

From: [Ferguson, Brian E.](#)
To: [Halverson, Erik J.](#); [Douglas Wilson](#)
Cc: [Engel, Jason A.](#); [Parker, R. Gregory](#); [Steve Baik](#); [Chiu, Jay C.](#); [Weiskopf, Elizabeth J.](#); [Angelis, Theo](#); [Campbell, Louis L.](#); [Liu, Charles](#); [Masullo, JC \(EXTERNAL\)](#); [Vlasis, Robert T.](#); [Michelle Armond](#); [Joseph Li](#); [Patrick Maloney](#); [Consuelo Durand](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2023-00788; -00789; -00920: Panel Change/Hearing Location
Date: Monday, May 13, 2024 9:55:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Erik:

As to the 245 and 582 patent IPRs, we will request an in-person hearing and have no objection to it taking place in Alexandria, as long as the hearing date in the scheduling order does not change. We are not involved with the 218 patent IPR so Armond Wilson will need to respond separately regarding that hearing.

Regards,

Brian

From: Halverson, Erik J. <Erik.Halverson@klgates.com>
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2024 1:16 PM
To: Ferguson, Brian E. <BEFerguson@winston.com>; Douglas Wilson <doug.wilson@armondwilson.com>
Cc: Engel, Jason A. <jason.engel@klgates.com>; Parker, R. Gregory <Greg.Parker@klgates.com>; Steve Baik <sbaik@whitehat.legal>; Chiu, Jay C. <Jay.Chiu@klgates.com>; Weiskopf, Elizabeth J. <Elizabeth.Weiskopf@klgates.com>; Angelis, Theo <theo.angelis@klgates.com>; Campbell, Louis L. <LLcampbell@winston.com>; Liu, Charles <CCLiu@winston.com>; Masullo, JC <JMasullo@winston.com>; Vlasis, Robert T. <RVlasis@winston.com>; Michelle Armond <michelle.armond@armondwilson.com>; Joseph Li <Joseph.li@armondwilson.com>; Patrick Maloney <Patrick.maloney@armondwilson.com>; Consuelo Durand <consuelo.durand@armondwilson.com>
Subject: IPR2023-00788; -00789; -00920: Panel Change/Hearing Location

Counsel for AMD,

Pursuant to the scheduling orders in these cases regarding meeting and conferring on in-person vs. remote hearings, Realtek requests AMD's position on whether it intends to seek an in-person hearing for these matters. Realtek's preference is for an in-person hearing. Given the panel change in these three proceedings, and as there are no longer any judges located in Denver, Realtek also intends to request clarification from the Board regarding whether the in-person hearing option can be moved from Denver to Alexandria. Please let us know if you have any comments to the below

REALTEK EXHIBIT 2008

AMD v. Realtek

IPR2023-00788

email.

Dear Board,

Counsel for Patent Owner respectfully requests clarification regarding the scheduling orders in these cases. The Scheduling Orders (Paper No. 13 in IPR2023-00788, Paper No. 10 in IPR2023-00789, and Paper No. 12 in IPR2023-00920) identify the Denver Regional Office as the location for the hearing. In view of the change of panel (Papers 24, 22, and 24 respectively), and as there are no longer any APJs assigned to these cases located in Denver, Patent Owner seeks clarification regarding whether an in-person hearing request for Alexandria is possible. Patent Owner has conferred with Petitioner and Petitioner [does not object to the hearing being in Alexandria if the Board is amenable].

The Parties can be available for a conference call at the Board's convenience if one should be necessary.



Erik Halverson
K&L Gates LLP
Four Embarcadero Center
Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: 415-882-8238
Mobile: 847-347-5959
erik.halverson@klgates.com
www.klgates.com

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of K&L Gates LLP. The contents may be privileged and confidential and are intended for the use of the intended addressee(s) only. If you are not an intended addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me at Erik.Halverson@klgates.com.

The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. If this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author. Any tax advice contained in this email was not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you (or any other taxpayer) to avoid penalties under applicable tax laws and regulations.