

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

JOHNSON HEALTH TECH CO., LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01163 (Patent 10,032,227 B2)
IPR2023-00164 (Patent 10,796,375 B2)
IPR2023-00165 (Patent 11,227,340 B2)¹

Before JAMES P. CALVE, BART A. GERSTENBLITH, and
JULIET MITCHELL DIRBA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DIRBA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Conditionally Granting Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
of Michael R. Laing
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order addresses issues that are the same in each of the above-identified proceedings, so we issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading.

IPR2023-01163 (Patent 10,032,227 B2)
IPR2023-00164 (Patent 10,796,375 B2)
IPR2023-00165 (Patent 11,227,340 B2)

On December 6, 2023, Patent Owner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Michael R. Laing in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 11 (“Motion”).² Patent Owner also submitted a declaration from Mr. Laing in support of the Motion. Ex. 2002 (“Declaration”). Petitioner did not oppose. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motion is *conditionally granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* Paper 6 (Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response), 2 (citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”)).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Laing has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission

² For purposes of expediency, we cite to documents filed in IPR2023-01163. Patent Owner filed similar papers and exhibits in IPR2023-01164 and IPR2023-01165.

IPR2023-01163 (Patent 10,032,227 B2)
IPR2023-00164 (Patent 10,796,375 B2)
IPR2023-00165 (Patent 11,227,340 B2)

pro hac vice. See Ex. 2002. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Laing. Mr. Laing will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

However, Mr. Liang is not identified in either Patent Owner's Power of Attorney or its Mandatory Notices. See Papers 3, 4. Accordingly, Patent Owner must file a Power of Attorney including Mr. Laing in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b), and Patent Owner must file Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Laing as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Michael R. Laing is *conditionally granted*, provided that (i) Patent Owner files a Power of Attorney for Mr. Laing in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) and within ten (10) business days of the date of this Order and (ii) Patent Owner files an updated mandatory notice identifying Mr. Laing as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Laing is only authorized to act as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Laing is to comply with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³ (84 Fed. Reg.

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2023-01163 (Patent 10,032,227 B2)

IPR2023-00164 (Patent 10,796,375 B2)

IPR2023-00165 (Patent 11,227,340 B2)

64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Laing is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2023-01163 (Patent 10,032,227 B2)
IPR2023-00164 (Patent 10,796,375 B2)
IPR2023-00165 (Patent 11,227,340 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Babak Tehranchi
Michelle Kemp
R. Tyler Kendrick
PERKINS COIE LLP
Tehranchi-ptab@perkinscoie.com
Kemp-ptab@perkinscoie.com
Kendrick-ptab@perkinscoie.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Kevin P. Moran
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP
kpmoran@michaelbest.com