41:6,21 42:18 43:18 paperwork 107:13 paragraph 70:18,21 71:6 72:8 73:5 78:22 79:15 85:23 86:6 119:14,19 120:13 121:3,10,20 131:25 132:2,11,12,13,16 133:5,6,22,24 134:25 135:4,10 137:21 138:3, 17 paragraphs 70:25 71:9 78:24 PARC 15:2 21:7,10 22:18,23 24:22 25:9,14,18,21 parsable 90:12,16,25 91:3,6,10, 11,21,22 parse 89:10 90:1 130:6 parsed 89:10,14,21,22,25 90:2, 7,9 91:17,18 parsing 89:11,16 90:6,9 part 28:15,17 37:9 42:9 67:24 79:8 86:14 93:16 94:12 97:10 99:9,25 100:8 108:5 115:12 128:2,5,6 130:7 138:10 partner 5:23 64:15 partners 64:12 parts 21:24 28:16 34:9 37:9 90:4 96:24 115:14 party's 6:13 pass 36:3,15 47:2 137:8 passage	99:23 past 28:18 patent 9:23,25 10:19 11:15,22, 25 12:1,7 14:7 50:23,25 51:3 67:20,21 74:23 75:4,5 76:11,15 79:5,21 80:2 81:9 83:1,16 92:8, 9 93:1,11,13 95:19 98:18,24,25 99:16 100:20,21 101:2 102:16 106:2,11,21 107:6,10 108:13 130:8,10 133:13 134:7,11 138:15 patents 10:5 57:11 60:14 65:20, 21 67:2,3,5,15,19,23 68:3,6,7 70:2,12 71:23 72:4,25 81:22 82:5 83:25 93:25 94:2 95:20 98:6 104:1,9 105:4,7 107:1 109:6 112:8 126:3 133:25 134:12,18 136:14,21 path 42:22 paths 129:6 Pattie 43:11 Paul 6:15 paying 94:3 114:13 PC 13:23 27:20 47:21 PDA 31:10 36:16,17 38:18, 20 83:20 PDAS 39:17 82:18,19,22 83:4, 14 84:3 PDF 127:3 pen 47:6 pending	8:25 9:8 80:20 101:16 110:6 117:4 125:8 126:8 people 15:21 20:17,18,22 22:21,25 23:24 27:12 28:17,25 29:11 30:4,7 31:17 33:7,10,19 37:15 41:20 42:11 43:6,15 44:3,5 45:9 47:15 49:2, 14 51:12,22 54:15,17, 18 55:3,5 56:17 58:10, 15 59:10 60:22 62:11, 13,15 63:15 68:14 72:3 86:18 87:2 95:5 96:23 97:4,6 136:18,24 performance 27:6 permission 13:21 person 11:13 34:7 36:14 44:12, 16 63:18 94:21 95:5 119:19 personal 11:4,5 persons 95:4 petition 113:12 Ph.d. 5:4 26:18,22 27:7 42:6 phone 39:8 46:25 47:18 49:3, 4,6 51:11,12,13,19 52:4 53:21 54:6,10 81:23 82:14 phone-based 47:15 phones 38:23 39:13 47:18 49:3 51:23,24 52:3,23,25 53:3,4,6,10 54:1,19,20 55:3,8,9 56:14 phonetic 34:18 physical 48:12 62:12 73:24
---	---	---	--

Index: OQO-physical

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

physically 19:21 63:7	platform 15:21 124:14	95:10	61:15 113:9
physiology 62:15	platforms 59:12	precognitive 45:1	problems 6:3 28:25 29:5 49:7 63:17 97:7 107:1 124:19 126:5
PIACH 32:3,12,20	play 46:4 96:2,3,5	preferred 82:21	procedures 73:25
pick 45:4	playing 17:19	premium 82:21	proceed 133:22
picked 27:1	plenty 28:2	preparing 127:6 139:17	proceeding 5:20 7:17 10:24 12:14 14:7,12 50:19,22,23,24 51:1,2 66:14,21 72:24 73:3 76:12,13 79:21 80:2 85:20 114:1 126:25
picture 22:1	point 8:19 9:5 29:20 30:2,3 33:1,23 34:24 39:2 42:22 57:19 60:18 70:10 78:19 83:25 89:23 93:20 94:2 96:16 100:6 109:24 114:9 134:16	present 71:22 117:25	proceedings 6:20,24 50:21 64:21 66:17 67:19
pictures 15:19	pointed 33:6	presentation 22:8 24:9 37:5 39:4	process 46:6 83:9
pie 42:21,22	pointing 26:10 28:14	presented 42:15,19 43:16 86:6 93:15 108:3 128:10,11 137:3	processing 119:9
piece 15:23 16:14 33:13 42:8 62:18 89:12	points 104:14	presenting 43:19 59:11	processor 39:3
pieces 105:4 107:4	portion 99:6	pretend 24:1 56:18	produced 65:21
piecing 94:19	portions 139:4	pretty 20:21 52:18,19 63:11 66:10 119:4 123:11	product 29:13,15,16,18 32:2 48:23 49:1,17,18 63:2 65:8 68:24
pinnacle 52:8,22	POSITA 137:4	price 39:2 129:12,13	products 47:13 48:15 49:16 120:2
pissed 43:23	position 62:5 123:16	primary 91:24	professional 114:14
pitcher 22:2	positions 116:11	printed 75:19	professor 40:12
pixels 19:21,22	possibility 97:23	printing 66:3	professors 41:20
place 14:25 19:24 21:1 22:20, 24 24:6 74:18 78:14 95:6 101:21,25 109:25	pounds 107:14 116:25	prior 65:19 67:3 68:7 72:24 112:25	progeny 120:23 122:21
placement 102:22	power 27:20 30:1 119:9	priority 68:5	program 22:9 23:10 73:11,12 89:11,25 90:4 91:2,15 97:1 99:9,25 124:12
places 24:19 29:14 34:10 78:9 111:1 115:8	practice 68:10 99:19	private 45:19	
placing 18:16	praise 135:5	privileged 64:3	
planned 94:10	precise	Proactive 32:3 40:13	
		problem 23:8 24:23,25 32:7	

Index: physically-program

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

programmers 94:25 120:3 programming 15:16 17:3 18:7,15 23:9 56:4 91:14 95:4 104:6 110:18 programs 56:9 91:6 96:18,25 97:16,17 98:5,7 103:16 125:18 progressed 122:17 project 21:11,12 31:23 35:13 37:8 55:17 projects 26:3,4 27:9 30:20 40:6 41:5 prompt 18:6,9 prompts 18:13 propose 72:1 proposed 71:21 proposing 71:25 75:6 86:5 protocol 47:19 51:9 52:5 59:8 prototyped 30:10 38:17 prototypes 31:7,8 47:12 prototyping 31:3 35:15 36:22 57:4 proud 26:5 28:3 30:20 55:18 proved 43:24 provide 74:24 78:1,23 135:5 provided 73:4 78:2 93:1 94:7 102:15 119:19 135:15, 20 136:7	providing 135:24 provisional 67:25 68:2 103:25 provisionals 65:20 67:25 68:1 provocative 47:7 Psychologist 25:6 psychologists 30:5 psychology 43:20 45:2 pull 7:8 126:20 pull-down 33:12 pulling 109:12 132:3 Purely 71:15 purpose 36:19 101:13 112:17 122:12 purposes 16:10 60:4 85:9 91:14 121:25 push 36:13 38:7 pushed 100:18 put 11:20 18:3 29:15,23 33:2,17 34:9 39:23 43:25 45:7 47:5 48:4 51:25 52:2 60:24 69:3,4 83:17 93:8 101:13 106:22 108:10,17 110:1 113:6,18 115:17 122:3 124:14 131:23 puts 76:22 putting 29:24 34:4 59:10 65:2, 12 74:17 90:6,8 107:5	122:11 136:9 Pyrex 22:2 Q qualities 94:8 question 8:10,13,25 9:8 18:24 32:14 40:10 51:5 70:10, 16,24 71:14 74:21 79:4 80:20 87:16 88:23 89:19,23 90:22 99:5 101:15 102:19 107:21 108:11 110:4,6 117:4 118:20 125:9 126:8 132:11 133:22 136:16 questionable 119:2 questioning 6:19 92:16 questions 12:12 17:13 30:16 31:23 38:4 50:18 53:14 70:8 114:18 128:20 137:7 139:9 quick 5:16 59:13 78:18 93:20 137:15 R rabbit 59:13 radio 52:10 raised-floor 20:10 ran 20:9 25:11 33:8 51:17 58:3 124:20 range 47:21 reach 63:14 reaching 63:16	Reactive 32:3 40:14 read 7:3,8 38:1 44:18 50:18 64:21 66:8,9 67:7 68:19 77:5,16 88:17 89:11 99:13,14,23 102:5,12 132:25 133:6,21 138:2 readable 110:3 reader 114:9,13 reading 77:1 92:3 99:15 reads 7:1 ready 74:18 76:3 real 5:16 9:24 10:8,17,21,25 11:21 37:12 41:2 62:20 119:3 122:8 reality 47:24 62:19 realm 95:25 reason 9:11 33:7 36:7 90:8 124:11,14 125:17,23 126:1 recall 53:1 59:21 81:15 84:17 92:10 93:3 105:7 113:2 114:20 116:18 119:12 received 13:6 42:20 receiving 83:15 recent 132:7 recently 59:23 Recess 50:10 84:12 104:25 126:18 137:12 reckon
---	---	---	---

Index: programmers-reckon

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

6:12	75:1 136:15	reminding 65:24	resolution 39:12
recognize 26:6 55:12	regenerate 16:16	reminds 116:9	resource 88:19 90:3 129:4
recognizing 136:10	rejected 29:17	render 82:20 83:7	resources 47:10 77:10,12 103:17
recollection 11:24 131:1 138:5,14, 21	relate 84:24 103:4 108:11	rendered 99:3,19	respond 93:7
record 5:13,17 6:19 7:2,3,9 13:5,15,24 14:1,3,6 26:15 39:8 50:18 51:6 64:22 69:14 72:9 76:10, 20 81:9 102:5 113:11, 25 118:15 119:18 120:7 126:24 127:14,19 139:2,11,12,15,19,23 140:2	related 30:23 45:15 55:13 98:22 118:19	rendering 82:22 93:1	responding 100:8
record's 66:12 72:23 111:23	relates 50:24 51:2 72:9 104:12 115:21	replace 31:17	response 89:2 111:17
recorded 116:7	relating 10:5	report 53:15 78:7,13,22 80:12, 13 91:9 106:16 112:15 117:22 124:9 125:1,15 136:1 137:14,20	responses 8:5
redirect 139:9	relation 51:1	reporter 5:6 6:3,7,11 13:24 19:2 28:20,23 72:19 83:2 87:11 125:4 131:20 139:13	responsiveness 60:24
refer 67:16 100:5	relationship 99:11,24	represent 80:7 120:7 129:11	rest 10:18 29:25 115:12
reference 80:19 101:3 113:19,20 118:11	relative 81:20 106:25 137:5	represented 12:1	result 44:14
referenced 12:16 69:7 76:6 113:13, 22 135:20	release 52:16	representing 43:19	resulting 68:24
references 102:11 112:25	released 59:22	request 33:18 59:6	resume 7:20 11:23
referring 36:25 100:4	relevant 21:20 22:14 30:12 31:1 33:3 61:4 83:25 119:1	requirements 123:17	retrieved 131:18
refers 116:4	relied 139:5	research 14:24 15:3 21:9 26:20 41:4 62:14,22	retrospect 26:7
reflect 39:8 51:6 76:10	reload 114:11	researchers 17:22	returns 78:3
reflected 121:2	rely 73:3 90:3	reserve 139:21	reveal 65:15
refresh 131:1 133:7 138:5,14, 21	remember 7:21 24:14,17 31:12 34:20,22 35:4 36:4 38:21 43:17 51:23 52:17 53:2,18 55:6,9 64:6,7,9 66:3 71:11 74:25 79:12 92:21 123:14 136:17	resetting 17:24	revealing 64:2
regard	remembering 78:22	reshape 49:4	review 65:12 67:5,24 124:5
	remind 67:13 107:25	resize 128:1	reviewed 67:2,3 68:6 132:19 133:16
			rewriting 18:18
			Richard 6:1 139:13
			Richardson

Index: recognize-Richardson

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

5:22	113:12	script 110:15,17,19 116:7	129:6
ride 87:3	save 13:20,21,22 17:25 18:21 69:20	scripting 110:23 111:6,8,25	sensors 48:4 51:20
ridiculous 32:4 77:2	scenario 47:8	scroll 128:5	sentence 102:5 132:15,23 138:2
Ring 10:12	scenarios 47:9	scrolling 126:9	separate 64:18 108:14 115:12
role 62:8 63:15	scenes 97:2	Scrollpoint 42:17	separated 91:13
Ron 56:8 64:8,12	scheme 97:20	search 40:22 47:15	sequence 86:14
Rony 62:9	schemes 98:10	searches 66:1	sequential 88:17 89:22 90:6,10 92:1,3 97:18
room 5:18 17:1 20:10 21:12 23:25 53:23 63:6 116:21	Schmidt 66:13,23 92:17,19 93:6 100:8 106:17 109:7	Seattle 62:22	sequentially 77:5
rough 139:14	Schmidt's 66:8,13 107:1	secondary 135:24 136:6	Sergey 40:18 58:4
rub 15:20	Schneiderman 43:8	secretaries 56:18	series 25:11
rules 7:23	sciences 14:17	section 131:24	serve 139:22
run 16:10 49:11 51:12 56:14 89:24 98:7 99:10 124:11,24 125:13,18	scientific 20:11,13 41:17,20	security 97:7	served 11:17
running 25:13 29:4 38:8 65:25 74:15,16 88:20 89:25 97:24 98:1,5 100:1	scientists 30:6	segue 64:1	server 78:3 81:21
runs 97:16 98:5 136:23	scope 53:15 91:8 92:16 106:16 112:14 117:22 120:6,8,14 124:9 125:1, 15	Selker 5:4,14,15 7:12 50:16 51:5 76:14 81:12 84:14 87:17 92:17 101:19 105:4 113:16 126:20 127:5,16 137:6,21 138:3 140:4	serving 10:23 11:10,11
S	scratch 138:15	Selker's 137:14	session 126:15
sabbatical 35:25	screen 12:20 13:13 16:21 18:16 19:10,13 20:2,16 29:23 33:24 34:5 39:23 45:7 59:11 60:2 69:17 99:20 101:16 102:22 108:3 115:8,14 122:3 137:14	sell 47:13 48:15	set 13:16 16:10 62:14 67:19 68:6 98:1,8 110:24
sad 24:5	screens 12:18 19:19	selling 45:25	sets 109:5
safety/security 38:9	scrim 34:9	seminar 25:11	SGML 57:16
Samsung 5:20,24 68:7 79:13,18 80:5 138:18		send 139:24	shadow 128:14
Samsung's		sense 44:4 85:12 122:13	shadows 44:25 45:3,6,7
			shape 24:4 38:19 116:5 128:8 138:8,13

Index: ride-shape

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

shapes 115:9	SIGGRAPH 41:9	112:22 115:11 119:10	somebody's 129:21
share 21:13 46:11 137:13,22	sign 139:21	size 19:20 38:23 39:13 85:7 101:8,10,11 102:24 116:5 138:9,13	something's 23:10 108:2
shared 16:12 137:23	signed 139:25	sizes 115:9 122:4	Sony 54:9
sheet 139:22,25	significant 70:1	skeuomorphing 45:10	sooner 8:20
shift 35:1,7,8 58:20	similar 39:13,17 103:25 117:11 128:4	skill 119:20	sort 17:8 35:16 40:8 61:18 88:19 130:5
Shimada 65:22	similarity 69:23	slap 54:4	sorts 29:20 43:15 58:16
ship 48:23 63:2	similarly 131:10	slapped 32:11	sought 40:20
shipped 27:3	simple 28:16 29:8 39:4 49:20 63:18 86:12 87:5 89:19 123:24	slightly 55:14 90:21 134:13	Sounds 50:9
shocking 61:5	simplest 54:2	slipped 77:6	source 72:18,20 77:6
Shop 48:10	simplified 46:10	slow 28:21 54:24	sources 138:15
shopping 48:3	simplifying 46:6	slower 28:24	space 18:20 122:8
short-circuit 78:21 130:16	simply 77:9	small 47:21 118:25 122:7 124:18	speak 28:24 42:13 44:12 53:16 70:7 81:9 125:2
short-cut 50:25	simulations 15:21	smart 22:25 36:3 53:21	speakers 19:5 26:14 40:23 56:1 71:17 72:17 75:11 78:11 82:3 87:10 90:23 111:13 122:23 131:5 133:3
show 19:14 33:25 43:15 49:21 54:11 98:25 103:20 104:11 115:7	simultaneous 19:5 26:14 40:23 56:1 71:17 72:17 75:11 78:11 82:3 87:10 90:23 111:13 122:23 131:5 133:3	Smartguide's 34:25	speaking 93:23
showed 28:7 37:4 55:12	simultaneously 19:10 20:3 23:24	Smartguides 26:24 27:5 32:2	special 24:21 63:4 117:23
showing 33:8,22	sir 5:12 8:1 9:18 12:11 14:5,14 40:2 62:6 63:20 70:17 92:7,24 107:2,22 120:13 132:12	smartphone 39:9 52:15	specially 19:1
shown 23:23 132:17 133:14	sit 23:24 115:15	smartphones 52:9	specific 72:5,6 77:22 90:2 94:20 102:7,14 103:13 129:14
shows 40:3 45:2	sitting 6:17 45:24 77:25	snap 19:12 21:25 54:4 56:19 96:20	specifically 61:21 79:17 104:8 112:11
side 11:16 27:9 108:17		software 15:13 16:14 31:9 54:23	specification 99:7,13 101:21 139:5
sides 12:4		solve 63:17	
Sift 47:16 51:25		solving 121:25 126:5	

Index: shapes-specification

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

spectacularly 58:1	31:11	40:17,19,25 41:1 45:21 48:14,21 49:15	supply 30:1
speed 27:21	step 88:22 108:9	stuff 13:10 15:20,21 21:20 29:25 30:1 31:21 33:2,4 34:2 36:1 42:7 43:4 44:23 48:8 50:12 51:12 54:13 58:7 61:7 74:2 85:7 104:12 107:14 132:8	support 42:4 76:15 77:25 79:10 123:10 126:4 138:22 139:5
spend 40:16 44:4 65:1 107:19	stepping 117:18		supported 105:25
spent 15:4 29:19 46:13 63:5,6 106:24	Steve 56:8		supporting 23:18
spinning 63:4	stock 109:23 129:12,13	stupid 40:22 66:4	suppose 32:21
sports 54:13	stocks 96:6	style 58:22 59:1 60:17 61:14, 21	supposed 23:6 54:7
stable 114:15	stolen 22:23	styles 77:11	surprised 44:20 98:13 114:6 136:14
Stanford 14:23,25 19:11,14 25:8, 16 40:11,17	stop 9:8 48:10 104:22 128:2, 24	submitted 12:14 14:11 64:18 66:14 68:7,25	surprises 44:21 101:4
Starbucks 36:8	store 48:4,5 60:6 96:2,3,5 116:11	subscribed 122:6	Surprising 122:22
start 6:18 7:22 9:18 12:11 14:14 23:10 58:17,25 59:18 60:16 121:17 128:2,24 132:23	stored 15:14 86:16 90:14 92:4	substance 70:17 71:1	Symbolics 17:5,20 116:10,20
started 25:17 46:18 52:23 60:9 64:8	stores 48:15	substantive 135:18	system 15:13 17:18 18:11,18, 25 19:12,15 25:12 26:22 27:1 30:22 31:24 33:10,11,18,25 34:6 46:2 47:15 51:15 102:21 103:14 116:3 118:21 119:20,21,22, 24,25 120:19 121:23 122:18 123:8 124:2 127:25
starting 115:7	storing 60:3 86:2	subvert 95:23	systems 18:14 22:21 42:19 45:19 46:23 119:23 120:4,20 121:4,8 122:21
state 5:12 119:18	strategy 62:10	succeeding 33:7	
statement 66:9,13,14	streaming 115:3,4	success 37:15,16 135:6	T
statements 66:9	strike 12:6 38:10 57:6 85:10 87:15 99:12 117:17 118:20 132:22	suggest 87:14	T1 119:10
states 22:5	structure 60:6 73:18 77:7 85:15, 21 86:2,8,12,13 87:4,18 88:19 89:18 90:3 104:14 112:23	sum 135:23	tablet 82:15
static 23:17 115:10 127:12	structured 84:22,24 85:2,8	summarize 26:2	tablets 82:15
stay 106:23	structures 87:2 91:18	summer 30:8	
stays 114:15	student 14:22 25:11	Sunday 41:7	
Steelcase	students	super 28:21	
		super-fancy 33:9	

Index: spectacularly-tablets

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

tags 57:18 84:24 85:4,15 90:12 taking 45:9 76:23 122:7 139:17 talk 6:6 19:16 21:2,21 35:11,12 43:9 45:11 52:7 58:4,9,18 62:2 67:18 69:4 74:15 75:3 87:21 100:22 102:5 107:3,9,11 108:14 113:4,19 118:9 119:16 120:10,16 130:8,24 133:5 talked 34:11 42:24 50:12 61:23 62:9,11,12,14 64:8 74:9 79:5 talking 16:18,19,20 17:15,16 31:1 39:9 42:11 51:8 52:1 61:21 64:9 66:13 67:18 72:23 75:10 81:8 83:23 84:15 87:2 92:13 94:19 95:19 105:21 108:12 112:8 120:20, 22,23 129:7 134:10 talks 41:4 100:20,22 109:7 target 123:25 task 63:18 taught 15:1 25:16 48:18,19,20, 25 Taunton 46:14 teach 33:19 40:14 44:23 teaching 38:1 39:5 40:11 49:14 team 23:18 34:18 45:20 techdojo.org. 45:21	technical 5:23 53:13 technically 62:7 technique 82:6 techniques 33:19 34:4 43:14 45:5 65:25 technologies 42:3 54:1 65:25 technology 13:16 30:24 55:21 61:25 72:2 126:6 133:11,12,16 134:1,7, 20 Ted 5:14 46:3 63:11 140:4 telephone 15:23 telling 109:22 tells 74:6 template 58:23 59:1 61:17 72:10 73:4,7,13 74:4,11,17,24 75:7 76:16,22 78:1 79:10 88:7 89:7 98:13, 14 102:7 103:11 129:3, 5 templates 60:17 61:14,21 87:22 88:24 134:14 tenure 62:16 term 72:10 78:24 81:13,16 86:23 92:14,22 93:5 98:18 100:13 terms 48:17 71:22 83:6 108:20 terrible 82:16 territories 92:20	Terry 58:3 testified 5:7 11:16 89:6 testimony 9:12 12:8 74:13 79:2 83:19 97:15 111:10,24 125:1,15,25 text 16:23 33:25 43:24,25 44:1,3,6 68:13 105:12 116:7 text.htt 115:6 116:4 textual 46:9 textually 38:3 thesis 32:2,4,8 42:6 thin 29:24 thing 6:19 15:15 20:2 21:16, 23 22:4 23:3 24:5,21 27:23 28:4 33:18,22 34:12,14 37:19 38:6 41:3 48:17 52:20 53:22 55:24 59:14,18 62:3,21 63:19 67:1 71:10 74:19 77:2 87:5 93:25 94:6,16 97:6 99:15 103:10 118:6 121:24 122:9 125:18 126:2 132:21 things 15:12,22,24,25 17:1 18:16 19:25 20:9,15 22:21 23:2 24:24 27:9 28:2,7 29:6,8,16,17 31:9 32:10 33:24 34:4 35:17 36:12 37:23,24 38:17 41:25 42:1,8,11 43:10,17,19 44:5,9,24 45:2,12 46:8,9 47:1,2, 21,25 48:15,16 51:18 52:12 53:6,17,20 54:3,5 56:13 57:2,23 59:2,5,13 60:5,6,24 61:5 62:17, 19,20 67:13 72:14 73:24 74:18 75:17	77:13 83:7 85:5 86:9, 15,16,19 87:1,3 88:12 89:17,24 90:1,2,4,13,14 91:3,18 93:6 94:9 96:6, 19 98:6 100:4,7 101:9, 16 102:25 103:1,5,13, 20 104:15 108:6,19 111:1,19 112:17 114:10 116:6 118:25 119:5,9 120:3 121:25 122:6 127:21,22 128:5 134:13 thinking 23:12,13 27:12 39:15 45:3 51:16 53:19 56:6 66:4 72:3 93:4,5 104:16 106:25 107:19 110:7 114:6 Thinkpad 29:21 thinks 86:9 thought 10:10 18:23,25 24:20 28:13 32:13 37:15 41:21 50:13 60:25 61:22 71:6 84:9 87:25 88:4 97:22 101:6 111:5 116:16 thousand 16:13 19:21,22 21:16 thousands 120:3 TI 17:7 time 13:4,11 16:7,11 17:25 18:22,24 19:9,13 25:3, 24 27:11 28:12 35:5,9, 21 39:1,15 40:5,16,20 43:1 44:4 50:2,7,13 52:24 53:1 55:10 57:7 59:20,25 60:14 61:10 62:23 63:5,6,21 65:1 66:3 69:16 72:3 76:23 84:7 104:17,22 106:1, 25 111:16 113:18 114:5 116:8,22 119:1 124:20 134:15 136:10 timeline 59:19,22
--	--	---	---

Index: tags-timeline

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

times 7:13,19 9:4,19 27:21 33:10 68:19,20 101:24 129:14 Tipping 29:24 today 7:14 8:2 9:13 19:20 51:1 58:19 77:25 116:17 118:7 122:18 told 26:25 tool 33:23 34:3 toolchain 45:19 tools 57:4 58:6,18 103:14 top 29:22 34:5 38:19 topics 42:13 total 135:23 touchscreen 21:17 touchscreens 54:16 55:3,4,5,7 TPU 61:6 track 29:8 38:5 53:23 tracked 30:21 Trackpoint 26:12 30:3,24 35:12 42:14 Trackpoints 30:23 training 38:1 transcript 6:23 139:14,21 transformermodeling .com	45:20 treading 92:19 treated 26:9 tremendous 58:11 trouble 38:7 true 134:9 truth 8:5 truthful 9:12 tunnel 97:7 Turing 124:11 turn 10:11 25:19 turned 10:10 26:23 27:9 48:9 turns 45:6 tying 77:22 type 13:1 55:20 83:15 93:10 97:20 typed 100:19 types 53:9 95:20 typical 63:11 90:11 typically 81:22 U Uh-huh 120:25 unavailable 57:5	uncontrollable 28:19 understand 8:1,4,9,10,11 17:14 18:2 20:4 32:9,10 39:21 55:2 74:10 83:13 117:13 122:15 123:15, 16 128:16 129:11 134:2 135:13 understanding 80:9 86:22,23 89:7 97:10 100:1,12 102:18 104:1 106:25 111:4 115:23 134:5 understood 8:14 10:17 uniform 59:8,9,11 unique 46:9 117:19 universe 22:19 university 14:23 27:8 48:14 Unlike 137:24 unpack 15:5 83:12 unusual 15:15 44:7 49:20 updatable 127:13 updating 96:5 122:7 URL 109:23,25 131:14,19 URLS 77:12 user 17:18,19 25:12 32:23, 25 33:20 40:14 42:3,12, 25 43:2,5,12 46:6 57:21 62:13 93:13,19 94:4 95:14 115:13,22,25 116:1,5 117:11 126:4 131:12 136:22,24	user's 81:21 117:14 user-friendly 82:23 utilize 60:3 V vague 55:11 valuable 125:17 136:19 values 23:5 Valve 21:15 variable 22:9,10 variables 23:7 variety 51:18 82:7,10 85:9 Variously 55:7 varying 134:15 Veronica 58:2,7,21 version 60:9 69:21,22 70:9 76:2 versions 47:11 69:23 119:21,25 120:19 video 15:7 33:21 39:7 VIDEOCONFERENCE 5:1 videos 49:12 view 13:7 18:7 19:9 22:19 29:20 69:10 138:9 viewable 19:10
--	---	---	--

Index: times-viewable

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

viewed 131:11 viewer 101:5,23 102:9 108:4 viewing 38:12 virtual 47:24 virus 97:5 visual 24:10,12 41:25 42:7 56:23,24 57:22 119:3 138:7 visualization 24:7 27:12,14,24 28:1,3 30:22 41:11 visualizations 27:16 volume 6:4 10:12 von 5:24 W WAIS 58:2,7 waited 36:9 wall 31:10 69:18 wall's 21:18 wall-size 21:14 wallet 31:9,15,20 35:16 wanted 18:8 26:17,18,20,21 27:1 40:2 45:25 63:20 68:22 69:24 71:19 86:12 93:6 95:5,10 96:1 114:18 132:12,14 wanting 60:22,23 136:22	WAP 46:25 47:19 51:9,11,17, 24 52:5,6,23,25 53:2,4 54:1,6,9,19 55:3 war 123:8 watch 22:9 ways 16:15 22:21 23:13 32:8 43:18 58:16 82:20 88:16 122:1 126:5 wear 47:22 wearable 47:23,25 wearables 31:9 35:16 web 51:25 52:2 53:10 58:10, 12 60:4 61:1 82:20,22 87:23 88:1,7,14,16,18, 20,24 91:23 92:9,11,18, 25 96:20,21 97:2,3 99:3,19 105:18 106:1 117:25 131:9,10,11,12, 15 136:18 website 49:11 Wecker 65:22 113:20 114:1 118:10,19,21 120:23 121:12,19,21 122:5,11 123:16,17 124:5,14,23 125:13 Wecker's 119:20 weight 63:1 well-received 42:15 Well-written 66:7 Wendy 24:16,17 25:1 white 39:22	whoever's 128:9 wider 38:24 widgets 96:24 wiggle 23:15 window 13:12 15:13 19:13 20:18 44:12,13,17,18, 19 115:17,18 129:16, 20,25 130:4,24 131:11, 13,18 windows 16:3,9,16,18 17:16 18:5,6,12,13 19:8,10 20:14 22:20 116:10 118:21,22,23,24 119:4, 5 120:22,23 121:11,13, 15,16,19,21 122:16,18 123:4,5,7,14,18,25 124:7,14,17,19,20,24 125:13 127:23 128:17 130:18 Winograd 58:3 winter 30:7 wire 105:14 wired 119:10 Wirth 86:8 wizard 62:5,8 63:16 Wolf 25:4,5 woman 24:17 wondering 71:2 wood 74:4 word 22:22 32:10 101:24	106:7 words 87:7 122:20 125:22 wore 47:23 work 10:15 14:21 15:9 16:1 18:8 22:11 23:17,19 24:3 26:17 32:24 33:10 35:21 46:22 47:12 48:11 50:3 53:20 55:15, 16 57:8 61:8,17 62:16 64:24 65:7 68:24 82:7, 10 94:22 106:24 109:18 112:9 116:13 126:3 worked 11:13 12:5,7 14:22 15:2,12,20 16:3 17:12 19:8 21:1 23:1 26:3,16 27:10 40:5 59:12 63:22 64:16 working 14:23 15:6 16:1 21:11 24:21 46:23 47:11 63:7, 21 64:4 112:1 116:19 workshop 58:3 workstations 20:12,13 world 41:4 62:18 106:4 123:9 world's 129:21 worry 97:4 114:14 worth 88:20 wrist 29:24 wrists 32:11 writable 138:11 write 15:18 48:15 57:13 68:13 87:5
---	---	---	--

Index: viewed-write

THE SULLIVAN GROUP OF COURT REPORTERS

writes 57:13 writing 49:2 62:17 77:24 93:5 written 16:24 29:11 41:6 100:2 109:8 111:8,25 112:13, 20 wrong 30:9 35:15 110:10 114:12 wrote 16:14 24:7 36:19 41:21 42:18 48:22 56:10 59:14 78:14 98:20 106:2 WWW 59:9 WYSIWYG 22:22	52:10 ZOOM 5:1	
X		
Xerox 15:2 17:9,11 19:17 21:4,5,8,10 22:12,13, 17,18 25:9 XML 59:18,22 73:17 77:4 78:3 84:16,19 85:7,15 88:9,11,14 89:13,14,21 90:6,11,16,25 91:7 108:23 112:13,20		
Y		
year 40:13 41:13 46:13 64:7 years 15:4 27:3 29:9,19 37:8 45:8 48:25 62:23 York 27:8		
Z		
Zealand 36:10 Zeiss		

Index: writes-ZOOM