Trials@uspto.gov
571.272.7822

Paper No. 21
Date: February 23, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PARSE BIOSCIENCES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

10X GENOMICS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-00876 (Patent 10,155,981 B2)
IPR2023-00955 (Patent 10,240,197 B1)
IPR2023-00958 (Patent 10,697,013 B1)[1]

Before MICHAEL J. FITZPATRICK, SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, and DEVON ZASTROW NEWMAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

FITZPATRICK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice*
Admission of Edward R. Reines
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

[1] This Order addresses issues that are the same in each of these proceedings. We issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this style caption unless later permitted.

On February 16, 2024, Petitioner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Edward R. Reines in each of the above-identified proceedings. *See, e.g.*, Paper 18.[2] The Motion is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Reines. Ex. 1054. Petitioner indicates that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 18, 2.

"The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose." 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). For example, a motion for *pro hac vice* admission may be granted "upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding." *Id.*

Upon review of the record before us, we determine that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Mr. Reines *pro hac vice* in the above-identified proceedings. In particular, Mr. Reines states that he has "over 25 years of experience as a patent litigator and [has] represented clients in numerous patent litigation cases," and that he is lead counsel in the related district court case in which the patents challenged in the above-identified proceedings have been asserted. Ex. 1054 ¶¶1, 4.

[2] For expediency, we cite only to the record in IPR2023-00876.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Edward R. Reines are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner must maintain a registered practitioner as its lead counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Reines is authorized to represent Petitioner in the above-identified proceedings as back-up counsel only;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Reines be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide[3] (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Reines is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

[3] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

IPR2023-00876 (Patent 10,155,981 B2)
IPR2023-00955 (Patent 10,240,197 B1)
IPR2023-00958 (Patent 10,697,013 B1)

PETITIONER:

Derek C. Walter
Adrian C. Percer
William Sutton Ansley
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
derek.walter@weil.com
adrian.percer@weil.com
sutton.ansley@weil.com

PATENT OWNER:

Jon Baughman
Megan Raymond
GROOMBRIDGE, WU, BAUGHMAN & STONE LLP
steve.baughman@groombridgewu.com
megan.raymond@groombridgewu.com