

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

BELDEN INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-01056
Patent 9,991,030

**Declaration of William F. Bullard in Support of Petitioner's Unopposed
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission**

I, William F. Bullard, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Carlson, Caspers, Vandenburg & Lindquist P.A. ("Carlson Caspers"). This declaration is submitted on behalf of Petitioner CommScope Technologies LLC in support of its Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of William F. Bullard. This declaration is made on my own personal knowledge, except as otherwise indicated.

2. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Minnesota (Minnesota Bar Number 0391013). I am also admitted to practice before the following Courts:

- United States District Court for the District of Minnesota
- United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

3. I have never been suspended, disbarred, sanctioned, or cited for contempt by any court or administrative body.

4. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body that I have filed or that has been filed on my behalf has ever been denied.

5. No sanctions or contempt citations have been imposed on me by any court or administrative body.

6. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.

7. I agree to be subject to USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et. seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

8. I applied to appear *pro hac vice*, and did so appear, in the following proceedings before the Office: Cases IPR2018-00571; IPR2020-01473; IPR2020-01466; IPR2022-01242; IPR2022-01345; IPR2022-01293.

9. I have been involved in many litigations involving patent infringement in multiple federal District Courts. I have practiced law for more than 10 years and I have litigated patent cases during that entire time period. I have extensive experience with patent issues related to obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103. In the course of my experience litigating patents, I have analyzed many pieces of prior art, prepared multiple prior art statements and responses, worked with validity experts, and drafted briefing related to obviousness arguments.

11. I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I have extensively reviewed U.S. Patent No. 9,991,030, the prior art references asserted by Petitioner, the Petition, Patent Owner's Preliminary Response and Response as well as the other evidence submitted in the proceeding.

12. I represented CommScope (the Petitioner) in the district court action for the challenged patent. Specifically, I represented CommScope in Civil Action. No. 1:22-cv-00783 (RGA) in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware in which Patent Owner alleged that Petitioner infringed the '030 patent.

I state under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 13, 2024

/William F. Bullard/
William F. Bullard