Arnold & Porter

November 13, 2023

VIA E-MAIL

Reza Mirzaie
rak_revelhmi@raklaw.com
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025

Re: **Resonant Systems, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Case No. 2:22-cv-00423-JRG**

Counsel:

We write regarding petitions for *inter partes* review (IPR) filed with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) to address claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,369,081 ("the '081 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 9,941,830 ("the '830 patent"). Samsung supersedes the stipulation provided by letter dated June 14, 2023, and instead hereby stipulates that:

- Unless the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB") denies or later vacates institution of IPR2023-00992, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics, America, Inc. (collectively, "Samsung") will not pursue, with respect to the '081 patent in Case No. 2:22-cv-00423-JRG, invalidity of the challenged claims based on the grounds in IPR2023-00992, or based on any other ground that reasonably could have been raised in an IPR.

- Unless the PTAB denies or later vacates institution of IPR2023-00993, Samsung will not pursue, with respect to the '830 patent in Case No. 2:22-cv-00423-JRG, invalidity of the challenged claims based on the grounds in IPR2023-00993, or based on any other ground that reasonably could have been raised in an IPR.

- Unless the PTAB denies or later vacates institution of IPR2023-01025, Samsung will not pursue, with respect to the ’830 patent in Case No. 2:22-cv-00423-JRG, invalidity of the challenged claims based on the grounds in IPR2023-01025, or based on any other ground that reasonably could have been raised in an IPR.

Sincerely,

Jin-Suk Park