

Exhibit 1007

David Newman (Bar No. 246351)
david@tilg.us
Richard A. De Liberty (Bar No. 203754)
richard@tilg.us
John Rosenthal (Bar No. 206126)
john@tilg.us
THE INTERNET LAW GROUP
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 725E
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
Tel. (310) 910-1496

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mitsubishi Electric
Corporation, and Sisvel International S.A.*

MCCARTNEY DALLMANN LLP
Andrew S. Dallmann, Bar No. 206771
andrew@mdllplaw.com
23187 La Cadena Dr, Ste 102
Laguna Hills, CA 92653
Tel: +1.951.678.2267
Fax: +1.951.262.8054

*Attorneys for Defendants TCL Communication
Technology Holdings Limited, TCT Mobile
International Limited, TCT Mobile, Inc., TCT
Mobile (US) Inc., and TCT Mobile (US)
Holdings Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC
CORPORATION AND SISVEL
INTERNATIONAL S.A.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TCL COMMUNICATION
TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS
LIMITED, TCT MOBILE
INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, TCT
MOBILE (US) INC., and TCT MOBILE
(US) HOLDINGS INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 8:22-cv-01073-GW-DFM

**AMENDED JOINT CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION AND
PREHEARING STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Standing Patent Rule (“S.P.R.”) 3.4, Plaintiffs Mitsubishi Electric Corporation and Sisvel International S.A. (collectively “Plaintiffs”) and Defendants TCL Communication Technology Holdings Limited, TCT Mobile International Limited, TCT Mobile, Inc., TCT Mobile (US) Inc., and TCT Mobile (US) Holdings Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) hereby submit this Amended Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

I. AGREED CONSTRUCTIONS (S.P.R. 3.4.1)

The parties have not agreed upon any constructions.

II. DISPUTED CONSTRUCTIONS (S.P.R. 3.4.2)

U.S. Patent No. 9,635,656 – Claim 3

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiffs’ Proposed Construction and Evidence	Defendants’ Proposed Construction and Evidence
quality notification signal	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> '656 file history: MELCO-TCL000055; MELCO-TCL000057; MELCO-TCL000123; MELCO-TCL000124; MELCO-TCL000145.	Channel Quality Indication (CQI) signal. <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> 2:25-35; 2:42-46; 2:66-3:4; Figure 22.
when a transmission of said quality notification signal coincides with that of said scheduling request signal in said transmitter,	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> '656 Patent: 7:65-8:3; 8:23-27; 15:20-28; 16:7-21; 17:31-40; 18:5-12; 18:17-30; 18:37-54; 21:43-53; Figure 6; Figure 8.	when said [quality notification signal] is transmitted by the transmitter simultaneously with the transmission of the scheduling request signal over the same channel,

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Evidence
	'656 file history: MELCO-TCL000052-53; MELCO-TCL000057-58; MELCO-TCL000077-78; MELCO-TCL000105-106; MELCO-TCL000123; MELCO-TCL145-146; MELCO-TCL000244; MELCO-TCL000387; MELCO-TCL000759; MELCO-TCL000760.	<u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> 15:20-28; 16:7-21; 17:31-40; 18:5-12; 18:17-30; 18:37-54; 21:43-53; Figures 6, 8 and associated descriptions from the specification <u>Extrinsic Evidence</u> Transmission – “an act, process, or instance of transmitting.” Websters Third International Dictionary (1986) [TCL_MELCO00002413-2417], p. 2429. Coincides – “to occur at the same time or occupy the same period of time.” <i>Id.</i> at p. 441.
stopping transmitting said quality notification signal during a time interval during which said transmitter transmits said scheduling request signal	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> '656 patent: 7:55-8:3; 8:13-27; 18:37-44; 23:23-34. '656 file history: MELCO-TCL000057-58	stopping the transmission of said [quality notification signal] during a time interval when the transmitter is also transmitting a scheduling request signal on the same channel <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> 16:7-21; 17:31-40; 18:37-54; 21:43-53; Figures 6, 8 and associated descriptions from the specification

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Evidence
		File History, U.S. Patent No. 9,636,656, Office Action Response, February 3, 2016 (at p7-9).

U.S. Patent No. 9,713,068 – Claim 3

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Evidence
cells for specific subscribers	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> '068 patent: 7:22-56; 8:9-32. '068 file history: MELCO-TCL001148; MELCO-TCL001526. <u>Extrinsic Evidence</u> Plaintiffs may additionally rely on the Declaration of Dr. Todor Cooklev	Home-eNB (HeNB) cells that support wireless communication only for user equipments allowed to use the HeNB cells <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> Abstract; 7:28-33; 7:37-43; 7:46-56; 10:64-11:51, and Fig. 7. <u>Extrinsic Evidence</u> Declaration of Mark Lanning Regarding Claim Construction.
said base stations manually selected by user equipment	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> '068 patent: 32:47-60. '068 file history: MELCO-TCL001143.	manual selection of a base station by a user of user equipment <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> 24:55-26:16; 26:39-27:10; 28:13-25; 28:26-29:8; 30:6-37; 31:23-42; 32:47-60; 35:3-24; 37:42-

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Evidence
		60; Figures 21, 22, 24 and associated descriptions from the specification. <u>Extrinsic Evidence</u> Declaration of Mark Lanning Regarding Claim Construction.

U.S. Patent No. 10, 200,976 – Claim 2

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Evidence
an associated cell configured by the base station to include a resource for uplink and a resource for downlink	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> '976 patent: 24:26-32; 32:40-50; 33:13-25; Fig. 28; Fig. 42. '976 file history: MELCO-TCL002963; MELCO-TCL003039; MELCO-TCL003103; MELCO-TCL003114.	a first type of cell configured by the base station, where the first type of cell is configured with a resource for uplink and a resource for downlink, both assigned to a specific communication terminal device <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> Abstract; 11:5-17; 33:13-25; Figure 28 and associated descriptions from the specification
a non-associated cell configured by the base station to include only	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u>	a second type of cell configured by the same base station that also configures the associated

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Evidence	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Evidence
another resource for downlink	'976 patent: 24:26-32; 32:40-50; 33:13-25; Fig. 28; Fig. 42. '976 file history: MELCO-TCL002963-2964; MELCO-TCL002997-2998; MELCO-TCL003039-3040; MELCO-TCL003103; MELCO-TCL003114.	cell, where the second type of cell is configured to communicate only by another resource for downlink <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> Abstract; 10:58-11:2; 11:29-39; 24:26-33; 32:40-50; 33:13-25; Figure 28 and associated descriptions from the specification
the other resource for downlink being allocated to the downlink communication from the base station device	No construction necessary <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> '976 patent: 33:13-25; Fig. 28 '976 file history: MELCO-TCL002997-2998; MELCO-TCL003103.	the another resource for downlink being allocated to the downlink communication from the base station device <u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> 8:48-56; 33:17-25

III. MOST SIGNIFICANT TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION (S.P.R. 3.4.3)

The parties have identified no more than ten terms for construction.

IV. HEARING TIME (S.P.R. 3.4.4)

Plaintiffs propose that they will not need more than 45 minutes total for their presentation at the claim construction hearing.

Defendants propose that they will not need more than 45 minutes total for their presentation at the claim construction hearing.

V. LIVE WITNESS TESTIMONY (S.P.R. 3.4.5)

The parties have agreed that they will not call any witnesses during the claim construction hearing.

Dated: May 3, 2023

DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC

By /s/ Andrew DeMarco
Andrew DeMarco

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: May 3, 2023

PV LAW LLP

By /s/ Bradford A. Cangro
Bradford A. Cangro

Attorneys for Defendants

Additional counsel:

James M. Lennon (pro hac vice)
jlennon@devlinlawfirm.com
Andrew DeMarco (pro hac vice)
ademarco@devlinlawfirm.com
DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC
1526 Gilpin Avenue
Wilmington, DE 19806
Telephone: (302) 449-9010
Facsimile: (302) 353-4251

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, and Sisvel International S.A.

PV LAW LLP
Bradford A. Cangro (admitted pro hac vice)
bradford.cangro@pvuslaw.com
5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 440
Washington, DC 20015
Tel: +1.202.371.6861
Fax: +1.202.888.3163

Attorneys for Defendants TCL Communication Technology Holdings Limited, TCT Mobile International Limited, TCT Mobile, Inc., TCT Mobile (US) Inc., and TCT Mobile (US) Holdings Inc.

CERTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

Pursuant to L.R. 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I, Bradford A. Cangro, attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

/s/ Bradford A. Cangro
Bradford A. Cangro