UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

MOJO MOBILITY INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-01089
Patent 11,292,349

NOTICE REGARING ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order (Paper 12), Petitioner notifies the Board that it does not request oral argument and requests that the Board issue a final decision finding the challenged claims unpatentable. As noted in the Petitioner Reply, Patent Owner did not offer a rebuttal to Petitioner's evidence submitted with the Petition and the Board's reasons for instituting *inter partes* review. Instead, Patent Owner merely argued that "[t]he '349 Patent is no longer being asserted against Samsung in the co-pending District Court proceedings, and, as a result, Mojo elects to not proffer additional argument on the papers or through any hearings that may occur in this proceeding." (Response, 1.)

To the extent Patent Owner requests oral argument and/or the Board feels that oral argument would be helpful, Petitioner will make itself available and requests participation to the same extent as Patent Owner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 29, 2024

By: /Naveen Modi/
Naveen Modi (Reg. No. 46,224)
Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 29, 2024, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice Regarding Oral Argument to be served electronically on counsel for Patent Owner at the following addresses:

Steve Pollinger (spollinger@mckoolsmith.com)
Ryan McBeth (rmcbeth@mckoolsmith.com)
George Fishback (gfishback@mckoolsmith.com)
Christopher McNett (cmcnett@mckoolsmith.com)
MojoMobility_Samsung_IPR@mckoolsmith.com

By: /Naveen Modi/
Naveen Modi (Reg. No. 46,224)