

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

Johnson Health Tech Co., Ltd., Johnson Health Tech North America, Inc., Plaintiffs, vs. Peloton Interactive, Inc., Defendant.	Case No. 3:22-cv-606-jdp
--	---------------------------------

**DEFENDANT’S *SOTERA WIRELESS* STIPULATION REGARDING
INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS**

Defendant Peloton Interactive, Inc. (“Peloton”) submits this stipulation regarding invalidity contentions. On November 21, 2023, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) instituted *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of U.S. Patent No. 10,032,227, (“’227 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 10,796,375 (“’375 patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 11,227,340 (“’340 patent”).

On August 2, 2023, Peloton filed IPR2023-01163 with the PTAB requesting *inter partes* review of the ’227 patent. Petition IPR2023-01163 asserts the following six grounds of unpatentability with respect to the ’227 patent:

IPR Ground	Claims	Grounds of Unpatentability
1	1-9, 12, 14-20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2010/0160115 (“Morris”) and U.S. Patent No. 9,785,827 (“Ray”)
2	10-11, 13, 20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Morris, Ray, and U.S. Patent No. 7,736,273 (“Cox”)
3	1-6, 14-20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ray and Applicant Admitted Prior Art (“AAPA”)
4	10-11, 20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ray, AAPA, and Cox
5	7-9, 12	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ray, AAPA, and U.S. Patent No. 8,574,131 (“Daly”)

IPR Ground	Claims	Grounds of Unpatentability
6	13	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ray, AAPA, Daly, and Cox

Peloton hereby stipulates that Peloton will not argue in this case that the claims of the '227 patent are invalid in view of the grounds Peloton either raised or could reasonably have raised in IPR2023-01163.

On August 2, 2023, Peloton filed IPR2023-01164 with the PTAB requesting *inter partes* review of the '375 patent. Petition IPR2023-01164 asserts the following four grounds of unpatentability with respect to the '375 patent:

IPR Ground	Claims	Grounds of Unpatentability
1	1-7, 10-20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Morris and Ray
2	8-9, 18	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Morris, Ray, and Cox
3	1-3, 6-7, 10-16, 19-20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ray and AAPA
4	8-9, 18	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ray, AAPA, and Cox

Peloton hereby stipulates that Peloton will not argue in this case that the claims of the '375 patent are invalid in view of the grounds Peloton either raised or could reasonably have raised in IPR2023-01164.

On August 2, 2023, Peloton filed IPR2023-01165 with the PTAB requesting *inter partes* review of the '340 patent. Petition IPR2023-01165 asserts the following three grounds of unpatentability with respect to the '340 patent:

IPR Ground	Claims	Grounds of Unpatentability
1	1-20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Morris and Ray

IPR Ground	Claims	Grounds of Unpatentability
2	1-17, 19-20	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ray and AAPA
3	1, 4-6, 8-9, 12	Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2009/0176629 (“Yi”)

Peloton hereby stipulates that Peloton will not argue in this case that the claims of the ’340 patent are invalid in view of the grounds Peloton either raised or could reasonably have raised in IPR2023-01165.

DATED: May 23, 2024

s/Christopher G. Hanewicz

Christopher G. Hanewicz
 CHanewicz@perkinscoie.com
 Michelle M. Kemp
 MKemp@perkinscoie.com
 Gabrielle E. Bina
 GBina@perkinscoie.com
 Kaitlin Dryden
 KDryden@perkinscoie.com
 PERKINS COIE LLP
 33 E Main St, Suite 201
 Madison, Wisconsin 53703-3095
 Telephone: 608.663.7460
 Facsimile: 608.663.7499

Ashlee E. Sherman (*pro hac vice*)
 ASherman@perkinscoie.com
 PERKINS COIE LLP
 11452 El Camino Real, Suite 300
 San Diego, California 92130-2080
 Telephone: 858.720.5700
 Facsimile: 858.720.5799

R. Tyler Kendrick (*pro hac vice*)
 RKendrick@perkinscoie.com
 PERKINS COIE LLP
 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
 Seattle, WA 98101
 Telephone: 206.359.8000

*Attorneys for Defendant
 Peloton Interactive, Inc.*