



## 3GPP\_TSG\_RAN\_WG2 Archives

February 2018, Week 1

3GPP\_TSG\_RAN\_WG2@LIST.ETSI.ORG



**Options:** [Use Monospaced Font](#)  
[Show Text Part by Default](#)  
[Show All Mail Headers](#)

**Message:** [[<< First](#)] [[< Prev](#)] [[Next >](#)] [[Last >>](#)]

**Topic:** [[<< First](#)] [[< Prev](#)] [[Next >](#)] [[Last >>](#)]

**Author:** [[<< First](#)] [[< Prev](#)] [[Next >](#)] [[Last >>](#)]

**Subject:** [Draft agenda and schedule for RAN2#101, February 18](#)  
**From:** "Burbidge, Richard C" <[\[log in to unmask\]](#)>  
**Reply To:** Burbidge, Richard C  
**Date:** Wed, 7 Feb 2018 16:44:43 +0000  
**Content-Type:** multipart/mixed  
**Parts/Attachments:** [text/plain](#) (9 kB) , [text/html](#) (29 kB) , [R2-17xxxxx-RAN2-101-Athens-Proposed-Agenda-v1.0.docx](#) (280 kB) , [RAN2-101-Athens-schedule-v1.0.docx](#) (254 kB)



Dear All

Please find attached a draft agenda and meeting week schedule for RAN2#101 in Athens. Please also read the guidelines below. The guidelines are based on the those provided at previous meetings but I still request that you read them carefully. Experience shows that some people do not read them. The red text includes differences compared to the previous meeting.

#### Deadlines

- Document number request deadline: Thursday, 2018-February-15, 23:59 Pacific time.
- Document submission deadline for documents not related to ASN.1 review: Thursday, 2018-February-15, 23:59 Pacific time.
- Document submission deadline for documents related to ASN.1 review (AI 10.4.3): Tuesday, 2018-February-20, 23:59 Pacific time (same as deadline for the ASN.1 review email discussions)
- Upload announcements on the RAN2 email reflector are not required for tdocs submitted before the submission deadline. In the exceptional case that you upload a document after the submission deadline, an announcement is required to be sent on the RAN2 email reflector. Late submissions might not be reviewed, treated or even included in the chair's skeleton.
- Juha will provide instruction for requesting tdoc numbers using the 3GU tool. Please read and follow his instructions carefully.

#### General guidance on corrections to Stage 2 and to Stage 3 for EN-DC.

- Editorial corrections, wording improvements, etc should first be communicated to the specification rapporteur for possible inclusion in a rapporteur's running CR, and only submitted as a separate document if you conclude with the rapporteur that a separate contribution is needed. (For RRC specifications, such proposed corrections can be provided as part of the ongoing ASN.1 review)
- For all approved NR specifications, the rapporteur has already provided a running CR based on the outcome of the ad hoc. This will be submitted to the meeting as a CR (with CR number allocated). For the NR specifications, only the specification rapporteur should request a document type CR.
- Proposed corrections to the running CRs should be submitted as discussion documents (not draft CRs as at the ad hoc) that justify the proposed change and include a text proposal showing the proposed changes (with revision marks) relative to the text of the running CR(s). The justification should at least provide the same information as the 'reason for change', 'summary of change', and 'consequences if not approved' parts of a CR coversheet. Any agreed changes will be added to the running CR by the rapporteur/author of that CR.
- Please group related changes into one document in a sensible way. Do not unnecessarily split changes across many separate documents.
- For EN-DC the focus is on essential corrections - please do not submit corrections containing enhancements to EN-DC.
- For stage 2 corrections use only AI 10.2.2.x (for EN-DC) and AI 10.2.3 (for non-EN-DC). All other stage 2 AIs are intended for progressing standalone).
- For corrections related to ASN.1 then please raise issues as part of the ASN.1 review. Where an ASN.1 issue requires a discussion document then the issue number from the issue list is to be included in the title of the document.
- Corrections to 38.331 and 36.331 it is expected that most corrections will be handled as part of the ongoing ASN.1 review. If there are contributions not related to the ASN.1 review then they may be submitted to AIs 10.4.1.3.1, 10.4.1.4.1, 10.4.1.4.2, 10.4.1.5.1, 10.4.1.5.2, 10.4.2.2, 10.4.2.3. Please check what is being addressed within the ASN.1 review before submitting contributions to these AIs.

#### General guidance on correction to Rel-15 LTE WIs LTE\_STTlandPT, LTE\_ViLTE\_enh2, LTE\_QMC\_Streaming)

- These WIs were completed from the RAN2 point of view but the RAN2 CRs have not been implemented to the specification as described in RP-172755. The CRs will be maintained as running CRs (with the same CR number) and then agreed again in RAN2#102 (May).
- Proposed corrections to the running CRs should be submitted as discussion documents that justify the proposed change and include a text proposal showing the proposed changes (with revision marks) relative to the text of the running CR. The justification should at least provide the same information as the 'reason for change', 'summary of change', and 'consequences if not approved' parts of a CR coversheet. Any agreed changes will be added to the running CR by the rapporteur/author of that CR.

### Identifying Revisions/Resubmissions

The purpose of this process is to make it quicker and easier for us all to review documents that are resubmission or revisions.

Please mark all document that are revisions or resubmissions by including 'Resubmission of R2-17xxxxx' or 'Revision of R2-17xxxxx' in second line of the document, immediately below the tdoc number. Use resubmission in the case the document has no technical changes (editorial corrections are ok), and use revision in the case that there are technical changes. The changes should also be briefly summarised in the introduction of the document.

In addition, when requesting the tdoc number for a resubmission (not for a revision) of a discussion document via 3GU, please tick the 'Is a revision of' box, enter the tdoc number of the document that was submitted to the previous meeting, and click the prefill button. 3GU will then automatically populate the fields with the same information as the previously submitted document. You will then be free change any of the info if you need to - in particular please check that the agenda item is correct for the forthcoming meeting. If you use the bulk tdoc request then you should fill the column with the heading 'Is revision of' (column Q) but the other document information will not be automatically populated for you. In the 3GU generated tdoc list, column Q will show the previously submitted tdoc number. The content of column Q will also be included for each tdoc in the report skeleton. In this way you will be able to easily know from the tdoc list or skeleton that a document is an unchanged resubmission.

The above instructions do not apply for CRs. For CRs please follow the normal process where a tdoc request must always be marked as a revision (irrespective of what type of change is made to the content) in order to be given the same CR number (and incremented revision number).

### Email discussions

Please provide your company views to the email discussions and refrain from submitting a contribution to the next meeting on the topics that have been adequately addressed by the email discussion. In cases where a particular aspect of an email discussion was not conclusive, and hence a single proposal for a way forward cannot be made, then the rapporteur should provide a proposal to discuss, identifying the key questions to be answered and the main options to select between. The email discussion report should be the only document that needs to be treated on the subject, and other tdocs on the same topic should not be necessary.

### Responsibilities

- Please read the agenda carefully and follow the guidance within each agenda item (do not assume the agenda will be same as previous meetings).
- Submit documents to the correct agenda item.
- Consider carefully whether your document is stage 2 or stage 3 (consider whether for LTE the related aspects are captured in 36.300 or in a stage 3 spec)
- Respect the "Maximum 1 tdoc per company" if indicated
- Do not submit multiple documents with overlapping proposals.
- Do not submit documents on topics that we have agreed not to discuss until we have made progress in other areas.
- Corrections to draft TSs should first be communicated to the specification rapporteur for possible inclusion in a rapporteur's update, and only submitted as a separate contribution to the meeting if you and the rapporteur conclude it needs to be first discussed by the group.
- Text proposals should be incorporated in supporting contributions, at least for cases where related specification text already exists (i.e. separate TP documents are discouraged).

### CR quality and isolated impact statements:

- Please focus on high quality CRs and CR coversheets
- Isolated impact statements, following the agreed guidelines, should be provided for all CRs up to and including Rel-14.
- The reason for change should fully describe the reason for the CR, even if you have provided a separate discussion paper. Also, please only provide a separate discussion paper if the problem really requires additional supporting information to justify the change.
- Ensure that the CR is based on the latest available version of the specification and that all fields on the

[ATOM](#) [RSS1](#) [RSS2](#)