

From: [Jim Glass](#)
To: [Director PTABDecision Review](#)
Cc: [QE-ServiceNow-InQuisient-IPRs](#); [IPR53604-0002IP1](#)
Subject: IPR2023-01072
Date: Thursday, February 8, 2024 9:40:12 PM
Attachments: [IPR2023-01072 Request for Director Review.pdf](#)

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Director Vidal,

I am lead counsel for Petitioner ServiceNow, Inc. in IPR2023-01072. As required by the *Revised Interim Director Review Process*, Petitioner notifies the Director that Petitioner has filed a Request for Director Review of the Board's decision not to institute. A courtesy copy is attached.

As explained more fully in the Request, the Board abused its discretion by denying institution on a basis that conflicts with its own claim construction and its own findings as to the prior art's express teachings of a claim limitation—teachings that the Patent Owner itself agreed were present in the prior art.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the decision be vacated, and this IPR instituted.

Respectfully submitted,

James Glass
Lead Counsel for Petitioner

James M. Glass |Chair, Post-Grant Patent Practice| **Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP** | 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor, New York, NY 10010 | Office: +1.212.849.7142| [Web Bio](#)

IPR2023-01072
Ex. 3100