

Meta Platforms, Inc. v. Jawbone Innovations, LLC

IPR2023-01084 (U.S. Patent No. 8,321,213)

IPR2023-01128 (U.S. Patent No. 8,326,611)

Petitioner's Demonstratives
October 9, 2024



Overview of Instituted Grounds

Ground	Prior Art	'213 Patent Challenged Claims	'611 Patent Challenged Claims
1	Avendano, Visser	<u>14</u> -17, 35-36, 39- <u>42</u>	<u>1</u> -2, 5-7, 25-28
2	Avendano, Visser, Bisgaard	18-26, 33, 34	8-16, 23-24
3	Avendano, Visser, Bisgaard, Hou	27-29	17-19
4	Avendano, Visser, Bisgaard, Hou, and Frequency Art	30-32	20-22

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” includes a “delay”

Prior Art



- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Challenged Patents

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

'213 Patent
Filed: Oct. 26, 2009
Issued: Nov. 27, 2012



DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Challenged Patents – '213 Patent

14. A device comprising:

a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
and
a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.

42. A device comprising:

a headset including at least one loudspeaker, wherein the headset attaches to a region of a human head;
a microphone array connected to the headset, the microphone array including a first physical microphone outputting a first signal and a second physical microphone outputting a second signal; and
a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.

'213 Patent, cl. 14, 42.

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Challenged Patents – '611 Patent



1. A method comprising:
forming a first virtual microphone by combining a first signal of a first physical microphone and a second signal of a second physical microphone;
forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone;
forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal;
generating an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone; and
detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when the energy ratio is greater than a threshold value.

'611 Patent, cl. 1.

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

7

Challenged Patents – Claimed Embodiments




'213 and '611 Patents at Figs. 3, 4 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5; 1128 Pet. 5-6; 1084 Ex. 1003 ¶¶46-47; 1128 Ex. 1003 ¶¶46-47

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Challenged Patents

14. A device comprising:
 a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
 a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
 and
 a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.



'213 and '611 Patents at Fig. 3 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5; 1128 Pet. 5-6; 1084 Ex. 1003 ¶47; 1128 Ex. 1003 ¶47; 1084 Reply 1, 9-12; 1128 Reply 1, 9-12

'213 Patent, cl. 14; *see also* '213 Patent, cl. 42, '611 Patent, cl. 1

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

10

Claim Construction

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

11

Challenged Patent

Disputed claim term: “a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone”

Meta’s Construction	PO’s Previous Construction	PO’s Current Construction
Plain and ordinary meaning	“A filter that alters the magnitude of a signal based on the relative responses to speech of the first and second physical microphones”	“A filter that alters a signal based on the non-temporal components of relative responses to speech of the first and second physical microphones”

***Main dispute is whether the claimed “filter” can be a delay**

Claim Construction


Claimed “filter” includes a delay for 3 independent reasons

1. Dependent claims confirm “filter” includes a delay
2. Even under PO’s construction, the β “filter” simplifies a delay
3. No disavowal of the patent’s “delay filter”
4. PO’s construction is unsupported

“Filter” includes a Delay

Dependent claims confirm “filter” includes a delay

14. A device comprising:
 a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
 a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
 and
 a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.



'213 and '611 Patents at Figs. 3, 4 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5;
 1128 Pet. 5-6; 1084 Reply 1, 9-12; 1128 Reply 1, 9-12

15. The device of claim 14, comprising applying a calibration to at least one of the first signal and the second signal.

16. The device of claim 15, wherein the calibration compensates a second response of the second physical microphone so that the second response is equivalent to a first response of the first physical microphone.

17. The device of claim 15, comprising applying a delay to the first intermediate signal.


18. The device of claim 17, wherein the delay is proportional to a time difference between arrival of the speech at the second physical microphone and arrival of the speech at the first physical microphone.

'213 Patent, cl. 14-18; see '611 Patent, cl. 1, 5-8
 1084 Reply 14-15; 1128 Reply 14-15.

“Filter” includes a Delay

Dependent claims confirm “filter” includes a delay

14. A device comprising:
a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
and
a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.



'213 and '611 Patents at Figs. 3, 4 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5;
1128 Pet. 5-6; 1084 Reply 1, 9-12; 1128 Reply 1, 9-12

19. The device of claim 18, wherein the forming of the first virtual microphone comprises applying the filter to the second signal.

20. The device of claim 19, wherein the forming of the first virtual microphone comprises applying the calibration to the second signal.

21. The device of claim 20, wherein the forming of the first virtual microphone comprises applying the delay to the first signal.

22. The device of claim 21, wherein the forming of the first virtual microphone by the combining comprises subtracting the second signal from the first signal.


23. The device of claim 22, wherein the filter is an adaptive filter.

'213 Patent, cl. 14, 19-23; see '611 Patent, cl. 1, 9-13;
1084 Reply 14-15; 1128 Reply 14-15.

“Filter” includes a Delay

Dependent claims confirm “filter” includes a delay

14. A device comprising:
 a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
 a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
 and
 a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.



'213 and '611 Patents at Figs. 3, 4 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5;
 1128 Pet. 5-6; 1084 Reply 1, 9-12; 1128 Reply 1, 9-12

25. The device of claim 23, wherein the adapting comprises applying a least-mean squares process.

26. The device of claim 23, comprising generating coefficients of the filter during a period when only speech is being received by the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone.

27. The device of claim 23, wherein the forming of the filter comprises:

- generating a first quantity by applying a calibration to the second signal;
- generating a second quantity by applying the delay to the first signal;
- forming the filter as a ratio of the first quantity to the second quantity.

'213 Patent, cl. 14, 25-27, see '611 Patent, cl. 1, 15-17;
 1084 Reply 14-15; 1128 Reply 14-15.

“Filter” includes a Delay

Even under PO’s construction, the β “filter” simplifies a delay

35. Ideally the microphones O_1 and O_2 are assumed to be calibrated so that “their response to a source located the same distance away was identical for both amplitude and phase.” Ex 1001, 28:65-67. However, this is not always feasible in practice and the calibration filter $\alpha(z)$ can be determined using adaptive algorithms, for example, so that in the presence of speech only signals (with no or low levels of noise) the microphone signals O_1 and O_2 satisfy the relationship $O_1(z) = \alpha(z) O_2(z)$. Ex. 1001 28:64-29:31.

36. After the calibration filter $\alpha(z)$ is determined, the filter $\beta(z)$ can be determined, either from the geometry of microphones relative to the target speech source, or, in the claimed embodiments, by using an adaptive filter algorithm to satisfy the relationship:

$$\tilde{\beta}(z) = \frac{\alpha(z)O_2(z)}{z^{-\gamma}O_1(z)}$$

Ex. 1001 5:53-6:11.

$$\tilde{\beta}(z) = \frac{\alpha(z)O_2(z)}{z^{-\gamma}O_1(z)}$$

$$= \frac{O_1(z)}{z^{-\gamma}O_1(z)}$$

$$= \frac{O_1(z)}{z^{-\gamma}O_1(z)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^{-\gamma}} = z^{\gamma}$$

- Begin with the second equation for the β filter;
- Based on the first equation, substitute $O_1(z)$ for the numerator;
- $O_1(z)$ appears in both the numerator and denominator, and therefore cancels out; and
- The β filter simplifies to a mere delay.

1084 Reply 4-6; 1128 Reply 4-6

1084 Ex. 2005, Sayeed Decl. ¶¶35-36 (citing ’213 Patent 28:64-29:31, 5:53-6:11); *see also* 1128 Ex. 2005, Sayeed Decl. ¶¶35-36 (citing ’611 Patent 28:61-29:27; 6:1-11)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

“Filter” includes a Delay

No disavowal of the patent’s “delay filter”

The NAVSAD and PSAD systems include an array algorithm for speech detection **that uses the difference in frequency content between two microphones to calculate a relationship between the signals of the two microphones.** This is in contrast to conventional arrays that attempt to use the time/phase difference of each microphone to remove the noise outside of an “area of sensitivity”. *The methods described herein provide a significant advantage, as they do not require a specific orientation of the array with respect to the signal.*

’213 Patent, 11:20-29 (cited in 1084 POR 15) (emphasis added by PO);
see also 1128 POR 15 (citing ’611 Patent 11:18-27)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

18

“Filter” includes a Delay

No disavowal of the patent’s “delay filter”

"To disavow claim scope, the specification must contain 'expressions of manifest exclusion or restriction, representing a clear disavowal of claim scope.'"

Cont'l Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp., 915 F.3d 788, 797 (Fed. Cir. 2019)

“Filter” includes a Delay

No disavowal of the patent’s “delay filter”

“[A] patentee will only be bound to a ‘disavowal [that was] both clear and unmistakable.’ Thus, where ‘the alleged disavowal is ambiguous, or even amendable to multiple reasonable interpretations, we have declined to find prosecution disclaimer.”

CUPP Computing AS v. Trend Micro Inc., 53 F.4th 1376, 1382 (Fed. Cir. 2022) (citations omitted)

“Filter” includes a Delay


No disavowal of the patent’s “delay filter”

“Disavowal...is an exacting standard under which it must be established that the patentee demonstrated an intent to deviate from the ordinary and accustomed meaning of a claim term through expressions of manifest exclusion or restriction, representing a clear disavowal of claim scope.”

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., 902 F.3d 1372, 1378-79 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (internal quotes and citations omitted)

“Filter” includes a Delay

No disavowal of the patent’s “delay filter”



'213 and '611 Patents at Fig. 3 (annotated); see 1084 Pet. 5; 1128 Pet. 5-6; 1084 Ex. 1003 ¶47; 1128 Ex. 1003 ¶47

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

“Filter” includes a Delay

PO’s construction is unsupported

PO’s Current Construction

“A filter that alters a signal based on the non-temporal components of relative responses to speech of the first and second physical microphones”

“Instead of looking to the words themselves, [patent owner] added language without support from the specification or prosecution history, altering otherwise unambiguous claim language, a practice this court has repeatedly rejected.”

Source Vagabond Sys. V. Hydrapak, Inc., 753 F.3d 1291, 1299-300 (Fed. Cir. 2014)

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE



24

Asserted Prior Art

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

25

Avendano Overview



Avendano Overview





FIG. 6

Avendano Ex. 1005, Fig. 6 (annotated);
1084 Pet. 9; 1128 Pet. 10

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

27

Avendano Overview




Avendano Ex. 1005, Fig. 4a (annotated);
1084 Pet. 10; 1128 Pet. 11.

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

28

Avendano Overview



$$ILD(t, \omega) = \frac{\int |C_f(r', \omega)|^2 dr'}{\int_{frame} |C_b(r', \omega)|^2 dr'}$$


← Energy level of C_f
← Energy level of C_b

Ex. 1005, 6:16

$$\lambda_1(t, w) = \begin{cases} \approx 0 & \text{if } ILD(t, w) < threshold \\ \approx 1 & \text{if } ILD(t, w) > threshold \end{cases}$$

Ex. 1005, 6:61

Visser Overview




Visser Ex. 1006, Fig. 1 (annotated);
1084 Pet. 12; 1128 Pet. 14

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

30

Visser Overview



Visser Ex. 1006, Fig. 10 (annotated);
1084 Pet. 14; 1128 Pet. 15

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

31

Avendano + Visser Overview





FIG. 4a

Avendano + Visser + Bisgaard Overview




Bisgaard Ex. 1010, Fig. 2 (annotated);
1084 Pet. 42; 1128 Pet. 42

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

33

Avendano + Visser + Bisgaard + Hou Overview



Hou Ex. 1008, Fig. 5 (annotated);
1084 Pet. 58; 1128 Pet. 63

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

34

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art


- Independent Claims
 - **Avendano meets the claimed “filter”**
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

35

Avendano Meets The Claimed “Filter” (Ind. Claims)

No dispute that under the plain and ordinary meaning of “filter,” Avendano’s delay node 402 meets the claimed “filter.”



Avendano Ex. 1005, Fig. 4a (annotated);
1084 Pet. 10; 1084 Pet. 11; 1084 Ex. 1003 ¶¶60; 1128 Ex. 1003 ¶¶60

1084 Reply 15-16; 1128 Reply 15-16; *see* 1084 Pet. 25-27; 1128 Pet. 26-27; 1084 Ex. 1003 ¶¶137-142; 1128 Ex. 1003 ¶¶133-138

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE


36

Avendano Meets The Claimed “Filter” (Ind. Claims)

Even under PO’s construction, Avendano’s gain “g” meets the claimed “filter,” when the β filter is a simple gain. PO concedes Avendano’s gain “is simply a gain that matches signal strengths.”

$$\beta(z) = \frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{d_1}{d_1 + d}$$

'213 Patent, 5:52-59; '611 Patent, 5:52-59;
1084 POR 17; 1128 POR 17



Avendano Ex. 1005, Fig. 4a (annotated);
1084 Pet. 10; 1084 Pet. 11; 1084 Ex. 1003 ¶60; 1128 Ex. 1003 ¶60

1084 Reply 15; 1084 POR 26;
1128 Reply 15; 1128 POR 26

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

37

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - **Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”**
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)


DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

38

Avendano and Visser Render Obvious The Claimed “Filter” (Ind. Claims)

No “numerous errors” as PO alleges, because combination relies on Visser’s cross filters, which are adapted using:

- Visser’s VAD; or
- Avendano’s VAD



Avendano Ex. 1005 Fig. 4a (modified and annotated);
1084 Pet. 20; 1128 Pet. 22; see 1084 POR 26; 1128 POR 26

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Avendano and Visser Render Obvious The Claimed “Filter” (Ind. Claims)

- Adapt Visser’s cross filters using Visser’s VAD

Visser determines the presence of speech using principles similar to those taught by Avendano. For example, Visser’s “VAD 106” determines the presence of speech based on two processed signals, “with one of the signals defined to hold a stronger speech signal.” Section III(B); Ex. 1006, 8:33-35. Specifically, Visser’s processed signals include (i) a “speech channel” that “contains predominantly desired signals,” and (ii) a “noise channel” that “contains predominantly noise signals” (e.g., generated by “ICA or BSS processing”).

Ex. 1006, 17:36-44.

Accordingly, a POSITA would have been motivated to incorporate Visser’s “ICA process” into Avendano’s system to further enhance the differences in speech content in Avendano’s two processed signals, such that speech is detected with a greater degree of accuracy, “even in a changing acoustic environment.” Ex. 1003, ¶112.

Avendano and Visser Render Obvious The Claimed “Filter” (Ind. Claims)

- Adapt Visser’s cross filters using Avendano’s VAD

For example, Avendano determines the presence of speech based on a comparison between (i) a first processed signal (“cardioid primary signal (C_f)” directed to the front) and (ii) a second processed signal (“cardioid secondary signal (C_b)” directed to the back). Section III(A); Ex. 1005, 4:47-7:9. This comparison is particularly suitable for determining presence of speech, as the source of speech (“audio source 102”) is positioned on a front side of “audio device 104,” and thus “cardioid primary signal (C_f)” would have a greater response to speech than “cardioid secondary signal (C_b).” Ex. 1003, ¶¶105-106. Specifically, as taught by Avendano, the differences in the “levels” between the two processed signals is represented by a ratio (ILD) between energy levels of these two processed signals. Ex. 1005, 5:49-6:34, 6:58-7:3. If the ratio is sufficiently high (*e.g.*, when the energy level of front-facing “cardioid primary signal C_f” is sufficiently higher than that of back-facing “cardioid secondary signal C_b”), this is indicative of a presence of speech activity. *Id.*, 6:58-7:3.

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - **Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)**
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE


42

Avendano Applies a Calibration (Dep. Cl. 15 of 213; Dep. Cl. 5 of 611)

14. A device comprising:

a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
 a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
 and
 a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.

15. The device of claim 14, comprising applying a calibration to at least one of the first signal and the second signal.



Avendano Ex. 1005, Fig. 4a (annotated);
 1084 Pet. 35-36; 1128 Pet. 37

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - **Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)**
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

44


Avendano Performs Compensation (Dep. Cl. 16 of 213; Dep. Cl. 6 of 611)

14. A device comprising:

a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
and
a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.

15. The device of claim 14, comprising applying a calibration to at least one of the first signal and the second signal.

16. The device of claim 15, wherein the calibration compensates a second response of the second physical microphone so that the second response is equivalent to a first response of the first physical microphone.



Avendano Ex. 1005, Fig. 4a (annotated);
1084 Pet. 35-36; 1128 Pet. 37

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - **Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)**
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE


46

Avendano Applies a Delay (Dep. Cl. 17 of 213; Dep. Cl. 7 of 611)

14. A device comprising:
a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
and
a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.

15. The device of claim 14, comprising applying a calibration to at least one of the first signal and the second signal.

17. The device of claim 15, comprising applying a delay to the first intermediate signal.



'213 and '611 Patents Fig. 3 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5; 1128 Pet. 6; 1084 Reply 1, 9-12; 1128 Reply 1, 9-12

Avendano Applies a Delay (Dep. Cl. 17 of 213; Dep. Cl. 7 of 611)




FIG.3

Ex. 1001, FIG. 3

'213 and '611 Patents Fig. 3 (annotated);
1084 Pet. 5; 1128 Pet. 6




FIG. 4a


DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Avendano Ex. 1005 Fig. 4a (annotated);
1084 Pet. 36; 1128 Pet. 38

Avendano + Visser Applies a Delay (Dep. Cl. 17 of 213; Dep. Cl. 7 of 611)

In a most simplified form, the cross filters W_{21} and W_{12} are gain factors with only one filter coefficient per filter, for example a delay gain factor for the time delay between the output signal and the feedback input signal and an amplitude gain factor for amplifying the input signal. In other forms, the

Visser Ex. 1006, 17:58-62; 1084 Pet. 36-37; 1128 Pet. 38; 1084 Reply 20-21; 1128 Reply 20-21



Avendano Ex. 1005 Fig. 4a (modified and annotated); 1084 Pet. 37; 1128 Pet. 39

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

49

Avendano + Visser Applies a Delay (Dep. Cl. 17 of 213; Dep. Cl. 7 of 611)

2 Q Do the claims encompass a linear filter?
3 MR. COWELL: Objection to form.
4 THE WITNESS: I think a filter is a linear -- I
5 mean, the way the filters are being -- the context of the
6 filter is in this patent, yes, they -- these are linear
7 filters or linear systems, yes.

Avendano + Visser Applies a Delay (Dep. Cl. 17 of 213; Dep. Cl. 7 of 611)

22 How are the coefficients of beta used to apply
23 to a signal when the filter is applied to a signal?
24 MR. COWELL: Objection to form.
25 THE WITNESS: Well, again, that could be -- a
1 filter can be implemented in many different ways. So --
2 and so, you know, it's -- so, you know, that could be a
3 really long answer, and I don't know if it's really --
4 BY MS. WANG:
5 Q Okay.
6 A -- relevant here. But, you know, I think it
7 could be applied in the frequency domain by just
8 multiplying the 4EA transform of the signal with the
9 frequency response of the filter, or it could be done in
10 the time domain, as a POSITA would understand, by, you
11 know, convolving -- that's a technical term -- of the
12 input signal with the filter impulse response.

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - **Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)**
 - Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)



DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

52

Avendano + Visser Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)

14. A device comprising:
 a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
 a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
 and
 a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.

19. The device of claim 18, wherein the forming of the first virtual microphone comprises applying the filter to the second signal.



'213 Patent, cl. 14, 19; see '611 Patent, cl. 1, 9.


DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

'213 and '611 Patents Figs. 3, 4 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5;
 1128 Pet. 6; 1084 Reply 1, 9-12; 1128 Reply 1, 9-12

53

Avendano + Visser Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)


In view of the teachings in the '213 and '611 patents, Visser's cross filters meet the claimed "filter".



Avendano Ex. 1005 Fig. 4a (modified and annotated);
1084 Pet. 47; 1128 Pet. 48

Avendano + Visser Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)

Visser's cross filter w_{12} also meets the claimed "filter" because it is applied to both microphone signals.



Avendano Ex. 1005 Fig. 4a (modified and annotated);
1084 Pet. 47; 1128 Pet. 48

Overview

Claim Construction

- Claimed “filter” can be a “delay”

Prior Art

- Independent Claims
 - Avendano meets the claimed “filter”
 - Avendano + Visser render obvious the claimed “filter”
- Dependent Claims
 - Prior Art Applies a Calibration (Dep. Claim 15 of 213, Dep. Claim 5 of 611)
 - Prior Art Performs Compensation (Dep. Claim 16 of 213, Dep. Claim 6 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies a Delay (Dep. Claim 17 of 213, Dep. Claim 7 of 611)
 - Prior Art Applies Filter To Both Signals (Dep. Claim 19 of 213, Dep. Claim 9 of 611)
 - **Prior Art Applies the Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)**


DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

56

Avendano + Visser + Bisgaard + Hou Applies Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

14. A device comprising:
 a first physical microphone generating a first signal;
 a second physical microphone generating a second signal;
 and
 a processing component coupled to the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a first virtual microphone, the processing component forming a filter that describes a relationship for speech between the first physical microphone and the second physical microphone, the processing component forming a second virtual microphone by applying the filter to the first signal to generate a first intermediate signal, and summing the first intermediate signal and the second signal, the processing component detecting acoustic voice activity of a speaker when an energy ratio of energies of the first virtual microphone and the second virtual microphone is greater than a threshold value.

'213 Patent, cl. 14, 27; see '611 Patent, cl. 1, 17.





'213 and '611 Patents Fig. 3 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 5;
 1128 Pet. 6; 1084 Reply 1, 9-12; 1128 Reply 1, 9-12

27. The device of claim 23, wherein the forming of the filter comprises:
 generating a first quantity by applying a calibration to the second signal;
 generating a second quantity by applying the delay to the first signal;
 forming the filter as a ratio of the first quantity to the second quantity.

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

57

Avendano + Visser + Bisgaard + Hou Applies Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)



Avendano + Visser + Bisgaard + Hou Applies Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)


27. The device of claim 23, wherein the forming of the filter comprises:

generating a first quantity by applying a calibration to the second signal;

generating a second quantity by applying the delay to the first signal;

forming the filter as a ratio of the first quantity to the second quantity.

'213 Patent, cl. 27; *see* '611 Patent, cl. 17



Hou Ex. 1008, Fig. 5 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 63; 1128 Pet. 66


DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

59

Avendano + Visser + Bisgaard + Hou Applies Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

27. The device of claim 23, wherein the forming of the filter comprises:
generating a first quantity by applying a calibration to the second signal;
generating a second quantity by applying the delay to the first signal;
forming the filter as a ratio of the first quantity to the second quantity.

'213 Patent, cl. 27; *see* '611 Patent, cl. 17




Hou Fig. 5 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 64 ; 1128 Pet. 67

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE

Avendano + Visser + Bisgaard + Hou Applies Calibration (Dep. Claim 27 of 213, Dep. Claim 17 of 611)

27. The device of claim 23, wherein the forming of the filter comprises:
generating a first quantity by applying a calibration to the second signal;
generating a second quantity by applying the delay to the first signal;
forming the filter as a ratio of the first quantity to the second quantity.

'213 Patent, cl. 27; see '611 Patent, cl. 17



Hou Fig. 5 (annotated); 1084 Pet. 65; 1128 Pet. 68

DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE