

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-00789
U.S. Patent No. 7,936,245

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board’s Scheduling Order (Paper 10), Petitioner requests oral argument in this *inter partes* review. Oral argument is currently scheduled for July 22, 2024, as set forth in the Scheduling Order. Paper 10 at 11.

Without intending to waive any issue not specifically identified, Petitioner specifies that the arguments will address the instituted grounds of unpatentability; issues raised in the parties’ substantive papers; issues raised in the Board’s institution decision; arguments made by Patent Owner at the oral hearing; and any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

The parties met and conferred about the request for hearing as required by the Scheduling Order. Subject to the Board’s approval, Petitioner requests a remote hearing with no more than 45 minutes of argument time per side, inclusive of any rebuttal time either party wishes to reserve at the hearing. Petitioner requests a rebuttal to respond to all issues raised by Patent Owner’s argument.

Petitioner understands that the Board’s Scheduling Order states that “PTAB will only conduct an in-person hearing when requested by all parties.” Paper 10 at 7. Patent Owner, in its Request for Oral Argument, seeks relief from that order by requesting the ability to appear in person in San Jose, CA even though Petitioner requests a remote hearing. Petitioner objects to Patent Owner being allowed to appear in person if Petitioner appears remotely and believes that the Board’s

statement in the Scheduling Order should still apply. But to the extent that the Board allows Patent Owner to appear in person, Petitioner requests the right to also appear in person, although for cost and efficiency reasons it prefers that the hearing take place remotely as requested.

Date: June 10, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian E. Ferguson

Brian E. Ferguson (lead counsel)

Reg. No. 36,801

Joseph C. Masullo (backup counsel)

(pro hac vice)

Robert T. Vlasits III (backup counsel)

(pro hac vice)

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

1901 L Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel.: 202-282-5276

beferguson@winston.com

jmasullo@winston.com

rvlasits@winston.com

Chaoxuan Charles Liu (backup counsel)

Reg. No. 76,616

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

2121 North Pearl Street, Suite 900

Dallas, TX 75201

Tel.: 214-453-6500

ccliu@winston.com

Louis L. Campbell (backup counsel)

Reg. No. 59,963

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

225 Shoreline Drive, Suite 520

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Tel.: 650-858-6500
llcampbell@winston.com

AMD-IPR@winston.com

Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT were served electronically via email on June 10, 2024, on the following counsel of record:

Jason.Engel.PTAB@klgates.com;

Jay.chiu@klgates.com;

Erik.halverson@klgates.com;

sbaik@whitehat.legal

/s/ Brian E. Ferguson

Brian E. Ferguson (lead counsel)
Reg. No. 36,801
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
1901 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel.: 202-282-5276
beferguson@winston.com
AMD-IPR@winston.com