Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper: 27
Entered: May 17 , 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRODEVICES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00788 (Patent 9,590,582 B2)
Case IPR2023-00789 (Patent 7,936,245 B2)
Case IPR2023-00920 (Patent 8,006,218 B2)

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, KIMBERLY McGRAW, and BRIAN D. RANGE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McGRAW*, Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

Patent Owner submitted an email requesting clarification as to whether the change in panel for IPR2023-00788, IPR2023-00789, IPR2023-00920 will impact the location of an in-person hearing and inquiring if an in-person hearing request for Alexandria is possible for the three cases. IPR2023-00788, Ex. 3001; IPR2023-00789, Ex. 3001; IPR2023-00920, Ex. 3001.

Patent Owner also submitted an email requesting modification of DUE DATE 8 of the Scheduling Order in IPR2023-00920 from August 23, 2024 to September 6, 2024 or later. IPR2023-00920, Ex. 3002. Petitioner does not oppose this request.

Patent Owner's request to change the hearing date in IPR2023-00920 is granted. The oral hearing, if requested, for IPR2023-00920, will be held on September 6, 2024.

As to Patent Owner's inquiry of the possibility to conduct an in-hearing at the Alexandria, Virginia USPTO Headquarters, there are no panel judges available for an in-person hearing in Alexandria.

For proceeding IPR2023-00920, the parties may request either an in-person hearing at the San Jose, USPTO Regional Office or a virtual hearing by video conference. For the parties' information in making this decision, if the hearing is held in-person at the San Jose, California, USPTO Regional Office, one judge will appear in-person and two judges will appear remotely by video.

For proceeding IPR2023-00788 and IPR2023-00789, the parties have the additional option to request that an in-person hearing be held in the Dallas, USPTO Regional Office. Thus, for IPR2023-00788 and

IPR2023789, the parties may request either an in-person hearing at the San Jose, USPTO Regional Office, the Dallas, USPTO Regional Office, or a virtual hearing by video conference. For the Parties' information in making this decision, regardless of whether the hearing is held in-person at the San Jose, California, USPTO Regional Office or the Dallas, Texas USPTO Regional Office, one judge will appear in-person and two judges will appear remotely by video.

The parties are advised that the Board's ability to honor the parties' request for any particular in-person hearing location will depend upon, among other things, the availability of hearing room resources at the time of the parties' request.

Revised Scheduling Orders for IPR2023-00788, IPR2023-00789, and IPR2023-00920 will issue shortly to reflect what has been discussed above.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's request in IPR2023-00920 to change DUE DATE 8 of the scheduling Order is granted; and

FURTHER ORDERED that due to panel availability, scheduling an in-person hearing for any of the three above proceedings in Alexandria, Virginia, per Patent Owner's request, is not presently possible, and is, thus, denied.

FOR PETITIONER:

Brian E. Ferguson
Chaoxuan Charles Liu
Louis L. Campbell
WINSTON & STRAWN LLC
beferguson@winston.com
ccliu@winston.com
llcampbell@winston.com

Douglas R. Wilson
Michelle E. Armond
Josepher Li
Patrick Maloney
ARMOND WILSON LLP
doug.wilson@armondwilson.com
michelle.armond@armondwilson.com
josepher.li@armondwilson.com
patrick.maloney@armondwilson.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Jason A. Engel
Jay C. Chiu
Erik J. Halverson
K&L GATES LLP
jason.engel.ptab@klgates.com
jay.chiu@klgates.com
erik.halverson@klgates.com

Steven Baik
WHITE HAT LEGAL
sbaik@whitehat.legal