

Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences

Eighteenth Edition

Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences

18

18TH
EDITION

Remington's

ALFONSO R GENNARO

*Editor, and Chairman
of the Editorial Board*

HALOBET0019586

Padagis Ex. 1045

IPR Petition - USP 11,311,482

Pharmaceutical Sciences

1990

MACK PUBLISHING COMPANY
Easton, Pennsylvania 18042

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1885 by Joseph P Remington,
in the Office of the Librarian of Congress, at Washington DC

Copyright 1889, 1894, 1905, 1907, 1917, by Joseph P Remington

Copyright 1926, 1936, by Joseph P Remington Estate

Copyright 1948, 1951, by The Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science

Copyright © 1956, 1960, 1965, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, by The Philadelphia College of
Pharmacy and Science

All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Catalog Card No. 60-53334

ISBN 0-912734-04-3

*The use of structural formulas from USAN and the USP Dictionary of Drug Names is by
permission of The USP Convention. The Convention is not responsible for any inaccuracy
contained herein.*

*NOTICE—This text is not intended to represent, nor shall it be interpreted to be, the equivalent
of or a substitute for the official United States Pharmacopeia (USP) and/or the National
Formulary (NF). In the event of any difference or discrepancy between the current official
USP or NF standards of strength, quality, purity, packaging and labeling for drugs and
representations of them herein, the context and effect of the official compendia shall
prevail.*

Printed in the United States of America by the Mack Printing Company, Easton, Pennsylvania

HALOBET0019588

Padagis Ex. 1045
IPR Petition - USP 11,311,482

Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences . . . a treatise on the theory and practice of the pharmaceutical sciences, with essential information about pharmaceutical and medicinal agents; also a guide to the professional responsibilities of the pharmacist as the drug-information specialist of the health team . . . A textbook and reference work for pharmacists, physicians and other practitioners of the pharmaceutical and medical sciences.

EDITORS

Alfonso R Gennaro, <i>Chairman</i>	Thomas Medwick
Grafton D Chase	Edward G Rippie
Ara Der Marderosian	Joseph B Schwartz
Stewart C Harvey	Ewart A Swinyard
Daniel A Hussar	Gilbert L Zink

AUTHORS

The 109 chapters of this edition of *Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences* were written by the editors, by members of the Editorial Board, and by other authors listed on pages ix to xi.

Managing Editor

John E Hoover

Editorial Assistant

Bonnie Brigham Packer

Director

Allen Misher 1985–1990

Eighteenth Edition—1990

Published in the 170th year of the
PHILADELPHIA COLLEGE OF PHARMACY AND SCIENCE

Remington Historical / Biographical Data

The following is a record of the editors and the dates of publication of successive editions of this book, prior to the 13th Edition known as *Remington's Practice of Pharmacy* and subsequently as *Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences*.

First Edition, 1886	Joseph P Remington	Thirteenth Edition, 1965	
Second Edition, 1889		<i>Editor-in-Chief</i>	<i>Managing Editor</i>
Third Edition, 1897		Eric W Martin	John E Hoover
Fourth Edition, 1905		<i>Editors</i>	
		Grafton D Chase	Robert E King
		Herald R Cox	E Emerson Leuallen
Fifth Edition, 1907	Joseph P Remington	Richard A Deno	Arthur Osol
Sixth Edition, 1917	<i>Assisted by</i>	Alfonso R Gennaro	Ewart A Swinyard
	E Fullerton Cook	Stewart C Harvey	Clarence T Van Meter
Seventh Edition, 1926		Fourteenth Edition, 1970	
<i>Editors</i>		<i>Chairman, Editorial Board</i>	<i>Managing Editor</i>
E Fullerton Cook		Arthur Osol	John E Hoover
Charles H LaWall		<i>Editors</i>	
		Grafton D Chase	Robert E King
Eighth Edition, 1936		Richard A Deno	Alfred N Martin
<i>Editors</i>	<i>Associate Editors</i>	Alfonso R Gennaro	Ewart A Swinyard
E Fullerton Cook	Ivor Griffith	Melvin R Gibson	Clarence T Van Meter
Charles H LaWall	Adley D Nichols	Stewart C Harvey	Bernard Witlin
	Arthur Osol		
Ninth Edition, 1948	<i>Editors</i>	Fifteenth Edition, 1975	
	E Fullerton Cook	<i>Chairman, Editorial Board</i>	<i>Managing Editor</i>
	Eric W Martin	Arthur Osol	John E Hoover
		<i>Editors</i>	
Tenth Edition, 1951	<i>Editors</i>	John T Anderson	C Boyd Granberg
	E Fullerton Cook	Cecil L Bendush	Stewart C Harvey
	Eric W Martin	Grafton D Chase	Robert E King
		Alfonso R Gennaro	Alfred N Martin
		Melvin R Gibson	Ewart A Swinyard
Eleventh Edition, 1956		Sixteenth Edition, 1980	
<i>Editors</i>	<i>Associate Editors</i>	<i>Chairman, Editorial Board</i>	
Eric W Martin	E Emerson Leuallen	Arthur Osol	
E Fullerton Cook	Arthur Osol	<i>Editors</i>	
	Linwood F Tice	Grafton D Chase	Robert E King
	Clarence T Van Meter	Alfonso R Gennaro	Alfred N Martin
		Melvin R Gibson	Ewart A Swinyard
		C Boyd Granberg	Gilbert L Zink
		Stewart C Harvey	
Twelfth Edition, 1961		Seventeenth Edition, 1985	
<i>Editors</i>	<i>Assistant to the Editors</i>	<i>Chairman, Editorial Board</i>	
Eric W Martin	John E Hoover	Alfonso R Gennaro	
E Fullerton Cook		<i>Editors</i>	
E Emerson Leuallen		Grafton D Chase	Edward G Ripple
Arthur Osol		Ara Der Marderosian	Joseph D Schwartz
Linwood F Tice		Stewart Harvey	Ewart A Swinyard
Clarence T Van Meter		Daniel A Hussar	Gilbert L Zink
		Thomas Medwick	

Editorial Board Members and Editors

Alfonso R Gennaro, PhD / *Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science*—Professor of Chemistry. Chairman of the Editorial Board and Editor, *Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences*. Coauthor, Chapter 22. Coeditor, Part 6, *Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Agents*.

Grofton D Chose, PhD / *Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science*—Emeritus Professor of Chemistry. Editor, Part 5, *Radioisotopes in Pharmacy and Medicine*. Author, Chapters 32 and 33.

Aro DerMorderosian, PhD / *Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science*—Professor of Pharmacognosy. Research Professor in Medicinal Chemistry. Editor, Part 1, *Orientalian*. Author, Chapters 64, 74 and 96.

Stewart C Harvey, PhD / *University of Utah School of Medicine*—Professor of Pharmacology. Editorial Board Member. Editor, Part 6, *Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Agents*. Author, Chapters 35, 38, 40, 43 to 47, 49, 61 and 62. Coauthor, Chapters 36, 41 and 50.

Doniel A Hussar, PhD / *Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science*—Remington Professor of Pharmacy. Editorial Board Member. Editor, Part 9, *Pharmaceutical Practice*. Author, Chapters 100 and 102.

Thomas Medwick, PhD / *Rutgers University*—Professor and Chairman, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry. Editorial Board member. Editor, Part 3, *Pharmaceutical Chemistry*, and Part 4, *Testing and Analysis*. Coauthor, Chapter 26.

Edward G Ripple, PhD / *University of Minnesota College of Pharmacy*—Professor of Pharmaceutics. Editorial Board Member. Editor, Part 2, *Pharmaceutics*. Author, Chapter 12. Coauthor, Chapter 88.

Joseph D Schwartz, PhD / *Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science*—Linwood Tice Professor of Pharmaceutics. Editorial Board Member. Editor, Part 8, *Pharmaceutical Preparations and Their Manufacture*. Coauthor, Chapters 88 and 89.

Ewart A Swinyord, PhD / *University of Utah*—Professor Emeritus of Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy and School of Medicine. Editorial Board member. Editor, Part 6, *Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Agents*. Author, Chapters 39, 42, 48, 53 to 60, 63, 65 and 70. Coauthor, Chapter 66.

Gilbert L Zink, PhD / *Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science*—Associate Professor of Biology. Editor, Part 7, *Biological Products*. Author, Chapter 71.

Authors

The following contributors to the Eighteenth Edition of *Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences* served as authors or coauthors, along with the editors and members of the Editorial Board, of the 109 chapters of this book.

- Hamed M Abdou, PhD** / Vice President, Worldwide Pharmaceutical Technical Operations, E R Squibb & Sons, Inc; Author of Chapter 30, *Instrumental Methods of Analysis* and Chapter 31, *Dissolution*.
- Anu B Amerson, PharmD** / Professor, College of Pharmacy/Director, Drug Information Center, Chandler Medical Center, University of Kentucky; Author of Chapter 103, *Clinical Drug Literature*.
- Howard C Auscl, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacy and Dean, College of Pharmacy, University of Georgia; Author of Chapter 101, *The Prescription*.
- Kenneth E Avis, DSc** / Emeritus Professor, Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, University of Tennessee, Memphis; Author of Chapter 84, *Parenteral Preparations*.
- Leonard C Bailey, PhD** / Associate Professor of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Rutgers University College of Pharmacy; Author of Chapter 29, *Chromatography*.
- Lawrence H Bloek, PhD** / Professor of Pharmaceutics, Duquesne University School of Pharmacy; Author of Chapter 87, *Medicated Applications*.
- Joseph B Bogardus, PhD** / Basic Pharmaceutics Research, Bristol-Myers Company; Coauthor of Chapter 18, *Reaction Kinetics*.
- Sanford Bolton, PhD** / Chairman, Department of Pharmacy and Administrative Sciences, St John's University; Author of Chapter 10, *Statistics*.
- John Bosso, PharmD** / Professor of Clinical Pharmacy and Adjunct Professor of Pediatrics, College of Pharmacy and School of Medicine, University of Utah; Coauthor of Chapter 34, *Diseases: Manifestations and Pathophysiology*.
- B Sue Brizuela, MS** / Assistant Professor of Information Science, Head of Public Services, Joseph W England Library, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Coauthor of Chapter 7, *Drug Information*.
- Dale B Christensen, PhD** / Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, School of Pharmacy, University of Washington; Coauthor of Chapter 11, *Computer Science*.
- Sebastian G Ciancio, DDS** / Professor and Chairman, Department of Periodontology, School of Dental Medicine, State University of New York at Buffalo; Author of Chapter 109, *Dental Services*.
- Kenneth A Connors, PhD** / Professor of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin; Author of Chapter 14, *Complex Formation*.
- Anthony J Cutie, PhD** / Professor of Pharmaceutics, Arnold and Marie Schwartz College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Long Island University; Coauthor of Chapter 92, *Aerosols*.
- Anthony R DiSanto, PhD** / Vice President, Drug Delivery Research and Development, The Upjohn Company; Author of Chapter 76, *Bioavailability and Bioequivalency Testing*.
- Clarence A Discher,* PhD** / Professor Emeritus, Rutgers University; Author of Chapter 21, *Inorganic Pharmaceutical Chemistry*.
- Clyde R Erskine, Jr, BSc** / Vice President, Corporate Quality Audits and Services, SmithKline Beckman Corporation; Author of Chapter 82, *Quality Assurance and Control*.
- Lorraine D Evans, BS, H(ASCP)** / Clinical Pathology, Bristol-Myers Company; Coauthor of Chapter 28, *Clinical Analysis*.
- William E Fassett, BS, MBA** / Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, School of Pharmacy, University of Washington; Coauthor of Chapter 11, *Computer Science*.
- Joseph L Fink III, BS(Pharm), JD** / Assistant Dean and Professor, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky; Coauthor of Chapter 107, *Laws Governing Pharmacy*.
- Michael R Franklin, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy and School of Medicine, University of Utah; Author of Chapter 52, *Enzymes*.
- Ruta Freimanis, BS, RPh** / Associate Secretary, United States Adopted Names Council; Coauthor of Chapter 24, *Drug Nomenclature—United States Adopted Names*.
- James W Freston, MD, PhD** / Professor and Chairman, Department of Medicine, University of Connecticut Health Center; Coauthor of Chapter 34, *Diseases: Manifestations and Pathophysiology*.
- Robert L Giles, BA** / Vice President and General Manager, Glenn Beall Engineering Inc; Coauthor of Chapter 80, *Plastic Packaging Materials*.
- Harold N Godwin, MS** / Professor and Director of Pharmacy, The University of Kansas Medical Center; Author of Chapter 94, *Institutional Patient Care*.
- Frederick J Goldstein, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacology, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Coauthor of Chapter 69, *Pharmacological Aspects of Substance Abuse*.
- A Richard Goolkasian, BS, RPh** / Director of Alumni and Professional Affairs, Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Allied Health Sciences; Author of Chapter 1, *Scope*.
- Gerald Hecht, PhD** / Director Process Development, Alcon Laboratories; Coauthor of Chapter 86, *Ophthalmic Preparations*.
- Judith A Hesp, MS** / Instructor in Information Science, Coordinator of Bibliographic Instruction, Joseph W England Library, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Coauthor of Chapter 7, *Drug Information*.
- Gregory J Higby, PhD** / Director, American Institute of the History of Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin-Madison; Author of Chapter 2, *Evolution of Pharmacy*.
- Andrew S Katocks, Jr, PhD** / Senior Research Pharmacologist, American Cyanamid Company, Medical Research Division; Coauthor of Chapter 27, *Biological Testing*.
- Calvin H Knowlton, MDiv, RPh** / Clinical Associate Professor of Pharmacy, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Author of Chapter 4, *The Practice of Community Pharmacy*.
- Richard W Knueppel, RPh** / President, Knueppel Home Health Care Center; Author of Chapter 104, *Health Accessories*.
- Harry B Kostenbauder, PhD** / Associate Dean for Research, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky; Coauthor of Chapter 18, *Reaction Kinetics*.
- Richard L Kronenthal, PhD** / Director of Research, Ethicon Inc; Author of Chapter 105, *Surgical Supplies*.
- Arthur J Lawrence, PhD, RPh** / Office of the Assistant Secretary of Health, US Public Health Service; Author of Chapter 6, *Pharmacists in Government*.
- Eric J Lien, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacy / Pharmaceutics and Biomedical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, University of Southern California; Author of Chapter 13, *Molecular Structure, Properties and States of Matter*.
- Mark A Longor, PhD** / MCR Research Fellow, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Keele; Coauthor of Chapter 91, *Sustained-Release Drug Delivery Systems*.
- Werner Lowenthal, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutics and Professor of Educational Development and Planning, School of Pharmacy, Medical College of Virginia; Author of Chapter 9, *Metrology and Calculation*.
- Karen B Main, PhD** / Physical Pharmacist, Pharmaceutical Development Department, ICI Pharmaceuticals Group; Coauthor of Chapter 26, *Analysis of Medicinals*.
- Duane D Miller, PhD** / Professor and Chairman, Division of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy,

* Deceased

- The Ohio State University; Author of Chapter 25, *Structure-Activity Relationship and Drug Design*.
- Michael Montagne, PhD** / Associate Professor of Pharmacy Administration, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Coauthor of Chapter 3, *Ethics* and Author of Chapter 99, *Drug Education*.
- John D Mullins, PhD** / Consultant; Coauthor of Chapter 86, *Ophthalmic Preparations*.
- Maven J Myers, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacy Administration, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Coauthor of Chapter 3, *Ethics*.
- J G Nairn, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Toronto; Author of Chapter 83, *Solutions, Emulsions, Suspensions and Extracts*.
- Paul J Niebergall, PhD** / Professor of Pharmaceutical and Sciences / Director, Pharmaceutical Development Center, Medical University of South Carolina; Author of Chapter 17, *Ionic Solutions and Electrolytic Equilibria*.
- Robert E O'Connor, PhD** / Merck Frosst Canada, Inc; Coauthor of Chapter 88, *Powders*.
- Melanie O'Neill** / Becton Dickinson & Company; Coauthor of Chapter 78, *Sterilization*.
- Richard W Pecina, PhD** / President, Richard W Pecina & Associates; Coauthor of Chapter 80, *Plastic Packaging Materials*.
- Garnet E Peck, PhD** / Professor of Industrial Pharmacy / Director of the Industrial Pharmacy Laboratory, Purdue University; Author of Chapter 77, *Separation*.
- G Briggs Phillips, PhD** / Becton Dickinson & Company; Coauthor of Chapter 78, *Sterilization*.
- Nicholas G Popovich, PhD** / Associate Professor of Pharmacy Practice, School of Pharmacy and Pharmacal Sciences, Purdue University; Author of Chapter 93, *Ambulatory Patient Care*.
- Stuart C Porter, PhD** / Vice President, Research and Development, Colorcon; Author of Chapter 90, *Coating of Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms*.
- Galen Radebaugh, PhD** / Director of Pharmaceutics, Parke-Davis Pharmaceutical Research Division, Warner-Lambert Company; Coauthor of Chapter 75, *Preformulation*.
- Paul L Ranolli, PhD** / Assistant Professor of Pharmacy Administration, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Author of Chapter 98, *Patient Communication*.
- Louis J Ravin, PhD** / Department of Pharmaceutics, Research and Development, Smith Kline & French Laboratories; Coauthor of Chapter 75, *Preformulation*.
- Jack W Reich, PhD** / Vice President Regulatory Affairs, Gensia Pharmaceuticals, Inc; Author of Chapter 8, *Research*.
- James W Richards, MBA** / Professor of Pharmacy Administration, College of Pharmacy, University of Michigan; Author of Chapter 108, *Community Pharmacy Economics and Management*.
- Jack Robbins, PhD** / Director, Pharmacy Affairs, Schering Laboratories; Author of Chapter 5, *Opportunities for Pharmacists in the Pharmaceutical Industry*.
- Joseph R Robinson, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin; Coauthor of Chapter 91, *Sustained-Release Drug Delivery Systems*.
- Frank Roia, PhD** / Professor of Biology, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Author of Chapter 72, *Immunizing Agents and Diagnostic Skin Antigens*.
- Douglas E Rollins, MD, PhD** / Associate Professor of Medicine and Pharmacology, School of Medicine and College of Pharmacy, University of Utah; Author of Chapter 37, *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*.
- G Victor Rossi, PhD** / Vice President of Academic Affairs / Professor of Pharmacology, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Coauthor of Chapter 27, *Biological Testing and Coauthor of Chapter 69*.
- Edward Rudnic, PhD** / Director, Formulation Development, Schering Research; Coauthor of Chapter 89, *Oral Solid Dosage Forms*.
- Donald O Schiffman, PhD** / Secretary, United States Adopted Names Council; Coauthor of Chapter 24, *Drug Nomenclature—United States Adopted Names*.
- Hans Schott, PhD** / Professor of Pharmaceutics and Colloid Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Temple University; Coauthor of Chapter 19, *Disperse Systems* and Author of Chapter 20, *Rheology*.
- John J Sciarra, PhD** / President, Retail Drug Institute / Professor of Industrial Pharmacy, Arnold and Marie Schwartz College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Long Island University; Coauthor of Chapter 92, *Aerosols*.
- John H Shinkai, PhD** / Emeritus Professor of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Rutgers University, College of Pharmacy; Coauthor of Chapter 22, *Organic Pharmaceutical Chemistry*.
- E Richard Shough, PhD** / Associate Dean and Professor, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, College of Pharmacy; Author of Chapter 73, *Allergenic Extracts*.
- Frederick P Siegel, PhD** / Professor of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois; Author of Chapter 79, *Tonicity, Osmolality, Osmolality and Osmolarity*.
- Larry M Simonsmeier, BS(Pharm), JD** / Associate Dean and Professor, College of Pharmacy, Washington State University; Coauthor of Chapter 107, *Laws Governing Pharmacy*.
- Robert D Smyth, PhD** / Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development, Bristol-Myers Company; Coauthor of Chapter 28, *Clinical Analysis*.
- Thomas C Snader, PharmD** / Consultant Pharmacist; Author of Chapter 95, *Long-Term Care Facilities*.
- Theodore D Sokoloski, PhD** / Professor of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, The Ohio State University; Author of Chapter 16, *Solutions and Phase Equilibria*.
- Robert B Stewart, MS** / Professor and Chairman, Department of Pharmacy Practice, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida; Author of Chapter 67, *Adverse Drug Reactions*.
- James Swarbrick, DSc, PhD** / Professor and Chairman, Division of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Coauthor of Chapter 19, *Disperse Systems*.
- Anthony R Temple, MD** / Director, Regulatory and Medical Affairs, McNeil Consumer Products Company / Adjunct Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine / Lecturer, Philadelphia College of Pharmacy and Science; Author of Chapter 106, *Poison Control*.
- John P Tischio, PhD** / Principle Scientist, Immunobiology Research Institute; Author of Chapter 68, *Pharmacogenetics*.
- Salvatore J Turco, PharmD** / Professor of Pharmacy, Temple University School of Pharmacy; Author of Chapter 85, *Intravenous Admixtures*.
- Elizabeth B Vadas, PhD** / Merck Frosst Canada, Inc; Author of Chapter 81, *Stability of Pharmaceutical Products*.
- Ernestine Vanderveen, PhD** / National Institute on Drug Abuse, ADAMHA; Coauthor of Chapter 51, *Vitamins and Other Nutrients*.
- John E Vanderveen, PhD** / Division of Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration; Coauthor of Chapter 51, *Vitamins and Other Nutrients*.
- Vincent S Venturella, PhD** / Section Manager, Pharmaceutical Research, Anaquest, Div of BOC; Author of Chapter 23, *Natural Products*.
- Albert I Wertheimer, PhD** / Professor and Director, Department of Graduate Studies in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota; Author of Chapter 97, *The Patient: Behavioral Determinants*.
- Timothy S Wiedmann, PhD** / Assistant Professor, College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota; Author of Chapter 15, *Thermodynamics*.
- C Dean Withrow, PhD** / Associate Professor of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of Utah; Coauthor of Chapter 36, *Basic Pharmacokinetics*, Coauthor of Chapter 41, *Cardiovascular Drugs* and Coauthor of Chapter 50, *Hormones*.
- George Zografi, PhD** / Professor, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin; Coauthor of Chapter 19, *Disperse Systems*.

Preface to the First Edition

The rapid and substantial progress made in Pharmacy within the last decade has created a necessity for a work treating of the improved apparatus, the revised processes, and the recently introduced preparations of the age.

The vast advances made in theoretical and applied chemistry and physics have much to do with the development of pharmaceutical science, and these have been reflected in all the revised editions of the Pharmacopoeias which have been recently published. When the author was elected in 1874 to the chair of Theory and Practice of Pharmacy in the Philadelphia College of Pharmacy, the outlines of study which had been so carefully prepared for the classes by his eminent predecessors, Professor William Procter, Jr, and Professor Edward Parrish, were found to be not strictly in accord, either in their arrangement of the subjects or in their method of treatment. Desiring to preserve the distinctive characteristics of each, an effort was at once made to frame a system which should embody their valuable features, embrace new subjects, and still retain that harmony of plan and proper sequence which are absolutely essential to the success of any system.

The strictly alphabetical classification of subjects which is now universally adopted by pharmacopoeias and dispensaries, although admirable in works of reference, presents an effectual stumbling block to the acquisition of pharmaceutical knowledge through systematic study; the vast accumulation of facts collected under each head being arranged lexically, they necessarily have no connection with one another, and thus the saving of labor effected by considering similar groups together, and the value of the association of kindred subjects, are lost to the student. In the method of grouping the subjects which is herein adopted, the constant aim has been to arrange the latter in such a manner that the reader shall be gradually led from the consideration of elementary subjects to those which involve more advanced knowledge, whilst the groups themselves are so placed as to follow one another in a natural sequence.

The work is divided into six parts. Part I is devoted to detailed descriptions of apparatus and definitions and comments on general pharmaceutical processes.

The Official Preparations alone are considered in Part II. Due weight and prominence are thus given to the Pharmacopoeia, the National authority, which is now so thoroughly recognized.

In order to suit the convenience of pharmacists who prefer to weigh solids and measure liquids, the official formulas are expressed, in addition to parts by weight, in *avoirdupois weight* and *apothecaries' measure*. These equivalents are

printed in *bold type* near the margin, and arranged so as to fit them for quick and accurate reference.

Part III treats of Inorganic Chemical Substances. Precedence is of course given to official preparation in these. The descriptions, solubilities, and tests for identity and impurities of each substance are systematically tabulated under its proper title. It is confidently believed that by this method of arrangement the valuable descriptive features of the Pharmacopoeia will be more prominently developed, ready reference facilitated, and close study of the details rendered easy. Each chemical operation is accompanied by equations, whilst the reaction is, in addition, explained in words.

The Carbon Compounds, or Organic Chemical Substances, are considered in Part IV. These are naturally grouped according to the physical and medical properties of their principal constituents, beginning with simple bodies like cellulose, gum, etc., and progressing to the most highly organized alkaloids, etc.

Part V is devoted to Extemporaneous Pharmacy. Care has been taken to treat of the practice which would be best adapted for the needs of the many pharmacists who conduct operations upon a moderate scale, rather than for those of the few who manage very large establishments. In this, as well as in other parts of the work, operations are illustrated which are conducted by manufacturing pharmacists.

Part VI contains a formulary of Pharmaceutical Preparations which have not been recognized by the Pharmacopoeia. The recipes selected are chiefly those which have been heretofore rather difficult of access to most pharmacists, yet such as are likely to be in request. Many private formulas are embraced in the collection; and such of the preparations of the old Pharmacopoeias as have not been included in the new edition, but are still in use, have been inserted.

In conclusion, the author ventures to express the hope that the work will prove an efficient help to the pharmaceutical student as well as to the pharmacist and the physician. Although the labor has been mainly performed amidst the harassing cares of active professional duties, and perfection is known to be unattainable, no pains have been spared to discover and correct errors and omissions in the text. The author's warmest acknowledgments, are tendered to Mr A B Taylor, Mr Joseph McCreery, and Mr George M Smith for their valuable assistance in revising the proof sheets, and to the latter especially for his work on the index. The outline illustrations, by Mr John Collins, were drawn either from the actual objects or from photographs taken by the author.

Philadelphia, October, 1885

JPR.

Preface to the Eighteenth Edition

In anticipation of setting forth this *Preface* and prior to gathering thoughts on paper (or more accurately, the word processor!), this Editor paused to reread the preface to the first edition of *Remington*, published in 1885. Since it appears on the preceding page of this book it is recommended highly. The first paragraph would be just as suitable today as penned by Professor Remington 105 years ago.

Each decade transcends the previous one and the pharmaceutical and health sciences are not laggards. Every revision of *Remington* has encompassed new viewpoints, ideas, doctrines or principles which, perhaps, were inconceivable for the previous edition. It is a tribute to the authors and editors that they have kept abreast of the burgeoning literature in their respective fields of expertise.

Change not withstanding, the organization of this edition is similar to its immediate predecessors, being divided into 9 Parts, each subdivided into several chapters. Every chapter has been culled, revised and rewritten to update the material presented.

Two new chapters are evident; *Biotechnology and Drugs* (Chapter 74) and *Drug Education* (Chapter 99). Three chapters of the previous edition, which embraced *Interfacial and Particle Phenomena* and *Colloidal and Coarse Dispersions* have been winnowed and combined into a single chapter entitled, *Disperse Systems* (Chapter 19).

The current revision contains an additional 21 pages. A large amount of space (about 19 pages) gleaned from the review and condensation process, coupled with the extra pages, have been devoted primarily to expanding the contents of Part 6, *Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Agents* and Part 9, *Pharmaceutical Practice*.

Excessive duplication of text is the bane of any editor dealing with a multitude of authors. While some duplication in the discussion of rudimentary concepts is beneficial, there has been a special effort to cross-reference and eliminate unnecessary repetition. Space is at such a premium that it is hoped the reader will not be offended by being diverted to a different section of the text in order to obtain supplementary information.

Photographs which depicted the typical "black box" have been eliminated almost completely and replaced by line drawings or schematic diagrams which are instructive rather than picturesque.

Most of the drug monographs have been revamped not only as a means of updating, but to gain a degree of uniformity. All structural formulas are now in the standard *USAN* form. Duplication of chemical names has been minimized and the inclusion of trade names increased. No attempt has been made to ferret out every trade name by which a product is known, and only the most common are mentioned. The standard format for the major monographs is: Official Name, chemical name (CAS—inverted), trade name(s) and manufacturer(s), structural formula, CAS (*Chemical Abstracts System*) registry number (in brackets), molecular formula and formula weight (in parenthesis). This is followed by the method of preparation (or a reference if the method is lengthy), physical description, solubility, uses, dose and dosage forms.

The number of authors remains at 97, however, 36 new authors have joined as contributors to *Remington*. As the credentials of the new authors touch upon many areas of pharmacy, every section of the book has been invigorated by the incorporation of updated and fresh concepts.

As one primarily responsible for the production of a comprehensive text devoted to the science and practice of pharmacy, the wisdom of Dr Eric Martin, editor of the 13th Edition, in creating an Editorial Board to share the enormous burden, has been evident constantly. Each of the section editors labored diligently to comply with the logistics of maintaining a smooth flow of manuscripts and proofs. Also, each section editor doubled as an author or coauthor of one or more chapters. It would be remiss not to extend special mention to this group of dedicated people.

Four members of the Editorial Board are serving for the first time after having been authors for several editions. Dr Ara DerMarderosian of PCP&S, Editor for Part 1; Dr Daniel Hussar, also of PCP&S, Part 9; Dr Edward Rippie of the University of Minnesota, Part 2; and Dr Joseph Schwartz of PCP&S, Part 8. Each of the new members literally "jumped into the fray," gave much of their precious time and have become "blooded" members of the staff.

The stalwarts of the Editorial Board surviving the tribulations of one or more previous editions of this work demand singular attention. Dr Grafton Chase of PCP&S for Part 5, *Radioisotopes in Pharmacy & Medicine*; Dr Thomas Medwick of Rutgers University for Part 3, *Pharmaceutical Chemistry* and Part 4, *Testing and Analysis*; and Dr Gilbert Zink of PCP&S for Part 7, *Biological Products*.

Two dauntless, prolific contributors claim special recognition. Drs Stewart Harvey and Ewart Swinyard, both of the University of Utah, have served on the Editorial Board for twenty and twenty-five years respectively. They bear the burden of Part 6, *Pharmaceutical & Medicinal Agents*, which comprises over one-third of the book. Their diligence and meticulous attention to detail has eased the task of this Editor. Our relationship over the past several decades has been one of exceptional pleasure.

The Mack Publishing Company, through Messers Paul Mack and David Palmer, continues its unrelenting support, which has endured through many, many editions of this publication. Special commendation must be extended to Ms Nancy Smolock, of the Mack organization, as she was the person who interfaced with the Editorial Board. She was competent, cooperative and much too tolerant of the many requests made of her.

As with any publication a few of the editorial staff bear the brunt of the unglamorous, but absolutely essential, chores associated with the production of this voluminous tome. It mandates a close working relationship and, at times, restraint and concession to sustain the harmony necessary to function efficiently. One often encounters the aphorism usually attributed to administrators, "When three managers meet to discuss a problem there arise four points-of-view." Fortunately, this dilemma did not surface in the association of this Editor with Mr John Hoover and Ms Bonnie Packer.

After shepherding this publication through four editions, the Twelfth to Fifteenth, following a short hiatus for the Sixteenth, Mr Hoover returned in a lesser capacity with the Seventeenth revision. With the current edition he resumes the role of Managing Editor and his experience in pharmacy, journalism and the publishing business, have provided the capabilities needed to translate a disarranged manuscript into a format acceptable by the publisher and pleasing to the reader.

Ms Packer accepted the assignment of scrutinizing every word of text in the proof stages. Combining her skills in the

health and social sciences, she assumed the charge of reading primarily for comprehension and clarity of presentation, while concurrently uncovering typographical, spelling and grammatical errors which, although unpardonable, are ever-present. As a consequence of her deliberations, passages were often rephrased and refined to portray a concept from the viewpoint of the student, for whom this work primarily is directed.

The *Index* was developed by Mr Hoover, with the assis-

tance of Ms Packer. Much use was made of the computer in ensuring that a complete, practical and useful index was created. It is the opinion of this Editor that a major weakness encountered in most reference books is a perfunctory, casual index which amounts to little more than an expanded table of contents. Users of the index of this book will find it "friendly."

Philadelphia, February, 1990

ARG

Table of Contents

Part 1 Orientation		44	Cholinomimetic Drugs	889	
1	Scope	3	45	Adrenergic and Adrenergic Neuron Blocking Drugs	898
2	Evolution of Pharmacy	8	46	Antimuscarinic and Antispasmodic Drugs	907
3	Ethics	20	47	Skeletal Muscle Relaxants	916
4	The Practice of Community Pharmacy	28	48	Diuretic Drugs	929
5	Opportunities for Pharmacists in the Pharmaceuti- cal Industry	33	49	Uterine and Antimigraine Drugs	943
6	Pharmacists in Government	38	50	Hormones	948
7	Drug Information	49	51	Vitamins and Other Nutrients	1002
8	Research	60	52	Enzymes	1035
Part 2 Pharmaceutics		53	General Anesthetics	1039	
9	Metrology and Calculation	69	54	Local Anesthetics	1048
10	Statistics	104	55	Sedatives and Hypnotics	1057
11	Computer Science	138	56	Antiepileptics	1072
12	Calculus	145	57	Psychopharmacologic Agents	1082
13	Molecular Structure, Properties and States of Matter	158	58	Analgesics and Antipyretics	1097
14	Complex Formation	182	59	Histamine and Antihistamines	1123
15	Thermodynamics	197	60	Central Nervous System Stimulants	1132
16	Solutions and Phase Equilibria	207	61	Antineoplastic and Immunosuppressive Drugs ...	1138
17	Ionic Solutions and Electrolytic Equilibria	228	62	Antimicrobial Drugs	1163
18	Reaction Kinetics	247	63	Parasitocides	1242
19	Disperse Systems	257	64	Pesticides	1249
20	Rheology	310	65	Diagnostic Drugs	1272
Part 3 Pharmaceutical Chemistry		66	Pharmaceutical Necessities	1286	
21	Inorganic Pharmaceutical Chemistry	329	67	Adverse Drug Reactions	1330
22	Organic Pharmaceutical Chemistry	356	68	Pharmacogenetics	1344
23	Natural Products	380	69	Pharmacological Aspects of Drug Abuse	1349
24	Drug Nomenclature—United States Adopted Names	412	70	Introduction of New Drugs	1365
25	Structure-Activity Relationship and Drug Design	422	Part 7 Biological Products		
Part 4 Testing and Analysis		71	Principles of Immunology	1379	
26	Analysis of Medicinals	435	72	Immunizing Agents and Diagnostic Skin Antigens	1389
27	Biological Testing	484	73	Allergenic Extracts	1405
28	Clinical Analysis	495	74	Biotechnology and Drugs	1416
29	Chromatography	529	Part 8 Pharmaceutical Preparations and Their Manufacture		
30	Instrumental Methods of Analysis	555	75	Preformulation	1435
31	Dissolution	589	76	Bioavailability and Bioequivalency Testing	1451
Part 5 Radioisotopes in Pharmacy and Medicine		77	Separation	1459	
32	Fundamentals of Radioisotopes	605	78	Sterilization	1470
33	Medical Applications of Radioisotopes	624	79	Tonicity, Osmoticity, Osmolality and Osmolarity ..	1481
Part 6 Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Agents		80	Plastic Packaging Materials	1499	
34	Diseases: Manifestations and Patho- physiology	655	81	Stability of Pharmaceutical Products	1504
35	Drug Absorption, Action and Disposition	697	82	Quality Assurance and Control	1513
36	Basic Pharmacokinetics	725	83	Solutions, Emulsions, Suspensions and Extractives	1519
37	Clinical Pharmacokinetics	746	84	Parenteral Preparations	1545
38	Topical Drugs	757	85	Intravenous Admixtures	1570
39	Gastrointestinal Drugs	774	86	Ophthalmic Preparations	1581
40	Blood, Fluids, Electrolytes and Hematologic Drugs	800	87	Medicated Applications	1596
41	Cardiovascular Drugs	831	88	Powders	1615
42	Respiratory Drugs	860	89	Oral Solid Dosage Forms	1633
43	Sympathomimetic Drugs	870	90	Coating of Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms	1666
			91	Sustained-Release Drug Delivery Systems	1676
			92	Aerosols	1694
			Part 9 Pharmaceutical Practice		
			93	Ambulatory Patient Care	1715
			94	Institutional Patient Care	1737
			95	Long-Term Care Facilities	1758
			96	The Pharmacist and Public Health	1773

97	The Patient: Behavioral Determinants	1788	106	Poison Control	1905
98	Patient Communication	1796	107	Laws Governing Pharmacy	1914
99	Drug Education	1803	108	Community Pharmacy Economics and Management	1940
100	Patient Compliance	1813	109	Dental Services	1957
101	The Prescription	1828			
102	Drug Interactions	1842		Index	
103	Clinical Drug Literature	1859		Alphabetic Index	1967
104	Health Accessories	1864			
105	Surgical Supplies	1895			

CHAPTER 19

Disperse Systems

George Zografi, PhD

Professor
School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706

Hans Schott, PhD

Professor of Pharmaceutics and Colloid Chemistry
School of Pharmacy, Temple University
Philadelphia, PA 19140

James Swarbrick, DSc, PhD

Professor and Chairman
Division of Pharmaceutics
School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7360

Interfacial Phenomena

Very often it is desirable or necessary in the development of pharmaceutical dosage forms to produce multiphasic dispersions by mixing together two or more ingredients which are not mutually miscible and capable of forming homogeneous solutions. Examples of such dispersions include suspensions (solid in liquid), emulsions (liquid in liquid) and foams (vapor in liquids). Because these systems are not homogeneous and thermodynamically stable, over time they will show some tendency to separate on standing to produce the minimum possible surface area of contact between phases. Thus, suspended particles agglomerate and sediment, emulsified droplets cream and coalesce and the bubbles dispersed in foams collapse, to produce unstable and nonuniform dosage forms. In this chapter the fundamental physical chemical properties of dispersed systems will be discussed, along with the principles of interfacial and colloidal physics and chemistry which underly these properties.

Interfacial Forces and Energetics

In the bulk portion of each phase, molecules are attracted to each other equally in all directions, such that no resultant forces are acting on any one molecule. The strength of these forces determines whether a substance exists as a vapor, liquid or solid at a particular temperature and pressure.

At the boundary between phases, however, molecules are acted upon unequally since they are in contact with other molecules exhibiting different forces of attraction. For example, the primary intermolecular forces in water are due to hydrogen bonds, whereas those responsible for intermolecular bonding in hydrocarbon liquids, such as mineral oil, are due to London dispersion forces.

Because of this, molecules situated at the interface contain potential forces of interaction which are not satisfied relative to the situation in each bulk phase. In liquid systems such unbalanced forces can be satisfied by spontaneous movement of molecules from the interface into the bulk phase. This leaves fewer molecules per unit area at the interface (greater intermolecular distance) and reduces the actual contact area between dissimilar molecules.

Any attempt to reverse this process by increasing the area of contact between phases, ie, bringing more molecules into the interface, causes the interface to resist expansion and to

behave as though it is under a tension everywhere in a tangential direction. The force of this tension per unit length of interface generally is called the interfacial tension, except when dealing with the air-liquid interface, where the terms surface and surface tension are used.

To illustrate the presence of a tension in the interface, consider an experiment where a circular metal frame, with a looped piece of thread loosely tied to it, is dipped into a liquid. When removed and exposed to the air, a film of liquid will be stretched entirely across the circular frame, as when one uses such a frame to blow soap bubbles. Under these conditions (Fig 19-1A), the thread will remain collapsed. If now a heated needle is used to puncture and remove the liquid film from within the loop (Fig 19-1B), the loop will stretch spontaneously into a circular shape.

The result of this experiment demonstrates the spontaneous reduction of interfacial contact between air and the liquid remaining and, indeed, that a tension causing the loop to remain extended exists parallel to the interface. The circular shape of the loop indicates that the tension in the plane of the interface exists at right angles or normal to every part of the looped thread. The total force on the entire loop divided by the circumference of the circle, therefore, represents the tension per unit distance of surface, or the surface tension.

Just as work is required to extend a spring under tension, work should be required to reverse the process seen in Figs 19-1A and B, thus bringing more molecules to the interface. This may be seen quantitatively by considering an experiment where tension and work may be measured directly. Assume that we have a rectangular wire with one movable side (Fig 19-2). Assume further that by dipping this wire into a liquid, a film of liquid will form within the frame when it is removed and exposed to the air. As seen earlier in Fig 19-1, since it comes in contact with air, the liquid surface will tend to contract with a force, F , as molecules leave the surface for the bulk. To keep the movable side in equilibrium, an equal force must be applied to oppose this tension in the surface. We then may define the surface tension, γ , of the liquid as $F/2l$, where $2l$ is the distance of surface over which F is operating ($2l$ since there are two surfaces, top and bottom). If the surface is expanded by a very small distance, Δx , one can then estimate that the work done is

$$W = F\Delta x \quad (1)$$

and therefore

$$W = \gamma 2l\Delta x \quad (2)$$

Dr Zografi authored the section on *Interfacial Phenomena*. Dr Schott authored the section on *Colloidal Dispersions*. Dr Swarbrick authored the section on *Particle Phenomena and Coarse Dispersions*.




Fig 19-1. A circular wire frame with a loop of thread loosely tied to it: (A) a liquid film on the wire frame with a loop in it; (B) the film inside the loop is broken.¹




Fig 19-2. A movable wire frame containing a film of liquid being expanded with a force, F .

Since

$$\Delta A = 2l\Delta x \quad (3)$$

where ΔA is the change in area due to the expansion of the surface, we may conclude that

$$W = \gamma\Delta A \quad (4)$$

Thus, the work required to create a unit area of surface, known as the surface free energy/unit area, is equivalent to the surface tension of a liquid system, and the greater the area of interfacial contact between phases, the greater the free-energy increase for the total system. Since a prime requisite for equilibrium is that the free energy of a system be at a minimum, it is not surprising to observe that phases in contact tend to reduce area of contact spontaneously.

Liquids, being mobile, may assume spherical shapes (smallest interfacial area for a given volume), as when ejected from an orifice into air or when dispersed into another immiscible liquid. If a large number of drops are formed, further reduction in area can occur by having the drops coalesce, as when a foam collapses or when the liquid phases making up an emulsion separate.

Surface tension is expressed in units of dynes/cm, while surface free energy is expressed in ergs/cm². Since an erg is a dyne-cm, both sets of units are equivalent.

Values for the surface tension of a variety of liquids are given in Table I, while interfacial tension values for various liquids against water are given in Table II. Other combinations of immiscible phases could be given but most heterogeneous systems encountered in pharmacy usually contain water. Values for these tensions are expressed for a particular temperature. Since an increased temperature increases the thermal energy of molecules, the work required to bring molecules to the interface should be less, and thus the surface and interfacial tension will be reduced. For example, the surface tension of water at 0° is 76.5 dynes/cm and 63.5 dynes/cm at 75°.

As would be expected from the discussion so far, the relative values for surface tension should reflect the nature of intermolecular forces present; hence, the relatively large values for mercury (metallic bonds) and water (hydrogen bonds), and the lower values for benzene, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride and the *n*-alkanes. Benzene with π electrons

Table I—Surface Tension of Various Liquids at 20°

Substance	Surface tension, dynes/cm
Mercury	476
Water	72.8
Glycerin	63.4
Oleic acid	32.5
Benzene	28.9
Chloroform	27.1
Carbon tetrachloride	26.8
1-Octanol	26.5
Hexadecane	27.4
Dodecane	25.4
Decane	23.9
Octane	21.8
Heptane	19.7
Hexane	18.0
Perfluoroheptane	11.0
Nitrogen (at 75°K)	9.4

Table II—Interfacial Tension of Various Liquids against Water at 20°

Substance	Interfacial tension, dynes/cm
Decane	52.3
Octane	51.7
Hexane	50.8
Carbon tetrachloride	45.0
Chloroform	32.8
Benzene	35.0
Mercury	428
Oleic acid	15.6
1-Octanol	8.51


exhibits a higher surface tension than the alkanes of comparable molecular weight, but increasing the molecular weight of the alkanes (and hence intermolecular attraction) increases their surface tension closer to that of benzene. The lower values for the more nonpolar substances, perfluoroheptane and liquid nitrogen, demonstrate this point even more strongly.

Values of interfacial tension should reflect the differences in chemical structure of the two phases involved; the greater the tendency to interact, the less the interfacial tension. The 20-dynes/cm difference between air–water tension and that at the octane–water interface reflects the small but significant interaction between octane molecules and water molecules at the interface. This is seen also in Table II, by comparing values for octane and octanol, oleic acid and the alkanes, or chloroform and carbon tetrachloride.

In each case the presence of chemical groups capable of hydrogen bonding with water markedly reduces the interfacial tension, presumably by satisfying the unbalanced forces at the interface. These observations strongly suggest that molecules at an interface arrange themselves or orient so as to minimize differences between bulk phases.

That this occurs even at the air–liquid interface is seen when one notes the relatively low surface-tension values of very different chemical structures such as the *n*-alkanes, octanol, oleic acid, benzene and chloroform. Presumably, in each case, the similar nonpolar groups are oriented toward the air with any polar groups oriented away toward the bulk phase. This tendency for molecules to orient at an interface is a basic factor in interfacial phenomena and will be discussed more fully in succeeding sections.

Solid substances such as metals, metal oxides, silicates and salts, all containing polar groups exposed at their surface, may be classified as high-energy solids, whereas nonpo-

Fig 19-3. Adipic acid crystal showing various faces.²Table III—Values of γ_{sv} for Solids of Varying Polarity

Solid	γ_{sv} (dynes/cm)
Teflon	19.0
Paraffin	25.5
Polyethylene	37.6
Polymethyl methacrylate	45.4
Nylon	50.8
Indomethacin	61.8
Griseofulvin	62.2
Hydrocortisone	68.7
Sodium Chloride	155
Copper	1300

lar solids such as carbon, sulfur, glyceryl tristearate, polyethylene and polytetrafluoroethylene (Teflon) may be classified as low-energy solids. It is of interest to measure the surface free energy of solids; however, the lack of mobility of molecules at the surface of solids prevents the observation and direct measurement of a surface tension. It is possible to measure the work required to create new solid surface by cleaving a crystal and measuring the work involved. However, this work not only represents free energy due to exposed groups but also takes into account the mechanical energy associated with the crystal (ie, plastic and elastic deformation and strain energies due to crystal structure and imperfections in that structure).

Also contributing to the complexity of a solid surface is the heterogeneous behavior due to the exposure of different crystal faces, each having a different surface free energy/unit area. For example, adipic acid, $\text{HOOC}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{COOH}$, crystallizes from water as thin hexagonal plates with three different faces, as shown in Fig 19-3. Each unit cell of such a crystal contains adipic acid molecules oriented such that the hexagonal planes (faces) contain exposed carboxyl groups, while the sides and edges (A and B faces) represent the side view of the carboxyl and alkyl groups, and thus are quite nonpolar. Indeed, interactions involving these different faces reflect the differing surface free energies.²

Other complexities associated with solid surfaces include surface roughness, porosity and the defects and contamination produced during a recrystallization or comminution of the solid. In view of all these complications, surface free energy values for solids, when reported, should be regarded as average values, often dependent on the method used and not necessarily the same for other samples of the same substance.

In Table III are listed some approximate average values of γ_{sv} for a variety of solids, ranging in polarity from Teflon to copper, obtained by various indirect techniques.

Adhesional and Cohesional Forces

Of prime importance to those dealing with heterogeneous systems is the question of how two phases will behave when brought in contact with each other. It is well known, for instance, that some liquids, when placed in contact with other liquid or solid surfaces, will remain retracted in the form of a drop (known as a lens), while other liquids may

exhibit a tendency to spread and cover the surface of this liquid or solid.

Based upon concepts developed to this point, it is apparent that the individual phases will exhibit a tendency to minimize the area of contact with other phases, thus leading to phase separation. On the other hand, the tendency for interaction between molecules at the new interface will offset this to some extent and give rise to the spontaneous spreading of one substance over the other.

In essence, therefore, phase affinity is increased as the forces of attraction between different phases (adhesional forces) become greater than the forces of attraction between molecules of the same phase (cohesional forces). If these adhesional forces become great enough, miscibility will occur and the interface will disappear. The present discussion is concerned only with systems of limited phase affinity, where an interface still exists.

A convenient approach used to express these forces quantitatively involves the use of the terms work of adhesion and work of cohesion.

The work of adhesion, W_a , is defined as the energy per cm^2 required to separate two phases at their boundary and is equal but opposite in sign to the free energy/ cm^2 released when the interface is formed. In an analogous manner the work of cohesion for a pure substance, W_c , is the work/ cm^2 required to produce two new surfaces, as when separating different phases, but now both surfaces contain the same molecules. This is equal and opposite in sign to the free energy/ cm^2 released when the same two pure liquid surfaces are brought together and eliminated.

By convention, when the work of adhesion between two substances, A and B, exceeds the work of cohesion for one substance, eg, B, spontaneous spreading of B over the surface of A should occur with a net loss of free energy equal to the difference between W_a and W_c . If W_c exceeds W_a , no spontaneous spreading of B over A can occur. The difference between W_a and W_c is known as the spreading coefficient, S; only when S is positive will spreading occur.

The values for W_a and W_c (and hence S) may be expressed in terms of surface and interfacial tensions, when one considers that upon separation of two phases, A and B, γ_{AB} ergs of interfacial free energy/ cm^2 (interfacial tension) are lost, but that γ_A and γ_B ergs/ cm^2 of energy (surface tensions of A and B) are gained; upon separation of bulk phase molecules in an analogous manner, $2\gamma_A$ or $2\gamma_B$ ergs/ cm^2 will be gained. Thus

$$W_a = \gamma_A + \gamma_B - \gamma_{AB} \quad (5)$$

and

$$W_c = 2\gamma_A \text{ or } 2\gamma_B \quad (6)$$

For B spreading on the surface of A, therefore

$$S_B = \gamma_A + \gamma_B - \gamma_{AB} - 2\gamma_B \quad (7)$$

or

$$S_B = \gamma_A - (\gamma_B + \gamma_{AB}) \quad (8)$$

Utilizing Eq 8 and values of surface and interfacial tension given in Tables I and II, S can be calculated for three representative substances—decane, benzene, and oleic acid—on water at 20°.

$$\text{Decane: } S = 72.8 - (23.9 + 52.3) = -3.4$$

$$\text{Benzene: } S = 72.8 - (28.9 + 35.0) = 8.9$$

$$\text{Oleic acid: } S = 72.8 - (32.5 + 15.6) = 24.7$$

As expected, relatively nonpolar substances such as decane exhibit negative values of S, whereas the more polar materials yield positive values; the greater the polarity of the mole-

cule, the more positive the value of S . The importance of the cohesive energy of the spreading liquid may be noted also by comparing the spreading coefficients for hexane on water and water on hexane:

$$S_{H/W} = 72.8 - (18.0 + 50.8) = -4.0$$

$$S_{W/H} = 18.0 - (72.8 + 50.8) = -105.6$$

Here, despite the fact that both liquids are the same, the high cohesion and air-liquid tension of water prevents spreading on the low-energy hexane surface, while the very low value for hexane allows spreading on the water surface. This also is seen when comparing the positive spreading coefficient of hexane to the negative value for decane on water.

To see whether spreading does or does not occur, a powder such as talc or charcoal can be sprinkled over the surface of water such that it floats; then, a drop of each liquid is placed on this surface. As predicted, decane will remain as an intact drop, while hexane, benzene and oleic acid will spread out, as shown by the rapid movement of solid particles away from the point where the liquid drop was placed originally.

An apparent contradiction to these observations may be noted for hexane, benzene and oleic acid when more of each substance is added, in that lenses now appear to form even though initial spreading occurred. Thus, in effect a substance does not appear to spread over itself.

It is now established that the spreading substance forms a monomolecular film which creates a new surface having a lower surface free energy than pure water. This arises because of the apparent orientation of the molecules in such a film so that their most hydrophobic portion is oriented towards the spreading phase. It is the lack of affinity between this exposed portion of the spread molecules and the polar portion of the remaining molecules which prevents further spreading.

This may be seen by calculating a final spreading coefficient where the new surface tension of water plus monomolecular film is used. For example, the presence of benzene reduces the surface tension of water to 62.2 dynes/cm so that the final spreading coefficient, S_F , is

$$S_F = 62.2 - (28.9 + 35.0) = -1.7$$

The lack of spreading exhibited by oleic acid should be reflected in an even more negative final spreading coefficient, since the very polar carboxyl groups should have very little affinity for the exposed alkyl chain of the oleic acid film. Spreading so as to form a second layer with polar groups exposed to the air would also seem very unlikely, thus leading to the formation of a lens.

Wetting Phenomena

In the experiment described above it was shown that talc or charcoal sprinkled onto the surface of water float despite the fact that their densities are much greater than that of water. In order for immersion of the solid to occur, the liquid must displace air and spread over the surface of the solid; when liquids cannot spread over a solid surface spontaneously, and, therefore, S , the spreading coefficient, is negative, we say that the solid is not wetted.

An important parameter which reflects the degree of wetting is the angle which the liquid makes with the solid surface at the point of contact (Fig 19-4). By convention, when wetting is complete, the contact angle is zero; in nonwetting situations it theoretically can increase to a value of 180° , where a spherical droplet makes contact with solid at only one point.




Fig 19-4. Forces acting on a nonwetting liquid drop exhibiting a contact angle of θ .³

In order to express contact angle in terms of solid-liquid-air equilibria, one can balance forces parallel to the solid surface at the point of contact between all three phases (Fig 19-4), as expressed in

$$\gamma_{SV} = \gamma_{SL} + \gamma_{LV} \cos \theta \quad (9)$$

where γ_{SV} , γ_{SL} , and γ_{LV} represent the surface free energy/unit area of the solid-air, solid-liquid, and liquid-air interfaces, respectively. Although difficult to use quantitatively because of uncertainties with γ_{SV} and γ_{SL} measurements, conceptually the equation, known as the Young equation, is useful because it shows that the loss of free energy due to elimination of the air-solid interface by wetting is offset by the increased solid-liquid and liquid-air area of contact as the drop spreads out.

The $\gamma_{LV} \cos \theta$ term arises as the horizontal vectorial component of the force acting along the surface of the drop, as represented by γ_{LV} . Factors tending to reduce γ_{LV} and γ_{SL} , therefore, will favor wetting, while the greater the value of γ_{SV} the greater the chance for wetting to occur. This is seen in Table IV for the wetting of a low-energy surface, paraffin (hydrocarbon), and a higher energy surface, nylon, (polyhexamethylene adipamide). Here, the lower the surface tension of a liquid, the smaller the contact angle on a given solid, and the more polar the solid, the smaller the contact angle with the same liquid.

With Eq 9 in mind and looking at Fig 19-5, it is now possible to understand how the forces acting at the solid-

Table IV—Contact Angle on Paraffin and Nylon for Various Liquids of Differing Surface Tension

Substance	Surface tension, dynes/cm	Contact angle	
		Paraffin	Nylon
Water	72.8	105°	70°
Glycerin	63.4	96°	60°
Formamide	58.2	91°	50°
Methylene iodide	50.8	66°	41°
α -Bromonaphthalene	44.6	47°	16°
<i>tert</i> -Butylnaphthalene	33.7	38°	spreads
Benzene	28.9	24°	"
Dodecane	25.4	17°	"
Decane	23.9	7°	"
Nonane	22.9	spreads	"




Fig 19-5. Forces acting on a nonwetting solid at the air+liquid+solid interface: contact angle θ greater than 90° .

Table V—Critical Surface Tensions of Various Polymeric Solids

Polymeric Solid	γ_c Dynes/cm at 20°
Polymethacrylic ester of ϕ' -octanol	10.6
Polyhexafluoropropylene	16.2
Polytetrafluoroethylene	18.5
Polytrifluoroethylene	22
Poly(vinylidene fluoride)	25
Poly(vinyl fluoride)	28
Polyethylene	31
Polytrifluorochloroethylene	31
Polystyrene	33
Poly(vinyl alcohol)	37
Poly(methyl methacrylate)	39
Poly(vinyl chloride)	39
Poly(vinylidene chloride)	40
Poly(ethylene terephthalate)	43
Poly(hexamethylene adipamide)	46

liquid-air interface can cause a dense nonwetted solid to float if γ_{SL} and γ_{LV} are large enough relative to γ_{SV} .

The significance of reducing γ_{LV} was first developed empirically by Zisman when he plotted $\cos \theta$ vs the surface tension of a series of liquids and found that a linear relationship, dependent on the solid, was obtained. When such plots are extrapolated to $\cos \theta$ equal to one or a zero contact angle, a value of surface tension required to just cause complete wetting is obtained. Doing this for a number of solids, it was shown that this surface tension (known as the critical surface tension, γ_c) parallels expected solid surface energy γ_{SV} ; the lower γ_c , the more nonpolar the surface.



Table V indicates some of these γ_c values for different surface groups, indicating such a trend. Thus, water with a surface tension of about 72 dynes/cm will not wet polyethylene ($\gamma_c = 31$ dynes/cm), but heptane with a surface tension of about 20 dynes/cm will. Likewise, Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene) ($\gamma_c = 19$) is not wetted by heptane but is wetted by perfluoroheptane with a surface tension of 11 dynes/cm.

One complication associated with the wetting of high-energy surfaces is the lack of wetting after the initial formation of a monomolecular film by the spreading substance. As in the case of oleic acid spreading on the surface of water, the remaining liquid retracts because of the low-energy surface produced by the oriented film. This phenomenon, often called autophobic behavior, is an important factor in many systems of pharmaceutical interest since many solids, expected to be wetted easily by water, may be rendered hydrophobic if other molecules dissolved in the water can form these monomolecular films at the solid surface.

Capillarity

Because water shows a strong tendency to spread out over a polar surface such as clean glass (contact angle 0°), one would expect to observe the meniscus which forms when water is contained in a glass vessel such as a pipet or buret. This behavior is accentuated dramatically if a fine-bore capillary tube is placed into the liquid (Fig 19-6); not only will the wetting of the glass produce a more highly curved meniscus, but the level of the liquid in the tube will be appreciably higher than the level of the water in the beaker.

The spontaneous movement of a liquid into a capillary or narrow tube due to surface forces is defined as capillarity and is responsible for a number of important processes involving the penetration of liquids into porous solids. In contrast to water in contact with glass, if the same capillary is placed into mercury (contact angle on glass: 130°), not

Fig 19-6. Capillary rise for a liquid exhibiting zero contact angle.¹Fig 19-7. Capillary fall for a liquid exhibiting a contact angle, θ , which is greater than 90° .¹

only will the meniscus be inverted (see Fig 19-7), but the level of the mercury in the capillary will be lower than in the beaker. In this case one does not expect mercury or other *nonwetting* liquids to easily penetrate pores unless external forces are applied.

To quantitate the factors giving rise to the phenomenon of capillarity, let us consider the case of a liquid which rises to a height, h , above the bulk liquid in a capillary having a radius, r . If (as shown in Fig 19-6) the contact angle of water on glass is zero, a force, F , will act upward and vertically along the circle of liquid-glass contact. Based upon the definition of surface tension this force will be equal to the surface tension, γ , multiplied by the circumference of the circle, $2\pi r$. Thus

$$F = \gamma 2\pi r \quad (10)$$

This force upward must support the column of water, and since the mass, m , of the column is equal to the density, d , multiplied by the volume of the column, $\pi r^2 h$, the force W opposing the movement upward will be

$$W = mg = \pi r^2 dgh \quad (11)$$

where g is the gravity constant.

Equating the two forces at equilibrium gives


$$\pi r^2 dgh = \gamma 2\pi r \quad (12)$$

so that

$$h = \frac{2\gamma}{rdg} \quad (13)$$

Thus, the greater the surface tension and the finer the capillary radius, the greater the rise of liquid in the capillary.

If the contact angle of liquid is not zero (as shown in Fig 19-8), the same relationship may be developed, except the

Fig 19-8. Capillary rise for a liquid exhibiting a contact angle, θ , which is greater than zero but less than 90° .¹

vertical component of F which opposes the weight of the column is $F \cos \theta$ and, therefore

$$h = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{rdg} \quad (14)$$

This indicates the very important fact that if θ is less than 90° , but greater than 0° , the value of h will decrease with increasing contact angle until at 90° ($\cos \theta = 0$), $h = 0$. Above 90° , values of h will be negative, as indicated in Fig 19-7 for mercury. Thus, based on these equations we may conclude that capillarity will occur spontaneously in a cylindrical pore even if the contact angle is greater than zero, but it will not occur at all if the contact angle becomes 90° or more. In solids with irregularly shaped pores the relationships between parameters in Eq 14 will be the same, but they will be more difficult to quantitate because of nonuniform changes in pore radius throughout the porous structure.

Pressure Differences across Curved Surfaces

From the preceding discussion of capillarity another important concept follows. In order for the liquid in a capillary to rise spontaneously it must develop a higher pressure than the lower level of the liquid in the beaker. However, since the system is open to the atmosphere, both surfaces are in equilibrium with the atmospheric pressure. In order to be raised above the level of liquid in the beaker and produce a hydrostatic pressure equal to hgd , the pressure just below the liquid meniscus, in the capillary, P_1 , must be less than that just below the flat liquid surface, P_0 , by hgd , and therefore

$$P_0 - P_1 = hgd \quad (15)$$

Since, according to Eq 14

$$h = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{rgd}$$

then

$$P_0 - P_1 = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{r} \quad (16)$$

For a contact angle of zero, where the radius of the capillary is the radius of the hemisphere making up the meniscus,

$$P_0 - P_1 = \frac{2\gamma}{r} \quad (17)$$

The consequences of this relationship (known as the Laplace equation) are important for any curved surface when r becomes very small and γ is relatively significant. For example, a spherical droplet of air formed in a bulk liquid and having a radius, r , will have a greater pressure on the inner concave surface than on the convex side, as expressed in Eq 17.

Another direct consequence of what Eq 17 expresses is the fact that very small droplets of liquid, having highly curved surfaces, will exhibit a higher vapor pressure, P , than that observed over a flat surface of the same liquid at P' . The equation (Eq. 18) expressing the ratio of P/P' to droplet radius, r , and surface tension, γ , is called the Kelvin equation where

$$\log P/P' = \frac{2\gamma M}{2.303RT\rho r} \quad (18)$$

and M is the molecular weight, R the gas constant in ergs per mole per degree, T is temperature and ρ is the density in g/cm^3 . Values for the ratio of vapor pressures are given in Table VI for water droplets of varying size. Such ratios indicate why it is possible for very fine water droplets in

Table VI—Ratio of Observed Vapor Pressure to Expected Vapor Pressure of Water at 25° with Varying Droplet Size

P/P' ^a	Droplet size, μm
1.001	1
1.01	0.1
1.1	0.01
2.0	0.005
3.0	0.001
4.2	0.00065
5.2	0.00060

^a P is the observed vapor pressure and P' is the expected value for "bulk" water.

clouds to remain uncondensed despite their close proximity to one another.

This same behavior may be seen when measuring the solubility of very fine solid particles since both vapor pressure and solubility are measures of the escaping tendency of molecules from a surface. Indeed, the equilibrium solubility of extremely small particles has been shown to be greater than the usual value noted for coarser particles; the greater the surface energy and smaller the particles, the greater this effect.

Adsorption

Vapor Adsorption on Solid Surfaces

It was suggested earlier that a high surface or interfacial free energy may exist at a solid surface if the unbalanced forces at the surface and the area of exposed groups are quite great.


Substances such as metals, metal oxides, silicates, and salts—all containing exposed polar groups—may be classified as high-energy or hydrophilic solids; nonpolar solids such as carbon, sulfur, polyethylene, or Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene) may be classified as low-energy or hydrophobic solids (Table III). Whereas liquids satisfy their unbalanced surface forces by changes in shape, pure solids (which exhibit negligible surface mobility) must rely on reaction with molecules either in the vapor state or in a solution which comes in contact with the solid surface to accomplish this.

Vapor adsorption is the simplest model demonstrating how solids reduce their surface free energy in this manner.

Depending on the chemical nature of the adsorbent (solid) and the adsorbate (vapor), the strength of interaction between the two species may vary from strong specific chemical bonding to interactions produced by the weaker more nonspecific London dispersion forces. Ordinarily, these latter forces are those responsible for the condensation of relatively nonpolar substances such as N_2 , O_2 , CO_2 or hydrocarbons.

When chemical reaction occurs, the process is called chemisorption; when dispersion forces predominate, the term physisorption is used. Physisorption occurs at temperatures approaching the liquefaction temperature of the vapor, whereas, for chemisorption, temperatures depend on the particular reaction involved. Water-vapor adsorption to various polar solids can occur at room temperature through hydrogen-bonding, with binding energies intermediate to physisorption and chemisorption.

In order to study the adsorption of vapors onto solid surfaces one must measure the amount of gas adsorbed/unit area or unit mass of solid, at different pressures of gas. Since such studies usually are conducted at constant temperature, plots of volume adsorbed vs pressure are referred to as adsorption isotherms. If the physical or chemical adsorption process is monomolecular, the adsorption iso-

Fig 19-9 Adsorption isotherms for ammonia on charcoal.⁴

therm should look like those shown in Fig 19-9. Note the significant increase in adsorption with increasing pressure, followed by a leveling off. This leveling off is due either to a saturation of available specific chemical groups, as in chemisorption, or to the entire available surface being covered by physically adsorbed molecules. Note also the reduction in adsorption with increasing temperature which occurs because the adsorption process is exothermic. Often in the case of physical adsorption at low temperatures, after adsorption levels off, a marked increase in adsorption occurs, presumably due to multilayered adsorption. In this case vapor molecules essentially condense upon themselves as the liquefaction pressure of the vapor is approached. Figure 19-10 illustrates one type of isotherm generally seen with multilayered physisorption.

In order to have some quantitative understanding of the adsorption process and to be able to compare different systems, two factors must be evaluated; it is important to know what the capacity of the solid is or what the maximum amount of adsorption is under a given set of conditions and what the affinity of a given substance is for the solid surface or how readily does it adsorb for a given amount of pressure? In effect, this second term is the equilibrium constant for the process.




Fig 19-10. Typical plot for multilayer physical adsorption of a vapor on a solid surface.

A significant development along these lines was introduced by Langmuir when he proposed his theory of monomolecular adsorption. He postulated that for adsorption to occur a solid must contain uniform adsorption sites, each capable of holding a gas molecule. Molecules colliding with the surface may bounce off elastically or they may remain in contact for a period of time. It is this contact over a period of time that Langmuir termed adsorption.

Two major assumptions were made in deriving the equation: (1) only those molecules striking an empty site can be adsorbed, hence, only monomolecular adsorption occurs, and (2) the forces of interaction between adsorbed molecules are negligible and, therefore, the probability of a molecule adsorbing onto or desorbing from any site is independent of the surrounding sites.

The derivation of the equation is based upon the relationship between the rate of adsorption and desorption, since at equilibrium the two rates must be equal. Let μ equal the number of molecules striking each sq cm of surface/sec. From the kinetic theory of gases

$$\mu = \frac{p}{(2\pi mkT)^{1/2}} \quad (19)$$

where p is the gas pressure, m is the mass of the molecule, k is the Boltzmann gas constant, and T is the absolute temperature. Thus, the greater p , the greater the number of collisions. Let α equal the fraction of molecules which will be held by the surface; then $\alpha\mu$ is equal to the rate of adsorption on the bare surface. However, if θ is the fraction of the surface already covered, the rate of adsorption actually will be

$$R_a = \alpha\mu(1 - \theta) \quad (20)$$

In a similar manner the rate of molecules leaving the surface can be expressed as

$$R_d = \gamma\theta \quad (21)$$

where γ is the rate at which molecules can leave the surface and θ represents the number of molecules available to desorb. The value of γ strongly depends on the energy associated with adsorption; the greater the binding energy, the lower the value of γ . At equilibrium, $R_a = R_d$ and

$$\gamma\theta = \alpha\mu(1 - \theta) \quad (22)$$

Isolating the variable term, p , and combining all constants into k , the equation can be written as

$$\theta = \frac{kp}{1 + kp} \quad (23)$$

and, since θ may be expressed as

$$\theta = \frac{V_a}{V_m} \quad (24)$$

where V_a is the volume of gas adsorbed and V_m is the volume of gas covering all of the sites, Eq. 23 may be written as

$$V_a = \frac{V_m kp}{1 + kp} \quad (25)$$

A test of fit to this equation can be made by expressing it in linear form

$$\frac{p}{V_a} = \frac{1}{V_m k} + \frac{p}{V_m} \quad (26)$$

The value of k is, in effect, the equilibrium constant and may be used to compare affinities of different substances for the solid surface. The value of V_m is valuable since it indicates the maximum number of sites available for adsorption. In the case of physisorption the maximum number of sites is

actually the total surface area of the solid and, therefore, the value of V_m can be used to estimate surface area if the volume and area/molecule of vapor are known.

Since physisorption most often involves some multilayered adsorption, an equation, based on the Langmuir equation, the B.E.T. equation, is normally used to determine V_m and solid surface areas. Equation 27 is the B.E.T. equation:

$$V_a = \frac{V_m c p}{(p_0 - p)[1 + (C - 1)(p/p_0)]} \quad (27)$$

where c is a constant and p_0 is the vapor pressure of the adsorbing substance.⁵ The most widely used vapor for this purpose is nitrogen, which adsorbs nonspecifically on most solids near its boiling point at -195° and appears to occupy about $16 \text{ \AA}^2/\text{molecule}$ on a solid surface.

Adsorption from Solution

By far one of the most important aspects of interfacial phenomena encountered in pharmaceutical systems is the tendency for substances dissolved in a liquid to adsorb to various interfaces. Adsorption from solution is generally more complex than that from the vapor state because of the influence of the solvent and any other solutes dissolved in the solvent. Although such adsorption is generally limited to one molecular layer, the presence of other molecules often makes the interpretation of adsorption mechanisms much more difficult than for chemisorption or physisorption of a vapor. Since monomolecular adsorption from solution is so widespread at all interfaces, we will first discuss the nature of monomolecular films and then return to a discussion of adsorption from solution.

Insoluble Monomolecular Films

It was suggested above that molecules exhibiting a tendency to spread out at an interface might be expected to orient so as to reduce the interfacial free energy produced by the presence of the interface. Direct evidence for molecular orientation has been obtained from studies dealing with the spreading on water of insoluble polar substances containing long hydrocarbon chains, eg, fatty acids.

In the late 19th century Pockels and Rayleigh showed that a very small amount of olive or castor oil—when placed on the surface of water—spreads out, as discussed above. If the amount of material was less than could physically cover the entire surface only a slight reduction in the surface tension of water was noted. However, if the surface was compressed between barriers, as shown in Fig 19-11, the surface tension was reduced considerably.

Devaux extended the use of this technique by dissolving small amounts of solid in volatile solvents and dropping the solution onto a water surface. After assisting the water-insoluble molecules to spread, the solvent evaporated, leaving a surface film containing a known amount of solute.

Compression and measurement of surface tension indicated that a maximum reduction of surface was reached when the number of molecules/unit area was reduced to a value corresponding to complete coverage of the surface. This suggested that a monomolecular film forms and that surface




Fig 19-11. Insoluble monomolecular film compressed between a fixed barrier, B, and a movable barrier, A.⁶

tension is reduced upon compression because contact between air and water is reduced by the presence of the film molecules. Beyond the point of closest packing the film apparently collapses very much as a layer of corks floating on water would be disrupted when laterally compressed beyond the point of initial physical contact.

Using a refined quantitative technique based on these studies, Langmuir⁷ spread films of pure fatty acids, alcohols, and esters on the surface of water. Comparing a series of saturated fatty acids, differing only in chain length, he found that the area/molecule at collapse was independent of chain length, corresponding to the cross-sectional area of a molecule oriented in a vertical position (see Fig 19-11). He further concluded that this molecular orientation involved association of the polar carboxyl group with the water phase and the nonpolar acyl chain out towards the vapor phase.

In addition to the evidence for molecular orientation, Langmuir's work with surface films revealed that each substance exhibits film properties which reflect the interactions between molecules in the surface film. This is best seen by plotting the difference in surface tension of the clean surface, γ_0 , and that of the surface covered with the film, γ , vs the area/molecule, A , produced by film compression (total area $\div$ the number of molecules). The difference in surface tension is called the surface pressure, π , and thus

$$\pi = \gamma_0 - \gamma. \quad (28)$$

Figure 19-12 depicts such a plot for a typical fatty acid monomolecular film. At areas greater than $50 \text{ \AA}^2/\text{molecule}$ the molecules are far apart and do not cover enough surface to reduce the surface tension of the clean surface to any extent and thus the lack of appreciable surface pressure. Since the molecules in the film are quite free to move laterally in the surface, they are said to be in a two-dimensional "gaseous" or "vapor" state.

As the intermolecular distance is reduced upon compression, the surface pressure rises because the air-water surface is being covered to a greater extent. The rate of change in π with A , however, will depend on the extent of interaction between film molecules; the greater the rate of change, the more "condensed" the state of the film.

In Fig 19-12, from $50 \text{ \AA}^2$ to $30 \text{ \AA}^2/\text{molecule}$, the curve shows a steady increase in π , representative of a two-dimensional "liquid" film, where the molecules become more restricted in their freedom of movement because of interactions. Below $30 \text{ \AA}^2/\text{molecule}$ the increase in π occurs over a narrow range of A , characteristic of closest packing and a two-dimensional "solid" film.

Any factor tending to increase polarity or bulkiness of the molecule—such as increased charge, number of polar groups, reduction in chain length, or the introduction of




Fig 19-12. A surface pressure-area curve for an insoluble monomolecular film: Region A, "gaseous" film; Region B, "liquid" film; Region C, "solid" film; Region D, film collapse

aromatic rings, side chains, and double bonds—should reduce molecular interactions, while the longer the alkyl chain and the less bulky the polar group, the closer the molecules can approach and the stronger the extent of interaction in the film.

Soluble Films and Adsorption from Solution

If a fatty acid exhibits highly "gaseous" film behavior on an aqueous surface, we should expect a relatively small change in π with A over a considerable range of compression. Indeed, for short-chain compounds—eg, lauric acid (12 carbons) and decanoic acid—not only is the change in π small with decreasing A but at a point just before the expected closest packing area the surface pressure becomes constant without any collapse.

If lauric acid is converted to the laurate ion, or if a shorter chain acid such as octanoic acid is used, spreading on water and compression of the surface produces no increase in π ; the more polar the molecule (hence, the more "gaseous" the film), the higher the area/molecule where a constant surface pressure occurs.

This behavior may be explained by assuming that polar molecules form monomolecular films when spread on water but that, upon compression, they are caused to enter the aqueous bulk solution rather than to remain as an intact insoluble film. The constant surface pressure with increased compression arises because a constant number of molecules/unit area remain at the surface in equilibrium with dissolved molecules. The extent of such behavior will be greater for substances exhibiting weaker intermolecular interaction and greater water solubility.

Starting from the other direction, it can be shown that short-chain acids and alcohols (when dissolved in water) reduce the surface tension of water, thus producing a surface pressure, just as with insoluble films (see Eq 28). That dissolved molecules are accumulating at the interface in the form of a monomolecular film is suggested from the similarity in behavior to systems where slightly soluble molecules are spread on the surface. For example, compressing the surface of a solution containing "surface-active" molecules has no effect on the initial surface pressure, whereas increasing bulk-solution concentration tends to increase surface pressure, presumably by shifting the equilibrium between surface and bulk molecules.

At this point we may ask, why should water-soluble molecules leave an aqueous phase and accumulate or "adsorb" at an air-solution interface? Since any process will occur spontaneously if it results in a net loss in free energy, such must be the case for the process of adsorption.

A number of factors will produce such a favorable change in free energy. First, the presence of the oriented monomolecular film reduces the surface free energy of the air-water interface. Second, the hydrophobic group on the molecule is in a lower state of energy at the interface, where it no longer is as surrounded by water molecules, than when it is in the bulk-solution phase. Increased interaction between film molecules also will contribute to this process.

A further reduction in free energy occurs upon adsorption because of the gain in entropy associated with a change in water structure. Water molecules, in the presence of dissolved alkyl chains are more highly organized or "ice-like" than they are as a pure bulk phase; hence, the entropy of such structured water is lower than that of bulk water.

The process of adsorption requires that the "ice-like" structure "melt" as the chains go to the interface and, thus, an increase in the entropy of water occurs. The adsorption of molecules dissolved in oil can occur but it is not influenced by water structure changes and, hence, only the first factors mentioned are important here.

It is very rare that significant adsorption can occur at the hydrocarbon-air interface since little loss in free energy can occur by bringing hydrocarbon chains with polar groups attached to this interface; however, at oil-water interfaces the polar portions of the molecule can interact with water at the interface, leading to significant adsorption.

Thus, whereas water-soluble fatty acid salts are adsorbed from water to air-water and oil-water interfaces, their undissociated counterparts, the free fatty acids, which are water insoluble, form insoluble films at the air-water interface, are not adsorbed from oil solution to an oil-air interface, but show significant adsorption at the oil-water interface when dissolved in oil.

From this discussion it is possible also to conclude that adsorption from aqueous solution requires a lower solute concentration to obtain the same level of adsorption if the hydrophobic chain length is increased or if the polar portion of the molecule is less hydrophilic. On the other hand, adsorption from nonpolar solvents is favored when the solute is quite polar.

Since soluble or adsorbed films cannot be compressed, there is no simple direct way to estimate the number of molecules/unit area coming to the surface under a given set of conditions. For relatively simple systems it is possible to estimate this value by application of the Gibbs equation, which relates surface concentration to the surface-tension change produced at different solute activities. The derivation of this equation is beyond the scope of this discussion, but it arises from a classical thermodynamic treatment of the change in free energy when molecules concentrate at the boundary between two phases. The equation may be expressed as

$$\Gamma = - \frac{a}{RT} \frac{d\gamma}{da} \quad (29)$$

where Γ is the moles of solute adsorbed/unit area, R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature and $d\gamma$ is the change in surface tension with a change in solute activity, da , at activity a . For dilute solutions of nonelectrolytes, or for electrolytes when the Debye-Hückel equation for activity coefficient is applicable, the value of a may be replaced by solute concentration, c . Since the term dc/c is equal to $d \ln c$, the Gibbs equation is often written as

$$\Gamma = - \frac{1}{RT} \frac{d\gamma}{d \ln c} \quad (30)$$

In this way the slope of a plot of γ vs $\ln c$ multiplied by $1/RT$ should give Γ at a particular value of c . Figure 19-13 depicts typical plots for a series of water-soluble surface-active agents differing only in the alkyl chain length. Note the




Fig 19-13. The effect of increasing chain length on the surface activity of a surfactant at the air-aqueous solution interface (each figure depicted to differ by two methylene groups with A, the longest chain, and D, the shortest).

greater reduction of surface tension that occurs at lower concentrations for longer chain-length compounds. In addition, note the greater slopes with increasing concentration, indicating more adsorption (Eq 30), and the abrupt leveling of surface tension at higher concentrations. This latter behavior reflects the self-association of surface-active agent to form micelles which exhibit no further tendency to reduce surface tension. The topic of micelles will be discussed later on page 268.

If one plots the values of surface concentration, Γ , vs concentration, c , for substances adsorbing to the vapor-liquid and liquid-liquid interfaces, using data such as those given in Fig 19-13, one generally obtains an adsorption isotherm shaped like those in Fig 19-9 for vapor adsorption. Indeed, it can be shown that the Langmuir equation (Eq 25) can be fitted to such data when written in the form

$$\Gamma = \frac{\Gamma_{\max} k'c}{1 + k'c} \quad (31)$$

where $\Gamma_{\max}$ is the maximum surface concentration attained with increasing concentration and k' is related to k in Eq 25. Combining Eqs 29 and 31 leads to a widely used relationship between surface tension change Π (see Eq 28) and solute concentration, c , known as the Szyszkowski equation:

$$\Pi = \Gamma_{\max} RT \ln(1 + k'c) \quad (32)$$

Mixed Films

It would seem reasonable to expect that the properties of a surface film could be varied greatly if a mixture of surface-active agents were in the film. As an example, consider that a mixture of short- and long-chain fatty acids would be expected to show a degree of "condensation" varying from the "gaseous" state, when the short-chain substance is used in high amount, to a highly condensed state when the longer chain substance predominates. Thus, each component in such a case would operate independently by bringing a proportional amount of film behavior to the system.

More often, the ingredients of a surface film do not behave independently, but, rather, interact to produce a new surface film. An obvious example would be the combination of organic amines and acids which are oppositely charged and would be expected to interact strongly.

In addition to such polar-group interactions, chain-chain interaction will strongly favor mixed condensed films. An important example of such a case occurs when a long-chain alcohol is introduced along with an ionized long-chain substance. Together the molecules form a highly condensed film despite the presence of a high number of like charges. Presumably this occurs as seen in Fig 19-14, by arranging the molecules so that ionic groups alternate with alcohol groups; however, if chain-chain interactions are not strong, the ionic species often will be displaced by the more nonpolar unionized species and "desorb" into the bulk solution.

On the other hand, sometimes the more soluble surface-active agent produces surface pressures in excess of the collapse pressure of the insoluble film and displaces it from the surface. This is an important concept because it is the underlying principle behind cell lysis by surface-active agents and some drugs, and behind the important process of detergency.




Fig 19-14. A mixed monomolecular film. $\otimes$: a long-chain ion; $\odot$: a long-chain nonionic compound.

Adsorption on Solid Surfaces From Solution

Adsorption to solid surfaces from solution may occur if dissolved molecules and the solid surface have chemical groups capable of interacting. Nonspecific adsorption will occur if the solute is surface active and if the surface energy of the solid is high. This latter case would be the same as occurs at the vapor-liquid and liquid-liquid interfaces, with adsorption to liquid interfaces, adsorption to solid surfaces from solution generally leads to a monomolecular layer, often described by the Langmuir equation or by empirical, yet related, Freundlich equation

$$x/M = kc^n$$

where x is the grams of solute adsorbed by M grams of solid in equilibrium with a solute concentration of c . The terms k and n are empirical constants. However, as Giles⁸ pointed out, the variety of combinations of solutes and solids, and, hence the variety of possible mechanisms of adsorption, can lead to a number of more complex isotherms. In particular, adsorption of surfactants and polymers, of great importance in a number of pharmaceutical systems, is not well understood on a fundamental level, and many situations even be multilayered.

Adsorption from solution may be measured by separating solid and solution and either estimating the amount of sorbate adhering to the solid or the loss in concentration of sorbate from solution.

In view of the possibility of solvent adsorption, the latter approach really only gives an apparent adsorption. For example, if solvent adsorption is great enough, it is possible to end up with an increased concentration of solute in contact with the solid; here, the term negative adsorption is used.

Solvent not only influences adsorption by competing for the surface but, as discussed in connection with adsorption at liquid surfaces, the solvent will determine the escape tendency of a solute; eg, the more polar the molecule, the more the adsorption that occurs from water. This is seen in Fig 19-15, where adsorption of various fatty acids from water onto charcoal increases with increasing alkyl chain length and nonpolarity. It is difficult to predict these effects but, in general, the more chemically unlike the solute and solvent and the more alike the solid surface groups and solute,




Fig 19-15. The relation between adsorption and molecular weight for fatty acids.⁹




Fig 19-16. The adsorption of a cationic surfactant, LN^+ , onto a negatively charged silica or glass surface, exposing a hydrophobic surface as the solid is exposed to air.¹⁰

greater the extent of adsorption. Another factor which must be kept in mind is that charged solid surfaces, such as polyelectrolytes, will strongly adsorb oppositely charged solutes. This is similar to the strong specific binding seen in gas chemisorption and it is characterized by significant monolayer adsorption at very low concentrations of solute. See Fig 19-16 for an example of such adsorption.

Surface-Active Agents

Throughout the discussion so far, examples of surface-active agents (surfactants) have been restricted primarily to fatty acids and their salts. It has been shown that both a hydrophobic portion (alkyl chain) and a hydrophilic portion (carboxyl and carboxylate groups) are required for their surface activity, the relative degree of polarity determining the tendency to accumulate at interfaces. It now becomes important to look at some of the specific types of surfactants available and to see what structural features are required for different pharmaceutical applications.

The classification of surfactants is quite arbitrary, but one based on chemical structure appears best as a means of introducing the topic. It is generally convenient to categorize surfactants according to their polar portions since the nonpolar portion is usually made up of alkyl or aryl groups. The major polar groups found in most surfactants may be divided as follows: anionic, cationic, amphoteric and non-ionic. As we shall see, the last group is the largest and most widely used for pharmaceutical systems, so that it will be emphasized in the discussion that follows.

Types

Anionic Agents—The most commonly used anionic surfactants are those containing carboxylate, sulfonate, and sulfate ions. Those containing carboxylate ions are known as soaps and are generally prepared by the saponification of natural fatty acid glycerides in alkaline solution. The most common cations associated with soaps are sodium, potassium, ammonium, and triethanolamine, while the chain length of the fatty acids ranges from 12 to 18.

The degree of water solubility is greatly influenced by the length of the alkyl chain and the presence of double bonds. For example, sodium stearate is quite insoluble in water at room temperature, whereas sodium oleate under the same conditions is quite water soluble.

Table VII—Effect of Aerosol OT Concentration on the Surface Tension of Water and the Contact Angle of Water with Magnesium Stearate

Concentration, $m \times 10^6$	γ_{sv}	θ
1.0	60.1	120°
3.0	49.8	113°
5.0	45.1	104°
8.0	40.6	89°
10.0	38.6	80°
12.0	37.9	71°
15.0	35.0	63°
20.0	32.4	54°
25.0	29.5	50°

Multivalent ions, such as calcium and magnesium, produce marked water insolubility, even at lower alkyl chain lengths; thus, soaps are not useful in hard water which is high in content of these ions. Soaps, being salts of weak acids, are subject also to hydrolysis and the formation of free acid plus hydroxide ion, particularly when in more concentrated solution.

To offset some of the disadvantages of soaps, a number of long-alkyl-chain sulfonates, as well as alkyl aryl sulfonates such as sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate, may be used; the sulfonate ion is less subject to hydrolysis and precipitation in the presence of multivalent ions. A popular group of sulfonates, widely used in pharmaceutical systems, are the dialkyl sodium sulfo succinates, particularly sodium bis-(2-ethylhexyl)sulfo succinate, best known as Aerosol OT or docusate sodium. This compound is unique in that it is both oil and water soluble and hence forms micelles in both phases. It reduces surface and interfacial tension to low values and acts as an excellent wetting agent in many types of solid dosage forms (see Table VII).

A number of alkyl sulfates are available as surfactants, but by far the most popular member of this group is sodium lauryl sulfate, which is widely used as an emulsifier and solubilizer in pharmaceutical systems. Unlike the sulfonates, sulfates are susceptible to hydrolysis which leads to the formation of the long-chain alcohol, so that pH control is most important for sulfate solutions.

Cationic Agents—A number of long-chain cations, such as amine salts and quaternary ammonium salts, are often used as surface-active agents when dissolved in water; however, their use in pharmaceutical preparations is limited to that of antimicrobial preservation rather than as surfactants. This arises because the cations adsorb so readily at cell membrane structures in a nonspecific manner, leading to cell lysis (eg, hemolysis), as do anionics to a lesser extent. It is in this way that they act to destroy bacteria and fungi.

Since anionic and nonionic agents are not as effective as preservatives, one must conclude that the positive charge of these compounds is important; however, the extent of surface activity has been shown to determine the amount of material needed for a given amount of preservation. Quaternary ammonium salts are preferable to free amine salts since they are not subject to effect by pH in any way; however, the presence of organic anions such as dyes and natural polyelectrolytes is an important source of incompatibility and such a combination should be avoided.

Amphoteric Agents—The major group of molecules falling into this category are those containing carboxylate or phosphate groups as the anion and amino or quaternary ammonium groups as the cation. The former group is represented by various polypeptides, proteins, and the alkyl betaines, while the latter group consist of natural phospholipids such as the lecithins and cephalins. In general, long-chain amphoteric which exist in solution in zwitterionic form are

more surface-active than ionic surfactants having the same hydrophobic group since in effect the oppositely charged ions are neutralized. However, when compared to nonionics, they appear somewhere between ionic and nonionic.

Nonionic Agents—The major class of compounds used in pharmaceutical systems are the nonionic surfactants since their advantages with respect to compatibility, stability, and potential toxicity are quite significant. It is convenient to divide these compounds into those that are relatively water insoluble and those that are quite water soluble.

The major type of compounds making up this first group are the long-chain fatty acids and their water-insoluble derivatives. These include (1) fatty alcohols such as lauryl, cetyl (16 carbons) and stearyl alcohols; (2) glyceryl esters such as the naturally occurring mono-, di- and triglycerides; and (3) fatty acid esters of fatty alcohols and other alcohols such as propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, sorbitan, sucrose and cholesterol. Included also in this general class of nonionic water-insoluble compounds are the free steroidal alcohols such as cholesterol.

To increase the water solubility of these compounds and to form the second group of nonionic agents, polyoxyethylene groups are added through an ether linkage with one of their alcohol groups. The list of derivatives available is much too long to cover completely, but a few general categories will be given.

The most widely used compounds are the polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters which are found in both internal and external pharmaceutical formulations. Closely related compounds include polyoxyethylene glyceryl, and steroidal esters, as well as the comparable polyoxypropylene esters. It is also possible to have a direct ether linkage with the hydrophobic group as with a polyoxyethylene-stearyl ether or a polyoxyethylene-alkyl phenol. These ethers offer advantages since, unlike the esters, they are quite resistant to acidic or alkaline hydrolysis.

Besides the classification of surfactants according to their polar portion, it is useful to have a method that categorizes them in a manner that reflects their interfacial activity and their ability to function as wetting agents, emulsifiers, solubilizers, etc. Since variation in the relative polarity or nonpolarity of a surfactant significantly influences its interfacial behavior, some measure of polarity or nonpolarity should be useful as a means of classification.

One such approach assigns a hydrophile-lipophile balance number (HLB) for each surfactant and, although developed by a commercial supplier of one group of surfactants, the method has received wide-spread application. The HLB value, as originally conceived for nonionic surfactants, is merely the percentage weight of the hydrophilic group divided by five in order to reduce the range of values. On a molar basis, therefore, a 100% hydrophilic molecule (polyethylene glycol) would have a value of 20.

Thus, an increase in polyoxyethylene chain length increases polarity and, hence, the HLB value; at constant polar chain length, an increase in alkyl chain length or number of fatty acid groups decreases polarity and the HLB value. One immediate advantage of this system is that to a first approximation one can compare any chemical type of surfactant to another type when both polar and nonpolar groups are different.

HLB values for nonionics are calculable on the basis of the proportion of polyoxyethylene chain present; however, in order to determine values for other types of surfactants it is necessary to compare physical chemical properties reflecting polarity with those surfactants having known HLB values.

Relationships between HLB and phenomena such as water solubility, interfacial tension, and dielectric constant have been used in this regard. Those surfactants exhibiting values greater than 20 (eg, sodium lauryl sulfate) demon-

strate hydrophilic behavior in excess of the polyoxyethylene groups alone. Table XIX, page 304, presents HLB values for a variety of surface-active agents.

Surfactant Properties in Solution and Micelle Formation

As seen in Fig 19-13, increasing the concentration of surface-active agents in aqueous solution causes a decrease in the surface tension of the solution until a certain concentration where it then becomes essentially constant with increasing concentration. That this change is associated with changes also taking place in the bulk solution rather than just at the surface can be seen in Fig 19-17, which shows the same abrupt change in bulk solution properties such as solubility, equivalent conductance and osmotic pressure as with surface properties. The most reasonable explanation for these effects is that the solute molecules self-associate to form soluble aggregates which exhibit markedly different properties from the monomers in solution. Such aggregates (Fig 19-18A) appear to exhibit no tendency to adsorb to the surface since the surface and interfacial tension above this solute concentration do not change to any significant extent. Such aggregates, known as micelles, form over such a very narrow range of concentrations that one can speak of a critical micellization concentration (cmc). These micelles form for essentially the same reasons that cause molecules to be adsorbed; the lack of affinity of the hydrophobic chains for water molecules and the tendency for strong hydrophobic chain-chain interactions when the chains are oriented closely together in the micelle, coupled with the gain in entropy due to the loss of the ice-like structure of water when the chains are separated from water, lead to a favorable free energy change for micellization. The longer the hydrophobic chain or the less the polarity of the polar group, the greater the tendency for monomers to "escape" from the water to form micelles and, hence the lower the cmc (see Fig 19-13).

In dilute solution (still above the cmc) the micelles can be considered to be approximately spherical in shape (Fig 19-18A and B), while at higher concentrations they become




Fig 19-17. Effect of surfactant concentration and micelle formation on various properties of the aqueous solution of an ionic surfactant. A: Surface tension; B: interfacial tension; C: osmotic pressure; D: equivalent conductivity; E: solubility of compound with very low solubility in pure water ¹¹




Fig 19-18. Different types of micelles. A: Spherical micelle of an anionic surfactant; B: spherical micelle of a nonionic surfactant; C: cylindrical micelle of an ionic surfactant; D: lamellar micelle of an ionic surfactant; E: reverse micelle of an anionic surfactant in oil.¹¹

more asymmetric and eventually assume cylindrical (Fig 19-18C) or lamellar (Fig 19-18D) structures. It is important to recognize that equilibrium, and hence reversibility, exists between the monomers and the various types of micelles. The sizes of such micelles depend on the number of monomers per micelle and the size and molecular shape of the individual monomers. In Table VIII are given the cmc and number of monomers per micelle for different types of surfactants. Note for the nonionic surfactants that the longer the polyoxyethylene chain, and hence the more polar and bulkier the molecule, the higher the cmc, ie the less the tendency for micelle formation. It is also possible for oil-soluble surfactants to show a tendency to self-associate into "reverse micelles in nonpolar solvents, as depicted in Fig 19-18E, with their polar groups all oriented away from the solvent. In general these micelles tended to be smaller and to aggregate over a wider range of concentrations than seen in water, and therefore, to exhibit no well-defined cmc.


Micellar Solubilization

As seen in Fig 19-18, the interior of surfactant micelles formed in aqueous media consists of hydrocarbon "tails" in liquid-like disorder. The micelles, therefore, resemble miniscule pools of liquid hydrocarbon surrounded by shells of polar "head groups." Compounds which are poorly soluble in water but soluble in hydrocarbon solvents, can be dissolved inside these micelles, ie, they are brought homogeneously into an overall aqueous medium.

Being hydrophobic and oleophilic, the solubilized molecules are located primarily in the hydrocarbon core of the micelles (see Fig 19-19A). Even water-insoluble drugs usually contain polar functional groups such as hydroxyl, carbonyl, ether, amino, amide, and cyano. Upon solubilization, these hydrophilic groups locate on the periphery of the micelle among the polar headgroups of the surfactant in order to become hydrated (see Fig 19-19B). For instance,

Table VIII—Critical Micelle Concentrations and Micellar Aggregation Numbers of Various Surfactants in Water at Room Temperature

Structure	Name	CMC, mM/L	Surfactant molecules/micelle
$n\text{-C}_{11}\text{H}_{23}\text{COOK}$	Potassium laurate	24	50
$n\text{-C}_8\text{H}_{17}\text{SO}_3\text{Na}$	Sodium octant sulfonate	150	28
$n\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{21}\text{SO}_3\text{Na}$	Sodium decane sulfonate	40	40
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{SO}_3\text{Na}$	Sodium dodecane sulfonate	9	54
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{OSO}_3\text{Na}$	Sodium lauryl sulfate	8	62
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{OSO}_3\text{Na}$	Sodium lauryl sulfate ^a	1	96
$n\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Br}$	Sodium di-2-ethylhexyl sulfosuccinate	5	48
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Br}$	Decyltrimethylammonium bromide	63	36
$n\text{-C}_{14}\text{H}_{29}\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Br}$	Dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide	14	50
$n\text{-C}_{14}\text{H}_{29}\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Cl}$	Tetradecyltrimethylammonium bromide	3	75
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{NH}_3\text{Cl}$	Tetradecyltrimethylammonium chloride	3	64
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_8\text{H}$	Dodecylammonium chloride	13	55
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_8\text{H}^b$	Octaoxyethylene glycol monododecyl ether	0.13	132
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{12}\text{H}$		0.10	301
$n\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{12}\text{H}^b$	Dodecaoxyethylene glycol monododecyl ether	0.14	78
$t\text{-C}_8\text{H}_{17}\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_9\text{-H}$	Decaoxyethylene glycol mono- <i>p,t</i> -octylphenyl ether (octoxynol 9)	0.091	116
		0.27	100

^a Interpolated for physiologic saline, 0.154 M NaCl.^b At 55° instead of 20°.Fig 19-19. The locations of solubilizates in spherical micelles. A: ionic surfactant (solubilized molecule has no hydrophilic groups); B: ionic surfactant (solubilized molecule has a hydrophilic group); C: nonionic surfactant (polar solubilizate) ¹²

when cholesterol or dodecanol is solubilized by sodium lauryl sulfate, their hydroxyl groups penetrate between sulfate ions and are even bound to them by hydrogen bonds, while their hydrocarbon portions are immersed among the dodecyl tails of the surfactant which make up the core of the micelle.

Micelles of polyoxyethylated nonionic surfactants consist of an outer shell of hydrated polyethylene glycol moieties and a core of hydrocarbon moieties. Compounds like phenol, cresol, benzoic acid, salicylic acid, and esters of *p*-hydroxy and *p*-aminobenzoic acids have some solubility in water and in oils but considerable solubility in liquids of intermediate polarity like ethanol, propylene glycol or aqueous solutions of polyethylene glycols. When solubilized by nonionic micelles, they are located in the hydrated outer polyethylene glycol shell as shown in Fig 19-19C. Since these compounds have hydroxyl or amino groups, they frequently form complexes with the ether oxygens of the surfactant by hydrogen bonding.

Solubilization is generally nonspecific: any drug which is appreciably soluble in oils can be solubilized. Each has a solubilization limit, comparable to a limit of solubility, which depends on temperature and on the nature and concentration of the surfactant. Hartley distinguishes two cat-

egories of solubilizates. The first consists of comparatively large, asymmetrical and rigid molecules forming crystalline solids, such as steroids and dyes. These do not blend in with the normal paraffin tails which make up the micellar core; because of dissimilarity in structure, they remain distinct as solute molecules. They are sparingly solubilized by surfactant solutions, a few molecules/micelle at saturation (see Table IX). The number of carbon atoms in the micellar hydrocarbon core required to solubilize a molecule of steroid or dye at saturation is of the same order of magnitude as the number of carbon atoms of bulk liquid dodecane or hexadecane per molecule of steroid or dye in their saturated solutions in these liquids.

Since solubilization depends on the presence of micelles, it does not take place below the cmc. It can, therefore, be used to determine the cmc, particularly when the solubilizate is a dye or another compound easy to assay. Plotting the maximum amount of a water-insoluble dye solubilized by aqueous surfactant, or the absorbance of its saturated solutions, versus the surfactant concentration produces a straight line which intersects the surfactant concentration axis at the cmc. Above the cmc, the amount of solubilized dye is directly proportional to the number of micelles and, therefore,

Table IX—Micellar Solubilization Capacities of Different Surfactants for Estrone¹³

Surfactant	Concentration range, molarity	Temp, °C	Moles surfactant/mole solubilized estrone
Sodium laurate	0.025–0.023	40	91
Sodium oleate	0.002–0.35	40	53
Sodium lauryl sulfate	0.004–0.15	40	71
Sodium cholate	0.09–0.23	20	238
Sodium deoxycholate	0.007–0.36	20	476
Diamyl sodium sulfosuccinate	0.08–0.4	40	833
Diethyl sodium sulfosuccinate	0.002–0.05	40	196
Tetradecyltrimethylammonium bromide	0.005–0.08	20	45
Hexadecylpyridinium chloride	0.001–0.1	20	32
Polysorbate 20	0.002–0.15	20	161
Polysorbate 60	0.0008–0.11	20	83

proportional to the overall surfactant concentration. Below the cmc, no solubilization takes place. This is represented by Curve E of Fig 19-17.

The second category of compounds to be solubilized are often liquid at room temperature and consist of relatively small, symmetrical, and/or flexible molecules such as many constituents of essential oils. These molecules mix and blend in freely with the hydrocarbon portions of the surfactants in the core of the micelles, so as to become indistinguishable from them. Such compounds are extensively solubilized and in the process usually swell the micelles: they augment the volume of the hydrocarbon core and increase the number of surfactant molecules per micelle. Their solubilization frequently lowers the cmc.

Microemulsions¹⁴⁻¹⁶

Microemulsions are liquid dispersions of water and oil that are made homogeneous, transparent, and stable by the addition of relatively large amounts of a surfactant and a cosurfactant. Oil is defined as a liquid of low polarity and low miscibility with water, eg, toluene, cyclohexane, mineral or vegetable oils.

Microemulsions are intermediate in properties between micelles containing solubilized oils and emulsions. While emulsions are lyophobic and unstable, microemulsions are on the borderline between lyophobic and lyophilic colloids. True microemulsions are thermodynamically stable.¹⁷ Therefore, they are formed spontaneously when oil, water, surfactants, and cosurfactants are mixed together. The unstable emulsions require input of considerable mechanical energy for their preparation, which may be supplied by colloid mills, homogenizers or ultrasonic generators.

Both emulsions and microemulsions may contain high volume fractions of the internal phase. For instance, some O/W systems contain 75% (v/v) of oil dispersed in 25% water, although lower internal phase volume fractions are more common.

At low surfactant concentrations, viz, low multiples of the cmc, micelles are spheres (Fig 19-18A, B and E) or ellipsoids. When an oil is solubilized by micelles in water, it blends into the micellar core formed by the hydrocarbon tails of the surfactant molecules (Fig 19-19) and swells the micelles.

Spherical or ellipsoidal micelles are nearly monodisperse, and their mean diameters are in the range of 25 to 60 Å. Microemulsion droplets also have a narrow droplet size distribution with a mean diameter range of approximately 60 to 1000 Å. Since the droplet diameters are less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of the wavelength of light (4200 Å for violet and 6600 Å for red

light), microemulsions scatter little light and are, therefore, transparent or at least translucent.

Emulsions have very broad droplet size distributions. Only the smallest droplets, with diameters of about 1000 to 2000 Å, are below the resolving power of the light microscope. The upper size limit is 25 or 50 μ m (250,000 or 500,000 Å). Because emulsion droplets are comparable in size, or larger than the wavelength of visible light, they scatter it more or less strongly depending on the difference in refractive index between oil and water. Thus, most emulsions are opaque.

The three disperse systems—micellar solutions, microemulsions, and emulsions—can be of the O/W (oil-in-water) or W/O type. Aqueous micellar surfactant solutions can solubilize oils and lipid-soluble drugs in the core formed by their hydrocarbon chains. Likewise, oil-soluble surfactants like sorbitan monooleate and docusate sodium form "reverse micelles" in oils (Fig 19-18E) capable of solubilizing water in the polar center. The solubilized oil in the former micelles and the solubilized water in the latter may in turn enhance the micellar solubilization of oil-soluble and water-soluble drugs, respectively.

Oil-soluble drugs have been incorporated into O/W emulsions by dissolving them in the oil phase before emulsification.¹⁸ By the same token, it may be possible to dissolve oil-soluble drugs in a vegetable oil and make an oral or parenteral O/W microemulsion. The advantage of such microemulsion systems over conventional emulsions is their smaller droplet size and superior shelf stability. Aqueous micellar solutions¹⁹ and O/W microemulsions²⁰ have both been used as aqueous reaction media for oil-soluble compounds.

Emulsions and micellar solutions of oils solubilized in aqueous surfactant solutions consist of three components, oil, water and surfactant. Microemulsions generally require a fourth component, called *cosurfactant*. Commonly used cosurfactants are linear alcohols of medium chain length, which are sparingly miscible with water. Since the cosurfactants as well as the surfactants are surface-active, they promote the generation of extensive interfaces through the spontaneous dispersion of oil in water, or vice-versa, resulting in the formation of microemulsions. The large interfacial area between oil and water permits the extensive formation of a mixed interfacial film consisting of surfactant and cosurfactant. This film is called the "interphase" because it is thicker than the surfactant monolayers formed at oil-water interfaces in emulsions. The interfacial tension at the oil-water interface in microemulsions approaches zero, which also contributes to their spontaneous formation. According to another viewpoint, microemulsions are regarded as micelles extensively swollen by large amounts of solubilized oil.

Typical formulations for an O/W and a W/O microemulsion are shown in Table X. The ratio, g surfactant/g solubilized or emulsified oil or water is in the range of 2 to 20 for micellar solutions and 0.01 to 0.1 for emulsions. Microemulsions have intermediate values: The ratios for the formulations in Table X are near unity. In industrial formulations,

Table X—Microemulsion Formulations

Compound	Function	Content in microemulsions, %	
		O/W	W/O
Sodium lauryl sulfate	Surfactant	13	10
1-Pentanol	Cosurfactant	8	25
Xylene	Oil	8	50
Water		71	15

the ratios are closer to 0.1 to reduce costs. Microemulsions are used in such diverse applications as floor polish and agricultural pesticide formulations and in tertiary petro-

leum recovery. The use of O/W microemulsions as aqueous vehicles for oil-soluble drugs to be administered by the percutaneous, oral or parenteral route is being investigated.

Colloidal Dispersions

Historical Background of Colloids

The term *colloid*, derived from the Greek word for glue, was applied ca 1850 by the British chemist Thomas Graham to polypeptides such as albumin and gelatin, to vegetable gums such as acacia, starch and dextrin, and to inorganic compounds such as gelatinous metal hydroxides and Prussian blue (ferric ferrocyanide). These compounds did not crystallize, and diffused very slowly when dissolved or dispersed in water. They could be separated from ordinary solutes such as salts and sugar, called "crystalloids," as the latter diffused through the fine pores of dialysis membranes made from animal gut which retained the "colloids." "Crystalloids" crystallized readily from solution.^{21,22}

Von Weimarn was the first to identify colloidalilty as a state of subdivision of matter rather than as a category of substances. Many of Graham's "colloids," especially proteins, have been crystallized. Moreover, von Weimarn was able to prepare all "crystalloids" investigated in the colloidal state. Colloidal dispersions by the condensation method resulted from high relative supersaturation, which produced a large number of small nuclei.^{21-23,28} For instance, clear, transparent solidified jellies were prepared by cooling aqueous solutions of CaCl_2 , Ba(SCN)_2 and $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$, and aqueous-alcoholic solutions of NaCl , KCl , NH_4Cl , KSCN , NaBr and NH_4NO_3 which were nearly saturated at room temperature.²⁸

Colloid chemistry became a science in its own right around 1906, when Wolfgang Ostwald wrote the booklet "The World of the Neglected Dimensions." In it, he focused on colloidal systems as a state of matter that has disperse phases intermediate in size between small molecules or ions in solution and large, visible particles in suspension. Ostwald became the first editor of the journal *Kolloid-Zeitschrift* in 1907. The studies of colloidal systems and surface or interfacial phenomena are intimately related. The properties of colloidal dispersions are largely governed by the nature of the surface of their particles. The division of the American Chemical Society specializing in colloidal systems and interfaces is called the "Division of Colloid and Surface Chemistry," while the pertinent session of the Gordon Research Conferences is called "Chemistry at Interfaces."

Colloid and surface chemistry deals with an unusually wide variety of industrial and biological systems. A few examples are catalysts, lubricants, adhesives, latexes for paints, rubbers and plastics, soaps and detergents, clays, packaging films, cigarette smoke, liquid crystals, cell membranes, mucous secretions and aqueous humors.

Definitions and Classifications

Colloidal Systems and Interfaces

Colloidal dispersions consist of at least two discrete phases, namely, one or more disperse, dispersed or internal phases and a continuous or external phase called the *disperse medium* or *vehicle*. What distinguishes colloidal dispersions from solutions and coarse dispersions is the particle size of the disperse phase. Systems in the colloidal state contain one or more substances that have at least one dimension in the range of 10 to 100 Å (1 Angstrom unit = 10^{-8} cm =

10^{-10} m) or 1–10 nm (1 nanometer = 10^{-9} m) at the lower end, and a few micrometers (μm) at the upper end ($1 \mu\text{m} = 10^4 \text{ Å} = 10^{-6}$ m). Thus blood, cell membranes, the thinner nerve fibers, milk, rubber latex, fog and beer foam are colloidal systems. Some types of materials, such as many emulsions, and oral suspensions of most organic drugs, are coarser than true colloidal systems but exhibit similar behavior. Even though serum albumin, acacia and povidone form true or molecular solutions in water, the size of the individual solute molecules places such solutions in the colloidal range (particle size $> 10 \text{ Å}$).²¹⁻²⁷

The following features distinguish colloidal dispersions from coarse suspensions. Disperse particles in the colloidal range are usually too fine to be visible in a light microscope, because at least one dimension measures $1 \mu\text{m}$ or less. They are often visible in the ultramicroscope and always in the electron microscope. Coarse suspended particles are frequently visible to the naked eye and always in the light microscope. Colloidal particles, as opposed to coarse particles, pass through ordinary filter paper but are retained by dialysis or ultrafiltration membranes. Because of their small size, colloidal dispersions undergo little or no sedimentation or creaming: Brownian motion maintains the disperse particles in suspension (see below).

Except for high polymers, most soluble substances can be prepared either as low-molecular-weight solutions, or as colloidal dispersions or coarse suspensions depending on the choice of the dispersion medium and the dispersion technique.^{26,28}

Because of the small size of colloidal particles, appreciable fractions of their atoms, ions or molecules are located in the boundary layer between a particle and air (surface) or between a particle and a liquid or solid (interface). The ions in the surface of a sodium chloride crystal and the water molecules in the surface of a rain drop are subjected to unbalanced forces of attraction, whereas the ions or molecules in the interior of the materials are surrounded by similar ions or molecules on all sides, with balanced force fields. Thus a surface free energy component is added to the total free energy of colloidal particles, which becomes relatively more important as the particles become smaller, ie, as greater fractions of their ions, atoms or molecules are located in their surface or interfacial region. Hence the solubility of very fine solid particles and the vapor pressure of very small liquid droplets are larger than the corresponding values of coarse particles and large drops of the same materials, respectively.

Specific Surface Area—Decreasing particle size increases the surface-to-volume ratio, which is expressed as the specific surface area A_{sp} , namely, the area A (cm^2) per unit volume V (1 cm^3) or per unit mass M (1 gram). For a sphere, $A = 4\pi r^2$ and $V = 4/3\pi r^3$. If the density, d , of the material is expressed in g/cm^3 , the specific surface area is

$$A_{sp} = \frac{A}{V} = \frac{4\pi r^2}{4/3\pi r^3} = \frac{3}{r} \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm}^3 = \frac{3}{r} \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

or

$$A_{sp} = \frac{A}{M} = \frac{A}{Vd} = \frac{4\pi r^2}{4/3\pi r^3 d} = \frac{3}{rd} \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$$

Table XI—Effect of Comminution on Specific Surface Area of a Volume of $4\pi/3 \text{ cm}^3$, Divided into Uniform Spheres of Radius R

Number of spheres	R	$A_{sp} \text{ cm}^2/\text{cm}^3$
1	1 cm	3
10^3	0.1 cm = 1 mm	3×10
10^6	0.1 mm	3×10^2
10^9	0.01 mm = 10 μm	3×10^3
10^{12}	1 μm	3×10^4
10^{15}	0.1 μm	3×10^5
10^{18}	0.01 μm	3×10^6
10^{21}	10 Å = 1 nm	3×10^7
10^{23}	1 Å	3×10^8

Shaded region corresponds to colloidal particle-size range

Table XI illustrates the effect of comminution on the specific surface area of $4\pi/3 \text{ cm}^3$ of a material consisting initially of one sphere of 1 cm radius. As the material is broken up into an increasingly larger number of smaller and smaller spheres, its specific surface area increases commensurately.

The solid adsorbents activated charcoal and kaolin have specific surface areas of about $6 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ and $10^4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$, respectively. One gram of activated charcoal, because of its extensive porosity and internal voids, has an area equal to $1/5$ acre.

In conclusion, colloidal systems by definition are those polyphasic systems where at least one dimension of the disperse phase measures between 10 or 100 Å and a few micrometers. The term "colloidal" designates a state of matter characterized by submicroscopic dimensions rather than certain substances. Any dispersed substance with the proper dimension or dimensions is in the colloidal state.

Physical States of Disperse and Continuous Phases

A useful classification of colloidal systems (systems in the colloidal particle size range) is based on the state of matter of the disperse phase and the dispersion medium, ie, whether they are solid, liquid or gaseous.^{25,27} Table XII summarizes the various combinations and lists examples. A *sol* is the colloidal dispersion of a solid in a liquid or gaseous medium. Prefixes designate the dispersion medium, such as hydrosol, aerosol, aerosol for water, alcohol and air, respectively. Sols are fluid. If the solid particles form bridged structures possessing some mechanical strength, the system is called a gel (hydrogel, alcogel, aerogel).

Interaction Between Disperse Phase and Dispersion Medium

A second useful classification of colloidal dispersions, originated by Ostwald, is based on the affinity or interaction between the disperse phase and the dispersion medium.^{2,3,8} It refers mostly to solid-in-liquid dispersions. According to this classification, colloidal dispersions are divided into the two broad categories of lyophilic and lyophobic. Some soluble, low-molecular-weight substances have molecules with both tendencies, forming a third category called association colloids.

Lyophilic Dispersions—Where there is considerable attraction between the disperse phase and the liquid vehicle, ie, extensive solvation, the system is said to be *lyophilic* (solvent-loving). If the dispersion medium is water, the system is said to be *hydrophilic*. Such solids as bentonite, starch, gelatin, acacia and povidone swell, disperse or dissolve spontaneously in water.

Hydrophilic colloidal dispersions can be subdivided further as follows:

True solutions, formed by water-soluble polymers (acacia and povidone).

Gelled solutions, gels or jellies if the polymers are present at high concentrations and/or at temperatures where their water solubility is low. Examples of such hydrogels are relatively concentrated solutions of gelatin and starch, which set to gels on cooling, or of methylcellulose, which gel on heating.

Particulate dispersions, where the solids do not form molecular solutions but remain as discrete though minute particles. Bentonite and microcrystalline cellulose form such hydrosols.

Lipophilic or oleophilic substances have pronounced affinity for oils. Oils are nonpolar liquids consisting mainly of hydrocarbons, with few polar groups and low dielectric constants. Examples are mineral oil, benzene, carbon tetrachloride, vegetable oils (cottonseed or peanut oil) and essential oils (lemon or peppermint oil). Substances which form *oleophilic* colloidal dispersions include polymers like polystyrene and unvulcanized or gum rubber, which dissolve molecularly in benzene, magnesium or aluminum stearate or which dissolve or disperse in cottonseed oil, and activated charcoal, which forms sols or particulate dispersions in all oils.

Because of the high affinity or attraction between the dispersion medium and the disperse phase, lyophilic dispersions form spontaneously when the liquid vehicle is brought into contact with the solid phase. They are thermodynamically stable and reversible, ie, they are easily reconstituted even after the dispersion medium has been removed from the solid phase.^{22,24-27}

Table XII—Classification of Colloidal Dispersions According to State of Matter

Disperse Phase	Dispersion Medium (Vehicle)		
	Solid	Liquid	Gas
Solid	Zinc oxide paste (zinc oxide + starch in petrolatum). Toothpaste (dicalcium phosphate or calcium carbonate with sodium carboxymethylcellulose binder). Pigmented plastics (titanium dioxide in polyethylene).	Sols: Bentonite Magma NF. Trisulfapyrimidines Oral Suspension USP. Magnesia and Alumina Oral Suspension USP. Tetracycline Oral Suspension USP.	Solid aerosols: Smoke, dust. Epinephrine Bitartrate Inhalation Aerosol USP. Isoproterenol Sulfate Inhalation Aerosol.
Liquid	Absorption bases (aqueous medium in Hydrophilic Petrolatum USP). Emulsion bases (oil in Hydrophilic Ointment USP). Butter.	Emulsions: Mineral Oil Emulsion USP. Soybean oil in water emulsion for IV feeding. Milk. Mayonnaise.	Liquid aerosols: Mist, fog. Nasal relief sprays (naphazoline hydrochloride solution). Betamethasone Valerate Topical Aerosol USP. Povidone-Iodine Topical Aerosol.
Gas	Solid foams (foamed plastics and rubbers). Pumice.	Foams. Carbonated beverages. Effervescent salts in water.	No colloidal dispersions.

Lyophobic Dispersions—When there is little attraction between the disperse phase and the dispersion medium, the dispersion is said to be *lyophobic* (solvent-hating). *Hydrophobic* dispersions consist of particles that are not hydrated, so that water molecules interact with or attract one another in preference to solvating the particles. They include aqueous dispersions of oleophilic materials such as polystyrene or gum rubber (latex), steroids and other organic lipophilic drugs, paraffin wax, magnesium stearate, and of cottonseed or soybean oil (emulsion). While lipophilic materials are generally hydrophobic, materials like sulfur, silver chloride and gold form hydrophobic dispersions without being lipophilic. Water-in-oil emulsions are lyophobic dispersions in lipophilic vehicles.

Because of the lack of attraction between the disperse and the continuous phase, lyophobic dispersions are intrinsically unstable and irreversible. Their large surface free energy is not lowered by solvation. The dispersion process does not take place spontaneously, and once the dispersion medium has been separated from the disperse phase, the dispersion is not easily reconstituted. The dividing line between hydrophilic and hydrophobic dispersions is not very sharp. For instance, gelatinous hydroxides of polyvalent metals such as $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ and $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$, and clays such as bentonite and kaolin, possess some characteristics of both.^{22,24,27}

Association Colloids—Organic compounds which contain large hydrophobic moieties together with strongly hydrophilic groups in the same molecule are said to be amphiphilic. While the individual molecules are generally too small to bring their solutions into the colloidal size range, they tend to associate in aqueous or oil solutions into micelles (see above). Because micelles are large enough to qualify as colloidal particles, such compounds are called association colloids.

Lyophobic Dispersions

Most of the discussion of lyophobic dispersions deals with hydrophobic dispersions or hydrosols (hydrophobic solids or liquids dispersed in aqueous media) because water is the most widely used vehicle. They comprise aqueous dispersions of insoluble organic and inorganic compounds which usually have low degrees of hydration. Organic compounds which are preponderantly hydrocarbon in nature and possess few hydrophilic or polar groups are insoluble in water and hydrophobic.

Hydrophobic dispersions are intrinsically unstable. The most stable state of such systems contains the disperse phase coalesced into large crystals or drops, so that the specific surface area and surface free energy are reduced to a minimum. Therefore, mechanical, chemical or electrical energy must be supplied to the system to break up the disperse phase into small particles, providing for the increase in surface free energy resulting from the parallel increase in specific surface area. Furthermore, special means must be found to stabilize hydrophobic dispersions, preventing the otherwise spontaneous coalescence or coagulation of the disperse phase after it has been finely dispersed.

Preparation and Purification of Lyophobic Dispersions

Colloidal dispersions are intermediate in size between true solutions and coarse suspensions. They can be prepared by aggregation of small molecules or ions until particles of colloidal dimensions result (condensation methods), or by reducing coarse particles to colloidal dimensions through comminution or peptization (dispersion methods).

Dispersion Methods—The first method, *mechanical disintegration* of solids and liquids into small particles and their dispersion in a fluid vehicle, is frequently carried out

by input of mechanical energy via shear or attrition. Equipment such as colloid and ball mills, micronizers and, for emulsions, homogenizers is described in Chapters 83 and 88 and in Ref 29. Dry grinding with inert, water-soluble diluting agents also produces colloidal dispersions. Sulfur hydrosols may be prepared by triturating the powder with urea or lactose followed by shaking with water.

Ultrasonic generators provide exceptionally high concentrations of energy. Successful dispersion of solids by means of ultrasonic waves can only be achieved with comparatively soft materials such as many organic compounds, sulfur, talcum, and graphite. Where fine emulsions are mandatory, such as soybean oil-in-water emulsions used for intravenous feeding, emulsification by ultrasound waves is the method of choice.²⁹ The formation of aerosols is described in Chapter 92.

It should be reiterated that hydrosols of hydrophobic substances are intrinsically unstable. While mechanical disintegration may break up the disperse phase into colloidal particles, the resultant dispersions tend towards separation of that phase. Recrystallization, coagulation or coalescence causes the disperse particles to become progressively coarser and fewer, ultimately resulting in the separation of a macroscopic phase. To avoid this, stabilizing agents must be added during or shortly after the dispersion process (see below). For instance, lecithin may be used to stabilize soybean oil emulsions.

Peptization is a second method for preparing colloidal dispersions. The term, coined by Graham, is defined as the breaking up of aggregates or secondary particles into smaller aggregates or into primary particles in the colloidal size range. Particles which are not formed of smaller ones are called "primary." Peptization is synonymous with *deflocculation*. It can be brought about by the removal of flocculating agents, usually electrolytes, or by the addition of deflocculating or peptizing agents, usually surfactants, water-soluble polymers or ions which are adsorbed at the particle surface.^{24,27}


The mechanisms of the following examples are explained in subsequent sections. When powdered activated charcoal is added to water with stirring, the aggregated grains are broken up only incompletely and the resultant suspension is gray and translucent. The addition of 0.1% or less of sodium lauryl sulfate or octoxynol disintegrates the grains into finely dispersed particles forming a deep black and opaque dispersion. Ferric or aluminum hydroxide freshly precipitated with ammonia can be peptized with small amounts of acids which reduce the pH below the isoelectric points of the hydroxides (see below). Even washing the gelatinous precipitate of $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ with water tends to peptize it. In quantitative analysis, the precipitate is therefore washed with dilute solutions of ammonium salts that act as flocculating agents, rather than with water.

Condensation Methods—The preparation of sulfur hydrosols is employed to illustrate condensation or aggregation methods. Sulfur is insoluble in water but somewhat soluble in alcohol. When an alcoholic solution of sulfur is mixed with water, a bluish white colloidal dispersion results. In the absence of added stabilizing agents, the particles tend to agglomerate and precipitate on standing. This technique of dissolving the material in a water-miscible solvent such as alcohol or acetone and producing a hydrosol by precipitation with water is applicable to many organic compounds, and has been used to prepare hydrosols of natural resins like mastic, of stearic acid and of polymers (the so-called pseudo-latexes).


For sulfur, another less common physical method is to introduce a current of sulfur vapor into water. Condensation produces colloidal particles. Alternatively, the very fine powder produced by condensing sulfur vapor on cold

solid surfaces (sublimed sulfur or flowers of sulfur) can be dispersed in water by addition of a suitable surfactant to produce a hydrosol.

Chemical methods include the reaction between hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide, eg, by bubbling H_2S into an aqueous SO_2 solution:




The same reaction occurs when aqueous solutions containing sodium sulfide and sulfite are acidified with an excess of sulfuric or hydrochloric acid. Another reaction is the decomposition of sodium thiosulfate by sulfuric acid, using either very dilute or very concentrated solutions to obtain colloiddally dispersed sulfur:





Both reactions also produce pentathionic acid, $\text{H}_2\text{S}_5\text{O}_6$, as a by-product. The preferential adsorption of the pentathionate anion at the surface of the sulfur particles confers a negative electric charge on the particles, stabilizing the sol (see below).^{22,26,27} When powdered sulfur is boiled with a slurry of lime, it dissolves with the formation of calcium pentasulfide and thiosulfate. Subsequent acidification produces the colloidal "milk of sulfur," which on washing and drying yields Precipitated Sulfur USP (see Chapter 82).

Sols of ferric, aluminum, chromic, stannic and titanium hydroxides or hydrous oxides are produced by hydrolysis of the corresponding chlorides or nitrates:



Hydrolysis is promoted by boiling the solution and/or by adding a base to neutralize the acid formed.

Double decompositions producing insoluble salts can lead to colloidal dispersions. Examples are silver chloride and nickel sulfide:



Compare also the preparation of White Lotion, which contains precipitated zinc sulfide and sulfur (Chapter 63). Reducing salts of gold, silver, copper, mercury, platinum, rhodium and palladium with formaldehyde, hydrazine, hydroxylamine, hydroquinone or stannous chloride produces hydrosols of the metals. These are strongly colored, eg, red or blue.^{21,22,27}

Radioactive Colloids—Colloidal dispersions containing radioactive isotopes find increasing diagnostic and therapeutic application in nuclear medicine. Radioactive colloids that accumulate in tumors and/or lesions or emboli, indicating their location and size, may be used as diagnostic aids. Radioactive colloids with a particle size of about 300 Å, injected intravenously, locate mainly in the reticuloendothelial systems of liver, spleen and other organs and are used in scintillation imaging. The radiation emitted by the colloids is made visible by stationary or scanning devices which show the location, size and shape of the organ being investigated, as well as any tumors within. Radiocolloids are useful in anticancer radiation therapy because of their low solubility, radiation characteristics, and their ability to accumulate and remain located in certain target organs or tumors.³⁰

Colloidal gold Au 198 is made by reducing a solution of gold (^{198}Au) chloride either by treatment with ascorbic acid or by heating with an alkaline glucose solution. Gelatin is added as a protective colloid (see below). The particle size ranges from 50 to 500 Å with a mean of 300 Å. The color of the sol is cherry-red in transmitted light. Violet or blue sols

have excessively large particle sizes and should be discarded. Colloidal gold is used as a diagnostic and therapeutic aid (see Chapter 33). The half-life of ^{198}Au is 2.7 days.

Technetium 99m sulfur colloid is prepared by reducing sodium pertechnetate $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ with sodium thiosulfate. The product, a mixture of technetium sulfide and sulfur in the colloidal particle size range, is stabilized with gelatin. It is used chiefly in liver, spleen and bone scanning. Its half-life is 6.0 hour.

Microspheres of gelatin or human serum albumin can be prepared in fairly narrow particle-size ranges from 100–200 Å through 45–55 μm . A variety of β - and γ -emitting radionuclides such as ^{131}I , $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$, $^{113\text{m}}\text{In}$ or ^{51}Cr can be incorporated to label the microspheres. Such products have been used to scan heart, brain, urogenital and gastrointestinal tracts, liver, and in pulmonary perfusion and inhalation studies.³⁰

Refer to Chapters 32 and 33 for an in-depth discussion of radioisotopes.

Organic compounds that are weak bases, such as alkaloids, are usually much more soluble at lower pH values where they are ionized than at higher pH values where they exist as the free base. Increasing the pH of their aqueous solutions well above their pK_a may cause precipitation of the free base. Organic compounds which are weak acids, such as barbiturates, are usually much more soluble at higher pH values where they are ionized than at lower pH values where they are in the un-ionized acid form. Lowering the pH of their solutions well below their pK_a may cause precipitation of the un-ionized acid. Depending on the supersaturation of the un-ionized acids or bases and on the presence of stabilizing agents, the resultant dispersions may be in the colloidal range.

Kinetics of Particle Formation—When the solubility of a compound in water is exceeded, its solution becomes supersaturated and the compound may precipitate or crystallize. The rate of precipitation, the particle size (whether colloidal or coarse), and the particle size uniformity or distribution (whether a narrow distribution and nearly monodisperse or homodisperse particles, or a broad distribution and polydisperse or heterodisperse particles) depend on two successive and largely independent processes, nucleation and growth of nuclei.

When a solution of a salt or of sucrose is supercooled, or when a chemical reaction produces a salt in a concentration exceeding its solubility product, separation of the excess solid from the supersaturated solution is far from instantaneous. Clusters of ions or molecules called nuclei must exceed a critical size before they become stable and capable of growing into colloidal size crystals. These embryonic particles have much more surface for a given weight of material than large and stable crystals, resulting in higher surface free energy and greater solubility.

Whether nucleation takes place depends on the *relative supersaturation*. If C is the actual concentration of the solute before crystallization has set in, and C_s is its solubility limit, $C - C_s$ is the supersaturation and $(C - C_s)/C_s$ is the relative supersaturation. Von Weimarn recognized that the rate or velocity of nucleation (number of nuclei formed per liter per second) is proportional to the relative supersaturation. Nucleation seldom occurs at relative supersaturations below 3. The foregoing statement refers to homogeneous nucleation, where the nuclei are clusters of the same chemical composition as the crystallizing phase. If the solution contains solid impurities, such as dust particles in suspension, these may act as nuclei or centers of crystallization (heterogeneous nucleation).

Once nuclei have formed, the second process, *crystallization*, begins. Nuclei grow by accretion of ions or molecules from solution forming colloidal or coarser particles until the supersaturation is relieved, ie, until $C = C_s$. The rate of

crystallization or growth of nuclei is proportional to the supersaturation. The appropriate equation,

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{A_{sp}D}{\delta} (C - C_s)$$

is similar to the Noyes-Whitney equation governing the dissolution of particles (see Chapter 31) except that $C < C_s$ for the latter process, making dm/dt negative. In both equations, m is the mass of material crystallizing out in time t , D is the diffusion coefficient of the molecules or ions of the solute, δ is the length of the diffusion path or the thickness of the liquid layer adhering to the growing particles, and A_{sp} is their specific surface area. The presence of dissolved impurities may affect the rate of crystallization and even change the crystal habit, provided that these impurities are surface-active and become adsorbed on the nuclei or growing crystals.^{22,23,25-28} For instance, 0.005% polysorbate 80 or octoxynol 9 significantly retard the growth of methylprednisolone crystals in aqueous media. Gelatin or povidone, at concentrations <0.10%, retard the crystal growth of sulfathiazole in water.

Von Weimarn found that the particle size of the crystals depends strongly on the concentration of the precipitating substance. At a very low concentration and slight relative supersaturation, diffusion is quite slow because the concentration gradient is very small. Sufficient nuclei will usually form to relieve the slight supersaturation locally. Crystal growth is limited by the small amount of excess dissolved material available to each particle. Hence, the particles cannot grow beyond colloidal dimensions. This condition is represented by points A, D and G of the schematic plot of von Weimarn (Fig 19-20). At intermediate concentrations, the extent of nucleation is somewhat greater but much more material is available for crystal growth. Coarse crystals rather than colloidal particles result (points B, E or H).

At high concentrations, nuclei appear so quickly and in such large numbers that supersaturation is relieved almost immediately, before appreciable diffusion occurs. The high viscosity of the medium also slows down diffusion of excess dissolved ions or molecules, retarding crystal growth without substantially affecting the rate of nucleation. A large number of very small particles results which, because of their proximity, tend to link, producing a translucent gel (points C and F). On subsequent dilution with water, such gels usually yield colloidal dispersions.

Thus, colloidal systems are usually produced at very low and high supersaturations. Intermediate values of supersaturation tend to produce coarse crystals. Low solubility is a necessary condition for producing colloidal dispersions. If

the solubility of the precipitate is increased, for instance by heating the dispersion, a new family of curves will result, similar in shape to ABC, DEF, and GHI of Fig 19-20, but displaced upwards (towards larger particle sizes) and to the right (towards higher concentrations).²⁵⁻²⁸

Condensation methods generally produce polydisperse sols because nucleation continues while established nuclei grow. The particles in the resultant dispersion grew from nuclei formed at different times and had different growth periods.

A useful technique for preparing monodispersed sols in the colloidal range by precipitation consists in forming all the nuclei in a single, brief burst: When, in the course of the precipitation process, the rate of homogeneous nucleation becomes appreciable, a brief period of nucleation relieves the supersaturation partially to such an extent that no new nuclei form subsequently. By controlling the precipitation process, it is rendered so slow that the supersaturation remains too small for further nucleation. Therefore, the nuclei formed in the initial burst grow uniformly by diffusion of the precipitating material as the precipitation process proceeds slowly. Throughout the rest of the precipitation, the supersaturation never again reaches sufficiently high values for forming new nuclei. It is relieved by continuous growth of the existing nuclei.^{23,25,31}

Controlled hydrolysis of salts of di- and trivalent cations in aqueous solution at elevated temperatures has been used to produce colloidal dispersions of metal (hydrous) oxides of uniform size and shape, in a variety of well-defined shapes (eg, sphere, lath, cube, disc, hexagonal). Complexation of the cations, concentration and temperature control the rate of hydrolysis and, hence, the chemical composition, crystallinity, shape and size of the dispersed phase.³²

A feature of Fig 19-20 is that aging increases the particle size. Curves ABC, DEF and GHI correspond to increasing times after mixing the reagents. Typical ages are 10–30 min, several hours, and weeks or years, respectively. This gradual increase in particle size of crystals in their mother liquor is a recrystallization process called *Ostwald ripening*. Very small particles have a higher solubility than large particles of the same substance owing to their greater specific surface area and higher surface free energy. In a saturated solution containing precipitated particles of the solute in a wide range of particle sizes, the very smallest particles dissolve spontaneously and the material deposits onto the large particles. The growth of the large crystals at the expense of the very small ones occurs because this process lowers the free energy of the dispersion. As mentioned above, the most stable system is the suspension of a few coarse crystals, whereas the colloidal dispersion of a great many fine particles of the same substance is intrinsically less stable.

The spontaneous coarsening of colloidal dispersions on aging is accelerated by a relatively high solubility of the precipitate and can be retarded by lowering the solubility or by adding traces of surface-active compounds which are adsorbed at the particle surface. For instance, barium sulfate precipitated by mixing concentrated solutions of sodium sulfate and barium chloride is largely in the colloidal range and passes through filter paper. The colloidal particles gradually grow in size by Ostwald ripening, forming large crystals which can be removed quantitatively by filtration. Heating the aqueous dispersion speeds up this recrystallization by increasing the solubility of barium sulfate in water. The addition of ethyl alcohol lowers the solubility, retarding Ostwald ripening so that the dispersion remains in the colloidal state for years.

Mathematically the effect of particle size on solubility is expressed as

$$S = S_{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2\gamma M}{r_p RT} \right) \quad (34)$$




Fig 19-20. Effect of the concentration of the precipitating material and of aging on particle size.²⁸ Curves ABC, DEF and GHI correspond to increasing aging. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.

Table XIII—Effects of Particle Size on Solubility

r (μm)	S
0.01	$7 S_{\infty}$
0.10	$1.12 S_{\infty}$
1.0	$1.01 S_{\infty}$
10	$1.001 S_{\infty}$

$$M = 500; \gamma = 30 \text{ ergs/cm}^2; \rho = 1$$

where S is the solubility of a spherical crystal of radius r , S_{∞} is the solubility of an infinitely large crystal ($r = \infty$), M is the molecular weight, ρ is the density, γ is the crystal/solvent interfacial tension, R is the gas constant and T is the absolute temperature. Only approximations can be obtained with this equation because the particles are not spheres, and γ values are different for different crystal faces. Table XIII shows the magnitude of particle size effects on the solubility for reasonable values of M , γ and ρ . It is evident that with particles in the colloidal range, ie, $r \geq 1 \mu\text{m}$, S values become appreciably greater than that for a coarse crystal, hence the tendency for very fine particles to dissolve and for coarse crystals to grow at the expense of the former. This difference in solubility explains why difficulty is encountered in preparing and stabilizing suspensions of very fine particles of certain substances.

Two techniques are used to increase the solubility of very slightly soluble drugs and, hence, their rate of dissolution *in vivo*. Many organic compounds exist in various polymorphic modifications. For instance, corticosterone, testosterone, sulfaguanidine and pentobarbital each have four polymorphic forms, with different melting points and crystal structures. The three metastable polymorphs have higher solubilities than the stable form. Solvates of solid drugs, eg, hydrates, have different crystalline structures and either higher or lower solubilities than the anhydrous forms. Theophylline monohydrate is less soluble than the anhydrous form while succinylsulfathiazole is less soluble than its solvate with 1-pentanol. Milling and grinding organic crystals may produce significant proportions of amorphous or strained crystalline material, which has higher solubility than the original crystalline material.³³

Another process by which particles in colloidal dispersions grow in size is by agglomeration of individual particles into aggregates. This process, called coagulation, is discussed below.

Purification of Hydrosols by Dialysis and Ultrafiltration

Many hydrosols contain low molecular-weight, water-soluble impurities. Inorganic dispersions often contain salts formed by the reaction producing the disperse phase. Salts are especially objectionable in the case of hydrophobic dispersions because they tend to coagulate such dispersions. Protein solutions often contain salts added as part of the separation procedure. The blood of patients with renal insufficiency contains excessive concentrations of urea and other low-molecular-weight metabolites and salts. These dissolved impurities of small molecular size are removed from the colloidal dispersions by means of membranes with pore openings smaller than the colloidal particles.

Membranes—Conventional filter papers are permeable to colloidal particles as well as to small solute molecules. Among the early membranes capable of retaining colloidal particles but permeable to small solute molecules were pig's bladder and parchment. Most membranes in current use consist of cellulose, cellulose nitrate prepared from collodion, cellulose acetate or synthetic polymers, and are available in a variety of shapes, gauges, and pore sizes. *Gel cellophane* is most widely used. It consists of sheets or tubes of

cellulose made by extruding cellulose xanthate solutions (viscose) through slit or annular dies into a sodium bisulfate/sulfuric acid bath which decomposes the xanthate, precipitating the regenerated cellulose in a highly swollen or gel state. If the cellulose film were permitted to dry after purification and washing with water, it would crystallize and shrink excessively, losing most of its extensive micropore structure and turning somewhat brittle. The film is therefore impregnated with glycerin before drying. Glycerin remains in the film rather than evaporating like water. It reduces the shrinkage and blocks crystallization. This action prevents the collapse of the porous gel structure and plasticizes the film, keeping it flexible. A typical dialysis tube made from sausage casing swells to about twice its thickness in water and has an average pore diameter of 34 Å. While the pore structure of cellophane films used in dialysis and ultrafiltration causes retention of colloidal particles but permits the passage of small solute molecules, osmotic membranes are only permeable to water and retain small solute molecules as well as colloidal particles.

Dialysis—The colloidal dispersion is placed inside a sac made of sausage casing dipping in water. The small solute molecules diffuse out into the water while the colloidal material remains trapped inside because of its size. The rate of dialysis is increased by increasing the area of the membrane, by stirring, and by maintaining a high concentration gradient across the membrane. For the latter purpose, the water is replenished continuously or at least frequently. A membrane configuration which provides a particularly extensive transfer area for a given volume of dispersion is the hollow fiber. A typical fiber measures 175 μm inside diameter and 225 μm outside diameter. The dispersion to be dialyzed is circulated inside a bundle of parallel fibers while water is circulated outside the fibers throughout the bundle. Dialysis of the diffusing species takes place across the thin fiber wall. Dialysis is used in the laboratory to purify sols and to study binding of drugs by proteins, as well as in some manufacturing processes.

Electrodialysis—If the low-molecular-weight impurities to be removed are electrolytes, the dialysis can be speeded up by applying an electric potential to the sol which produces electrolysis. An electrodialyzer (Fig 19-21) is divided into three compartments by two dialysis membranes supported by screens. The two outer compartments, in which the two electrodes are placed, are filled with water while the sol is placed into the center compartment. Under the influence of the applied potential, the anions migrate from the sol into the anode (right) compartment while the cations migrate into the cathode compartment. Low-molecular-weight nonelectrolyte solutes diffuse into either compartment.

Colloidal particles are usually charged and therefore tend to migrate towards the membrane sealing off the compartment with the electrode of opposite charge. The combination of electrophoresis (see below) and gravitational sedimentation produces the accumulation of negatively charged sol particles shown in Fig 19-21. Hence the supernatant




Fig 19-21. Electrodialyzer showing electrodecentration

liquid can be changed by decantation. This process, which may be used to speed up electro dialysis, is called *electrode-cantation*.^{21,25}

Ultrafiltration—When a sol is placed in a compartment closed by a dialysis membrane and pressure is applied, the liquid and the small solute molecules are forced through the membrane while the colloidal particles are retained. This process, called ultrafiltration, is based on a sieving mechanism in which all components smaller than the pore size of the filter membrane pass through it. The pressure difference required to push the dispersion medium through the ultrafilter is provided by gas pressure applied on the sol side or by suction on the filtrate side. The membrane is usually supported on a fine wire screen.²⁴⁻²⁷

As ultrafiltrate is being removed, the sol becomes more concentrated because a constant amount of disperse particles is confined to a decreasing volume of liquid. Some dissolved small molecules or ions are left in the sol together with the residual water. To avoid the increase in concentration of the colloidal particles and remove the dissolved impurities completely, the ultrafiltrate squeezed from the sol is replenished continuously or intermittently with an equal volume of water. During ultrafiltration, solids tend to accumulate on and near the membrane. To prevent this buildup and maintain uniform composition throughout the sol, it is stirred.

Bundles of hollow fibers are used for ultrafiltration in the laboratory and on large scale. To withstand higher pressures, the wall thickness of the fibers used in ultrafiltration is usually greater than that of fibers used exclusively for dialysis. When hollow fibers are fouled by excessive accumulation of solids on the inner wall, they are cleaned by backflushing with water or ultrafiltrate.

Hemodialysis—The blood of uremic patients is dialyzed periodically in “artificial kidney” dialyzers to remove urea, creatinine, uric acid, phosphate and other metabolites, and excess sodium and potassium chloride. The dialyzing fluid contains sodium, potassium, calcium, chloride and acetate ions (the latter are converted in the body to bicarbonate), dextrose and other constituents in the same concentration as normal plasma. Since it contains no urea, creatinine, uric acid, phosphate nor any of the other metabolites normally eliminated by the kidneys, these compounds diffuse from the patient's blood into the dialyzing fluid until their concentration is the same in blood and fluid. Sodium and potassium chloride diffuse from blood to fluid because of their higher initial concentration in the blood, and continue to diffuse until the concentration is equalized. The volume of dialyzing fluid is much greater than that of blood. The great disparity in volume and the replenishment of dialyzate with fresh fluid ensure that the metabolites and the excess of electrolytes are removed almost completely from the blood. Hemodialysis is also employed in acute poisoning cases.

Plasma proteins and blood cells cannot pass through the dialysis membrane because of their size. Edema resulting from water retention can be relieved by ultrafiltration through the application of a slight pressure on the blood side or a partial vacuum on the fluid side.

The three geometries used to circulate the blood and the dialyzing fluid in a countercurrent fashion are a coil of flattened cellulose tubing wound concentrically with a supporting mesh screen around a core, a stack of flat cellulose sheets separated by ridged or grooved plates, and hollow fibers. The regenerated cellulose used in the former two is precipitated from a cuprammonium solution. The hollow cellulose acetate fibers have an outside diameter of about 270 μm and a wall thickness of 30 μm .³⁴ The advantage of hollow fibers is their compactness. A bundle of 10,000 fibers 18 cm long has a surface area of 1.4 m^2 .

Particle Shape, Optical, and Transport Properties of Lyophobic Dispersions

Hydrophobic materials handled by pharmacists in aqueous dispersion range from metallic conductors to inorganic precipitates to organic solids and liquids which are electric insulators. Despite the great diversity of the hydrophobic disperse phase, their hydrosols have certain common characteristics.

Particle Shape and Particle Size Distribution—Both of these properties depend on the chemical and physical nature of the disperse phase and on the method employed to prepare the dispersion. Primary particles exist in a great variety of shapes. Their aggregation produces an even greater variety of shapes and structures. Precipitation and mechanical comminution generally produce randomly shaped particles unless the precipitating solids possess pronounced crystallization habits or the solids being ground possess strongly developed cleavage planes. Precipitated aluminum hydroxide gels and micronized particles of sulfonamides and other organic powders have typical irregular random shapes. An exception is bismuth subnitrate. Even though its particles are precipitated by hydrolyzing bismuth nitrate solutions with sodium carbonate, its particles are lath-shaped. Precipitated silver chloride particles have a cubic habit which is apparent under the electron microscope. Lamellar or plate-like solids in which the molecular cohesion between layers is much weaker than within layers frequently preserve their lamellar shape during mechanical comminution, because milling and micronization break up stacks of thin plates in addition to fragmenting plates in the lateral dimensions. Examples are graphite, mica and kaolin. Figure 19-22 shows a Georgia crude clay as mined. Processing yields the refined, fine-particle kaolinite of Fig 19-23. Similarly, macroscopic asbestos and cellulose fibers consist of bundles of microscopic and submicroscopic fibrils. Mechanical comminution or beating splits these bundles into the component fibrils of very small diameters as well as cutting them shorter.

Microcrystalline cellulose is a fibrous thickening agent and tablet additive made by selective hydrolysis of cellulose.




Fig 19-22. Scanning electron micrograph of a crude kaolin clay as mined. Processing yields the fine particle material of Fig 19-23 (courtesy, John L. Brown, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology).




Fig 19-23. Transmission electron micrograph of a well crystallized, fine-particle kaolin. Note hexagonal shape of the clay platelets (courtesy, John L. Brown, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology).

Native cellulose consists of crystalline regions where the polymer chains are well aligned and in registry, with maximum interchain attraction by secondary valence forces, called crystallites, and of more disordered regions having lower density and reduced interchain attraction and crystallinity, the so-called "amorphous" regions. During treatment with dilute mineral acid, the acid penetrates the amorphous regions relatively fast and hydrolyzes the polymer chains into water-soluble fragments. If the acid is washed out before it penetrates the crystalline regions appreciably, the crystallites remain intact. Wet milling and spray-drying the aqueous suspension produces spongy and porous aggregates of rod-shaped or fibrillar bundles shown in Fig 19-24. These aggregates, averaging 100 μm in size, were embrittled by the acid treatment and lost the elasticity of the native cellulose. They are well compressible and capable of undergoing plastic deformation, a property important in tableting. Their porosity permits the aggregates to absorb liquid ingredients while still remaining a free-flowing powder, thus preventing these liquids from reducing the flowability of the granulation or direct-compression mass during tableting. The swelling of the cellulosic particles in water speeds up the disintegration of the ingested tablets.

Additional shear breaks up the aggregated bundles into the individual, needle- or rod-shaped cellulose crystallites shown in Fig 19-25. The latter, which average 0.3 μm in length and 0.02 μm in width, are of colloidal dimensions. These primary particles act as suspending agents in water, producing thixotropic structured vehicles. At concentrations above 10%, eg 14 or 15%, the cellulose microcrystals gel water to ointment consistency by swelling and producing a continuous network of rods extending throughout the entire vehicle. Attraction between the elongated particles is presumably due to flocculation in the secondary minimum (see below). Treatment of the microcrystalline mass with sodium carboxymethylcellulose facilitates its disintegration into the primary needle-shaped particles and enhances their thickening action.

While in the special cases of certain clays and cellulose, comminution produces lamellar and fibrillar particles, respectively, as a rule regular particle shapes are produced by




Fig 19-24. Scanning electron micrograph of Avicel PH-102 tabletting grade microcrystalline cellulose. The aggregates of fiber bundles are porous and compressible (courtesy, FMC Corporation; Avicel is a registered trademark of FMC Corporation).




Fig 19-25. Transmission electron micrograph of Avicel RC-591 thickening grade microcrystalline cellulose. The needles are individual cellulose crystallites; some are aggregated into bundles (courtesy, FMC Corporation; Avicel is a registered trademark of FMC Corporation).

condensation rather than by disintegration methods. *Colloidal silicon dioxide* is called fumed or pyrogenic silica because it is manufactured by high-temperature, vapor-phase hydrolysis of silicon tetrachloride in an oxy-hydrogen flame, ie, a flame produced by burning hydrogen in a stream of oxygen. The resultant white powder consists of submicroscopic spherical particles of rather uniform size (narrow particle size distribution). Different grades are produced by different reaction conditions. Relatively large, single

spherical particles are shown in Fig 19-26. Their average diameter is 50 nm (500 Å), corresponding to the comparatively small specific surface area of 50 m²/g. Smaller spherical particles have correspondingly larger specific surface areas; the grade with the smallest average diameter, 5 nm, has a specific surface area of 380 m²/g. During the manufacturing process, the finer-grade particles tend to sinter or grow together into chain-like aggregates resembling pearl necklaces or streptococci (see Fig 19-27).

Since fumed silica is amorphous, its inhaled dust causes no silicosis. The spheres of colloidal silicon dioxide are nonporous. While the density of the spherical particles is 2.13 g/cm³, the bulk density of their powder is a mere 0.05 g/cm³; the powder is extremely light. This results in two pharmaceutical and cosmetic applications for colloidal silicon dioxide. It is used to increase the fluffiness or bulk volume of powders. Even more than microcrystalline cellulose, the high porosity of silica enables it to absorb a variety of liquids from fluid fragrances to viscous tars, transforming them into free-flowing powders that can be incorporated into tablets or capsules. The porosity in colloidal silicon dioxide is due entirely to the enormous void space between the particles, which themselves are solid.

When these ultrafine particles are incorporated at levels as low as 0.1 to 0.5% into a powder consisting of coarse particles or granules, they coat the surface of the latter and act as tiny ball bearings and spacers, improving the flowability of the powder and eliminating caking. This action is important in tableting. Moreover, colloidal silicon dioxide improves tablet disintegration.

The surface of the particles contains siloxane (Si—O—Si) and silanol (Si—OH) groups. When colloidal silicon dioxide




Fig 19-26. Transmission electron micrograph of Aerosil OX 50, ground and dusted on. The spheres are translucent to the electron beam, causing overlapping portions to be darker owing to increased thickness (courtesy, Degussa AG of Hanau, West Germany; Aerosil is a registered trademark of Degussa). The suffix 50 indicates the specific surface area in m²/g.



Fig 19-27. Transmission electron micrograph of Aerosil 130, ground and dusted on. The spheres are fused together into chain-like aggregates (courtesy, Degussa AG of Hanau, West Germany; Aerosil is a registered trademark of Degussa). The suffix 130 gives the specific surface area in m²/g.

powder is dispersed in nonpolar liquids, the particles tend to adhere to one another by hydrogen bonds between their surface groups. With finer grades of colloidal silicon dioxide, the spherical particles are linked together into short chain-like aggregates as shown in Fig 19-27, thus agglomerating into loose three-dimensional networks which increase the viscosity of the liquid vehicles very effectively at levels as low as a few percent. These hydrogen-bonded structures are torn apart by stirring but rebuilt while at rest, conferring thixotropy to the thickened liquids.

The grades which consist of relatively large and unattached spherical particles, such as those of Fig 19-26, are less efficient thickening agents as they lack the high specific surface area and the asymmetry of the finer grades, which consist of short chains of fused spherical particles. In the latter category is Aerosil 200, the grade most widely used as a pharmaceutical adjuvant, whose primary spheres, which are extensively sintered together, have an average diameter of 12 nm. At levels of 8 to 10%, it thickens liquids of low polarity such as vegetable and mineral oils to the consistency of ointments, imparting considerable yield values to them. The consistency of ointments thickened with colloidal silicon dioxide is not appreciably reduced at higher temperatures. Incorporation of colloidal silicon dioxide into ointments and pastes, such as those of zinc oxide, also reduces the syneresis or *bleeding* of the liquid vehicles.

Hydrogen-bonding liquids like alcohols and water solvate the silica spheres, reducing the hydrogen bonding between particles. These solvents are gelled at silica levels of 12–18% or higher.

Latexes of polymers are aqueous dispersions prepared by emulsion polymerization. Their particles are spherical because polymerization of solubilized liquid monomer takes

place inside spherical surfactant micelles which swell because additional monomer keeps diffusing into the micelles. Examples include latex-based paints. Some clays grow as plate-like particles possessing straight edges and hexagonal angles, eg bentonite and kaolin (see Fig 19-23). Other clays have lath-shaped (nontronite) or needle-shaped particles (attapulgite).

Emulsification produces spherical droplets to minimize the oil-water interfacial area. Cooling the emulsion below the melting point of the disperse phase freezes it in the spherical shape. For instance, paraffin can be emulsified in 80° water; cooling to room temperature produces a hydrosol with spherical particles.

Sols of viruses and globular proteins, which are hydrophilic, contain compact particles possessing definite geometric shapes. Poliomyelitis virus is spherical, tobacco mosaic virus is rod-shaped, while serum albumin and the serum globulins are prolate ellipsoids of revolution (football-shaped).

Dispersion methods produce sols with wide particle size distributions. Condensation methods may produce essentially monodisperse sols provided specialized techniques are employed. Monodisperse polystyrene latexes are available for calibration of electron micrographs (see Fig 19-23). Biologic hydrophilic polymers, such as nucleic acids and proteins, form largely monodisperse particles, as do more highly organized structures such as lipoproteins and viruses.

Light-Scattering by Colloidal Particles—The optical properties of a medium are determined by its refractive index. When the refractive index is uniform throughout, light will pass the medium undeflected. Whenever there are discrete variations in the refractive index caused by the presence of particles or by small-scale density fluctuations, part of the light will be scattered in all directions. An optical property characteristic of colloidal systems, called the *Tyndall beam*, is familiar to everyone in the case of aerosols. When a narrow beam of sunlight is admitted through a small hole into a darkened room, the presence of the minute dust particles suspended in air is revealed by bright flashing points.

A beam of light striking a particle polarizes the atoms and molecules of that particle, inducing dipoles which act as secondary sources and reemit weak light of the same wavelength as the incident light. This phenomenon is called *light-scattering*. The scattered radiation propagates in all directions away from the particle. In a bright room, the light scattered by the dust particles is too weak to be noticeable.

Colloidal particles suspended in a liquid also scatter light. When an intense, narrowly defined beam of light is passed through a suspension, its path becomes visible because of the scattering of light by the particles in the beam. This Tyndall beam becomes most visible when viewed against a dark background in a direction perpendicular to the incident beam. The magnitude of the turbidity or opalescence depends on the nature, size and concentration of the particles. When clear mineral oil is dispersed in an equal volume of a clear aqueous surfactant solution, the resultant emulsion is milky white and opaque due to light scattering. Microemulsions, where the emulsified droplets are about 40 nm (400 Å) in diameter, ie, much smaller than the wavelength of visible light, are transparent and clear to the naked eye.

The *dark-field microscope* or *ultramicroscope*, which permits observation of particles much smaller than the wavelength of light, was the only means of detecting submicroscopic particles before the advent of electron microscopy. A special cardioid condenser produces a hollow cylinder of light and converges it into a hollow cone focused on the sample. The sample is at the apex of the cone, where the light intensity is high. After passing through the sample, the cone of light diverges and passes outside of the micro-

scope objective. A homogeneous sample thus gives a dark field. A similar effect can be produced with a regular Abbe condenser outfitted with a central stop and a strong light source. Colloidal particles scatter light in all directions. Some of the scattered light enters the objective and shows up the particles as bright spots. Thus, even particles smaller than the wavelength of light can be detected, provided their refractive index differs sufficiently from that of the medium. Dissolved polymer molecules and highly solvated gel particles do not scatter enough light to become visible. Asymmetric particles like flat bentonite platelets give flashing effects as they rotate in Brownian motion, because they scatter more light with their basal plane perpendicular to the light beam than edgewise. Brownian motion, sedimentation, electrophoretic mobility, and the progress of flocculation can be studied with the dark-field microscope. Polydispersity can be estimated qualitatively because larger particles scatter more light and appear brighter. The resolving power of the ultramicroscope is no greater than that of the ordinary light microscope. Particles closer together than 0.2 μm appear as a single blur.

Turbidity may be used to measure the concentration of dispersed particles in two ways. In *turbidimetry*, a spectrophotometer or photoelectric colorimeter is used to measure the intensity of the light transmitted in the incident direction. Turbidity, τ , is defined by an equation analogous to Beer's law for the absorption of light (see Chapter 30),^{24,25,27} namely

$$\tau = \frac{1}{l} \ln \frac{I_0}{I_t}$$

where I_0 and I_t are the intensities of the incident and transmitted light beams, and l is the length of the dispersion through which the light passes.

If the dispersion is less turbid, the intensity of light scattered at 90° to the incident beam is measured with a *nephelometer*. Both methods require careful standardization with suspensions containing known amounts of particles similar to those to be measured. The concentration of colloidal dispersions of inorganic and organic compounds and of bacterial suspensions can thus be measured by their turbidity.

The turbidity or Tyndall effect of hydrophilic colloidal systems like aqueous solutions of gums, proteins and other polymers is far weaker than that of lyophobic dispersions. These solutions appear clear to the naked eye. Their turbidity can be measured with a photoelectric cell/photomultiplier tube and serves to determine the molecular weight of the solute.

The theory of light scattering was developed in detail by Lord Rayleigh. For white nonabsorbing nonconductors or dielectrics like sulfur and insoluble organic compounds, the equation obtained for spherical particles whose radius is small compared to the wavelength of light λ is²⁴⁻²⁷

$$I_s = I_0 \frac{4\pi^2 n_0^2 (n_1 - n_0)^2}{\lambda^4 d^2 c} (1 + \cos^2 \theta)$$

I_0 is the intensity of the unpolarized incident light; I_s is the intensity of light scattered in a direction making an angle θ with the incident beam and measured at a distance d . The scattered light is largely polarized. The concentration c is expressed as the number of particles per unit volume. The refractive indices n_1 and n_0 refer to the dispersion and the solvent, respectively.

Since the intensity of scattered light is inversely proportional to the fourth power of the wavelength, blue light ($\lambda \approx 450$ nm or 4500 Å) is scattered much more strongly than red light ($\lambda \approx 650$ nm or 6500 Å). With incident white light, colloidal dispersions of colorless particles appear blue when

viewed in scattered light, ie, in lateral directions such as 90° to the incident beam. Loss of the blue rays due to preferential scattering leaves the transmitted light yellow or red. Preferential scattering of blue radiation sideways accounts for the blue color of the sky, sea, cigarette smoke, and diluted milk and for the yellow-red color of the rising and setting sun viewed head-on.

The particles in pharmaceutical suspensions, emulsions and lotions are generally larger than the wavelength of light λ . When the particle size exceeds $\lambda/20$, destructive interference between light scattered by different portions of the same particle lowers the intensity of scattered light and changes its angular dependence. Rayleigh's theory was extended to large and to strongly absorbing and conducting particles by Mie and to nonspherical particles by Gans.^{21,22,24-27} By using appropriate precautions in experimental techniques and in interpretation, it is possible to determine an average particle size and even the particle size distribution of colloidal dispersions and coarser suspensions by means of turbidity measurements.

Diffusion and Sedimentation—The molecules of a gas or liquid are engaged in a perpetual, random thermal motion which causes them to collide with one another and with the container wall billions of times per second. Each collision changes the direction and the velocity of the molecules involved. Dissolved molecules and suspended colloidal particles are continuously and randomly buffeted by the molecules of the suspending medium. This random bombardment imparts to solutes and particles an equally unceasing and erratic movement called *Brownian motion*, after the botanist Robert Brown who first observed it under the microscope with an aqueous pollen suspension. The Brownian motion of colloidal particles mirrors on a magnified scale the random movement of the molecules of the liquid or gaseous suspending medium, and represents a three-dimensional random walk.

Solute molecules and suspended colloidal particles undergo rotational and translational Brownian movement. For the latter, Einstein derived the equation

$$\bar{x} = \sqrt{2Dt}$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the mean displacement in the x -direction in time t and D is the *diffusion coefficient*. Einstein also showed that for spherical particles of radius r under conditions specified in Chapter 20 for the validity of Stokes' law and Einstein's law of viscosity

$$D = \frac{RT}{6\pi\eta rN}$$

where R is the gas constant, T the absolute temperature, N Avogadro's number, and η the viscosity of the suspending medium.

The diffusion coefficient is a measure of the mobility of a dissolved molecule or suspended particle in a liquid medium. Representative values at room temperature, in cm²/sec, are 4.7×10^{-6} for sucrose and 6.1×10^{-7} for serum albumin in water. With a diffusion coefficient of 1×10^{-7} cm²/sec, Brownian motion causes a particle to move by an average distance of 1 cm in one direction in 58 days, by 1 mm in 14 hr, and by 1 μ m in 0.05 sec. Smaller molecules diffuse faster in a given medium. Assuming spherical shape, the radius of a serum albumin molecule is 35 Å and that of a sucrose molecule 4.4 Å. The ratio of the radii of the two molecules $35/4.4 = 7.9$, is nearly identical with the inverse ratio of their diffusion coefficients in water, $4.7 \times 10^{-6}/6.1 \times 10^{-7} = 7.7$, in agreement with the above equation. Diffusion coefficients of steroids and other molecules of similar size dissolved in absorption bases based on petrolatum are generally in the 10^{-10} to 10^{-8} cm²/sec range. Steroids have only slightly higher molecular weights than sucrose. Their much

smaller diffusion coefficients are due to the much higher viscosity of the vehicle.

Dynamic light-scattering or photon-correlation spectroscopy is based on the fact that the light scattered by particles in Brownian motion undergoes a minute shift in wavelength by the usual Doppler effect. The shift is so small that it can be detected only by laser light beams, which are strictly monochromatic and very intense. The wavelength shift, which shows up as line broadening, is used to determine the diffusion coefficient of the particles,^{23,26} which in turn yields their radius according to the equation above.

Brownian motion and convection currents maintain dissolved molecules and small colloidal particles in suspension indefinitely. As the particle size and r increase, the Brownian motion decreases; $\bar{x}$ is proportional to $r^{-1/2}$. Provided that the density of the particle d_p and of the liquid vehicle d_L are sufficiently different, larger particles have a greater tendency to settle out when $d_p > d_L$ or to rise to the top of the suspension when $d_p < d_L$ than smaller particles of the same material.

The rate of *sedimentation* is expressed by the Stokes' equation (Eq 35), which can be rewritten as

$$h = \frac{2(d_p - d_L)r^2gt}{9\eta}$$

where h is the height through which a spherical particle settles in time t . The rate of sedimentation is proportional to r^2 . Thus, with increasing particle size, the Brownian motion diminishes while the tendency to sediment increases. The two become equal for a critical radius when the distance h through which the particle settles equals the mean displacement $\bar{x}$ due to Brownian motion in the same time interval t .³⁵ In most pharmaceutical suspensions, sedimentation prevails. Intravenous vegetable oil emulsions do not tend to cream because the mean droplet size, ca 0.5 μ m, is smaller than the critical radius.

Passive diffusion caused by a concentration gradient and carried out through Brownian motion is important in the release of drugs from topical preparations (see Chapter 87) and in the gastrointestinal absorption of drugs (see Chapter 35).

Viscosity—Most lyophobic dispersions have viscosities not much greater than that of the liquid vehicle. This holds true even at comparatively high volume fractions of the disperse phase unless the particles form continuous network aggregates throughout the vehicle, in which case yield values are observed. Most O/W and W/O emulsions have specific viscosities not much greater than those predicted by Einstein's modified law of viscosity (see Eq 11 of Chapter 20 and text). For instance, emulsions containing 40% v/v of the internal phase generally have viscosities only three to five times higher than that of the continuous phase. By contrast, the apparent viscosities of lyophilic dispersions, especially of polymer solutions, are several orders of magnitude greater than the viscosity of the solvent or vehicle even at concentrations of only a few percent solids. Lyophilic dispersions are also generally much more pseudoplastic or shear-thinning than lyophobic dispersions (see Chapter 20).

Electric Properties and Stability of Lyophobic Dispersions

Difference between Lyophilic and Lyophobic Dispersions—*Lyophilic* or solvent-loving solids are called hydrophilic if the solvent is water. Owing to the presence of high concentrations of hydrophilic groups, they dissolve or disperse spontaneously in water as far as is possible without breaking covalent bonds. Among hydrophilic groups are ionized ones which dissociate into highly hydrated ions like carboxylate, sulfonate or alkylammonium ions, and organic

functional groups like hydroxyl, carbonyl, amino, and imino which bind water through hydrogen bonding.

The free energy of dissolution or dispersion, ΔG_s , of hydrophilic solids includes a large negative (exothermic) heat or enthalpy of solvation, ΔH_s , and a large increase in entropy, ΔS_s . Since $\Delta G_s = \Delta H_s - T\Delta S_s$, ΔG_s has a large negative value: the dissolution of hydrophilic macromolecules and the dispersion of hydrophilic particulate solids in water occur spontaneously (see Chapter 16), overcoming the parallel increases in surface area and surface free energy. Dissolution and dispersion take place so that water can come into contact and interact with the hydrophilic groups of the solids (enthalpy of solvation), and to increase the number of available configurations of the macromolecules and particles (entropy increase).

The van der Waals energies of attraction between dissolved macromolecules or dispersed hydrophilic solid particles are smaller than ΔG_s and are, therefore, insufficient to cause separation of a solid polymer phase or agglomeration through flocculation or coagulation of the dispersed particles. Furthermore, the hydration layer surrounding dissolved macromolecules and dispersed particles forms a barrier preventing their close approach.

Hydrophobic solids and liquids such as organic compounds consisting largely of hydrocarbon portions with few if any hydrophilic functional groups, like cholesterol and other steroids, and some nonionized inorganic substances like sulfur, are hydrated slightly or not at all. Hence they do not disperse or dissolve spontaneously in water: ΔG_s is positive because of a positive (endothermic) ΔH_s term, making the reverse process (agglomeration) the spontaneous one. Aqueous dispersions of such hydrophobic solids or liquids can be prepared by physical means which supply the appropriate energy to the system (see above). They are unstable, however. The van der Waals attractive forces between the particles cause them to aggregate, since the solvation forces which promote dispersal in water are weak. If aqueous dispersions of hydrophobic solids are to resist reaggregation (coagulation and flocculation), they must be stabilized. Stabilizing factors include electric charges at the particle surface (due to dissociation of ionogenic groups of the solid or pertaining to adsorbed ions such as ionic surfactants) and the presence of adsorbed macromolecules or non-ionic surfactants. These stabilizing factors do not alter the intrinsic thermodynamic instability of lyophobic dispersions; ΔG_s is still positive so that the reverse process of phase separation or aggregation is energetically favored over dispersal. They establish kinetic barriers which delay the aggregation processes almost indefinitely; the dispersed particles cannot come together close enough for the van der Waals attractive forces to produce coagulation.^{24,26,27} These stabilization mechanisms are discussed below.

The reductions in surface area and surface free energy accompanying flocculation or coagulation are small because irregular solid particles, being rigid, touch only at a few points upon aggregation. The loose initial contacts may grow with time by sintering or recrystallization. Sintering consists of the "fusion" of primary particles into larger primary particles which propagates from initial small areas of contact. This recrystallization process is spontaneous because it decreases the specific surface area of the disperse solid and the surface free energy of the dispersion. Sintering is analogous to Ostwald ripening, the recrystallization process of transferring solid from colloidal to coarse particles discussed above. Low solubility and the presence of adsorbed surface-active substances retard both processes.


Origin of Electric Charges—Particles can acquire charges from several sources. In *proteins*, one end group of the polypeptide chain and aspartic and glutamic acid units contribute carboxylic acid groups, which are ionized into

carboxylate ions in neutral to alkaline media. The other chain end group and lysine units contribute amino groups, arginine units contribute guanidine groups, and histidine units contribute imidazole groups. The nitrogen atoms of these groups become protonated in neutral to acid media. For electroneutrality, these cationic groups require anions, such as Cl^- if hydrochloric acid was used to make the medium acid and to supply the protons. The neutralizing ions, called counterions, dissociate from the ionogenic basic functional groups and can be replaced by other ions of like charge: they are not an integral part of the protein particle but are located in its immediate vicinity. The alkylammonium, guanidinium and imidazolium ions, which are attached to the protein molecule by covalent bonds, confer a positive charge to it. In neutral and alkaline media, Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} are among the counterions neutralizing the negative charges of the carboxylate groups. The latter are covalently attached to and constitute an integral part of the protein particle, conferring a negative charge to it.


At an intermediate pH value, which ranges from 4.5 to 7 for the various proteins, the carboxylate anions and the alkylammonium, guanidinium, and imidazolium cations neutralize each other exactly. There is no need for counterions since the ionized functional groups which are an integral part of the protein molecule are in exact balance. At this pH value, called the *isoelectric point*, the protein particle or molecule is neutral; its electric charge is neither negative nor positive, but zero.^{22,24,27}

Many other organic polymers contain ionic groups and are, therefore, called *polyelectrolytes* (polymeric electrolytes or salts). Natural polysaccharides of vegetable origin such as acacia, tragacanth, alginic acid and pectin contain carboxylic acid groups, which are ionized in neutral to alkaline media. Agar and carrageenan as well as the animal polysaccharides heparin and chondroitin sulfate, contain sulfuric acid hemiester groups, which are strongly acidic and ionize even in acid media. Cellulosic polyelectrolytes include *sodium carboxymethylcellulose*, while synthetic carboxylated polymers include *carbomer*, a copolymer of acrylic acid.

Aluminum hydroxide, $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$, is dissolved by acids and alkalis forming aluminum ions, Al^{3+} , and aluminate ions, $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]^-$, respectively. In neutral or weakly acid media, at acid concentrations too low to cause dissolution, an aluminum hydroxide particle has some positive charges attributable to incompletely neutralized positive Al^{3+} valences. The portion of the surface of an aluminum hydroxide particle represented schematically below has one such positive charge neutralized by a Cl^- counterion:




In weakly alkaline media, at base concentrations too low to transform the aluminum hydroxide particles completely into aluminate and dissolve them, they bear some negative charges due to the presence of a few aluminate groups. The portion of the particle surface represented schematically below has one such negative group neutralized by a Na^+ counterion:



At a pH of 8.5 to 9.1,^{36,37} there are neither $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_2]^+$ nor $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]^-$ ions in the particle surface but only neutral $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ molecules. The particles have zero charge and therefore need no counterions for charge neutralization. This pH is the isoelectric point. In the case of inorganic particulate compounds such as aluminum hydroxide, it is also called zero point of charge.

Bentonite clay is a lamellar aluminum silicate. Each lattice layer consists of a sheet of hydrated alumina sandwiched between two silica sheets. Isomorphous replacement of Al^{3+} by Mg^{2+} or of Si^{4+} by Al^{3+} confers net negative charges to the thin clay lamellas in the form of cation-exchange sites resembling silicate ions built into the lattice. The counterions producing electroneutrality are usually Na^+ (sodium bentonite) or Ca^{2+} (calcium bentonite). The zero point of charge is probably close to that of quartz, silica gel and other silicates, namely, at a pH of about 1.5 to 2.

Silver iodide sols can be prepared by the reaction



In the bulk of the silver iodide particles, there is a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio of Ag^+ to I^- ions. If the reaction is carried out with an excess silver nitrate, there will be more Ag^+ than I^- ions in the surface of the particles. The particles will thus be positively charged and the counterions surrounding them will be NO_3^- . If the reaction is carried out using an exact stoichiometric 1:1 ratio of silver nitrate to sodium iodide or with an excess sodium iodide, the surface of the particles will contain an excess I^- over Ag^+ ions.^{24,25,27} The particles will be negatively charged, and Na^+ will be the counterions surrounding the particles and neutralizing their charges.

An additional mechanism through which particles acquire electric charges is by the adsorption of ions,²⁵⁻²⁷ including ionic surfactants.

Electric Double Layers—The surface layer of a silver iodide particle prepared with an excess of sodium iodide contains more I^- than Ag^+ ions, whereas its bulk contains the two ions in exactly equimolar proportion. The aqueous solution in which this particle is suspended contains relatively high concentrations of Na^+ and NO_3^- , a lower concentration of I^- , and traces of H^+ , OH^- and Ag^+ .

The negatively charged particle surface attracts positive ions from the solution and repels negative ions: the solution in the vicinity of the surface contains a much higher concentration of Na^+ , which are the counterions, and a much lower concentration of NO_3^- ions than the bulk of the solution. A number of Na^+ ions equal to the number of excess I^- ions in the surface (ie, the number of I^- ions in the surface layer minus the number of Ag^+ ions in the surface layer) and equivalent to the net negative surface charge of a particle are pulled towards its surface. These counterions tend to stick to the surface, approaching it as closely as their hydration spheres permit (Helmholtz double layer), but the thermal agitation of the water molecules tends to disperse them throughout the solution. As a result, the layer of counterions surrounding the particle is spread out. The Na^+ concentration is highest in the immediate vicinity of the nega-




Fig 19-28. Electric double layer at the surface of a silver iodide particle (upper part) and the corresponding potentials (lower part). The distance from the particle surface, plotted on the horizontal axis, refers to both the upper and lower parts.

tive surface, where they form a compact layer called the Stern layer, and decreases with distance from the surface, throughout a diffuse layer called the Gouy-Chapman layer: the sharply defined negatively charged surface is surrounded by a cloud of Na^+ counterions required for electroneutrality. The combination of the two layers of oppositely charged ions constitutes an electric double layer. It is illus-

trated in the top part of Fig 19-28. The horizontal axis represents the distance from the particle surface in both the top and bottom parts.

The electric potential of a plane is equal to the work against electrostatic forces required to bring a unit electric charge from infinity (in this case, from the bulk of the solution) to that plane. If the plane is the surface of the particle, the potential is called surface or ψ_0 potential, which measures the total potential of the double layer. This is the thermodynamic potential which operates in galvanic cells. On moving away from the particle surface towards the bulk solution in the direction of the horizontal axis, the potential drops rapidly across the Stern layer because the Na^+ ions in the immediate vicinity of the surface screen Na^+ ions farther removed, in the diffuse part of the double layer, from the effect of the negative surface charge. The decrease in potential across the Gouy-Chapman layer is more gradual. The diffuse double layer gradually comes to an end as the composition approaches that of the bulk liquid where the anion concentration equals the cation concentration, and the potential approaches zero asymptotically. In view of the indefinite end point, the thickness δ of the diffuse double layer is arbitrarily assigned the value of the distance over which the potential at the boundary between the Stern and Gouy-Chapman layers drops to $1/e = 0.37$ of its value.²⁴⁻²⁷ The thickness of double layers usually ranges from 10 to 1000 Å. It decreases as the concentration of electrolytes in solution increases, more rapidly for counterions of higher valence. The value of δ is approximately equal to the reciprocal of the Debye-Hückel theory parameter, κ .

Of practical importance, because it can be measured experimentally, is the electrokinetic or ζ (zeta) potential. In aqueous dispersion, even relatively hydrophobic inorganic particles and organic particles containing polar functional groups are surrounded by a layer of water of hydration attached to them by ion-dipole and dipole-dipole interaction. When a particle moves, this shell of bound water and all ions located inside it move along with the particle. Conversely, if water or a solution flows through a fixed bed of these solid particles, the hydration layer surrounding each particle remains stationary and attached to it. The electric potential at the plane of shear or slip separating the bound water from the free water is the ζ potential. It does not include the Stern layer and only that part of the Gouy-Chapman layer which lies outside the hydration shell. The various potentials are shown on the bottom part of Fig 19-28.

Stabilization by Electrostatic Repulsion—When two uncharged hydrophobic particles are in close proximity, they attract each other by van der Waals secondary valences, mainly by London dispersion forces. For individual atoms and molecules, these forces decrease with the seventh power of the distance between them. In the case of two particles, every atom of one attracts every atom of the other particle. Because the attractive forces are nearly additive, they decay much less rapidly with the interparticle distance as a result of this summation, approximately with the second or third power. Since energies of attraction are equal to force $\times$ distance, they decrease approximately with the first or second power of the distance. Therefore, whenever two particles approach each other closely, the attractive forces take over and cause them to adhere. Coagulation occurs as the primary particles aggregate into increasingly larger secondary particles or flocs.

If the dispersion consists of two kinds of particles with positive and negative charges, respectively, the electrostatic attraction between oppositely charged particles is superimposed on the attraction by van der Waals forces, and coagulation is accelerated. If the dispersion contains only one kind, as is customary, all particles have surface charges of the same sign and density. In that case, electrostatic repul-




Fig 19-29. Curves representing the van der Waals energy of attraction (WA), the energy of electrostatic repulsion (ER), and the net energy of interaction (DPBAS) between two identical charged particles, as a function of the interparticle distance.

sion tends to prevent the particles from approaching closely enough to come within effective range of each other's van der Waals attractive forces, thus stabilizing the dispersion against interparticle attachments or coagulation. The electrostatic repulsive energy has a range of the order of δ .

A quantitative theory of the interaction between lyophobic disperse particles was worked out independently by Derjaguin and Landau in the USSR and by Verwey and Overbeek in the Netherlands in the early 1940s.^{21,24-27,38} Detailed calculations are also found in Chapter 21 of RPS-17. The so-called DLVO theory predicts and explains many but not all experimental data. Its refinement to account for discrepancies is still continuing.

The DLVO theory is summarized in Fig 19-29, where curve WA represents the van der Waals attractive energy which decreases approximately with the second power of the interparticle distance, and curve ER represents the electrostatic repulsive energy which decreases exponentially with distance. Because of the combination of these two opposing effects, attraction predominates at small and large distances whereas repulsion may predominate at intermediate distances. Negative energy values indicate attraction, and positive values repulsion. The resultant curve DPBAS, obtained by algebraic addition of curves WA and ER, gives the total, net energy of interaction between two particles.

The interparticle attraction depends mainly on the chemical nature and particle size of the material to be dispersed. Once these have been selected, the attractive energy is fixed

and cannot readily be altered. The electrostatic repulsion depends on ψ_0 or the density of the surface charge and on the thickness of the double layer, both of which govern the magnitude of the ζ potential. Thus, stability correlates to some extent with this potential.²⁴ The ζ potential can be adjusted within wide limits by additives, especially ionic surfactants, water-miscible solvents, and electrolytes (see below). If the absolute value of the ζ potential is small, the resultant potential energy is negative and van der Waals attraction predominates over electrostatic repulsion at all distances. Such sols coagulate rapidly.

The two identical particles whose interaction is depicted in Fig 19-29 have a large (positive or negative) ζ potential resulting in an appreciable positive or repulsive potential energy at intermediate distances. They are on a collision course because of Brownian motion, convection currents, sedimentation, or because the dispersion is being stirred.

As the two particles approach each other, the two atmospheres of counterions surrounding them begin to interpenetrate or overlap at point A corresponding to the distance d_A . This produces a net repulsive (positive) energy because of the work involved in distorting the diffuse double layers and in pushing water molecules and counterions aside, which increases if the particles approach further. If the particles continue to approach each other, even after most of the intervening solution of the counterions between them has been displaced, the repulsion between their surface charges increases the net potential energy of interaction to its maximum positive value at B. If the height of the potential energy barrier B exceeds the kinetic energy of the approaching particles, they will not come any closer than the distance d_B but move away from each other. A net positive potential energy of about 25 kT units usually suffices to keep them apart, rendering the dispersion permanently stable; k is the Boltzmann constant and T is the absolute temperature. At $T = 298^\circ\text{K}$, this corresponds to 1×10^{-12} erg. The kinetic energy of a particle is of the order of kT .

On the other hand, if their kinetic energy exceeds the potential energy barrier B, the particles continue to approach each other past d_B , where the van der Waals attraction becomes increasingly more important compared to the electrostatic repulsion. Therefore, the net potential energy of interaction decreases to zero and then becomes negative, pulling the particles still closer together. When the particles touch, at a distance d_P , the net energy has acquired the large negative value P. This deep minimum in potential energy corresponds to a very stable situation in which the particles adhere. Since it is unlikely that enough kinetic energy can be supplied to the particles or that their ζ potential can be increased sufficiently to cause them to climb out of the potential energy well P, they are attached permanently to each other. When most or all of the primary particles agglomerate into secondary particles by such a process, the sol coagulates.

Any closer approach of two particles, than the touching distance d_P , is met with a very rapid rise in potential energy along PD because the solid particles would interpenetrate each other, causing atomic orbitals to overlap (Born repulsion).


Coagulation of Hydrophobic Dispersions—The height of the potential energy barrier and the range over which the electrostatic repulsion is effective (or the thickness of the double layer) determine the stability of hydrophobic dispersions. Both factors are reduced by the addition of electrolytes. The transition between a coagulating and a stable sol is gradual and depends on the time of observation. By using standard conditions, however, it is possible to classify a sol as either coagulated or coagulating, or as stable or fully dispersed.

To determine the value of the coagulating concentration

of a given electrolyte for a given sol, a series of test tubes is filled with equal portions of the sol. Identical volumes of solutions of the electrolyte, of increasing concentration, are added with vigorous stirring. After some time at rest (eg, 2 hours), the mixtures are agitated again. After an additional, shorter rest period (eg, $\frac{1}{2}$ hour), they are inspected for signs of coagulation. The tubes can be classified into two groups, one showing no signs of coagulation and the other showing at least some signs, eg, visible flocs. Alternatively, they can be classified into one group showing complete coagulation and the other containing at least some deflocculated colloid left in the supernatant. In either case, the separation between the two classes is quite sharp. The intermediate agitation breaks the weakest interparticle bonds and brings small particles in contact with larger ones, thus increasing the sharpness of separation between coagulation and stability. After repeating the experiment with a narrower range of electrolyte concentrations, the coagulation value c_{CV} of the electrolyte, ie, the lowest concentration at which it coagulates the sol, is established with good reproducibility.^{24,25,27}

Typical c_{CV} data for a silver iodide sol prepared with an excess of iodide are listed in Table XIV. The following conclusions can be drawn from the left half of Table XIV:

1. The c_{CV} does not depend on the valence of the anion, since nitrate and sulfate of the same metal have nearly identical values.
2. The differences among the c_{CV} s of cations with the same valence are relatively minor. However, there is a slight but significant trend of decreasing c_{CV} with increasing atomic number in the alkali and in the alkaline earth metal groups. Arranging these cations in the order of decreasing c_{CV} produces the *Hofmeister* or *lyotropic series*. It governs many other colloidal phenomena, including the effect of salts on the temperature of gelation and the swelling of aqueous gels and on the viscosity of hydrosols, the salting out of hydrophilic colloids, the cation exchange on ion-exchange resins, and the permeability of membranes toward salts. The series is also observed in many phenomena involving only small atoms or ions and true solutions, including the ionization potential and electronegativity of metals, the heats of hydration of cations, the size of the hydrated cations, the viscosity, surface tension and infrared spectra of salt solutions, and the solubility of gases therein. For monovalent cations, the lyotropic series is



A similar lyotropic series exists for anions.^{21,22,24-26}

The lithium ion has a higher c_{CV} than the cesium ion because it is more extensively hydrated, so that Li^+ (aq), including the hydration shell, is larger than Cs^+ (aq). Owing to its smaller size, the hydrated cesium ion can approach the negative particle surface more closely than the hydrat-

Table XIV—Coagulation Values for Negative Silver Iodide Sol^a

Electrolyte	c_{CV} , mM/L	Electrolyte	c_{CV} , mM/L
LiNO_3	165	AgNO_3	0.01
NaNO_3	140	$\frac{1}{2} (\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{25}\text{NH}_3)_2\text{SO}_4$	0.7
$\frac{1}{2} \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$	141	Strychnine nitrate	1.7
KNO_3	136	$\frac{1}{2}$ Morphine sulfate	2.5
$\frac{1}{2} \text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$	138		
RbNO_3	126		
Mean	141		
$\text{Mg}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	2.60	Quinine sulfate	0.7
MgSO_4	2.57		
$\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	2.40		
$\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	2.38		
$\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	2.26		
$\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	2.50		
$\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	2.43		
Mean	2.45		
$\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$	0.067		
$\text{La}(\text{NO}_3)_3$	0.069		
$\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3$	0.069		
Mean	0.068		

^a From Ref 21 and unpublished data.

ed lithium ion. Moreover, because of its greater electron cloud, the Cs⁺ ion is more polarizable than the Li⁺ ion. Therefore, it is more strongly adsorbed in the Stern layer, which makes it a more effective coagulating agent.

3. The coagulation values depend primarily on the valence of the counterions, decreasing by one to two orders of magnitude for each increase of one in their valence (Schulze-Hardy rule). According to the DLVO theory, the coagulation values vary inversely with the sixth power of the valence of the counterions. For mono-, di- and trivalent counterions, they should be in the ratio

$$\frac{1}{1^6} : \frac{1}{2^6} : \frac{1}{3^6} \text{ or } 100 : 1.6 : 0.14$$

The mean c_{CV} 's of Table XIV are 141 : 2.45 : 0.068, or 100 : 1.7 : 0.05, in satisfactory agreement with the DLVO theory.

The following conclusion can be drawn from the right half of Table XIV:

4. The cations on the right side of Table XIV constitute obvious exceptions to the preceding. Ag⁺ is the potential-determining counterion. *Potential-determining ions* are those whose concentration determines the surface potential. When silver nitrate is added to the negative silver iodide dispersion, some of its silver ions are incorporated into the negatively charged surface of the particles and lower the magnitude of their charge by reducing the excess of I⁻ ions in the surface. Thus, silver salts are exceptionally effective coagulating agents because they reduce the magnitude of the ψ_0 as well as of the ζ potential. Indifferent salts, which reduce only the latter, require much higher salt concentrations for comparable reductions in the ζ potential. The other potential-determining ion of silver iodide is I⁻. Alkali iodides have higher c_{CV} 's than 141 millimole/liter because they supply iodide ions which enter the surface layer of the silver iodide particles and increase its excess of I⁻ over Ag⁺ ions, thereby making ψ_0 more negative. Bromide and chloride ions act similarly but less effectively.

The principal potential-determining ion for proteins is H⁺; those for aluminum hydroxide are OH⁻ (and hence H⁺) and Al³⁺, but also Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺ which form mixed hydroxides with Al³⁺.

5. The cationic surfactant in Table XIV and the alkaloidal salts, which also behave as such, constitute the second exception to the Schulze-Hardy rule. Surface-active compounds contain hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties in the same molecule, the latter being hydrocarbon portions which by themselves are water-insoluble. Their dual nature causes these compounds to accumulate in interfaces. Dodecylammonium and alkaloidal cations displace inorganic monovalent cations from the Stern layer of a negatively charged silver iodide particle because they are attracted to it not only by electrostatic forces like sodium ions but also by van der Waals forces between their hydrocarbon moieties (dodecyl chains in the case of the dodecylammonium ions) and the solid. Because they are strongly adsorbed from solution onto the surface and do not tend to dissociate from it, surface-active cations are very effective in reducing the ζ potential of the negative silver iodide particles, i.e., they have lower c_{CV} than purely inorganic cations of the same valence.

6. Anionic surfactants like those containing lauryl sulfate ions also have a tendency to be adsorbed at solid-liquid interfaces. However, because of electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged surface of silver iodide particles whose surface layer contains an excess iodide ions and the surface-active anions, adsorption usually does not occur below the critical micelle concentration (see below). If such adsorption does occur, it increases the density of negative charges in the particle surface, raising the c_{CV} of anionic surfactants above that corresponding to their valence.

Ionic solids with surface layers containing the ionic species in near proper stoichiometric balance, and most water-insoluble organic compounds have relatively low surface charge densities. They adsorb ionic surfactants of like charge from solution even at low concentrations, which increases their surface charge densities and the magnitude of their ζ potentials, stabilizing their aqueous dispersions.

The addition of water-miscible solvents such as alcohol, glycerin, propylene glycol or polyethylene glycols to aqueous dispersions lowers the dielectric constant of the medium. This reduces the thickness of the double layer and, therefore, the range over which electrostatic repulsion is effective, and lowers the size of the potential energy barrier. Addition of solvents to aqueous dispersions tends to coagulate them. At concentrations too low to cause coagulation by themselves, solvents make the dispersions more sensitive to coagulation by added electrolytes, i.e., they lower the c_{CV} .

Progressive addition of the salt of a counterion of high

valence reduces the ζ potential of colloidal particles gradually to zero. Eventually, the sign of the ζ potential may be inverted and its magnitude may increase again, but in the opposite direction. The ψ_0 and ζ potentials of aqueous sulfamerazine suspensions are negative above their isoelectric points; those of bismuth subnitrate are positive. As discussed on page 297, the addition of Al³⁺ to the former and of PO₄³⁻ to the latter in large enough amounts inverts the sign of their ζ potentials; their ψ_0 potentials remain unchanged. Surface-active ions of opposite charge may also produce such charge inversion.

The superposition of the van der Waals attractive energy with its long-range effectiveness and the electrostatic repulsive energy with its intermediate-range effectiveness frequently produces a shallow minimum (designated *S* in Fig 19-29) in the resultant energy-distance curve at interparticle distances d_S several times greater than δ . If this minimum in potential energy is small compared to kT , Brownian motion prevents aggregation. For large particles such as those of many pharmaceutical suspensions and for particles which are large in one or two dimensions (rods and plates), the *secondary minimum* may be deep enough to trap them at distances d_S from each other. This requires a depth of several kT units. Such fairly long-range and weak attraction produces loose aggregates or flocs which can be dispersed by agitation or by removal or reduction in the concentration of flocculating electrolytes.^{21,25-27,38} This reversible aggregation process involving the secondary minimum is called *flocculation*. By contrast, aggregation in the deep primary minimum *P*, called *coagulation*, is irreversible.

Stabilization by Adsorbed Surfactants—As discussed above, surfactants tend to accumulate at interfaces because of their amphiphilic nature. This process is an *oriented physical adsorption*. Surfactant molecules arrange themselves at the interface between water and an organic solid or liquid of low polarity in such a way that the hydrocarbon chain is in contact with the surface of the solid particle or sticks inside the oil droplet while the polar headgroup is oriented towards the water phase. This orientation removes the hydrophobic hydrocarbon chain from the bulk of the water, where it is unwelcome because it interferes with the hydrogen bonding among the water molecules, while leaving the polar headgroup in contact with water so that it can be hydrated.

Figure 19-30A shows schematically that at low surfactant concentration and low surface coverage, the hydrocarbon chains of the adsorbed surfactant molecules lie flat against the solid surface. At higher surfactant concentrations, the surfactant molecules are adsorbed in the upright position to permit the adsorption of more surfactant per unit surface area. Figure 19-30B shows a nearly close-packed monolayer of adsorbed surfactant molecules. The terminal methyl groups of their hydrocarbon tails are in contact with the hydrophobic surface and the hydrocarbon tails are in lateral contact with each other. London dispersion forces promote attraction between both types of adjoining groups. The polar headgroups protrude into the water and are hydrated.

The adsorption of ionic surfactants increases the charge density and the ζ potential of the disperse particles. These two parameters are low for organic substances lacking ionic or strongly polar groups. The increase in electrostatic repulsion among the nonpolar organic particles due to adsorption of surface-active ions stabilizes the dispersion against coagulation. This "charge stabilization" is described by the DLVO theory.

Most water-soluble nonionic surfactants are polyoxyethylated (see above): Each molecule consists of a hydrophobic hydrocarbon chain combined with a hydrophilic polyethylene glycol chain, eg CH₃(CH₂)₁₅(OCH₂CH₂)₁₀OH. Hydration of the 10 ether groups and of the terminal hydroxyl

group renders the surfactant molecule water-soluble. It adsorbs at the interface between a hydrophobic solid and water, with the hydrocarbon moiety adhering to the solid surface and the polyethylene glycol moiety protruding into the water, where it is hydrated. The particle surface is thus surrounded by a thin layer of hydrated polyethylene glycol chains. This hydrophilic shell forms a steric barrier which prevents close contact between particles and, hence, coagulation ("steric stabilization"). Nonionic surfactants also reduce the sensitivity of hydrophobic dispersions toward coagulation by salts, i.e., they increase the coagulation values.³⁹

In a flocculated dispersion, groups of several particles are agglomerated into flocs. Frequently, the particles of a floc are in physical contact. When a surfactant is added to a flocculated sol, the dissolved surfactant molecules become adsorbed at the surface of the particles. Surfactant molecules tend to pry apart flocs by wedging themselves between the particles at their areas of contact. This action opens up for surfactant adsorption additional surface area that was previously blocked by adhesion of another solid surface. The breaking up of flocs or secondary particles is defined above as deflocculation or peptization.

Ophthalmic suspensions should be deflocculated because the large particle size of flocs causes eye irritation. Parenteral suspensions should be deflocculated to prevent flocs from blocking capillary blood vessels and hypodermic syringes, and to reduce tissue irritation. Deflocculated suspensions tend to cake, however, i.e., the sediment formed by gravitational settling is compact and may be hard to disperse by shaking. Caking in oral suspensions is prevented by controlled flocculation as discussed below.

Stabilization by Adsorbed Polymers—Water-soluble polymers are adsorbed at the interface between water and a hydrophobic solid if they have some hydrophobic groups that limit their water solubility and render them amphiphilic and, hence, surface-active. Such polymers also tend to accumulate at the air-water interface and lower the surface tension of the aqueous phase. A high concentration of ionic groups in polyelectrolytes tends to eliminate surface activity and the tendency to adsorb at interfaces, because the polymer is excessively water-soluble. An example is *sodium carboxymethylcellulose*. *Polyvinyl alcohol* is very water-soluble due to the high concentration of hydroxyl groups and does not adsorb extensively at interfaces. *Polyvinyl alcohol* is manufactured by the hydrolysis of polyvinyl acetate, which is water-insoluble. Incomplete hydrolysis of, say, only 85% of the acetyl groups produces a copolymer which is water-soluble but surface-active as well. Other surface-active polymers include methylcellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, high-molecular-weight polyethylene glycols (polyethylene oxides), and proteins. The surface activity of proteins is due to the presence of hydrophobic groups in the side chains at concentrations too low to cause insolubility in water. Proteins are denatured upon adsorption at air-water and solid-water interfaces.

The long, chain-like polymer molecules are adsorbed from solution onto solid surfaces in the form of loops projecting into the aqueous phase, as shown in Fig 19-31A, rather than lying flat against the solid substrate. Only a small portion of the chain segments of an adsorbed macromolecule is actually in contact with and adheres directly to the surface. Because of its great length, however, there are enough of such areas of contact to anchor the adsorbed macromolecule firmly onto the solid. Figure 19-30 is drawn on a much more expanded scale than Fig 19-31.

The sol particles are surrounded by a layer consisting of the adsorbed polymer chains, the water of hydration associated with them, and water trapped mechanically inside the chain loops. This sheath is an integral part of the particle surface. The layers of adsorbed polymer prevent the parti-




Fig 19-30. Schematic representation of the physical adsorption of surfactant molecules at a hydrophobic solid (S)/water (W) interface. Cylindrical portions and spheres represent hydrocarbon chains and polar headgroups of the surfactant molecules, respectively (A) low surfactant concentration/low surface coverage; (B) near critical micelle concentration/surface coverage near saturation




Fig 19-31. Protective action (A) and sensitization (B) of sols of hydrophobic particles by adsorbed polymer chains.

cles from approaching each other closely enough for the interparticle attraction by London dispersion forces to produce coagulation. These forces are effective only over very small interparticle distances of less than twice the thickness of the adsorbed polymer layer.

The mechanisms of *steric stabilization* by which adsorbed nonionic macromolecules prevent coagulation of hydrophobic sols (*protective action*) are also operative in the stabilization of sols by nonionic surfactants. The difference between adsorbed nonionic surfactants and adsorbed polymers

is that the hydrophilic polyethylene glycol moieties of the adsorbed surfactant molecules protruding into water resemble the chain ends of the adsorbed macromolecules rather than their looped segments. The following protective mechanisms are operative:

1. The layer of adsorbed polymer and enmeshed water surrounding the particles forms a *mechanical* or *steric barrier* between them that prevents the close interparticle approach necessary for coagulation. At dense surface coverage, these layers are somewhat elastic. They may be deformed by a collision between two particles but tend to spring back.
2. When two particles approach so closely that their adsorbed polymer layers overlap, the chain loops of the two opposing layers compress and mix with or interpenetrate each other. The resulting restriction to the freedom of motion of the chain segments in the overlap region produces a negative entropy change which tends to make the free energy change for the reduction in interparticle distance required for coagulation positive. The reverse process of disentanglement of the two opposing adsorbed polymer layers resulting from separation of the particles occurs because it is energetically more favorable. The particles are thus prevented from coagulation by *entropic repulsion* through the mechanism of *entropic stabilization* of the sol. This mechanism predominates when the concentration of polymer in the adsorbed layer is low.
3. As the polymer layers adsorbed on two approaching particles overlap and compress or interpenetrate each other, more polymer segments become crowded into a given volume of the aqueous region between the particles. The increased polymer concentration in the overlap region causes a local increase in osmotic pressure, which is relieved by an influx of water. This influx to dilute the polymer loops pushes the two particles apart, preventing coagulation.
4. If the adsorbed polymer has some ionic groups, stabilization by electrostatic repulsion or charge stabilization described above is added to the three steric stabilization mechanisms to prevent a close interparticle approach and, hence, coagulation.
5. The adsorption of water-soluble polymers changes the nature of the surface of the hydrophobic particles to hydrophilic, resulting in an increased resistance of the sol to coagulation by salts.⁴⁰

The water-soluble polymers whose adsorption stabilizes hydrophobic sols and protects them against coagulation are called *protective colloids*. *Gelatin* and *serum albumin* are the preferred protective colloids for stabilizing parenteral suspensions because of their biocompatibility. These two polymers, as well as casein (milk protein), dextrin (partially hydrolyzed starch) and vegetable gums like acacia and tragacanth are metabolized in the human body. Cellulose derivatives and most synthetic protective colloids such as *povidone* are not biotransformed. Because of this and because of their large molecular size, polymers pertaining to the last two categories are not absorbed but excreted intact when they are administered in an oral dosage form.

A semiquantitative assessment of the stabilizing efficiency of protective colloids is the *gold number*, developed by Zsigmondy. It is the largest number of milligrams of a protective colloid which, when added to 10 mL of a special standardized gold sol, just fails to prevent the change in color from red to blue on addition of 1 mL of 10% NaCl solution. The gold sol contains 0.0058% gold with a particle size of about 250 Å. Coagulation by sodium chloride causes the color change. Representative gold numbers are 0.005 to 0.01 for gelatin, 0.01 for casein, 0.02 to 0.5 for egg albumin, 0.15 to 0.5 for acacia, and 1 to 7 for dextrin.^{22,27} Gelatin is a more effective protective colloid than acacia or dextrin because the presence of some hydrophobic side groups makes it more surface active and causes more extensive adsorption from solution. Other protective numbers are based on different hydrophobic disperse solids, eg, silver, Prussian blue, sulfur, ferric oxide. The ranking of different protective colloids depends somewhat on the substrate. When formulating a disperse dosage form, one should measure the protective action on the actual solid hydrophobic phase to be dispersed as a sol.

Sensitization is the opposite of protective action, namely, a decrease in the stability of hydrophobic sols. It is brought about by some protective colloids, at concentrations well below those at which they exert a protective action. A protective colloid may, at very low concentrations, flocculate a

sol in the absence of added salts and/or lower the coagulation values of the sol.

In the case of nonionic polymers or of polyelectrolytes with charges of the same sign as the sol, flocculation is the result of the bridging mechanism illustrated in Fig 19-31B. At very low polymer concentrations, there are not nearly enough polymer molecules present to cover each sol particle completely. Since the particle surfaces are largely bare, a single macromolecule may be adsorbed on two particles, bridging the gap between them and pulling them close together. Flocs of several particles are formed when one particle is bridged or connected to two or more other particles by two or more polymer molecules adsorbed jointly on two or possibly even three particles. Such flocculation usually occurs over a narrow range and at very low values of polymer concentrations. At higher concentrations, when enough polymer is available to cover the surface of all particles completely, bridging is unlikely to occur and the adsorbed polymer stabilizes or peptizes the sol.^{23,40}

The nonionic Polymer A of Fig 19-32 stabilizes the sol at all concentrations. Neither sensitization by bridging nor by charge neutralization is observed. The reason that Polymer A lowers the positive ζ potential of the sol slightly is that increasing amounts of adsorbed polymer chains gradually shift the plane of shear outward, away from the positively charged surface. If Polymer A was a cationic polyelectrolyte, the ζ potential-protective colloid concentration plot would gradually rise with increasing polymer adsorption rather than drop.




Fig 19-32. Protective action and sensitization: Polymer A exerts protective action at all concentrations, while Polymer B sensitizes at low concentrations and stabilizes at high concentrations. Horizontal and vertical hatching indicates region of flocculation for a sol treated with various concentrations of Polymers A and B, respectively. Clear region underneath indicates sol is deflocculated.

If the polymer has ionic groups of charge opposite to the charge of the sol particles, limited adsorption neutralizes the charge of the particles, reducing their ζ potential to near zero. With stabilization by electrostatic repulsion thus inoperative, and steric stabilization ineffective because of low surface coverage with adsorbed polymer, the sol either coagulates by itself or is coagulated by very small amounts of sodium chloride. At higher polymer concentrations and more extensive adsorption, charge reversal of the particles to the sign of the charge of the polyelectrolyte reactivates charge stabilization and adds steric stabilization, increasing the coagulation value of the sol well above the initial value before polymer addition.

For example, a partly hydrolyzed polyacrylamide with about 20% of ammonium acrylate repeating units is an anionic polyelectrolyte. At the ppm level, the polymer flocculates aluminum hydroxide sols at a pH of 6 to 7, where the sols are positively charged and the polyelectrolyte is fully ionized. At a polymer concentration of 1:10,000, the sol becomes negatively charged because extensive polymer adsorption introduces an excess of —COO^- groups over =Al^+ ions into the particle surface. Steric stabilization plus electrostatic repulsion make the sol more stable against flocculation by salts than it was before the polyacrylamide addition.

Polymer B in Fig 19-32 illustrates this example. The curve in the lower plot indicates sensitization, with the coagulation value of sodium chloride lowered by as much as 60%. Zeta potential measurements can distinguish between sensitization by bridging and by charge neutralization. The charge reversal caused by adsorption of Polymer B shown in the upper plot pinpoints charge neutralization as the cause of sensitization. If Polymer B had a ζ potential-polymer concentration plot similar to Polymer A, sensitization would be ascribed to bridging.

Even water-soluble polymers which are too thoroughly hydrophilic to be adsorbed by hydrophobic sol particles can stabilize those sols. Their thickening action slows down Brownian motion and sedimentation, giving the particles less opportunity to come into contact and hence retarding flocculation.

Electrokinetic Phenomena—When a dc electric field is applied to a dispersion, the particles move towards the electrode of charge opposite to that of their surface. The counterions located inside their hydration shell are dragged along while the counterions in the diffuse double layer outside the plane of slip, in the free or mobile solvent, move toward the other electrode. This phenomenon is called *electrophoresis*. If the charged surface is immobile, as is the case with a packed bed of particles or a tube filled with water, application of an electric field causes the counterions in the free water to move towards the opposite electrode, dragging solvent with them. This flow of liquid is called *electroosmosis*, and the pressure produced by it, *electroosmotic pressure*. Conversely, if the liquid is made to flow past charged surfaces by applying hydrostatic pressure, the displacement of the counterions in the free water produces a potential difference between the two ends of the tube or bed called *streaming potential*.

The three phenomena depend on the relative motion of a charged surface and of the diffuse double layer outside the plane of slip surrounding that surface. The major part of the diffuse double layer is within the free solvent and can, therefore, move along the surface.^{24-27,41} All three electrokinetic phenomena measure the identical ζ potential, which is the potential at the plane of slip.

The particles of pharmaceutical suspensions and emulsions are visible in the microscope or ultramicroscope, as are bacteria, erythrocytes and other isolated cells, latex particles, and many contaminant particles in pharmaceutical solutions. Their ζ potential is conveniently measured by *mi-*

croelectrophoresis. A potential difference E applied between two electrodes dipping into the dispersion and separated by a distance d produces the potential gradient or field strength E/d , expressed in v/cm. From the average velocity v of the particles, measured with the eyepiece micrometer of a microscope and a stopwatch, the ζ potential is calculated by the Smoluchowski equation

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{4\pi\eta}{D} \right) \left(\frac{v}{E/d} \right) = \left(\frac{4\pi\eta}{D} \right) \mu$$

The electrophoretic mobility $\mu = v/(E/d)$ is the velocity in a potential gradient of 1 v/cm. Particle size and shape do not affect the ζ potential according to the above equation. However, if the particle radius is comparable to δ or smaller (in which case the particles cannot be detected in a microscope), the factor 4 is replaced by 6. The viscosity η and the dielectric constant D refer to the aqueous medium in the double layer and cannot be measured directly.⁴² Using the values for water at 25°, expressing the velocity in $\mu\text{m/sec}$ and the electrophoretic mobility in $(\mu\text{m/sec})/(\text{volts/cm})$, and converting into the appropriate units reduces the Smoluchowski equation to $\zeta = 12.9 \mu$, with ζ given in millivolts (mV). If the particle surface has appreciable conductance, the ζ potential calculated by this equation may be low.^{25,41,42} Dispersions of hydrophobic particles with ζ potentials below 20–30 mV are frequently unstable and tend to coagulate. On the other hand, values as high as ± 180 mV have been reported for the ζ potential.^{21,24,41}

The chief experimental precautions in microelectrophoresis measurements are:

1. Electroosmosis causes liquid to flow along the walls of the cell containing the dispersion. This in turn produces a return flow in the center of the cell. The microscope must be focused on the stationary boundary between the two liquid layers flowing in opposite directions in order to measure the true velocity of the particles.

2. Only in very dilute dispersions it is possible to follow the motion of single particles in the microscope field and to measure their velocity. Since the ζ potential depends largely on the nature, ionic strength, and pH of the suspending medium, dispersions should be diluted not with water but with solutions of composition identical to their continuous phase, eg, with their own serum separated by ultrafiltration or centrifugation. The Zeta-Meter is a commercial microelectrophoresis apparatus of easy, fast and reproducible operation.

When the particles cannot be observed individually with a microscope or ultramicroscope, other electrophoresis methods are employed.^{24,27,41,43,44} In *moving boundary electrophoresis*, the movement of the boundary formed between a sol or solution and the pure dispersion medium in an electric field is studied. If the disperse phase is colorless, the boundary is located by the refractive index gradient (Tiselius apparatus, used frequently with protein solutions). If several species of particles or solutes with different mobilities are present, each will form a boundary moving with a characteristic velocity. Unlike microelectrophoresis, this method permits the identification of different colloidal components in a mixture, the measurement of the electrophoretic mobility of each, and an estimation of the relative amounts present.

Zone electrophoresis theoretically permits the complete separation of all electrophoretically different components, requires much smaller samples than moving boundary electrophoresis, and can be performed in simpler and less expensive equipment. The method avoids convection by supporting the solution in an inert and porous solid like filter paper, cellulose acetate membrane, agar, starch or polyacrylamide gels cut into strips, or disks or columns of polyacrylamide gel.

A strip of filter paper or gel is saturated with a conducting buffer solution and a few microliters of the solution being analyzed is deposited as a spot or narrow band. A potential difference is applied between the ends of the strip which are

in contact with the electrode compartments. The spot or band spreads and unfolds as each component migrates towards one or the other electrode at a rate determined primarily by its electrophoretic mobility. Evaporation of water due to the heating effect of the electric current may be minimized by immersing the strip in a cooling liquid or sandwiching it between impervious solid sheets. After a sufficient time has elapsed to afford good separation, the strip is removed and dried. The position of the spots or bands corresponding to the individual components is detected by color reactions or radioactive counting.

Zone electrophoresis is applied mainly in analysis and for small-scale preparative separations. It does not permit mobility measurements. Because several samples can be analyzed simultaneously (in parallel strips or gel columns), because only minute amounts of sample are needed, and because the equipment is simple and easy to operate, zone electrophoresis is widely used to study the proteins in blood serum, erythrocytes, lymph and cerebrospinal fluid, saliva, gastric and pancreatic juices and bile.

Immunodiffusion combined with electrophoresis is called *immuno-electrophoresis*.^{43,45} The proteins in a fluid, including the antigens, are first separated by gel electrophoresis. A longitudinal trench is then cut along one or both sides of the gel strip near the edge in the direction of the electrophoresis axis. The trench is filled with the antibody solution. On standing, antibody and antigen proteins diffuse in all directions, including toward each other. Precipitation occurs along an elliptical arc (precipitin band) wherever an antigen meets its specific antibody. The precipitin bands are either visible directly or may be developed by staining. Since diseases frequently produce abnormal electrophoretic patterns in body fluids, zone electrophoresis and immuno-electrophoresis are convenient and powerful diagnostic techniques.

Isoelectric focusing^{44,46} uses electrophoresis to separate proteins according to their isoelectric points. At pH values equal to their isoelectric points, proteins do not migrate in an electric field because their net charge is zero. In a liquid column on which a pH gradient is imposed, different species arrange themselves so that the protein with the highest isoelectric point will be located nearest to the cathode, which is immersed in the solution of a strong base. The protein with the lowest isoelectric point will be located nearest to the anode, which is immersed in the solution of a strong acid. The other proteins settle into intermediate positions, where the pH values are intermediate and equal to their isoelectric points.

Hydrophilic Dispersions

Most liquid disperse systems of pharmaceutical interest are aqueous. Therefore, most lyophilic colloidal systems discussed below consist of hydrophilic solids dissolved or dispersed in water. Most of the products mentioned below are official in the USP or NF, where more detailed descriptions may be found, also elsewhere in this text.

Hydrophilic colloids can be divided into particulate and soluble materials. The latter are water-soluble linear or branched polymers dissolved molecularly in water. Their aqueous solutions are classified as colloidal dispersions because the individual molecules are in the colloidal particle size range, exceeding 50 or 100 Å. Particulate or corpuscular hydrophilic colloidal dispersions are formed by solids which swell and are peptized in water but whose primary particles do not dissolve or break down into individual molecules or ions. One subdivision of particulate hydrophilic colloids is comprised of dispersions of cross-linked polymers whose linear, uncross-linked analogues are water-soluble.


Particulate Hydrophilic Dispersions

The disperse phase of these sols consists of solids which in water swell and break up spontaneously into particles of colloidal dimensions. The disperse particles have high specific surface areas and are, therefore, extensively hydrated. They have characteristic shapes. If the attraction between individual particles is strong, the dispersions have yield values at relatively low solids content.

Bentonite is an aluminum silicate crystallizing in a layer structure (see above), with individual lamellas 9.4 Å thick. Their top and bottom surfaces are sheets of oxygen ions from silica plus an occasional sodium ion neutralizing a silicate ion-exchange site. The clay particles consist of stacks of these lamellas. Water penetrates inside the stacks between lamellas to hydrate the oxygen ions, causing extensive swelling. Bentonite particles in bentonite magma consist of single lamellas and packets of a few lamellas with intercalated water. The specific surface area amounts to several hundred square meters per gram. *Kaolin* also has a layer structure, but does not swell in water because water does not intercalate between individual lattice layers. Kaolin plates dispersed in water are, therefore, much thicker than those of bentonite, ca 0.04 to 0.2 μm. In kaolin, hydrated alumina lattice planes alternate with silica planes. Thus, one of the two external surfaces of a kaolin plate consists of a sheet of oxygen ions from silica, the other is a sheet of hydroxide ions from hydrated alumina. Both surfaces are well hydrated. *Magnesium aluminum silicate (Veegum)* is a clay similar to bentonite but contains magnesium; it is white whereas bentonite is gray.

Additional hydrophilic particles producing colloidal dispersions in water are listed below. *Colloidal silicon dioxide* consists of roughly spherical particles covered with siloxane and silanol groups (pages 280–281). *Titanium dioxide* is a white pigment with excellent covering power due to its high refractive index. *Microcrystalline cellulose* (page 279) is hydrophilic because of the hydroxyl and ether groups in the surface of the cellulose crystals. Gelatinous precipitates of hydrophilic compounds such as *aluminum hydroxide gel*, *aluminum phosphate gel*, and *magnesium hydroxide* consist of coarse flocs produced by agglomeration of the colloidal particles formed in the initial stage of the precipitation. They possess large internal surface areas, which is one of the reasons why the first two are used as substrates for adsorbed vaccines and toxoids.

Cross-linked Polymers—The polymers discussed below are polyelectrolytes, ie, they contain ionic groups and would be soluble in water in the absence of cross-linking. For instance, *sodium polystyrene sulfonate* is a copolymer of about 92% styrene and 8% divinylbenzene, which is sulfonated and neutralized to produce the cation-exchange resin



Chains a-b and c-d are water-soluble linear polymer chains. They are cross-linked or bound together via a phenylene group as shown. There are many such cross-links tying every chain to two or more other chains, so that every atom in a grain of ion-exchange resin is bound to every other atom by primary, covalent bonds. The grains swell in water until the cross-links are strained but do not dissolve, because this would involve the rupture of primary valence bonds. Swelling renders the ion-exchange sites in the interior of a grain accessible to the gastrointestinal fluids. Partial exchange of Na^+ by K^+ followed by excretion of the used resin in the feces reduces hyperkalemia resulting from acute renal failure. Partial replacement of Na^+ by H^+ could reduce acidosis.

Cholestyramine resin is an anion-exchange resin containing the same backbone of cross-linked polystyrene, but substituted with $-\text{CH}_2-\text{N}^+(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{Cl}^-$ instead of sodium sulfonate. Part of the chloride anions is exchanged or replaced by bile salt anions, which are thus eliminated in the feces bound to the resin grains rather than reabsorbed. **Colectipol hydrochloride** is another orally administered anion-exchange resin used to increase the fecal excretion of bile salts. It is an extensively cross-linked, insoluble but permeable copolymer made from diethylenetriamine, tetraethylenepentamine, and epichlorohydrin. Strong cation- and anion-exchange resins are used as sustained-release vehicles for basic and acid drugs, respectively (see Chapter 91).

Polycarbophil is a copolymer of acrylic acid cross-linked with a small amount of divinyl glycol. The weakly acidic carboxyl groups are not ionized in the strongly acid environment of the stomach but only in the more nearly neutral intestines. Therefore, swelling by osmotic influx of water occurs mostly in the intestines, where imbibition of water decreases the fluidity of stools associated with diarrhea. Among natural polymers, tragacanth consists of $\frac{1}{3}$ of a water-soluble fraction, tragacanthin, and $\frac{2}{3}$ of a gel fraction called bassorin which swells in water but does not dissolve. Starch consists of $\frac{1}{6}$ of a fraction, soluble in hot water, called amylose. The remainder, amylopectin, merely absorbs water and swells. It owes its insolubility to extensive branching rather than cross-linking.


Soluble Polymers as Lyophilic Colloids

Most hydrophilic colloidal systems used in dosage forms are molecular solutions of water soluble, high molecular weight polymers. The polymers are either linear or slightly branched but not cross-linked.

Classifications—According to their origin, water-soluble polymers are divided into three classes. *Natural polymers* include polysaccharides (acacia, agar, heparin sodium, pectin, sodium alginate, tragacanth, xanthan gum) and polypeptides (casein, gelatin, protamine sulfate). Of these, agar and gelatin are only soluble in hot water.

Cellulose derivatives are produced by chemical modification of cellulose obtained from wood pulp or cotton to produce soluble polymers. Cellulose is an insoluble, linear polymer of glucose repeat units in the ring or pyranose form joined by β -1,4 glucosidic linkages. Each glucose repeat unit (except for the two terminal ones) contains a primary hydroxyl group on the No 6 carbon and two secondary hydroxyls on No 2 and 3 carbons. The primary hydroxyl is more reactive. Chemical modification of cellulose consists in reactions or substitutions of the hydroxyl groups. The extent of such reactions is expressed as *degree of substitution* (DS), namely, the number of substituted hydroxyl groups per glucose residue. The highest value is $\text{DS} = 3.0$. Fractional values are the rule because the DS is averaged over a multitude of glucose residues. A DS value of 0.6 indicates that some glucose repeat units are unsubstituted while others have one or even two substituents.

Soluble cellulose derivatives are listed below. The DS values correspond to the pharmaceutical grades. The groups shown are the replacements for the hydrogen atoms of the cellulosic hydroxyls. Official derivatives are *methylcellulose* ($\text{DS} = 1.65\text{--}1.93$), $-\text{O}-\text{CH}_3$ and *sodium carboxymethylcellulose* ($\text{DS} = 0.60\text{--}1.00$), $-\text{O}-\text{CH}_2-\text{COO}^-\text{Na}^+$. *Hydroxyethyl cellulose* ($\text{DS} \approx 1.0$), $-\text{O}-(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-\text{O})_n\text{H}$ and *hydroxypropyl cellulose* ($\text{DS} \approx 2.5$) are manufactured



by the addition of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide, respectively, to alkali-treated cellulose. The value of n is about 2.0 for the former and not much greater than 1.0 for the latter. *Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose* is prepared by reacting alkali-treated cellulose first with methyl chloride to introduce methoxy groups ($\text{DS} = 1.1\text{--}1.8$) and then with propylene oxide to introduce propylene glycol ether groups ($\text{DS} = 0.1\text{--}0.3$). In general, the introduction of hydroxypropyl groups into cellulose reduces the water solubility somewhat while promoting the solubility in polar organic solvents like short-chain alcohols, glycols and some ethers.

The molecular weight of native cellulose is so high that soluble derivatives of approximately the same degree of polymerization would dissolve too slowly, and their solutions would be excessively viscous even at concentrations of 1% and less. Controlled degradation is used to break the cellulose chains into shorter segments, reducing the viscosity of the solutions of the corresponding soluble derivatives. Commercial grades of a given cellulose derivative such as sodium carboxymethylcellulose come in various molecular weights or viscosity grades as well as with various degrees of substitution, offering the pharmacist a wide selection.

Official cellulose derivatives which are insoluble in water but soluble in some organic solvents include *ethylcellulose* ($\text{DS} = 2.2\text{--}2.7$), $-\text{O}-\text{C}_2\text{H}_5$; *cellulose acetate phthalate* ($\text{DS} = 1.70$ for acetyl and 0.77 for phthalyl); and *pyroxylin* or cellulose nitrate ($\text{DS} \approx 2$), $-\text{O}-\text{NO}_2$. *Collodion*, a 4.0% w/v solution of pyroxylin in a mixture of 75% (v/v) ether and 25% (v/v) ethyl alcohol, constitutes a lyophilic colloidal system.

The third class, water soluble *synthetic polymers*, consists mostly of vinyl derivatives including *polyvinyl alcohol*, *povidone* or polyvinylpyrrolidone, and *carbomer* (Carbopol), a copolymer of acrylic acid. High molecular weight polyethylene glycols are also called *polyethylene oxides*.

A second classification of hydrophilic polymers is based on their charge. *Nonionic* or uncharged polymers include methylcellulose, hydroxyethyl and hydroxypropyl cellulose, ethylcellulose, pyroxylin, polyethylene oxide, polyvinyl alcohol and povidone. *Anionic* or negatively charged *polyelectrolytes* include the following carboxylated polymers: acacia, alginic acid, pectin, tragacanth, xanthan gum and carbomer at pH values leading to ionization of the carboxyl groups; sodium alginate and sodium carboxymethylcellulose; also polypeptides at pH values above their isoelectric points, eg, sodium caseinate. A stronger acid group is sulfuric acid, which exists as a monoester in agar and heparin and as a monoamide in heparin. *Cationic* or positively charged *polyelectrolytes* are rare. Examples are polypeptides at pH values below their isoelectric points. Protamines are strongly basic due to a high arginine content, with isoelectric points around pH 12, eg protamine sulfate.

Gel Formation—As described in Chapter 20 and illustrated in Fig 20-7A, the flexible chains of dissolved polymers interpenetrate and are entangled because of the constant Brownian motion of their segments. The chains writhe and forever change their conformations. Each chain is encased in a sheath of solvent molecules that solvate its functional groups. In the case of aqueous solutions, water molecules

are hydrogen-bonded to the hydroxyl groups of polyvinyl alcohol, hydroxyl groups and ether links of polysaccharides, ether links of polyethylene oxide or polyethylene glycol, amide groups of polypeptides and povidone, and carboxylate groups of anionic polyelectrolytes. The envelope of water of hydration prevents chains segments in close proximity from touching and attracting one another by interchain hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces as they do in the solid state. The slippage of solvated chains past one another when the solution flows is lubricated by the free solvent between their solvation sheaths.

Factors that lower the hydration of dissolved macromolecules reduce or thin out the sheath of hydration separating adjacent chains. When the hydration is low, contiguous chains tend to attract one another by secondary valence forces including hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces. Hydrophobic bonding makes an important contribution to interchain attraction between polypeptide chains even in solution. Van der Waals forces and hydrogen bonds thus establish weak and reversible cross-links between chains at their points of contact or entanglement, bringing about phase separation or precipitation.

Most water-soluble polymers have higher solubilities in hot than in cold water and tend to precipitate on cooling, as the sheaths of hydration surrounding adjacent chains become too sparse to prevent interchain attraction. Dilute solutions separate into a solvent phase practically free of polymer and a viscous liquid phase containing practically all of the polymer but still a large excess of solvent. This process is called *simple coacervation* and the polymer-rich liquid phase a *coacervate*.^{21,47} If the polymer solution is concentrated enough and/or the temperature low enough, cooling causes the formation of a continuous network of precipitating chains attached to one another through weak cross-links consisting of interchain hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces at the points of mutual contact. Segments of regularly sequenced polymer chains even associate laterally into crystalline bundles or crystallites. Irregular chain structures as found in random copolymers, randomly substituted cellulose ethers and esters, and highly branched polymers like acacia prevent crystallization during precipitation from solution. Chain entanglements provide the sole temporary cross-links in those cases. The network of associated polymer chains immobilizes the solvent and causes the solution to set to a gel. Gelatinous precipitates or highly swollen flocs may separate when cooling more dilute polymer solutions.

Besides the chemical nature of polymer and solvent, the three most important factors causing phase separation, precipitation and gelation of polymer solutions are temperature, concentration and molecular weight. Lower temperatures, higher concentrations and higher molecular weights promote gelation and produce stronger gels.

For a typical *gelatin*, 10% solutions acquire yield values and begin to gel at about 25°, 20% solutions at about 30° and 30% solutions at about 32°. The *gelation* is reversible: the gels liquefy when heated above these temperatures. Gelation is rarely observed above 34° regardless of concentration, so that gelatin solutions do not gel at 37°. Conversely, gelatin will dissolve readily in water at body temperature. The gelation temperature or gel point of gelatin is highest at the isoelectric point, where the attachment between adjacent chains by coulombic attraction or ionic bonds between carboxylate ions and alkylammonium, guanidinium or imidazolium groups is most extensive. Since the carboxyl groups are not ionized at gastric pH, interchain ionic bonds are practically nonexistent, and interchain attraction is limited to hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces. The gelation temperature or the melting point of gelatin gels depends more strongly on temperature and concentration than on pH.^{48,49} The combination of an acid pH consider-

ably below the isoelectric point and a temperature of 37° completely prevents the gelation of gelatin solutions. Conversely, these two conditions promote rapid dissolution of gelatin capsules in the stomach. Agar and pectic acid solutions set to gels at only a few percent of solids.

Unlike most water-soluble polymers, methylcellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose and polyethylene oxide are more soluble in cold than in hot water. Their solutions therefore tend to gel on heating (*thermal gelation*).


When dissolving powdered polymers in water, temporary gel formation often slows the process down considerably. As water diffuses into loose clumps of powder, their exterior frequently turns to a cohesive gel of solvated particles encasing dry powder. Such blobs of gel dissolve very slowly because of their high viscosity and the low diffusion coefficient of the macromolecules. Especially for large-scale dissolution, it is helpful to disperse the polymer powder in water before it can agglomerate into lumps of gel. In order to permit dispersion to precede hydration and to prevent temporary gel formation, the polymer powders are dispersed in water at temperatures where the solubility of the polymer is lowest. Most polymer powders, such as sodium carboxymethylcellulose, are dispersed with high shear in *cold* water before the particles can hydrate and swell to sticky gel grains agglomerating into lumps. Once the powder is well dispersed, the solution is heated with moderate shear to about 60° for fastest dissolution. Because methylcellulose hydrates most slowly in hot water, the powder is dispersed with high shear in $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{3}$ of the required amount of water heated to 80 to 90°. Once the powder is finely dispersed, the rest of the water is added cold or even as ice, and moderate stirring causes prompt dissolution. For maximum clarity, fullest hydration and highest viscosity, the solution should be cooled to 0 to 10° for about an hour.

The following are two alternative methods for preventing the formation of gelatinous lumps upon addition of water. The powder is prewetted with a water-miscible organic solvent such as ethyl alcohol or propylene glycol that does not swell the polymer, in the proportion of from three to five parts solvent to each part of polymer. If other nonpolymeric powdered adjuvants are to be incorporated into the solution, these are dry-blended with the polymer powder. The latter should comprise $\frac{1}{4}$ or less of the blend for best results.


A pharmaceutical application of *gelation* in a nonaqueous medium is the manufacture of *Plastibase* or *Jelene* (*Squibb*), which consists of 5% of a low-molecular-weight polyethylene and 95% of mineral oil. The polymer is soluble in mineral oil above 90°, which is close to its melting point. When the solution is cooled below 90°, the polymer precipitates and causes gelation. The mineral oil is immobilized in the network of entangled, and adhering, insoluble polyethylene chains which probably even associate into small crystalline regions. Unlike petrolatum, this gel can be heated to about 60° without substantial loss in consistency.

Large increases in the concentration of polymer solutions may lead to precipitation and gelation. One way of effectively increasing the concentration of aqueous polymer solutions is to add inorganic salts. The salts will bind part of the water of the polymer solution in order to become hydrated. Competition for water of hydration dehydrates the polymer molecules and precipitates them, causing gelation. This phenomenon is called *salting out*. Because of its high solubility in water, ammonium sulfate is often used by biochemists to precipitate and separate proteins from dilute solution. To the pharmacist, salting out usually represents an undesirable problem. It is reversible, however, and subsequent addition of water redissolves the precipitated polymers and liquefies their gels. Salting out may cause the polymer to separate as a concentrated and viscous liquid solution or simple coacervate rather than as a solid gel.


The effectiveness of electrolytes to salt out, precipitate or gel hydrophilic colloidal systems depends on how extensively the electrolytes are hydrated. The *Hofmeister* or *lyotropic series* arranges ions in the order of increasing hydration and increasing effectiveness in salting out hydrophilic colloids. The series, for monovalent cations, is



and for divalent cations,



This series also arranges the cations in the order of decreasing coagulating power or increasing coagulation values for negative hydrophobic sols (see Table XIV) and of increasing ease of their displacement from cation exchange resins: K^+ displaces Na^+ and Li^+ . For anions, the lyotropic series in the order of decreasing coagulating power and decreasing effectiveness in salting out is



Iodides and thiocyanates and to a lesser extent bromides and nitrates actually tend to increase the solubility of polymers in water, salting them in.^{21,22,24-26} These large polarizable anions destructure water, reducing the extent of hydrogen bonding among water molecules and thereby making more of the hydrogen-bonding capacity of water available to the solute. Most salts except nitrates, bromides, perchlorates, iodides and thiocyanates raise the temperature of precipitation or gelation of most hydrophilic colloidal solutions or their gel melting points. Exceptions among hydrophilic colloids are methylcellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose and polyethylene oxide whose gelation temperatures or gel points and gel melting points are lowered by salting out.

Hydrophobic aqueous dispersions are coagulated by electrolytes at 0.0001–0.1 *M* concentrations (see Table XIV). Moreover, the coagulation is irreversible, i.e., removal of the coagulating salt does not allow the coagulum to be redispersed, because the hydrophobic sols are intrinsically unstable. By contrast, most hydrophilic sols require electrolyte concentrations of 1 *M* or higher for precipitation. Their precipitation or gelation can be reversed, and the polymer redissolved by removing the salt through dialysis or by adding more water. Hydrophilic colloids disperse or dissolve spontaneously in water, and their sols are intrinsically stable.


Most of the hydrophilic and water-soluble polymers mentioned above are only slightly soluble or insoluble in alcohol. Addition of alcohol to their aqueous solutions may cause precipitation or gelation because alcohol is a nonsolvent or precipitant, lowering the dielectric constant of the medium,

and it tends to dehydrate the hydrophilic solute. Alcohol lowers the concentrations at which electrolytes salt out hydrophilic colloids. Phase separation through the addition of alcohol to an aqueous polymer solution may cause coacervation, i.e., the separation of a concentrated viscous liquid phase, rather than precipitation or formation of a gel. Sucrose also competes for water of hydration with hydrophilic colloids, and may cause phase separation. However, most hydrophilic sols tolerate substantially higher concentrations of sucrose than of electrolytes or alcohol. Lower viscosity grades of a given polymer are usually more resistant to electrolytes, alcohol and sucrose than grades of higher viscosity and higher molecular weights.

Whenever hydrophilic colloidal dispersions undergo irreversible precipitation or gelation, chemical reactions are involved. Neither dilution with water nor heating nor attempts to remove the gelling or precipitating agent by washing or dialysis will liquefy those gels or redissolve the gelatinous precipitates formed at lower polymer concentrations. Carboxyl groups are not ionized in strongly acid media. If a polymer owes its solubility to the ionization of these weakly acid groups, reducing the pH of its solution below 3 may lead to precipitation or gelation. This is observed with such carboxylated polymers as many gums, sodium carboxymethylcellulose and carbomer. Hydrogen carboxymethylcellulose swells and disperses but does not dissolve in water. Neutralization to higher pH values returns the carboxyl groups to their ionized state and reverses the gelation or precipitation.

Only the sodium, potassium, ammonium and triethanolammonium salts of carboxylated polymers are well soluble in water. In the case of carboxymethylcellulose, salts with heavy metal cations (silver, copper, mercury, lead) and trivalent cations (aluminum, chromic, ferric) are practically insoluble. Salts with divalent cations, especially of the alkaline earth metals, have borderline solubilities. Generally, higher degrees of substitution tend to increase the tolerance of the carboxymethylcellulose to salts.

Precipitation or gelation occur due to metathesis when inorganic salts of heavy or trivalent cations are mixed with alkali metal salts of carboxylated polymers in solution. For instance, if a soluble copper salt is added to a solution of sodium carboxymethylcellulose, the double decomposition can be written schematically as



R_1 and R_2 represent two carboxymethylcellulose chains which are cross-linked by a chelated copper ion. Dissociation of the cupric carboxylate complex is negligible.

Particle Phenomena and Coarse Dispersions

The Dispersion Step

The pharmaceutical formulator is concerned primarily with producing a smooth, uniform, easily flowing (pouring or spreading) suspension or emulsion in which dispersion of particles can be effected with minimum expenditure of energy.

In preparing suspensions, particle-particle attractive forces need to be overcome by the high shearing action of such devices as the colloid mill, or by use of surface-active agents. The latter greatly facilitate wetting of lyophobic

powders and assist in the removal of surface air that shearing alone may not remove; thus the clumping tendency of the particles is reduced. Moreover, lowering of the surface free energy by the adsorption of these agents directly reduces the thermodynamic driving force opposing dispersion of the particles.

In emulsification shear rates are frequently necessary for dispersion of the internal phase into fine droplets. The shear forces are opposed by forces operating to resist distortion and subsequent breakup of the droplets. Again surface-active agents help greatly by lowering interfacial ten-

sion, which is the primary reversible component resisting droplet distortion. Surface-active agents also may play an important role in determining whether an oil-in-water or a water-in-oil emulsion preferentially survives the shearing action.

Once the process of dispersion begins there develops si-

multaneously a tendency for the system to revert to an energetically more stable state, manifested by flocculation, coalescence, sedimentation, crystal growth, and caking phenomena. If these physical changes are not inhibited or controlled, successful dispersions will not be achieved or will be lost during shelf life.

Settling and Its Control

In order to control the settling of dispersed material in suspension, the pharmacist must be aware of those physical factors that will affect the rate of sedimentation of particles under ideal and nonideal conditions. He must also be aware of the various coefficients used to express the amount of flocculation in the system and the effect flocculation will have on the structure and volume of the sediment.

Sedimentation Rate

The rate at which particles in a suspension sediment is related to their size and density and the viscosity of the suspension medium. Brownian movement may exert a significant effect, as will the absence or presence of flocculation in the system.

Stokes' Law—The velocity of sedimentation of a uniform collection of spherical particles is governed by Stokes' law, expressed as follows:

$$v = \frac{2r^2(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g}{9\eta} \quad (35)$$

where v is the terminal velocity in cm/sec, r is the radius of the particles in cm, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are the densities (g/cm³) of the dispersed phase and the dispersion medium, respectively, g is the acceleration due to gravity (980.7 cm/sec²) and η is the Newtonian viscosity of the dispersion medium in poises (g/cm sec). Stokes' law holds only if the downward motion of the particles is not sufficiently rapid to cause turbulence. Micelles and small phospholipid vesicles do not settle unless they are subjected to centrifugation.

While conditions in a pharmaceutical suspension are not in strict accord with those laid down for Stokes' law, Eq 35, provides those factors that can be expected to influence the rate of settling. Thus, sedimentation velocity will be reduced by decreasing the particle size, provided the particles are kept in a deflocculated state. The rate of sedimentation will be an inverse function of the viscosity of the dispersion medium. However, too high a viscosity is undesirable, especially if the suspending medium is Newtonian rather than shear-thinning (see Chapter 20), since it then becomes difficult to redisperse material which has settled. It also may be inconvenient to remove a viscous suspension from its con-

tainer. When the size of particles undergoing sedimentation is reduced to approximately 2 μ m, random Brownian movement is observed and the rate of sedimentation departs markedly from the theoretical predictions of Stokes' law. The actual size at which Brownian movement becomes significant depends on the density of the particle as well as the viscosity of the dispersion medium.

Flocculation and Deflocculation—Zeta potential ψ_z is a measurable indication of the potential existing at the surface of a particle. When ψ_z is relatively high (25 mV or more), the repulsive forces between two particles exceed the attractive London forces. Accordingly, the particles are dispersed and are said to be *deflocculated*. Even when brought close together by random motion or agitation, deflocculated particles resist collision due to their high surface potential.

The addition of a preferentially adsorbed ion whose charge is opposite in sign to that on the particle leads to a progressive lowering of ψ_z . At some concentration of the added ion the electrical forces of repulsion are lowered sufficiently that the forces of attraction predominate. Under these conditions the particles may approach each other more closely and form loose aggregates, termed flocs. Such a system is said to be *flocculated*.

Some workers restrict the term *flocculation* to the aggregation brought about by chemical bridging; aggregation involving a reduction of repulsive potential at the double layer is referred to as *coagulation*. Other workers regard flocculation as aggregation in the secondary minimum of the potential energy curve of two interacting particles and coagulation as aggregation in the primary minimum. In the present chapter the term *flocculation* is used for all aggregation processes, irrespective of mechanism.

The continued addition of the flocculating agent can reverse the above process, if the zeta potential increases sufficiently in the opposite direction. Thus, the adsorption of anions onto positively charged deflocculated particles in suspension will lead to flocculation. The addition of more anions can eventually generate a net negative charge on the particles. When this has achieved the required magnitude, deflocculation may occur again. The only difference from the starting system is that the net charge on the particles in their deflocculated state is negative rather than positive.

Table XV—Relative Properties of Flocculated and Deflocculated Particles in Suspension

Deflocculated	Flocculated
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Particles exist in suspension as separate entities. 2. Rate of sedimentation is slow, since each particle settles separately and particle size is minimal. 3. A sediment is formed slowly. 4. The sediment eventually becomes very closely packed, due to weight of upper layers of sedimenting material. Repulsive forces between particles are overcome and a hard cake is formed which is difficult, if not impossible, to redisperse. 5. The suspension has a pleasing appearance, since the suspended material remains suspended for a relatively long time. The supernatant also remains cloudy, even when settling is apparent. 	<p>Particles form loose aggregates.</p> <p>Rate of sedimentation is high, since particles settle as a floc, which is a collection of particles.</p> <p>A sediment is formed rapidly.</p> <p>The sediment is loosely packed and possesses a scaffold-like structure. Particles do not bond tightly to each other and a hard, dense cake does not form. The sediment is easy to redisperse, so as to reform the original suspension.</p> <p>The suspension is somewhat unsightly, due to rapid sedimentation and the presence of an obvious, clear supernatant region. This can be minimized if the volume of sediment is made large. Ideally, volume of sediment should encompass the volume of the suspension.</p>

Some of the major differences between suspensions of flocculated and deflocculated particles are presented in Table XV.

Effect of Flocculation—In a deflocculated system containing a distribution of particle sizes, the larger particles naturally settle faster than the smaller particles. The very small particles remain suspended for a considerable length of time, with the result that no distinct boundary is formed between the supernatant and the sediment. Even when a sediment becomes discernible, the supernatant remains cloudy.

When the same system is flocculated (in a manner to be discussed later), two effects are immediately apparent. First, the flocs tend to fall together so that a distinct boundary between the sediment and the supernatant is readily observed; second, the supernatant is clear, showing that the very fine particles have been incorporated into the flocs. The initial rate of settling in flocculated systems is determined by the size of the flocs and the porosity of the aggregated mass. Under these circumstances it is perhaps better to use the term *subsidence*, rather than sedimentation.

Quantitative Expressions of Sedimentation and Flocculation

Frequently, the pharmacist needs to assess a formulation in terms of the amount of flocculation in the suspension and to compare this with that found in other formulations. The two parameters commonly used for this purpose are outlined below.

Sedimentation Volume—The *sedimentation volume*, F , is the ratio of the equilibrium volume of the sediment, V_u , to the total volume of the suspension, V_0 . Thus,

$$F = V_u/V_0 \quad (36)$$

As the volume of suspension which appears occupied by the sediment increases, the value of F , which normally ranges from nearly 0 to 1, increases. In the system where $F = 0.75$, for example, 75% of the total volume in the container is apparently occupied by the loose, porous flocs forming the sediment. This is illustrated in Fig 19-33. When $F = 1$, no sediment is apparent even though the system is flocculated. This is the ideal suspension for, under these conditions, no sedimentation will occur. Caking also will be absent. Furthermore, the suspension is esthetically pleasing, there being no visible, clear supernatant.

Degree of Flocculation—A better parameter for comparing flocculated systems is the *degree of flocculation*, β , which relates the sedimentation volume of the flocculated suspension, F , to the sedimentation volume of the suspension when deflocculated, F_∞ . It is expressed as

$$\beta = F/F_\infty \quad (37)$$

The degree of flocculation is, therefore, an expression of the increased sediment volume resulting from flocculation.




Fig 19-33. Sedimentation parameters of suspensions. Deflocculated suspension: $F_\infty = 0.15$ Flocculated suspension: $F = 0.75$; $\beta = 5.0$.

If, for example, β has a value of 5.0 (Fig 19-33), this means that the volume of sediment in the flocculated system is five times that in the deflocculated state. If a second flocculated formulation results in a value for β of say 6.5, this latter suspension obviously is preferred, if the aim is to produce as flocculated a product as possible. As the degree of flocculation in the system decreases, β approaches unity, the theoretical minimum value.

Suspensions and their Formulation

A pharmaceutical suspension may be defined as a coarse dispersion containing finely divided insoluble material suspended in a liquid medium. Suspension dosage forms are given by the oral route, injected intramuscularly or subcutaneously, applied to the skin in topical preparations, and used ophthalmically in the eye. They are an important class of dosage form. Since some products are occasionally prepared in a dry form, to be placed in suspension at the time of dispensing by the addition of an appropriate vehicle, this definition is extended to include these products.

There are certain criteria that a well-formulated suspension should meet. The dispersed particles should be of such a size that they do not settle rapidly in the container. However, in the event that sedimentation occurs, the sediment must not form a hard cake. Rather, it must be capable of redispersion with a minimum effort on the part of the patient. Additionally, the product should be easy to pour, pleasant to take, and resistant to microbial attack.

The three major problem areas associated with suspensions are (1) adequate dispersion of the particles in the vehicle, (2) settling of the dispersed particles, and (3) caking of these particles in the sediment so as to resist redispersion. Much of the following discussion will deal with the factors that influence these processes and the ways in which they can be minimized.

The formulation of a suspension possessing optimal physical stability depends on whether the particles in suspension are to be flocculated or to remain deflocculated. One approach involves use of a structured vehicle to keep deflocculated particles in suspension; a second depends on controlled flocculation as a means of preventing cake formation. A




Fig 19-34. Alternative approaches to the formulation of suspensions.

third, a combination of the two previous methods, results in a product with optimum stability. The various schemes are illustrated in Fig 19-34.

Dispersion of Particles—The dispersion step has been discussed earlier in this chapter. Surface-active agents commonly are used as wetting agents; maximum efficiency is obtained when the HLB value lies within the range of 7 to 9. A concentrated solution of the wetting agent in the vehicle may be used to prepare a slurry of the powder; this is diluted with the required amount of vehicle. Alcohol and glycerin may be used sometimes in the initial stages to disperse the particles, thereby allowing the vehicle to penetrate the powder mass.

Only the minimum amount of wetting agent should be used, compatible with producing an adequate dispersion of the particles. Excessive amounts may lead to foaming or impart an undesirable taste or odor to the product. Invariably, as a result of wetting, the dispersed particles in the vehicle are deflocculated.

Structured Vehicles—Structured vehicles are generally aqueous solutions of polymeric materials, such as the hydrocolloids, which are usually negatively charged in aqueous solution. Typical examples are methylcellulose, carboxymethylcellulose, bentonite, and Carbopol. The concentration employed will depend on the consistency desired for the suspension which, in turn, will relate to the size and density of the suspended particles. They function as viscosity-imparting suspending agents and, as such, reduce the rate of sedimentation of dispersed particles.

The rheological properties of suspending agents are considered elsewhere (Chapter 20). Ideally, these form pseudoplastic or plastic systems which undergo shear-thinning. Some degree of thixotropy is also desirable. Non-Newtonian materials of this type are preferred over Newtonian systems because, if the particles eventually settle to the bottom of the container, their redispersion is facilitated by the vehicle thinning when shaken. When the shaking is discontinued, the vehicle regains its original consistency and the redispersed particles are held suspended. This process of redispersion, facilitated by a shear-thinning vehicle, presupposes that the deflocculated particles have not yet formed a cake. If sedimentation and packing have proceeded to the point where considerable caking has occurred, redispersion is virtually impossible.

Controlled Flocculation—When using this approach (see Fig 19-34, B and C), the formulator takes the deflocculated, wetted dispersion of particles and attempts to bring about flocculation by the addition of a flocculating agent; most commonly, these are either electrolytes, polymers, or surfactants. The aim is to control flocculation by adding that amount of flocculating agent which results in the maximum sedimentation volume.

Electrolytes are probably the most widely used flocculating agents. They act by reducing the electrical forces of repulsion between particles, thereby allowing the particles to form the loose flocs so characteristic of a flocculated suspension. Since the ability of particles to come together and form a floc depends on their surface charge, zeta potential measurements on the suspension, as an electrolyte is added, provide valuable information as to the extent of flocculation in the system.

This principle is illustrated by reference to the following example, taken from the work of Haines and Martin.⁵⁰ Particles of sulfamerazine in water bear a negative charge. The serial addition of a suitable electrolyte, such as aluminum chloride, causes a progressive reduction in the zeta potential of the particles. This is due to the preferential adsorption of the trivalent aluminum cation. Eventually, the zeta potential will reach zero and then become positive as the addition of $AlCl_3$ is continued.




Fig 19-35. Typical relationship between caking, zeta potential and sedimentation volume, as a positively charged flocculating agent is added to a suspension of negatively charged particles. ●: zeta potential; ■: sedimentation volume

If sedimentation studies are run simultaneously on suspensions containing the same range of $AlCl_3$ concentrations, a relationship is observed (Fig 19-35) between the sedimentation volume, F , the presence or absence of caking, and the zeta potential of the particles. In order to obtain a flocculated, noncaking suspension with the maximum sedimentation volume, the zeta potential must be controlled so as to lie within a certain range (generally less than 25 mV). This is achieved by the judicious use of an electrolyte.

A comparable situation is observed when a negative ion such as PO_4^{3-} is added to a suspension of positively charged particles such as bismuth subnitrate. Ionic and nonionic surfactants and lyophilic polymers also have been used to flocculate particles in suspension. Polymers, which act by forming a "bridge" between particles, may be the most efficient additives for inducing flocculation. Thus, it has been shown that the sedimentation volume is higher in suspensions flocculated with an anionic heteropolysaccharide than when electrolytes were used.

Work by Matthews and Rhodes,⁵¹⁻⁵³ involving both experimental and theoretical studies, has confirmed the formulation principles proposed by Martin and Haines. The suspensions used by Matthews and Rhodes contained 2.5% w/v of griseofulvin as a fine powder together with the anionic surfactant sodium dioxyethylated dodecyl sulfate (10^{-3} molar) as a wetting agent. Increasing concentrations of aluminum chloride were added and the sedimentation height (equivalent to the sedimentation volume, see page 295) and the zeta potential recorded. Flocculation occurred when a concentration of 10^{-3} molar aluminum chloride was reached. At this point the zeta potential had fallen from -46.4 mV to -17.0 mV. Further reduction of the zeta potential, to -4.5 mV by use of 10^{-2} molar aluminum chloride did not increase sedimentation height, in agreement with the principles shown in Fig 19-35.

Matthews and Rhodes then went on to show, by computer analysis, that the DLVO theory (see page 285) predicted the results obtained, namely, that the griseofulvin suspensions under investigation would remain deflocculated when the concentration of aluminum chloride was 10^{-4} molar or less. Only at concentrations in the range of 10^{-3} to 10^{-2} molar aluminum chloride did the theoretical plots show deep primary minima, indicative of flocculation. These occurred at a distance of separation between particles of approximately

50 Å, and led Matthews and Rhodes to conclude that coagulation had taken place in the primary minimum.

Schneider, *et al*⁵⁴ have published details of a laboratory investigation (suitable for undergraduates) that combines calculations based on the DLVO theory carried out with an interactive computer program with actual sedimentation experiments performed on simple systems.

Flocculation in Structured Vehicles—The ideal formulation for a suspension would seem to be when flocculated particles are supported in a structured vehicle.

As shown in Fig 19-34 (under C), the process involves dispersion of the particles and their subsequent flocculation. Finally, a lyophilic polymer is added to form the structured vehicle. In developing the formulation, care must be taken to ensure the absence of any incompatibility between the flocculating agent and the polymer used for the structured vehicle. A limitation is that virtually all the structured vehicles in common use are hydrophilic colloids and carry a negative charge. This means that an incompatibility arises if the charge on the particles is originally negative. Flocculation in this instance requires the addition of a positively charged flocculating agent or ion; in the presence of such a material, the negatively charged suspending agent may coagulate and lose its suspendability. This situation does not arise with particles that bear a positive charge, as the negative flocculating agent which the formulator must employ is compatible with the similarly charged suspending agent.

Chemical Stability of Suspensions—Particles that are completely insoluble in a liquid vehicle are unlikely to un-

dergo most chemical reactions leading to degradation. However, most drugs in suspension have a finite solubility, even though this may be of the order of fractions of a microgram per mL. As a result, the material in solution may be susceptible to degradation. However, Tingstad and co-workers⁵⁵ developed a simplified method for determining the stability of drugs in suspension. The approach is based on the assumptions that (1) degradation takes place only in the solution and is first order, (2) the effect of temperature on drug solubility and reaction rate conforms with classical theory, and (3) dissolution is not rate-limiting on degradation.

Preparation of Suspensions—The small-scale preparation of suspensions may be readily undertaken by the practicing pharmacist with the minimum of equipment. The initial dispersion of the particles is best carried out by trituration in a mortar, the wetting agent being added in small increments to the powder. Once the particles have been wetted adequately, the slurry may be transferred to the final container. The next step depends on whether the deflocculated particles are to be suspended in a structured vehicle, flocculated, or flocculated and then suspended. Regardless of which of the alternative procedures outlined in Fig 19-34 is employed, the various manipulations can be carried out easily in the bottle, especially if an aqueous solution of the suspending agent has been prepared beforehand.

For a detailed discussion of the methods used in the large-scale production of suspensions, see the relevant section in Chapter 82.

Emulsions in Pharmacy

An emulsion is a dispersed system containing at least two immiscible liquid phases. The majority of conventional emulsions in pharmaceutical use have dispersed particles ranging in diameter from 0.1 to 100 μm . As with suspensions, emulsions are thermodynamically unstable as a result of the excess free energy associated with the surface of the droplets. The dispersed droplets, therefore, strive to come together and reduce the surface area. In addition to this flocculation effect, also observed with suspensions, the dispersed particles can coalesce, or fuse, and this can result in the eventual destruction of the emulsion. In order to minimize this effect a third component, the *emulsifying agent*, is added to the system to improve its stability. The choice of emulsifying agent is critical to the preparation of an emulsion possessing optimum stability. The efficiency of present-day emulsifiers permits the preparation of emulsions which are stable for many months and even years, even though they are thermodynamically unstable.

Emulsions are widely used in pharmacy and medicine, and emulsified materials can possess advantages not observed when formulated in other dosage forms. Thus, certain medicinal agents having an objectionable taste have been made more palatable for oral administration when formulated in an emulsion. The principles of emulsification have been applied extensively in the formulation of dermatological creams and lotions. Intravenous emulsions of contrast media have been developed to assist the physician in undertaking X-ray examinations of the body organs while exposing the patient to the minimum of radiation. Considerable attention has been directed towards the use of sterile, stable intravenous emulsions containing fat, carbohydrate, and vitamins all in one preparation. Such products are administered to patients unable to assimilate these vital materials by the normal oral route.

Emulsions offer potential in the design of systems capable of giving controlled rates of drug release and of affording

protection to drugs susceptible to oxidation or hydrolysis. There is still a need for well-characterized dermatological products with reproducible properties, regardless of whether these products are antibacterial, sustained-release, protective, or emollient lotions, creams or ointments. The principle of emulsification is involved in an increasing number of aerosol products.

The pharmacist must be familiar with the types of emulsions and the properties and theories underlying their preparation and stability; such is the purpose of the remainder of this chapter. Microemulsions, which can be regarded as isotropic, swollen micellar systems are discussed in Chapter 83.

Emulsion Type and Means of Detection

A stable emulsion must contain at least three components; namely, the dispersed phase, the dispersion medium, and the emulsifying agent. Invariably, one of the two immiscible liquids is aqueous while the second is an oil. Whether the aqueous or the oil phase becomes the dispersed phase depends primarily on the emulsifying agent used and the relative amounts of the two liquid phases. Hence, an emulsion in which the oil is dispersed as droplets throughout the aqueous phase is termed an oil-in-water, O/W, emulsion. When water is the dispersed phase and an oil the dispersion medium, the emulsion is of the water-in-oil, W/O, type. Most pharmaceutical emulsions designed for oral administration are of the O/W type; emulsified lotions and creams are either O/W or W/O, depending on their use. Butter and salad creams are W/O emulsions.

Recently, so-called *multiple* emulsions have been developed with a view to delaying the release of an active ingredient. In these types of emulsions three phases are present, i.e., the emulsion has the form W/O/W or O/W/O. In these

"emulsions within emulsions," any drug present in the innermost phase must now cross two phase boundaries to reach the external, continuous, phase.

It is important for the pharmacist to know the type of emulsion he has prepared or is dealing with, since this can affect its properties and performance. Unfortunately, the several methods available can give incorrect results, and so the type of emulsion determined by one method should always be confirmed by means of a second method.

Dilution Test—This method depends on the fact that an O/W emulsion can be diluted with water and a W/O emulsion with oil. When oil is added to an O/W emulsion or water to a W/O emulsion, the additive is not incorporated into the emulsion and separation is apparent. The test is greatly improved if the addition of the water or oil is observed microscopically.

Conductivity Test—An emulsion in which the continuous phase is aqueous can be expected to possess a much higher conductivity than an emulsion in which the continuous phase is an oil. Accordingly, it frequently happens that when a pair of electrodes, connected to a lamp and an electrical source, are dipped into an O/W emulsion, the lamp lights due to passage of a current between the two electrodes. If the lamp does not light, it is assumed that the system is W/O.

Dye-Solubility Test—The knowledge that a water-soluble dye will dissolve in the aqueous phase of an emulsion while an oil-soluble dye will be taken up by the oil phase provides a third means of determining emulsion type. Thus, if microscopic examination shows that a water-soluble dye has been taken up by the continuous phase, we are dealing with an O/W emulsion. If the dye has not stained the continuous phase, the test is repeated using a small amount of an oil-soluble dye. Coloring of the continuous phase confirms that the emulsion is of the W/O type.

Formation and Breakdown of Dispersed Liquid Droplets

An emulsion exists as the result of two competing processes, namely, the dispersion of one liquid throughout another as droplets, and the combination of these droplets to reform the initial bulk liquids. The first process increases the free energy of the system, while the second works to reduce the free energy. Accordingly, the second process is spontaneous and continues until breakdown is complete; i.e., the bulk phases are reformed.

It is of little use to form a well-dispersed emulsion if it quickly breaks down. Similarly, unless adequate attention is given to achieving an optimum dispersion during preparation, the stability of an emulsion system may be compromised from the start. Dispersion is brought about by well-designed and well-operated machinery, capable of producing droplets in a relatively short period of time. Such equipment is discussed in Chapter 83. The reversal back to the bulk phases is minimized by utilizing those parameters which influence the stability of the emulsion once it is formed.

Dispersion Process To Form Droplets—Consider two immiscible liquid phases in a test tube. In order to disperse one liquid as droplets within the other, the interface between the two liquids must be disturbed and expanded to a sufficient degree so that "fingers" or threads of one liquid pass into the second liquid, and *vice versa*. These threads are unstable, and become varicose or beaded. The beads separate and become spherical, as illustrated in Fig 19-36. Depending on the agitation or the shear rate used, larger droplets are also deformed to give small threads, which in turn produce smaller drops.

The time of agitation is important. Thus, the mean size of




Fig 19-36. Effect of rate of coalescence on emulsion type. Rate 1: O/W coalescence rate; Rate 2: W/O coalescence rate. ●: oil; ○: water. For an explanation of Rates 1 and 2, refer to the discussion of Davies on page 304.

droplets decreases rapidly in the first few seconds of agitation. The limiting size range is generally reached within 1 to 5 minutes, and results from the number of droplets coalescing being equivalent to the number of new droplets being formed. It is uneconomical to continue agitation any further.

The liquids may be agitated or sheared by several means. Shaking is commonly employed, especially when the components are of low viscosity. Intermittent shaking is frequently more efficient than continual shaking, possibly because the short time interval between shakes allows the thread which is forced across the interface time to break down into drops which are then isolated in the opposite phase. Continuous, rapid agitation tends to hinder this breakdown to form drops. A mortar and pestle is employed frequently in the extemporaneous preparation of emulsions. It is not a very efficient technique and is not used on a large scale. Improved dispersions are achieved by the use of high-speed mixers, blenders, colloid mills and homogenizers. Ultrasonic techniques also have been employed and are described in Chapter 83.

The phenomenon of spontaneous emulsification, as the name implies, occurs without any external agitation. There is, however, an internal agitation arising from certain physicochemical processes that affect the interface between the two bulk liquids. For a description of this process, see Davies and Rideal in the *Bibliography*.

Coalescence of Droplets—Coalescence is a process distinct from flocculation (aggregation), which commonly precedes it. While flocculation is the clumping together of particles, coalescence is the fusing of the agglomerates into a larger drop, or drops. Coalescence is usually rapid when two immiscible liquids are shaken together, since there is no large energy barrier to prevent fusion of drops and reformation of the original bulk phases. When an emulsifying agent is added to the system, flocculation still may occur but coalescence is reduced to an extent depending on the efficacy of the emulsifying agent to form a stable, coherent interfacial film. It is therefore possible to prepare emulsions that are flocculated, yet which do not coalesce. In addition to the interfacial film around the droplets acting as a mechanical

barrier, the drops also are prevented from coalescing by the presence of a thin layer of continuous phase between particles clumped together.

Davies⁵⁶ showed the importance of coalescence rates in determining emulsion type; this work is discussed in more detail on page 304.

Emulsifying Agent

The process of coalescence can be reduced to insignificant levels by the addition of a third component—the emulsifying agent or emulsifier. The choice of emulsifying agent is frequently critical in developing a successful emulsion, and the pharmacist should be aware of

The desirable properties of emulsifying agents.
How different emulsifiers act to optimize emulsion stability.
How the type and physical properties of the emulsion can be affected by the emulsifying agent.

Desirable Properties

Some of the desirable properties of an emulsifying agent are that it should

1. Be surface-active and reduce surface tension to below 10 dynes/cm.
2. Be adsorbed quickly around the dispersed drops as a condensed, nonadherent film which will prevent coalescence.
3. Impart to the droplets an adequate electrical potential so that mutual repulsion occurs.
4. Increase the viscosity of the emulsion.
5. Be effective in a reasonably low concentration.

Not all emulsifying agents possess these properties to the same degree; in fact, not every good emulsifier necessarily possesses all these properties. Further, there is no one "ideal" emulsifying agent because the desirable properties of an emulsifier depend, in part, on the properties of the two immiscible phases in the particular system under consideration.

Interfacial Tension—Lowering of interfacial tension is one way in which the increased surface free energy associated with the formation of droplets, and hence surface area, in an emulsion can be reduced (Eq 29). Assuming the droplets to be spherical, it can be shown that

$$\Delta F = \frac{6\gamma V}{d} \quad (38)$$

where V is the volume of dispersed phase in mL and d is the mean diameter of the particles. In order to disperse 100 mL of oil as 1- μ m (10^{-4} -cm) droplets in water when $\gamma_{O/W} = 50$ dynes/cm, requires an energy input of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta F &= \frac{6 \times 50 \times 100}{1 \times 10^{-4}} = 30 \times 10^7 \text{ ergs} \\ &= 30 \text{ joules or } 30/4.184 = 7.2 \text{ cal} \end{aligned}$$

In the above example the addition of an emulsifier that will reduce γ from 50 to 5 dynes/cm will reduce the surface free energy from 7.2 to around 0.7 cal. Likewise, if the interfacial tension is reduced to 0.5 dyne/cm, a common occurrence, the original surface free energy is reduced a hundredfold. Such a reduction can help to maintain the surface area generated during the dispersion process.

Film Formation—The major requirement of a potential emulsifying agent is that it readily form a film around each droplet of dispersed material. The main purpose of this film—which can be a monolayer, a multilayer, or a collection of small particles adsorbed at the interface—is to form a barrier which prevents the coalescence of droplets that come into contact with one another. For the film to be an efficient

barrier, it should possess some degree of surface elasticity and should not thin out and rupture when sandwiched between two droplets. If broken, the film should have the capacity to reform rapidly.

Electrical Potential—The origin of an electrical potential at the surface of a droplet has been discussed earlier in the chapter. Insofar as emulsions are concerned, the presence of a well-developed charge on the droplet surface is significant in promoting stability by causing repulsion between approaching drops. This potential is likely to be greater when an ionized emulsifying agent is employed.

Concentration of Emulsifier—The main objective of an emulsifying agent is to form a condensed film around the droplets of the dispersed phase. An inadequate concentration will do little to prevent coalescence. Increasing the emulsifier concentration above an optimum level achieves little in terms of increased stability. In practice the aim is to use the minimum amount consistent with producing a satisfactory emulsion.

It frequently helps to have some idea of the amount of emulsifier required to form a condensed film, one molecule thick, around each droplet. Suppose we wish to emulsify 50 g of an oil, density = 1.0, in 50 g of water. The desired particle diameter is 1 μ m. Thus,

$$\text{Particle diameter} = 1 \mu\text{m} = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}$$

$$\text{Volume of particle} = \frac{\pi d^3}{6} = 0.524 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total number of particles in 50 g} \\ &= \frac{50}{0.524 \times 10^{-12}} = 95.5 \times 10^{12} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Surface area of each particle} = \pi d^2 = 3.142 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total surface area} &= 3.142 \times 10^{-8} \\ &\times 95.5 \times 10^{12} = 300 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^2 \end{aligned}$$

If the area each molecule occupies at the oil/water interface is 30 $\text{\AA}^2$ ($30 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$), we require

$$\frac{300 \times 10^4}{30 \times 10^{-16}} = 1 \times 10^{21} \text{ molecules}$$

A typical emulsifying agent might have a molecular weight of 1000. Thus, the required weight is

$$\frac{1000 \times 10^{21}}{6.023 \times 10^{23}} = 1.66 \text{ g}$$

To emulsify 10 g of oil would require 0.33 g of the emulsifying agent, etc. While the approach is an oversimplification of the problem, it does at least allow the formulator to make a reasonable estimate of the required concentration of emulsifier.

Emulsion Rheology—The emulsifying agent and other components of an emulsion can affect the rheologic behavior of an emulsion in several ways and these are summarized in Table XVI. It should be borne in mind that the droplets of the internal phase are deformable under shear and that the adsorbed layer of emulsifier affects the interactions between adjacent droplets and also between a droplet and the continuous phase.

The means by which the rheological behavior of emulsions can be controlled have been discussed by Rogers.⁵⁸

Mechanism of Action

Emulsifying agents may be classified in accordance with the type of film they form at the interface between the two phases.

Monomolecular Films—Those surface-active agents which are capable of stabilizing an emulsion do so by form-

Table XVI—Factors Influencing Emulsion Viscosity⁵⁷

1. Internal phase
 - a. Volume concentration (ϕ); hydrodynamic interaction between globules; flocculation, leading to formation of globule aggregates.
 - b. Viscosity (η_i); deformation of globules in shear.
 - c. Globule size, and size distribution, technique used to prepare emulsion; interfacial tension between the two liquid phases: globule behavior in shear; interaction with continuous phase; globule interaction.
 - d. Chemical constitution.
2. Continuous phase
 - a. Viscosity (η_0), and other rheological properties.
 - b. Chemical constitution, polarity, pH; potential energy of interaction between globules.
 - c. Electrolyte concentration if polar medium.
3. Emulsifying agent
 - a. Chemical constitution; potential energy of interaction between globules.
 - b. Concentration, and solubility in internal and continuous phases; emulsion type; emulsion inversion; solubilization of liquid phases in micelles.
 - c. Thickness of film adsorbed around globules, and its rheological properties, deformation of globules in shear; fluid circulation within globules.
 - d. Electroviscous effect.
4. Additional stabilizing agents

Pigments, hydrocolloids, hydrous oxides; effect on rheologic properties of liquid phases, and interfacial boundary region.

ing a monolayer of adsorbed molecules or ions at the oil/water interface (Fig 19-37). In accordance with Gibbs' law (Eq 29) the presence of an interfacial excess necessitates a reduction in interfacial tension. This results in a more stable emulsion because of a proportional reduction in the surface free energy. Of itself, this reduction is probably not the main factor promoting stability. More significant is the fact that the droplets are surrounded now by a coherent monolayer which prevents coalescence between approaching droplets. If the emulsifier forming the monolayer is ionized, the presence of strongly charged and mutually repelling droplets increases the stability of the system. With unionized, nonionic surface-active agents, the particles may still carry a charge; this arises from adsorption of a specific ion or ions from solution.

Multimolecular Films—Hydrated lyophilic colloids form multimolecular films around droplets of dispersed oil (Fig 19-37). The use of these agents has declined in recent years because of the large number of synthetic surface-active agents available which possess well-marked emulsifying properties. While these hydrophilic colloids are adsorbed at an interface (and can be regarded therefore as "surface-active"), they do not cause an appreciable lowering in surface tension. Rather, their efficiency depends on their ability to form strong, coherent multimolecular films. These act as a coating around the droplets and render them highly resistant to coalescence, even in the absence of a well-developed surface potential. Furthermore, any hydrocolloid not adsorbed at the interface increases the viscosity of the continuous aqueous phase; this enhances emulsion stability.

Solid Particle Films—Small solid particles that are wetted to some degree by both aqueous and nonaqueous liquid phases act as emulsifying agents. If the particles are too hydrophilic, they remain in the aqueous phase; if too hydrophobic, they are dispersed completely in the oil phase. A second requirement is that the particles are small in relation to the droplets of the dispersed phase (Fig 19-37).

Chemical Types

Emulsifying agents may also be classified in terms of their chemical structure; there is some correlation between this





Fig 19-37. Types of films formed by emulsifying agents at the oil/water interface. Orientations are shown for O/W emulsions. $\square$: oil; $\square$: water.

classification and that based on the mechanism of action. For example, the majority of emulsifiers forming monomolecular films are synthetic, organic materials. Most of the emulsifiers that form multimolecular films are obtained from natural sources and are organic. A third group is composed of solid particles, invariably inorganic, that form films composed of finely divided solid particles.

Accordingly, the classification adopted divides emulsifying agents into *synthetic*, *natural*, and *finely dispersed solids* (Table XVII). A fourth group, the *auxiliary materials* (Table XVIII), are weak emulsifiers. The agents listed are designed to illustrate the various types available; they are not meant to be exhaustive.

Synthetic Emulsifying Agents—This group of surface-active agents which act as emulsifiers may be subdivided into anionic, cationic, and nonionic, depending on the charge possessed by the surfactant.

Anionics—In this subgroup the surfactant ion bears a negative charge. The potassium, sodium, and ammonium salts of lauric and oleic acid are soluble in water and are good O/W emulsifying agents. They do, however, have a disagreeable taste and are irritating to the gastrointestinal tract; this limits them to emulsions prepared for external use. Potassium laurate, a typical example, has the structure



Solutions of alkali soaps have a high pH; they start to precipitate out of solution below pH 10 because the unionized fatty acid is now formed, and this has a low aqueous solubility. Further, the free fatty acid is ineffective as an emulsifier and so emulsions formed from alkali soaps are not stable at pH values less than about 10.

The calcium, magnesium and aluminum salts of fatty acids, often termed the metallic soaps, are water insoluble and result in W/O emulsions.

Table XVII—Classification of Emulsifying Agents


Type	Type of film	Examples
Synthetic (surface-active agents)	Monomolecular	<i>Anionic</i>
		Soaps
		Potassium laurate
		Triethanolamine stearate
		Sulfates
		Sodium lauryl sulfate
		Alkyl polyoxyethylene sulfates
		Sulfonates
		Diocetyl sodium sulfosuccinate
		<i>Cationic:</i>
Natural	Multimolecular	Quaternary ammonium compounds
		Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
		Lauryldimethylbenzylammonium chloride
		<i>Nonionic:</i>
		Polyoxyethylene fatty alcohol ethers
		Sorbitan fatty acid esters
		Polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters
		<i>Hydrophilic colloids:</i>
		Acacia
		Gelatin
Finely divided solids	Monomolecular	Lecithin
		Cholesterol
	Solid particle	<i>Colloidal clays:</i>
		Bentonite
		Veegum
		<i>Metallic hydroxides:</i>
		Magnesium hydroxide

Table XVIII—Auxiliary Emulsifying Agents⁵⁵

Product	Source and composition	Principal use
Bentonite	Colloidal hydrated aluminum silicate	Hydrophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W and W/O lotions and creams
Cetyl alcohol	Chiefly C ₁₆ H ₃₃ OH	Lipophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W lotions and ointments
Glyceryl monostearate	C ₁₇ H ₃₅ COOCH ₂ CHOHCH ₂ OH	Lipophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W lotions and ointments
Methylcellulose	Series of methyl esters of cellulose	Hydrophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W emulsions; weak O/W emulsifier
Sodium alginate	The sodium salt of alginic acid, a purified carbohydrate extracted from giant kelp	Hydrophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W emulsions
Sodium carboxymethyl-cellulose	Sodium salt of the carboxymethyl esters of cellulose	Hydrophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W emulsions
Stearic acid	A mixture of solid acids from fats, chiefly stearic and palmitic	Lipophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W lotions and ointments. Forms a true emulsifier when reacted with an alkali
Stearyl alcohol	Chiefly C ₁₈ H ₃₇ OH	Lipophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W lotions and ointments
Veegum	Colloidal magnesium aluminum silicate	Hydrophilic thickening agent and stabilizer for O/W lotions and creams

Another class of soaps are salts formed from a fatty acid and an organic amine such as triethanolamine. While these O/W emulsifiers are also limited to external preparations, their alkalinity is considerably less than that of the alkali soaps and they are active as emulsifiers down to around pH 8. These agents are less irritating than the alkali soaps.

Sulfated alcohols are neutralized sulfuric acid esters of such fatty alcohols as lauryl and cetyl alcohol. These compounds are an important group of pharmaceutical surfactants. They are used chiefly as wetting agents, although they do have some value as emulsifiers, particularly, when used in conjunction with an auxiliary agent. A frequently used compound is sodium lauryl sulfate.




Sulfonates are a class of compounds in which the sulfur atom is connected directly to the carbon atom, giving the general formula



Sulfonates have a higher tolerance to calcium ions and do not hydrolyze as readily as the sulfates. A widely used surfactant of this type is dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate.

Cationics—The surface activity in this group resides in the positively charged cation. These compounds have marked bactericidal properties. This makes them desirable in emulsified anti-infective products such as skin lotions and creams. The pH of an emulsion prepared with a cationic emulsifier lies in the pH 4–6 range. Since this includes the normal pH of the skin, cationic emulsifiers are advantageous in this regard also.


Cationic agents are weak emulsifiers and are generally formulated with a stabilizing or auxiliary emulsifying agent such as cetostearyl alcohol. The only group of cationic agents used extensively as emulsifying agents are the quaternary ammonium compounds. An example is cetyltrimethylammonium bromide.




Cationic emulsifiers should not be used in the same formulation with anionic emulsifiers as they will interact. While the incompatibility may not be immediately apparent as a precipitate, virtually all of the desired antibacterial activity will generally have been lost.

Nonionics—These undissociated surfactants find widespread use as emulsifying agents when they possess the proper balance of hydrophilic and lipophilic groups within the molecule. Their popularity is based on the fact that, unlike the anionic and cationic types, nonionic emulsifiers are not susceptible to pH changes and the presence of electrolytes. The number of nonionic agents available is legion; the most frequently used are the glyceryl esters, polyoxyethylene glycol esters and ethers, and the sorbitan fatty acid esters and their polyoxyethylene derivatives.


A glyceryl ester, such as glyceryl monostearate, is too lipophilic to serve as a good emulsifier; it is widely used as an auxiliary agent (Table XVIII) and has the structure



Sorbitan fatty acid esters, such as sorbitan monopalmitate



are nonionic oil-soluble emulsifiers that promote W/O emulsions. The polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters, such as polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitate, are hydrophilic water-soluble derivatives that favor O/W emulsions.



Polyoxyethylene glycol esters, such as the monostearate, C₁₇H₃₅COO(CH₂OCH₂)_nH, also are used widely.

Very frequently, the best results are obtained from blends of nonionic emulsifiers. Thus, an O/W emulsifier customarily will be used in an emulsion with a W/O emulsifier. When blended properly, the nonionics produce fine-textured stable emulsions.

Natural Emulsifying Agents—Of the numerous emulsifying agents derived from natural (ie, plant and animal) sources, consideration will be given only to acacia, gelatin, lecithin, and cholesterol. Many other natural materials are only sufficiently active to function as auxiliary emulsifying agents or stabilizers.

Acacia is a carbohydrate gum that is soluble in water and forms O/W emulsions. Emulsions prepared with acacia are stable over a wide pH range. Because it is a carbohydrate it is necessary to preserve acacia emulsions against microbial attack by the use of a suitable preservative. The gum can be precipitated from aqueous solution by the addition of high concentrations of electrolytes or solvents less polar than water, such as alcohol.

Gelatin, a protein, has been used for many years as an emulsifying agent. Gelatin can have two isoelectric points, depending on the method of preparation. So-called Type A gelatin, derived from an acid-treated precursor, has an isoelectric point of between pH 7 and 9. Type B gelatin, obtained from an alkali-treated precursor, has an isoelectric

point of approximately pH 5. Type A gelatin acts best as an emulsifier around pH 3, where it is positively charged; on the other hand, Type B gelatin is best used around pH 8, where it is negatively charged. The question as to whether the gelatin is positively or negatively charged is fundamental to the stability of the emulsion when other charged emulsifying agents are present. In order to avoid an incompatibility, all emulsifying agents should carry the same sign. Thus, if gums (such as tragacanth, acacia or agar) which are negatively charged are to be used with gelatin, Type B material should be used at an alkaline pH. Under these conditions the gelatin is similarly negatively charged.

Lecithin is a phospholipid which, because of its strongly hydrophilic nature, produces O/W emulsions. It is liable to microbial attack and tends to darken on storage.

Cholesterol is a major constituent of wool alcohols, obtained by the saponification and fractionation of wool fat. It is cholesterol that gives wool fat its capacity to absorb water and form a W/O emulsion.

Finely Dispersed Solids—This group of emulsifiers forms particulate films around the dispersed droplets and produces emulsions which, while coarse-grained, have considerable physical stability. It appears possible that any solid can act as an emulsifying agent of this type, provided it is reduced to a sufficiently fine powder. In practice the group of compounds used most frequently are the colloidal clays.

Several colloidal clays find application in pharmaceutical emulsions; the most frequently used are bentonite, a colloidal aluminum silicate, and Veegum (Vanderbilt), a colloidal magnesium aluminum silicate.

Bentonite is a white to gray, odorless, and tasteless powder that swells in the presence of water to form a translucent suspension with a pH of about 9. Depending on the sequence of mixing it is possible to prepare both O/W and W/O emulsions. When an O/W emulsion is desired, the bentonite is first dispersed in water and allowed to hydrate so as to form a magma. The oil phase is then added gradually with constant trituration. Since the aqueous phase is always in excess, the O/W emulsion type is favored. To prepare a W/O emulsion, the bentonite is first dispersed in oil; the water is then added gradually.

While Veegum is used as a solid particle emulsifying agent, it is employed most extensively as a stabilizer in cosmetic lotions and creams. Concentrations of less than 1% Veegum will stabilize an emulsion containing anionic or nonionic emulsifying agents.

Auxiliary Emulsifying Agents—Included under this heading are those compounds which are normally incapable themselves of forming stable emulsions. Their main value lies in their ability to function as thickening agents and thereby help stabilize the emulsion. Agents in common use are listed in Table XVIII.

Emulsifying Agents and Emulsion Type

For a molecule, ion, colloid, or particle to be active as an emulsifying agent, it must have some affinity for the interface between the dispersed phase and the dispersion medium. With the mono- and multilayer films the emulsifier is in solution and, therefore, must be soluble to some extent in one or both of the phases. At the same time it must not be overly soluble in either phase, otherwise it will remain in the bulk of that phase and not be adsorbed at the interface. This balanced affinity for the two phases also must be evident with finely divided solid particles used as emulsifying agents. If their affinity, as evidenced by the degree to which they are wetted, is either predominantly hydrophilic or hydrophobic, they will not function as effective wetting agents.

The great majority of the work on the relation between

Table XIX—Approximate HLB Values for a Number of Emulsifying Agents

Generic or chemical name	HLB
Sorbitan trioleate	1.8
Sorbitan tristearate	2.1
Propylene glycol monostearate	3.4
Sorbitan sesquioleate	3.7
Glycerol monostearate (non self-emulsifying)	3.8
Sorbitan monooleate	4.3
Propylene glycol monolaurate	4.5
Sorbitan monostearate	4.7
Glyceryl monostearate (self-emulsifying)	5.5
Sorbitan monopalmitate	6.7
Sorbitan monolaurate	8.6
Polyoxyethylene-4-lauryl ether	9.5
Polyethylene glycol 400 monostearate	11.6
Polyoxyethylene-4-sorbitan monolaurate	13.3
Polyoxyethylene-20-sorbitan monooleate	15.0
Polyoxyethylene-20-sorbitan monopalmitate	15.6
Polyoxyethylene-20-sorbitan monolaurate	16.7
Polyoxyethylene-40-stearate	16.9
Sodium oleate	18.0
Sodium lauryl sulfate	40.0

emulsifier and emulsion type has been concerned with surface-active agents that form interfacial monolayers. The present discussion, therefore, will concentrate on this class of agents.

Hydrophile-Lipophile Balance—As the emulsifier becomes more hydrophilic, its solubility in water increases and the formation of an O/W emulsion is favored. Conversely, W/O emulsions are favored with the more lipophilic emulsifiers. This led to the concept that the type of emulsion is related to the balance between hydrophilic and lipophilic solution tendencies of the surface-active emulsifying agent.

Griffin⁵⁹ developed a scale based on the balance between these two opposing tendencies. This so-called *HLB scale* is a numerical scale, extending from 1 to approximately 50. The more hydrophilic surfactants have high HLB numbers (in excess of 10), while surfactants with HLB numbers from 1 to 10 are considered to be lipophilic. Surfactants with a proper balance in their hydrophilic and lipophilic affinities are effective emulsifying agents since they concentrate at the oil/water interface. The relationship between HLB values and the application of the surface-active agent is shown in Table XV. Some commonly used emulsifiers and their HLB numbers are listed in Table XIX. The utility of the HLB system in rationalizing the choice of emulsifying agents when formulating an emulsion will be discussed in a later section.

Rate of Coalescence and Emulsion Type—Davies⁵⁶ indicated that the type of emulsion produced in systems prepared by shaking is controlled by the relative coalescence rates of oil droplets dispersed in the oil. Thus, when a mixture of oil and water is shaken together with an emulsifying agent, a multiple dispersion is produced initially which contains oil dispersed in water and water dispersed in oil (Fig 19-36). The type of the final emulsion which results depends on whether the water or the oil droplets coalesce more rapidly. If the O/W coalescence rate (Rate 1) is much greater than W/O coalescence rate (Rate 2), a W/O emulsion is formed since the dispersed water droplets are more stable than the dispersed oil droplets. Conversely, if Rate 2 is significantly faster than Rate 1, the final emulsion is an O/W dispersion because the oil droplets are more stable.

According to Davies, the rate at which oil globules coalesce when dispersed in water is given by the expression

$$\text{Rate 1} = C_1 e^{-W_1/RT} \quad (39)$$

The term C_1 is a collision factor which is directly proportional to the phase volume of the oil relative to the water, and is an inverse function of the viscosity of the continuous phase (water). W_1 defines an energy barrier made up of several contributing factors that must be overcome before coalescence can take place. First, it depends on the electrical potential of the dispersed oil droplets, since this affects repulsion. Second, with an O/W emulsion, the hydrated layer surrounding the polar portion of emulsifying agent must be broken down before coalescence can occur. This hydrated layer is probably around 10 Å thick with a consistency of butter. Finally, the total energy barrier depends on the fraction of the interface covered by the emulsifying agent.

Equation 40 describes the rate of coalescence of water globules dispersed in oil, namely

$$\text{Rate 2} = C_2 e^{-W_2/RT} \quad (40)$$

Here, the collision factor C_2 is a function of the water/oil phase volume ratio divided by the viscosity of the oil phase. The energy barrier W_2 is, as before, related to the fraction of the interface covered by the surface-active agent. Another contributing factor is the number of $-\text{CH}_2-$ groups in the emulsifying agent; the longer the alkyl chain of the emulsifier, the greater the gap that has to be bridged if one water droplet is to combine with a second drop.

Davies⁵⁶ showed that the HLB concept is related to the distribution characteristics of the emulsifying agent between the two immiscible phases. An emulsifier with an HLB of less than 7 will be preferentially soluble in the oil phase and will favor formation of a W/O emulsion. Surfactants with an HLB value in excess of 7 will be distributed in favor of the aqueous phase and will promote O/W emulsions.

Preparation of Emulsions

Several factors must be taken into account in the successful preparation and formulation of emulsified products. Usually, the type of emulsion (ie, O/W or W/O) is specified; if not, it probably will be implied from the anticipated use of the product. The formulator's attention is focused primarily on the selection of the emulsifying agent, or agents, necessary to achieve a satisfactory product. No incompatibilities should occur between the various emulsifiers and the several components commonly present in pharmaceutical emulsions. Finally, the product should be prepared in such a way as not to prejudice the formulation.

Selection of Emulsifying Agents

The selection of the emulsifying agent, or agents, is of prime importance in the successful formulation of an emulsion. In addition to its emulsifying properties, the pharmacist must ensure that the material chosen is nontoxic and that the taste, odor, and chemical stability are compatible with the product. Thus, an emulsifying agent which is entirely suitable for inclusion in a skin cream may be unacceptable in the formulation of an oral preparation due to its potential toxicity. This consideration is most important when formulating intravenous emulsions.

The HLB System—With the increasing number of available emulsifiers, particularly the nonionics, the selection of emulsifiers for a product was essentially a trial-and-error procedure. Fortunately, the work of Griffin^{59,60} provided a logical means of selecting emulsifying agents. Griffin's method, based on the balance between the hydrophilic and lipophilic portions of the emulsifying agent, is now widely used and has come to be known as the *HLB system*. It is used most in the rational selection of combinations of non-

Table XX—Relationship between HLB Range and Surfactant Application

HLB range	Use
0-3	Antifoaming agents
4-6	W/O emulsifying agents
7-9	Wetting agents
8-18	O/W emulsifying agents
13-15	Detergents
10-18	Solubilizing agents

Table XXI—Required HLB Values for Some Common Emulsion Ingredients

Substance	W/O	O/W
Acid, stearic	...	17
Alcohol, cetyl	...	13
Lanolin, anhydrous	8	15
Oil, cottonseed	...	7.5
mineral oil, light	4	10-12
mineral oil, heavy	4	10.5
Wax, beeswax	5	10-16
microcrystalline paraffin	...	9

ionic emulsifiers, and we shall limit our discussion accordingly.

As shown in Table XX, if an O/W emulsion is required, the formulator should use emulsifiers with an HLB in the range of 8-18. Emulsifiers with HLB values in the range of 4-6 are given consideration when a W/O emulsion is desired. Some typical examples are given in Table XIX.

Another factor is the presence or absence of any polarity in the material being emulsified, since this will affect the polarity required in the emulsifier. Again, as a result of extensive experimentation, Griffin evolved a series of "required HLB" values; ie, the HLB value required by a particular material if it is to be emulsified effectively. Some values for oils and related materials are contained in Table XXI. Naturally, the required HLB value differs depending on whether the final emulsion is O/W or W/O.

Fundamental to the utility of the HLB concept is the fact that the HLB values are algebraically additive. Thus, by using a low HLB surfactant with one having a high HLB it is possible to prepare blends having HLB values intermediate between those of the two individual emulsifiers. Naturally, one should not use emulsifiers that are incompatible. The following formula should serve as an example.

O/W Emulsion		
Liquid petrolatum (Required HLB 10.5)	...	50 g
Emulsifying agents	...	5 g
Sorbitan monooleate (HLB 4.3)		
Polyoxyethylene 20 sorbitan monooleate (HLB 15.0)		
Water, qs	...	100 g

By simple algebra it can be shown that 4.5 parts by weight of sorbitan monooleate blended with 6.2 parts by weight of polyoxyethylene 20 sorbitan monooleate will result in a mixed emulsifying agent having the required HLB of 10.5. Since the formula calls for 5 g, the required weights are 2.1 g and 2.9 g, respectively. The oil-soluble sorbitan monooleate is dissolved in the oil and heated to 75°; the water-soluble polyoxyethylene 20 sorbitan monooleate is added to the aqueous phase which is heated to 70°. At this point the oil phase is mixed with the aqueous phase and the whole stirred continuously until cool.

The formulator is not restricted to these two agents to produce a blend with an HLB of 10.5. Table XXII shows

Table XXII—Nonionic Blends having HLB Values of 10.5

Surfactant blend	HLB	Required amounts (%) to give HLB = 10.5
Sorbitan tristearate	2.1	34.4
Polyoxyethylene 20 sorbitan monooleate	14.9	65.6
Sorbitan monopalmitate	6.7	57.3
Polyoxyethylene 20 sorbitan monopalmitate	15.6	42.7
Sorbitan sesquileate	3.7	48.5
Polyoxyethylene lauryl ether	16.9	51.5

the various proportions required, using other pairs of emulsifying agents, to form a blend of HLB 10.5. When carrying out preliminary investigations with a particular material to be emulsified, it is advisable to try several pairs of emulsifying agents. Based on an evaluation of the emulsions produced, it becomes possible to choose the best combination.

Occasionally, the required HLB of the oil may not be known, in which case it becomes necessary to determine this parameter. Various blends are prepared to give a wide range of HLB mixtures and emulsions are prepared in a standardized manner. The HLB of the blend used to emulsify the best product, selected on the basis of physical stability, is taken to be the required HLB of the oil. The experiment should be repeated using another combination of emulsifiers to confirm the value of the required HLB of the oil to within, say, ± 1 HLB unit.

There are methods for finding the HLB value of a new surface-active agent. Griffin⁶⁰ developed simple equations which can be used to obtain an estimate with certain compounds. It has been shown that the ability of a compound to spread at a surface is related to its HLB. In another approach a linear relation between HLB and the logarithm of the dielectric constant for a number of nonionic surfactants has been observed. An interesting approach has been developed by Davies⁵⁶ and is related to his studies on the relative rates of coalescence of O/W and W/O emulsions (page 304). According to Davies, hydrophilic groups on the surfactant molecule make a positive contribution to the HLB number, whereas lipophilic groups exert a negative effect. Davies calculated these contributions and termed them HLB Group Numbers (Table XXIII). Provided the molecular structure of the surfactant is known, one simply adds the various group numbers in accordance with the following formula:

Table XXIII—HLB Group Numbers⁶¹

	Group number
Hydrophilic groups	
—SO ₄ —Na ⁺	38.7
—COO—K ⁺	21.1
—COO—Na ⁺	19.1
N (tertiary amine)	9.4
Ester (sorbitan ring)	6.8
Ester (free)	2.4
—COOH	2.1
Hydroxyl (free)	1.9
—O—	1.3
Hydroxyl (sorbitan ring)	0.5
Lipophilic groups	
—CH—	
—CH ₂ —	
—CH ₃ —	-0.475
=CH—	
Derived groups	
—(CH ₂ —CH ₂ —O)—	+0.33
—(CH ₂ —CH ₂ —CH ₂ —O)—	-0.15

$$\text{HLB} = \Sigma(\text{hydrophilic group numbers}) - \frac{m(\text{group number}/-\text{CH}_2-\text{ group})}{2} + 7$$

where m is the number of $-\text{CH}_2-$ groups present in the surfactant. Poor agreement is found between the HLB values calculated by the use of group numbers and the HLB values obtained using the simple equations developed by Griffin. However, the student should realise that the absolute HLB values *per se* are of limited significance. The utility of the HLB approach (using values calculated by either Griffin's or Davies' equations) is to (i) provide the formulator with an idea of the relative balance of hydrophilicity and lipophilicity in a particular surfactant, and (ii) relate that surfactant's emulsifying and solubilizing properties to other surfactants. The formulator still needs to confirm experimentally that a particular formulation will produce a stable emulsion.

Later, Davies and Rideal⁶¹ attempted to relate HLB to the $C_{\text{water}}/C_{\text{oil}}$ partition coefficient and found good agreement for a series of sorbitan surfactants. Schott⁶² showed, however, that the method does not apply to polyoxyethylated octylphenol surfactants. Schott concluded that "so far, the search for a universal correlation between HLB and another property of the surfactant which could be determined more readily than HLB has not been successful."

The HLB system gives no information as to the amount of emulsifier required. Having once determined the correct blend, the formulator must prepare another series of emulsions, all at the same HLB, but containing increasing concentrations of the emulsifier blend. Usually, the minimum concentration giving the desired degree of physical stability is chosen.

Mixed Emulsifying Agents—Emulsifying agents are frequently used in combination since a better emulsion usually is obtained. This enhancement may be due to several reasons, one or more of which may be operative in any one system. Thus, the use of a blend or mixture of emulsifiers may (1) produce the required hydrophile-lipophile balance in the emulsifier, (2) enhance the stability and cohesiveness of the interfacial film, and (3) affect the consistency and feel of the product.

The first point has been considered in detail in the previous discussion of the HLB system.

With regard to the second point, Schulman and Cockbain in 1940 showed that combinations of certain amphiphiles formed stable films at the air/water interface. It was postulated that the complex formed by these two materials (one, oil-soluble; the other, water-soluble) at the air/water interface was also present at the O/W interface. This interfacial complex was held to be responsible for the improved stability. For example, sodium cetyl sulfate, a moderately good O/W emulsifier, and elaidyl alcohol or cholesterol, both stabilizers for W/O emulsions, show evidence of an interaction at the air/water interface. Furthermore, an O/W emulsion prepared with sodium cetyl sulfate and elaidyl alcohol is much more stable than an emulsion prepared with sodium cetyl sulfate alone.

Elaidyl alcohol is the *trans* isomer. When oleyl alcohol, the *cis* isomer, is used with sodium cetyl sulfate, there is no evidence of complex formation at the air/water interface. Significantly, this combination does not produce a stable O/W emulsion either. Such a finding strongly suggests that a high degree of molecular alignment is necessary at the O/W interface to form a stable emulsion.

Finally, some materials are added primarily to increase the consistency of the emulsion. This may be done to increase stability or improve emolliency and feel. Examples include cetyl alcohol, stearic acid and beeswax.

When using combinations of emulsifiers, care must be taken to ensure their compatibility, as charged emulsifying

agents of opposite sign are likely to interact and coagulate when mixed.

Small-Scale Preparation

Mortar and Pestle—This approach invariably is used only for those emulsions that are stabilized by the presence of a multimolecular film (eg, acacia, tragacanth, agar, chondrus) at the interface. There are two basic methods for preparing emulsions with the mortar and pestle. These are the *Wet Gum* (or so-called *English Method*) and the *Dry Gum* (or so-called *Continental Method*).

The Wet Gum Method—In this method the emulsifying agent is placed in the mortar and dispersed in water to form a mucilage. The oil is added in small amounts with continuous trituration, each portion of the oil being emulsified before adding the next increment. Acacia is the most frequently used emulsifying agent when preparing emulsions with the mortar and pestle. When emulsifying a fixed oil, the optimum ratio of oil:water:acacia to prepare the initial emulsion is 4:2:1. Thus, the preparation of 60 mL of a 40% cod liver oil emulsion requires the following:

Cod liver oil	24 g
Acacia	6 g
Water, qs	60 mL

The acacia mucilage is formed by adding 12 mL of water to the 6 g of acacia in the mortar and triturating. The 24 g of oil is added in increments of 1–2 g and dispersed. The product at this stage is known as the *primary emulsion*, or *nucleus*. The primary emulsion should be triturated for at least 5 min, after which sufficient water is added to produce a final volume of 60 mL.

The Dry Gum Method—In this method, preferred by most pharmacists, the gum is added to the oil, rather than the water as with the wet gum method. Again, the approach is to prepare a primary emulsion from which the final product can be obtained by dilution with the continuous phase. If the emulsifier is acacia and a fixed oil is to be emulsified, the ratio of oil:water:gum is again 4:2:1.

Provided dispersion of the acacia in the oil is adequate, the dry gum method can almost be guaranteed to produce an acceptable emulsion. Because there is no incremental addition of one of the components, the preparation of an emulsion by this method is rapid.

With both methods the oil:water:gum ratio may vary, depending on the type of oil to be emulsified and the emulsifying agent used. The usual ratios for tragacanth and acacia are shown in Table XXIV.

The preparation of emulsions by both the wet and dry gum methods can be carried out in a bottle rather than a mortar and pestle.

Other Methods—An increasing number of emulsions are being formulated with synthetic emulsifying agents, especially of the nonionic type. The components in such a for-

Table XXIV—Usual Ratios of Oil, Water and Gum Used to Produce Emulsions

System	Acacia	Tragacanth
Fixed oils (excluding liquid petrolatum and linseed oil)	4	40
Water	2	20
Gum	1	1
Volatile oils, plus liquid petrolatum and linseed oil	2–3	20–30
Water	2	20
Gum	1	1

mulsion are separated into those that are oil-soluble and those that are water-soluble. These are dissolved in their respective solvents by heating to about 70 to 75°. When solution is complete, the two phases are mixed and the product is stirred until cool. This method, which requires nothing more than two beakers, a thermometer and a source of heat, is necessarily used in the preparation of emulsions containing waxes and other high-melting-point materials that must be melted before they can be dispersed in the emulsion. The relatively simple methodology involved in the use of synthetic surfactant-type emulsifiers is one factor which has led to their widespread use in emulsion preparation. This, in turn, has led to a decline in the use of the natural emulsifying agents.

With hand homogenizers an initial rough emulsion is formed by trituration in a mortar or shaking in a bottle. The rough emulsion is then passed several times through the homogenizer. A reduction in particle size is achieved as the material is forced through a narrow aperture under pressure. A satisfactory product invariably results from the use of a hand homogenizer and overcomes any deficiencies in technique. Should the homogenizer fail to produce an adequate product, the formulation, rather than the technique, should be suspected.

For a discussion of the techniques and equipment used in the large-scale manufacture of emulsions, see Chapter 83.

Stability of Emulsions

There are several criteria which must be met in a well-formulated emulsion. Probably the most important and most readily apparent requirement is that the emulsion possess adequate physical stability; without this, any emulsion soon will revert back to two separate bulk phases. In addition, if the emulsified product is to have some antimicrobial activity (eg, a medicated lotion), care must be taken to ensure that the formulation possesses the required degree of activity. Frequently, a compound exhibits a lower antimicrobial activity in an emulsion than, say, in a solution. Generally, this is because of partitioning effects between the oil and water phases, which cause a lowering of the "effective" concentration of the active agent. Partitioning has also to be taken into account when considering preservatives to prevent microbiological spoilage of emulsions. Finally, the chemical stability of the various components of the emulsion should receive some attention, since such materials may be more prone to degradation in the emulsified state than when they exist as a bulk phase.

In the present discussion, detailed consideration will be limited to the question of physical stability. Reviews of this topic have been published by Garrett⁶³ and Kitchener and Mussellwhite.⁶⁴ For information on the effect that emulsification can have on the biologic activity and chemical stability of materials in emulsions, see Wedderburn,⁶⁵ Burt⁶⁶ and Swarbrick.⁶⁷

The theories of emulsion stability have been discussed by Eccleston⁶⁸ in an attempt to understand the situation in both a simple O/W emulsion and complex commercial systems.

The three major phenomena associated with physical stability are

1. The upward or downward movement of dispersed droplets relative to the continuous phase, termed *creaming* or *sedimentation*, respectively.
2. The aggregation and possible coalescence of the dispersed droplets to reform the separate, bulk phases.
3. Inversion, in which an O/W emulsion inverts to become a W/O emulsion, and *vice versa*.

Creaming and Sedimentation—Creaming is the upward movement of dispersed droplets relative to the continuous

phase, while sedimentation, the reverse process, is the downward movement of particles. In any emulsion one process or the other takes place, depending on the densities of the disperse and continuous phases. This is undesirable in a pharmaceutical product where homogeneity is essential for the administration of the correct and uniform dose. Furthermore, creaming, or sedimentation, brings the particles closer together and may facilitate the more serious problem of coalescence.

The rate at which a spherical droplet or particle sediments in a liquid is governed by Stokes' law (Eq 35). While other equations have been developed for bulk systems, Stokes' equation is still useful since it points out the factors that influence the rate of sedimentation or creaming. These are the diameter of the suspended droplets, the viscosity of the suspending medium, and the difference in densities between the dispersed phase and the dispersion medium.

Usually, only the use of the first two factors is feasible in affecting creaming or sedimentation. Reduction of particle size contributes greatly toward overcoming or minimizing creaming, since the rate of movement is a square-root function of the particle diameter. There are, however, technical difficulties in reducing the diameter of droplets to below about 0.1 μm . The most frequently used approach is to raise the viscosity of the continuous phase, although this can be done only to the extent that the emulsion still can be removed readily from its container and spread or administered conveniently.

Aggregation and Coalescence—Even though creaming and sedimentation are undesirable, they do not necessarily result in the breakdown of the emulsion, since the dispersed droplets retain their individuality. Furthermore, the droplets can be redispersed with mild agitation. More serious to the stability of an emulsion are the processes of aggregation and coalescence. In aggregation (flocculation) the dispersed droplets come together but do not fuse. Coalescence, the complete fusion of droplets, leads to a decrease in the number of droplets and the ultimate separation of the two immiscible phases. Aggregation precedes coalescence in emulsions; however, coalescence does not necessarily follow from aggregation. Aggregation is, to some extent, reversible. While not as serious as coalescence, it will accelerate creaming or sedimentation, since the aggregate behaves as a single drop.

While aggregation is related to the electrical potential on the droplets, coalescence depends on the structural properties of the interfacial film. In an emulsion stabilized with surfactant-type emulsifiers forming monomolecular films, coalescence is opposed by the elasticity and cohesiveness of the films sandwiched between the two droplets. In spite of the fact that two droplets may be touching, they will not fuse until the interposed films thin out and eventually rupture. Multilayer and solid-particle films confer on the emulsion a high degree of resistance to coalescence, due to their mechanical strength.

Particle-size analysis can reveal the tendency of an emulsion to aggregate and coalesce long before any visible signs of instability are apparent. The methods available have been reviewed by Groves and Freshwater.⁶⁹

Inversion—An emulsion is said to invert when it changes from an O/W to a W/O emulsion, or *vice versa*. Inversion sometimes can be brought about by the addition of an electrolyte or by changing the phase-volume ratio. For example, an O/W emulsion having sodium stearate as the emulsifier can be inverted by the addition of calcium chloride, because the calcium stearate formed is a lipophilic emulsifier and favors the formation of a W/O product.

Inversion often can be seen when an emulsion, prepared by heating and mixing the two phases, is being cooled. This takes place presumably because of the temperature-depen-

dent changes in the solubilities of the emulsifying agents. The phase inversion temperature, or PIT, of nonionic surfactants has been shown by Shinoda, *et al*⁷⁰ to be influenced by the HLB number of the surfactant. The higher the PIT value, the greater the resistance to inversion.

Apart from work on PIT values, little quantitative work

has been carried out on the process of inversion; nevertheless, it would appear that the effect can be minimized by using the proper emulsifying agent in an adequate concentration. Wherever possible, the volume of the dispersed phase should not exceed 50% of the total volume of the emulsion.

Bioavailability from Coarse Dispersions

In recent years, considerable interest has focused on the ability of a dosage form to release drug following administration to the patient. Both the rate and extent of release are important. Ideally, the extent of release should approach 100%, while the rate of release should reflect the desired properties of the dosage form. For example, with products designed to have a rapid onset of activity, the release of drug should be immediate. With a long-acting product, the release should take place over several hours, or days, depending on the type of product used. The rate and extent of drug release should be reproducible from batch to batch of the product, and should not change during shelf life.

The principles on which biopharmaceutics is based are dealt with in some detail in Chapters 35 to 37. While most published work in this area has been concerned with the bioavailability of solid dosage forms administered by the oral route, the rate and extent of release from both suspensions and emulsions is important and so will be considered in some detail.

Bioavailability from Suspensions—Suspensions of a drug may be expected to demonstrate improved bioavailability compared to the same drug formulated as a tablet or capsule. This is because the suspension already contains discrete drug particles, whereas tablet dosage forms must invariably undergo disintegration in order to maximize the necessary dissolution process. Frequently, antacid suspensions are perceived as being more rapid in action and therefore more effective than an equivalent dose in the form of tablets. Bates, *et al*⁷¹ observed that a suspension of salicylamide was more rapidly bioavailable, at least during the first hour following administration, than two different tablet forms of the drug; these workers were also able to demonstrate a correlation between the initial *in vitro* dissolution rates for the several dosage forms studied and the initial rates of *in vivo* absorption. A similar argument can be developed for hard gelatin capsules, where the shell must rupture or dissolve before drug particles are released and can begin the dissolution process. Such was observed by Antal, *et al*⁷² in a study of the bioavailability of several doxycycline products, including a suspension and hard gelatin capsules. Sansom, *et al*⁷³ found mean plasma phenytoin levels higher after the administration of a suspension than when an equivalent dose was given as either tablets or capsules. It was suggested that this might have been due to the suspension having a smaller particle size.

In common with other products in which the drug is present in the form of solid particles, the rate of dissolution and thus potentially the bioavailability of the drug in a suspension can be affected by such factors as particle size and shape, surface characteristics, and polymorphism. Strum, *et al*⁷⁴ conducted a comparative bioavailability study involving two commercial brands of sulfamethiazole suspension (Product A and Product B). Following administration of the products to 12 normal subjects and taking blood samples at predetermined times over a period of 10 hr, the workers found no statistically significant difference in the extent of drug absorption from the two suspensions. The absorption rate, however, differed, and from *in vitro* studies it was concluded that product A dissolved faster than product B and that the former contained more particles of

smaller size than the latter, differences that may be responsible for the more rapid dissolution of particles in product A. Product A also provided higher serum levels in *in vivo* tests half an hour after administration. The results showed that the rate of absorption of sulfamethiazole from a suspension depended on the rate of dissolution of the suspended particles, which in turn was related to particle size. Previous studies^{75,76} have shown the need to determine the dissolution rate of suspensions in order to gain information as to the bioavailability of drugs from this type of dosage form.

The viscosity of the vehicle used to suspend the particles has been found to have an effect on the rate of absorption of nitrofurantoin but not the total bioavailability. Thus Soci and Parrott were able to maintain a clinically acceptable urinary nitrofurantoin concentration for an additional two hours by increasing the viscosity of the vehicle.⁷⁷

Bioavailability from Emulsions—There are indications that improved bioavailability may result when a poorly absorbed drug is formulated as an orally administered emulsion. However, little study appears to have been made in direct comparison of emulsions and other dosage forms such as suspensions, tablets, and capsules; thus it is not possible to draw unequivocal conclusions as to advantages of emulsions. If a drug with low aqueous solubility can be formulated so as to be in solution in the oil phase of an emulsion, its bioavailability may be enhanced. It must be recognized, however, that the drug in such a system has several barriers to pass before it arrives at the mucosal surface of the gastrointestinal tract. For example, with an oil-in-water emulsion, the drug must diffuse through the oil globule and then pass across the oil/water interface. This may be a difficult process, depending on the characteristics of the interfacial film formed by the emulsifying agent. In spite of this potential drawback, Wagner, *et al*⁷⁸ found that indoxole, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent, was significantly more bioavailable in an oil-in-water emulsion than in either a suspension or a hard gelatin capsule. Bates and Sequeira⁷⁹ found significant increases in maximum plasma levels and total bioavailability of micronized griseofulvin when formulated in a corn oil/water emulsion. In this case, however, the enhanced effect was not due to emulsification of the drug in the oil phase *per se* but more probably because of the linoleic and oleic acids present having a specific effect on gastrointestinal motility.

References

1. Semat H: *Fundamentals of Physics*, 3rd ed, Holt-Rinehart-Winston, New York, 1957.
2. Michaels AS: *J Phys Chem* 65: 1730, 1961.
3. Zisman WA: *Adv Chem Ser* 43: 1, 1964.
4. Titoff Z: *J Phys Chem* 74: 641, 1970.
5. Lowell S: *Introduction to Power Surface Area*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1979.
6. Osipow LI: *Surface Chemistry Theory and Industrial Applications*, Reinhold, New York, 1962.
7. Langmuir I: *J Am Chem Soc* 39: 1848, 1917.
8. Giles CH: In EH Lucassen-Reynders, ed, *Anionic Surfactants*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981, Ch 4.
9. Weiser HB: *A Textbook of Colloid Chemistry*, Elsevier, New York, 1949.
10. Ter-Minassian-Saraga L: *Adv Chem Ser* 43: 232, 1964.
11. Schott H, Martin AN: In Dittert LW, ed, *American Pharmacy*, 7th ed, Lippincott, Philadelphia, Chap 6, 1974.

12. Shinoda K, Nakagawa T, Tamamushi BI, Isemura T: *Colloidal Surfactants*, Academic, New York, Chap 2, 1963.
13. Sjoblom L. In Shinoda K, ed, *Solvent Properties of Surfactant Solutions*, Marcel Dekker, New York, Chap 5, 1967.
14. Prince, LM: *Microemulsions—Theory and Practice*, Academic, New York 1977.
15. Shinoda K, Friberg S: *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 4: 281, 1975.
16. Friberg SE, Venable RV in Becher P, ed: *Encyclopedia of Emulsion Technology*, vol 1, Marcel Dekker, Chapt 4, New York, 1983.
17. Overbeek JThG: *Disc Faraday Soc* 65: 7, 1978.
18. Davis SS. In Bundgaard H, Hansen AB, Kofod H, eds: *In Optimization of Drug Delivery*, Alfred Benzon Symposium 17. Munksgaard, Copenhagen, 1982.
19. Fendler JH, Fendler EJ: *Catalysis in Micellar and Macromolecular Systems*, Academic, New York, 1975.
20. Mackay RA: *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 15, 131, 1981.
21. Kruyt HR: *Colloid Science*, vols I and II, Elsevier, Houston, 1952 and 1949.
22. Alexander AE, Johnson P: *Colloid Science*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1949.
23. Ross S, Morrison ID: *Colloidal Systems and Interfaces*, Wiley, New York, 1988.
24. Mysels KJ: *Introduction to Colloid Chemistry*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1959.
25. Shaw DJ: *Introduction to Colloid and Surface Chemistry*, 3rd ed, Butterworths, London, 1980.
26. Vold RD, Vold MJ: *Colloid and Interface Chemistry*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA., 1983.
27. Hiemenz PC: *Principles of Colloid and Surface Chemistry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1986.
28. von Weimarn PP. In Alexander J, ed: *Colloid Chemistry*, vol I, Chemical Catalog Co (Reinhold), New York, 1926. See also *Chem Rev* 2: 217, 1926.
29. Lachman L et al: *Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy*, 3rd ed, Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, 1986.
30. Tubis M, Wolf W, eds: *Radiopharmacy*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1976.
31. LaMer VK, Dinegar RH. *J Am Chem Soc* 72: 4847, 1950.
32. Matijevic E: *Acc Chem Res* 14: 22, 1981 and *Ann Rev Mater Sci* 15: 483, 1985.
33. Florence AT, Attwood D: *Physicochemical Principles of Pharmacy*, Chapman & Hall, New York, 1982.
34. Gutch CF, Stoner MH: *Review of Hemodialysis*, Mosby, St. Louis, 1975.
35. Schott H, Martin AN. In Dittert LW, ed: *American Pharmacy*, 7th ed, Lippincott, Philadelphia, 1974.
36. Parks GA: *Chem Rev* 65: 177, 1965.
37. Schott H: *J Pharm Sci* 66: 1548, 1977.
38. Sonntag H, Streng K: *Coagulation and Stability of Disperse Systems*, Halstead, New York, 1972.
39. Hough DB, Thompson L. In Schick MJ, ed: *Nonionic Surfactants-Physical Chemistry*, 2nd ed, Marcel Dekker, Chapt 11, New York, 1987.
40. Vincent B: *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 4: 193, 1974.
41. Hunter RJ: *Zeta Potential in Colloid Science*, Academic, New York, 1981.
42. Davies JT, Rideal EK: *Interfacial Phenomena*, 2nd ed, Academic, New York, 1963.
43. Bier M, ed: *Electrophoresis*, vols I and II, Academic, New York, 1959 and 1967.
44. Shaw DJ: *Electrophoresis*, Academic, New York, 1969.
45. Cawley LP: *Electrophoresis and Immuno-electrophoresis*, Little-Brown, Boston, 1969.
46. Catsimpoolas N, ed: *Isoelectric Focusing and Isotachophoresis*, *Ann NY Acad Sci* 209, June 15, 1973.
47. Morawetz H: *Macromolecules in Solution*, 2nd ed, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1975.
48. Veis A: *The Macromolecular Chemistry of Gelatin*, Academic, New York, 1964.
49. Ward AG, Courts A, eds: *The Science and Technology of Gelatin*, Academic, Chapt 6, New York, 1977.
50. Haines BA, Martin A: *J Pharm Sci* 50: 228, 753, 756, 1961.
51. Matthews BA, Rhodes CT: *J Pharm Pharmacol* 20 Suppl: 204S, 1968.
52. Matthews BA, Rhodes CT: *J Pharm Sci* 57: 569, 1968.
53. *Ibid* 59: 521, 1970.
54. Schneider W, et al: *Am J Pharm Ed* 42: 280, 1978.
55. Tingstad J et al: *J Pharm Sci* 62: 1361, 1973.
56. Davies JT: *Proc Intern Congr Surface Activity*, 2nd, London, 426, 1957.
57. Sherman P: In *Emulsion Science*, Chap 4, Academic, New York, 1968.
58. Rogers JA: *Cosmet Toiletries* 93: 49, July, 1978.
59. Griffin WC: *J Soc Cos Chem* 1: 311, 1949.
60. Griffin WC: *J Soc Cos Chem* 5: 249, 1954.
61. Davies JT, Rideal EK: *Interfacial Phenomena*, Chap 8, Academic, New York, 1961. Davies JT: *Proc Intern Congr Surface Activity*, 2nd, London, 426, 1957.
62. Schott J: *J Pharm Sci* 60: 649, 1971.
63. Garrett ER: *J Pharm Sci* 54: 1557, 1965.
64. Kitchener JA, Mussellwhite PR. In *Emulsion Science*, Academic, New York, Chap 2, 1968.
65. Wedderburn DL. In *Advances in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol 1, Academic, London, 195, 1964.
66. Burt BW: *J Soc Cosm Chem* 16: 465, 1965.
67. Swarbrick J: *Ibid* 19: 187, 1968.
68. Eccleston GM: *Cosmet Toiletries*: 101, 73, Nov 1986.
69. Groves MJ, Freshwater DC: *J Pharm Sci* 57: 1273, 1968.
70. Shinoda K, Kunieda H: In *Encyclopedia of Emulsion Technology*, Chap 5, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.
71. Bates TR et al: *J Pharm Sci* 58: 1468, 1969.
72. Antal EJ et al: *Ibid* 64: 2015, 1975.
73. Sansom LN et al: *Med J Aust* 1975(2): 593.
74. Strum JD et al: *J Pharm Sci* 67: 1659, 1978.
75. Bates TR et al: *Ibid* 62: 2057, 1973.
76. Howard SA et al: *Ibid* 66: 557, 1977.
77. Soci MM, Parrott EL: *Ibid* 69: 403, 1980.
78. Wagner JG et al: *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 7: 610, 1966.
79. Bates TR, Sequeira JA: *J Pharm Sci* 64: 793, 1975.

Bibliography

Interfacial Phenomena

- Adamson AW: *Physical Chemistry of Surfaces*, 4th ed, Interscience, New York, 1982.
- Davis JT, Rideal EK: *Interfacial Phenomena*, 2nd ed, Academic, New York, 1963.
- Hiemenz PC: *Principles of Colloid and Surface Chemistry*, 2nd ed, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1986.
- Shaw DJ: *Introduction to Colloid and Surface Chemistry*, Butterworths, London, 1980.
- Colloidal Dispersions**
- Particle Phenomena and Coarse Dispersions**
- Davis JT, Rideal EK: *Interfacial Phenomena*, Academic, New York, 1963.
- Osipow LI: *Surface Chemistry*, Reinhold, New York, 1962.
- Hiemenz PC: *Principles of Colloidal and Surface Chemistry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2nd ed, 1986.
- Matijevic E, ed: *Surface and Colloid Science*, vols 1-4, Wiley, New York, 1971.
- Cadle RD: *Particle Size*, Reinhold, New York, 1965.
- Parfitt G: *Dispersion of Powders in Liquids*, Applied Science, 1973.
- Adamson AW: *Physical Chemistry of Surfaces*, 4th ed, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1980.
- Fowkes FM, ed: *Hydrophobic Surfaces*, Academic, New York, 1969.
- Sherman P: *Rheology of Emulsions*, Macmillan, New York, 1963.
- Becher P: *Emulsions Theory and Practice*, 2nd ed, Reinhold, New York, 1965.
- Vold RD, Vold MJ, *Colloid and Interface Chemistry*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1983.
- Becher P: *Encyclopedia of Emulsion Technology*, Vols 1 to 3, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983-1988.