

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3

4
5 _____
6 GOOGLE LLC,
7 Petitioner

8 v.

9 GEOSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES PTE. LTD.
10 Patent Owner
11 _____

12 Case No. IPR2023-01209

13 Patent 7,561,104

14 Case No. IPR2023-01210

15 Patent 8,400,358

16 Case No. IPR2023-01211

17 Patent 8,786,494
18 _____

19 DEPOSITION OF MARK A. STURZA
20 TAKEN REMOTELY BY VIDEOCONFERENCE

21 August 27, 2024
22

23
24 Reported by: Mary Ann Payonk
25 Job No. 1578

GOOGLE EXHIBIT 1031
Google v. Geoscope
IPR2023-01210

August 27, 2024

8:50 a.m. Pacific

DEPOSITION OF MARK A. STURZA, reported remotely by
videoconference pursuant to Notice and agreement of
counsel, stenographically reported by Mary Ann Payonk,
Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, CA CSR No. 13431.

All attorneys participating in this deposition
acknowledge that the reporter is not physically present
in the deposition room and there is no objection to the
oath being administered remotely.

1 APPEARANCES:

2 ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

3 ROGER H. LEE, ESQ.

4 SAMUEL C. HARROD, ESQ.

5 PATRICK C. KEANE, ESQ.

6 MATTHEW L. FEDOWITZ, ESQ.

7 BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

8 1737 King Street, Suite 500

9 Alexandria, Virginia 22314

11 ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

12 STEPHEN McBRIDE, ESQ.

13 CARMICHAEL IP, PLLC

14 8607 Westwood Center Drive, Suite 270

15 Tysons, VA 22182

1 THE REPORTER: Before I place the witness
2 under oath, let me give you my California license
3 number, which is 13431. Now I'll swear the witness.

4 MARK ALAN STURZA,

5 called as a witness, having been duly
6 sworn, was examined and testified as
7 follows:

8 EXAMINATION

9 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

10 Q. Good morning, Mr. Sturza. How are you today?

11 A. Good morning. Doing well, thank you.

12 Q. Can you state your full name for the record,
13 please.

14 A. Mark Alan Sturza.

15 Q. Mr. Sturza, my name is Roger Lee, and I
16 represent the petitioner in these IPR proceedings. For
17 the record, we are conducting a deposition for three IPR
18 proceedings today. The proceedings are IPR2023-01209,
19 IPR2023-01210, and IPR2023-01211.

20 And you are testifying in these three
21 proceedings today. Do you understand that?

22 A. Yes, I do.

23 Q. I'm going to be asking you questions
24 throughout the day concerning these IPR proceedings.

25 Do you understand that that's why you're here

1 today?

2 A. Yes, I do.

3 Q. I'll first go over some ground rules.

4 Do you understand that you're providing your
5 testimony under oath and you are expected to tell the
6 truth?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. And is there any reason why you would not be
9 able to provide complete and accurate testimony today?

10 A. No, there's no reason.

11 Q. Have you taken any medication that might
12 impair your ability to provide complete and accurate
13 testimony today?

14 A. No, I've not.

15 Q. If I ask you a question that is unclear or
16 that you don't understand, I would ask that you ask me
17 to clarify the question and not your counsel.

18 Do you understand that?

19 A. Yes, I understand that.

20 Q. If you do not tell me otherwise, I will assume
21 that you understand the question.

22 Do you understand that?

23 A. Yes, that's fair.

24 Q. Since the questions and answers are being
25 typed by the court reporter, I would ask that you

1 audibly answer every question. So a nod of the head is
2 not sufficient. We would need a "yes" in that case.

3 Do you understand?

4 A. Yes, I understand that.

5 Q. We can take breaks at any time that you would
6 like so long as there is not a question that is pending.

7 Do you understand that?

8 A. Yes, I understand that.

9 Q. Mr. Sturza, did you prepare for your
10 cross-examination today?

11 A. Yes, I did prepare.

12 Q. Did you look at any documents in preparing for
13 your cross-examination today?

14 A. Yes. I reviewed the declarations in this
15 matter. I refreshed my memory on the patents and some
16 of the other documents.

17 Q. Okay. So you reviewed the declarations in
18 this case, your declarations?

19 A. My declarations, yes.

20 Q. And you reviewed the patents.

21 Is that correct?

22 A. Yes, I, you know, just refreshed my memory on
23 the patents.

24 Q. Were there any other documents that you
25 reviewed in preparation for your cross-examination

1 today?

2 A. I -- I did look at a variety of the documents
3 that are referenced in my declaration. I looked at the
4 Markman order from the district court that's referenced
5 in my declarations. I looked at some others. I don't
6 remember specifically which ones.

7 Q. Do you know if all the documents that you
8 reviewed today are exhibits cited in your declaration?

9 A. Yes. All the documents I reviewed are cited
10 in the declaration as well as, of course, the
11 declaration itself.

12 Q. Did you review any documents in your
13 preparation for your cross-examination today that are
14 not cited in your declaration?

15 A. Not that I'm aware of.

16 Q. Did you speak to anybody in preparing for your
17 cross-examination today?

18 A. Yes. I spoke with Mr. McBride.

19 Q. Did you speak with anybody else?

20 A. No, I did not.

21 Q. Do you know how much time you spent preparing
22 for your cross-examination today?

23 A. I don't have a -- I'd have to check my time
24 records for an exact answer.

25 Q. Do you have a rough idea?

1 A. It would be tens of hours. Perhaps, you know,
2 10 or 20, something like that, between the four
3 declarations.

4 Q. When did you start preparing for your
5 cross-examination today?

6 A. Well, a few -- I started preparing a few weeks
7 ago. I don't remember the specific date.

8 Q. Did you spend more than 100 hours in preparing
9 for your cross-examination today?

10 A. No, I don't believe that I spent more than 100
11 hours.

12 Q. Did you spend more than 50 hours?

13 A. Again, I would have to check my time records,
14 but I don't believe it was that many.

15 Q. Are you being compensated for your testimony?

16 A. Yes, I am being compensated.

17 Q. And what is your rate?

18 A. My rate's \$500 an hour.

19 Q. Do you know who is paying for your
20 compensation?

21 A. Ultimately, I believe it's Geoscope. And I'm
22 being paid through a law firm.

23 Q. And do you know how much you've billed to date
24 in connection with these proceedings?

25 A. No. I would have to check my billing records.

1 Q. Do you have a rough idea?

2 A. No, I don't.

3 Q. Is it more than \$1,000?

4 A. Yes, that would be two hours' my time. It was
5 definitely more than that.

6 Q. Is it more than \$10,000?

7 A. That would be 20 hours. Are we talking about
8 the preparation for this deposition, or is your question
9 with reference to preparing the declarations?

10 Q. The entirety of proceedings, including your
11 declaration.

12 A. Okay. So yeah, I don't have an exact number.
13 It's been over a period of time, you know, for preparing
14 the declarations themselves. There's, I believe, a --
15 referring to three of them at this time. And, you know,
16 I would guess that it exceeded 100 hours. But again,
17 I'm not sure.

18 Q. Okay. Have you been involved in any other
19 legal proceedings as an expert witness?

20 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. I just want to
21 counsel the witness here to the extent that there's --
22 this involves a privileged matter, I'd counsel the
23 witness not to disclose any privileged information. But
24 to the extent -- I'm sorry, strike that. Strike that
25 objection.

1 THE WITNESS: I believe the question was if
2 I've been involved in providing testimony in any other
3 matters as an expert.

4 ATTORNEY LEE: Yeah, I'll repeat the question.

5 THE WITNESS: Okay.

6 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

7 Q. Have you been involved in any other legal
8 proceedings as an expert witness?

9 A. Yes, I have been involved in other proceedings
10 as an expert.

11 Q. What proceedings were they?

12 A. I -- there was many of them over the years. I
13 believe they're contained in my CV, which I believe is
14 included in this matter. I don't recall all of them.

15 Q. How many proceedings have you been involved in
16 in the capacity as an expert witness?

17 A. Again, without looking at the record, you
18 know, my -- my records, I would say somewhere between 12
19 and 20.

20 Q. Did your work as an expert witness in these
21 legal proceedings include work in district court
22 litigation?

23 A. Yes. Most of my expert work has been involved
24 with district court litigation.

25 Q. Have you done expert work in IPRs before,

1 aside from these matters?

2 A. Yes, I recall -- I don't recall specifically
3 which IPR, but I do -- I was involved previously in one
4 or two IPRs.

5 Q. In the one or two IPRs that you were an expert
6 witness in, do you recall if you represented the
7 petitioner or the patent owner?

8 A. No, I do not.

9 Q. Have you ever been deposed before?

10 A. Yes, I've been deposed before.

11 Q. In your context as an expert witness, have you
12 been deposed before?

13 A. Yes, I've been deposed as an expert witness.

14 Q. Okay. How many times?

15 A. Again, I would have to refer back to my CV and
16 a list of expert engagements I keep. But I would guess
17 again somewhere between 12 and 20 times.

18 Q. Do you recall if any of those depositions
19 related to IPR matters?

20 A. I believe that some of them were related to
21 IPR matters, but I'm not sure.

22 Q. Okay. So can you tell me when you first
23 started working on these IPR proceedings?

24 A. Yeah, I believe it was earlier this year, but
25 I'm not -- I don't have a specific date. I don't recall

1 a specific date.

2 Q. Do you recall speaking to anyone during the
3 course of preparing your original declarations?

4 A. Yes. I spoke to counsel when I was preparing
5 my original declarations, yes.

6 Q. Do you recall the names of counsel that you
7 spoke with?

8 A. It was Mr. Desari.

9 Q. Can you spell that?

10 A. No, I'm sorry, I don't -- I'm not sure how to
11 spell it.

12 Q. Okay. But can you say that again?

13 A. I believe it's Desari.

14 Q. Desari?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Did you speak to anybody else?

17 A. That's the only person I recall in preparing
18 these declarations that we're discussing.

19 Q. Okay. So, Mr. Sturza, tell me a little bit
20 about your technical experience.

21 A. Well, I have, you know, over 45 years' or so
22 experience in position location navigation systems,
23 communications systems. I provided a brief summary in
24 my declaration.

25 Q. And do you have any industry experience

1 working on radio communications?

2 A. Yes, I do.

3 Q. Okay. What was that industry experience?

4 A. Well, over the years, I have been involved in
5 many different systems, from point to point, fixed
6 microwave, Wi-Fi. Been involved with cellular, WiMax.
7 LNDs, satellite communications. And I'd have to refer
8 to my CV to see all of the different things I have been
9 involved with.

10 ATTORNEY LEE: Okay, I'm going to hand to you
11 a document that was previously marked Geoscope
12 Exhibit 2021 from proceeding IPR2023-01209.

13 (Exhibit No. 2021, previously marked, was
14 referenced and indexed.)

15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

16 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

17 Q. Do you recognize this document?

18 A. Yes. This appears to be my CV.

19 Q. And if you could turn to page 2 as it's
20 paginated in the footer.

21 A. Okay, page 2.

22 Q. I'd like you to go to the third paragraph.

23 A. Okay.

24 Q. If you can, read the first sentence there
25 aloud, please.

1 A. Mark has served as a technical advisor to
2 Microsoft, Google, SpaceX, Facebook, and Viasat in
3 communications systems and spectrum regulatory matters.

4 Q. What were your responsibilities as a technical
5 advisor?

6 A. It varied by company and by time. When I was
7 working with the companies in some cases, it was, you
8 know, very technical; in other cases, it was more market
9 focused or bigger picture technology focused.

10 Q. Okay. Well, let's start with Microsoft. What
11 did you do as a technical advisor for Microsoft?

12 A. Sure. So I'd like to refer to -- I believe I
13 provided some explanation of what I did with Microsoft,
14 so that's -- for example, I prepared a white spaces
15 technical report addressing the question of unlicensed
16 devices operating in TV bands. That was one of the
17 things I did for Microsoft.

18 Q. Okay. And what is a white spaces technical
19 report? And you're referring to page 5 of your CV. Is
20 that correct?

21 A. Yes, I am. It's on page 5.

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. And white spaces were the FCC, the Federal
24 Communications Commission, had realized that their TV
25 station frequencies are not used in every location. Not

1 all channels are available in all locations. So these
2 empty frequencies are -- have been referred to as white
3 spaces.

4 So again, this was, what, almost 20 years ago.
5 And I do remember preparing a fairly thick report, so I
6 don't remember all of the details. But I was looking at
7 the possibility of using the white spaces for other uses
8 besides TV. In other words, the unused TV channels.

9 Q. Did this have anything to do with locating
10 mobile communications devices?

11 A. I don't recall that being involved. Well,
12 it's -- there was a -- as I recall, there was a location
13 component to it because you had to know where you were
14 to know which TV channels were not being used.

15 Q. And was the location component relating to a
16 location of a mobile communications device?

17 A. I don't recall if it was only fixed or if it
18 was mobile.

19 Q. And so going back to page 2 of your CV, you
20 also served as a technical advisor for Google.

21 Is that correct?

22 A. Yes, that's correct.

23 Q. And can you describe your responsibilities in
24 that regard?

25 A. Let me see. I'm sure I provided some -- I

1 don't recall off the top of my head what it was I did
2 with Google, but looking through my CV, supported
3 Google's international and domestic spectrum regulatory
4 activities.

5 Q. And you are at page 4 of your CV?

6 A. I am at page 4, yes.

7 Q. Okay. What are international and domestic
8 spectrum regulatory activities?

9 A. So this was 10 years ago. My recollection is
10 that Google was interested in the adoption of additional
11 frequencies for Wi-Fi communications, and I provided
12 support both in their dealings with the FCC and
13 internationally with organizations or other
14 administrations.

15 Q. And did your work with Google involve the
16 development of technology for locating mobile
17 communications devices?

18 A. No, it did not.

19 Q. Going back to page 2 in your CV, same
20 paragraph, the third paragraph, the next company you
21 list is SpaceX.

22 Do you see that?

23 A. Yes, I do.

24 Q. What were your responsibilities as a technical
25 advisor for SpaceX?

1 A. I recall working for SpaceX on two occasions.
2 I see on page 4 of my CV I supported SpaceX with
3 spectrum regulatory activities, and then also -- I'm not
4 seeing it on this CV, but there was also some -- I do
5 recall two occasions. Both were related to -- oh, here
6 it is on page 6 of my CV. I designed a K band with 5
7 gigahertz transverter, which is 21 years ago. I don't
8 remember the details.

9 Q. Do you recall if your work with SpaceX
10 involved the development of technology for locating a
11 mobile communications device?

12 A. No, I don't recall that being involved.

13 Q. Your CV indicates that you also served as a
14 technical advisor for Facebook.

15 Do you see that?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17 Q. What was your -- what were your
18 responsibilities as a technical advisor for Facebook?

19 A. Referring to page 3 of my CV under Meta, so I
20 supported their international and domestic spectrum
21 regulatory and standards activities, was rapporteur for
22 an Etsy brand standard, and supported various of their
23 connectivity projects and developed link budgets and
24 simulation tools.

25 Q. Did your work with Facebook and Meta involve

1 technology for locating the mobile communications
2 device?

3 A. Not to my recollection.

4 Q. Your CV also indicates that you worked as a
5 technical advisor for Viasat.

6 Do you see that?

7 A. Yes, I do.

8 Q. What did you do for Viasat?

9 A. Well, I've done a few things over the years.
10 Recently, I have been supporting their international and
11 domestic spectrum regulatory activities for non-GSO and
12 GSO satellite systems and providing systems expertise on
13 non-GSO satellite systems.

14 Previous to that, I served as an expert
15 witness in a case involving satellite communications.
16 And there may have been other occasions where I worked
17 and provided some support to Viasat. But I see on page
18 6 I was an expert witness in a government contract
19 dispute. And there may be something else.

20 Q. Did your work with Viasat involve technology
21 for locating mobile devices?

22 A. There was some aspect of that there, but it
23 would -- it's something proprietary to them.

24 Q. What aspect was that that you were involved
25 in?

1 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: I'll just counsel the
2 witness not to reveal anything proprietary.

3 A. What I was doing for them was -- I think it's
4 really -- I'm not sure how to go further on this
5 without, you know, disclosing their proprietary
6 information.

7 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

8 Q. So you are unable to explain even the general
9 nature what your role or responsibilities were in the
10 development of the product?

11 A. Well, I was basically doing a high-level kind
12 of feasibility analysis, engineering analysis of
13 capabilities. And that's really all I'm comfortable
14 saying.

15 Q. What is a feasibility analysis?

16 A. An engineering study to determine if something
17 is possible, if a capability can be developed or if
18 it's -- you know, what would be involved in developing
19 the capability, if it's something feasible.

20 Q. And what is an engineering analysis?

21 A. Again, using my engineering judgment,
22 experience, calculations, simulations, other tools,
23 engineering tools to, for example, provide an estimate
24 of the performance of a system.

25 Q. At page 3 of your declaration at the top, the

1 first entry is Viasat.

2 Do you see that?

3 A. Yes, I do.

4 Q. Are mobile communications devices mentioned in
5 that description?

6 A. No, they are not.

7 Q. Are technologies for developing -- strike
8 that.

9 Are technologies for locating a mobile
10 communications device described in that entry?

11 A. Again, nowhere in that entry does it say
12 anything about location or mobile devices.

13 Q. And so your work with Viasat did not involve
14 locating mobile communications devices. Correct?

15 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Misstates
16 testimony.

17 ATTORNEY HARROD: May I interrupt for one
18 second? I think we're having a probably with the live
19 feed, the same issue you were having.

20 (Discussion held off the record to resolve a
21 technical issue.)

22 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

23 Q. So before the break, Mr. Sturza, we were
24 talking about your experience with Viasat.

25 A. Yes, I recall that.

1 Q. And we were looking at your description of the
2 work that you did for Viasat at page 3 of your CV.

3 Do you see that?

4 A. Yes, I do.

5 Q. And I had asked you whether your description
6 of your work for Viasat included any mention of the
7 development of technology for locating mobile
8 communications devices, and I'll just repeat that
9 question.

10 Did your work with Viasat involve the
11 development of technology for locating mobile
12 communications devices?

13 A. Yes, it did.

14 Q. And what was that work?

15 A. As I mentioned before, to go any further on
16 this I would have to disclose their proprietary
17 information.

18 Q. And so are you unable to describe in a general
19 nature the type of work that you did for Viasat that
20 involved mobile communications devices?

21 A. So I believe I did describe in a general
22 nature that what was involved was engineering analysis
23 and feasibility. Basically, a feasibility study.

24 Q. Okay. But that is not noted in your
25 description of your work for Viasat in your CV.

1 Correct?

2 A. What's noted there is provide systems
3 expertise on NGS0 satellite systems and --

4 Q. Sorry. Go ahead.

5 A. Yes, and that, you know, that work that we
6 were discussing was included in -- as part of that.

7 Q. So it's your testimony that NGS0 satellite
8 systems include mobile communications devices?

9 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

10 A. No, I didn't say that. What I said was --
11 you'd asked me with regard to the positioning of mobile
12 devices. And in my CV, it doesn't, you know, for many
13 reasons doesn't break out every single thing I've done
14 for every company.

15 And, you know, what I did for Viasat was under
16 the category or umbrella of providing systems expertise
17 on NGS0 satellite systems.

18 Q. And how do mobile communications devices and
19 locating such devices relate to NGS0 satellite systems?

20 A. Well, again, I would have to disclose the
21 proprietary information to answer that.

22 Q. So your testimony is that how a mobile device
23 relates to a satellite system is proprietary
24 information?

25 Is that your testimony?

1 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to form. Misstates
2 testimony.

3 A. No. What I was saying is that to get into
4 anymore detail as to the work that I did, I would have
5 to disclose their proprietary information.

6 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

7 Q. Okay. Well, stepping away from what their
8 proprietary information was, how does or how can a
9 mobile communications device relate to a satellite
10 system?

11 A. Okay. So the most famous example is the
12 global positioning system, GPS, where satellites are
13 used to provide positioning information for devices.
14 Mobile devices include GPS receivers which, by
15 processing the signals from GPS satellites, are able to
16 determine the position of the mobile device.

17 Q. Do you have any other examples?

18 A. There are a variety of other systems. There's
19 the Russian GLONASS system, there's the European Galileo
20 system, there's a Chinese system, a variety of satellite
21 systems.

22 Q. Okay. I'd like for you to turn to page 1 of
23 your -- page 2 of your CV, fourth paragraph. Can you
24 read the first sentence there of that fourth paragraph?

25 A. Sure. Mark's industry experience includes

1 senior engineering management and tactical positions at
2 LinQuest, Teledyne, Magnavox, and Litton, where he
3 developed advanced navigation and guidance systems for
4 both commercial and government customers.

5 Q. Can you tell me about your work with LinQuest
6 that involved the development of advanced navigation and
7 guidance systems?

8 A. LinQuest, I was involved in a variety of
9 different projects and technologies, and I -- there's a
10 paragraph on page 3 of my CV that discusses many of
11 them. I don't see anything here specifically listing
12 navigation and guidance systems. So I -- yeah, I don't
13 recall to what extent or what of that LinQuest work
14 related to navigation and guidance systems.

15 Q. And the next company in the list is Teledyne.
16 Do you see that?

17 A. Yes, I do.

18 Q. Can you tell me about your work with Teledyne
19 in developing advanced navigation and guidance systems?

20 A. Certainly. Referring to page 11 of my CV and
21 bottom of page 19, I worked on a variety of inertial
22 navigation systems. I worked on GPS systems of various
23 sorts. I worked on Loran-C, which is another radio
24 navigation system. I work on a Doppler Omega navigation
25 system using Omega radio signals and Doppler

1 information.

2 Virtually all of my work at Teledyne was
3 involved with navigation and guidance systems.

4 Q. Did your work with Teledyne relate to mobile
5 communications devices?

6 A. I don't, you know, recall there being --
7 certainly, you know, decades before cellphones or mobile
8 devices when I was involved with Teledyne. I mean,
9 there were aircraft and ship systems which were mobile,
10 so depending on which -- what we mean by mobile.

11 Q. Cellphones, for example.

12 A. Again, this -- my work at Teledyne was decades
13 before cellphones.

14 Q. And the same could be said about your work
15 with Magnavox at page 10 of your CV.

16 Is that correct?

17 A. Yes. My work at Magnavox was involved with
18 GPS for, again, aircraft. Well, actually, there was --
19 part of the Magnavox effort, there were basically three
20 types of equipment that I was working on. And one was
21 what was called a Manpack, which was basically a
22 backpack that contained a GPS system and provided mobile
23 positioning information to infantry troops.

24 Q. And can the same be said about the work with
25 Litton at pages 9 and 10 of your CV?

1 A. I'm not sure what you mean by "can the same be
2 said."

3 Q. Did your work with Litton outlined at pages 9
4 and 10 of your CV relate to the development of
5 technology for locating mobile communications devices?

6 A. Again, this -- all this work predated
7 cellphones. There was handheld positioning devices that
8 I was working on at the time using GPS, but I -- I don't
9 recall if they had communications capability. I think
10 they were -- my recollection is they were strictly
11 navigation and positioning.

12 Q. I'd like you to turn to page 5 of your CV.
13 The second-to-last entry, Wi-Fi Alliance, do you see
14 that?

15 A. Yes, I do.

16 Q. Did your work with Wi-Fi Alliance involve
17 developing technologies for locating mobile
18 communications devices?

19 A. So several years ago, what I thought I say in
20 my CV was perform simulations of adaptive spectrum
21 sharing rules. So the Wi-Fi Alliance, you know, Wi-Fi,
22 so this was work on Wi-Fi devices in terms of their
23 algorithms for what frequencies to use.

24 Q. And what was your -- what were your
25 responsibilities in developing that technology?

1 A. 20 years ago, so I don't have a direct
2 recollection. Looking at my CV, I was performing
3 simulations of how these spectrum sharing rules would
4 work, what kind of performance they would provide, how
5 effective they would be.

6 Q. The next entry in your CV is TrueSpan.

7 Do you see that? I'm at page 5.

8 A. Yes, at the bottom, yes.

9 Q. What did you do for TrueSpan?

10 A. So TrueSpan was a startup that was developing
11 DVB-TH technology, so this was providing video to mobile
12 devices, the DVB-TH standard. So TrueSpan was
13 developing a chipset to implement video to the phone.
14 Video's the handheld device.

15 And I was involved from the start of the
16 company, helping with the business plan, the system
17 architecture. Once we were funded, I was doing more
18 technical work, performing simulations, and conducting
19 design traits for the receiver chipset.

20 Q. Did your work with TrueSpan involve the
21 development of technology for locating mobile
22 communication devices?

23 A. I don't recall if the DVB-TH standard included
24 any kind of positioning or location.

25 Q. On the next page at page 6 of your CV, you

1 had -- work for --

2 (Reporter clarification.)

3 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

4 Q. On the next page of your CV, page 6, the first
5 few items are GigaBeam Corporation and IOSTAR
6 Corporation.

7 Do you see that?

8 A. Yes, I do.

9 Q. And your work with GigaBeam and IOSTAR
10 appear -- appears to overlap in the 2004 to 2006 time
11 frame. Do you see that?

12 A. Yes, I see that.

13 Q. Did your work with GigaBeam and IOSTAR relate
14 to development of technology relating to locating mobile
15 communications devices?

16 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

17 A. I don't recall that with respect to IOSTAR.

18 With respect to GigaBeam, I recall working
19 with the founder to identify and protect key IP. I
20 don't recall what that encompassed, the technologies
21 involved in that IP.

22 Q. Okay, I'd like to go to page 3 of your CV.
23 The fourth item under Experience says Geoscope
24 Technologies Singapore.

25 Do you see that?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. It says: Expert witness in the areas of
3 positioning and location.

4 Do you see that?

5 A. Yes, I do.

6 Q. Can you tell me a little bit about your work
7 with Geoscope in this area?

8 A. So this is the -- providing my services as an
9 expert witness in the district court case as I've, you
10 know, continued to do.

11 This appears to -- the date here ends in 2023,
12 but I've also, you know, continued to provide my
13 expertise in the IPR matters.

14 Q. Do you recall if your work as an expert
15 witness in this capacity related to patent invalidity
16 issues?

17 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: I'd just counsel the
18 witness not to reveal any potentially privileged
19 information in answering the question.

20 A. This was a couple of years ago, but my -- my
21 recollection is I was involved in -- on the invalidity
22 side of the issues, or validity.

23 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

24 Q. Do you recall whether your opinions involved
25 invalidity or validity of a patent?

1 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. With
2 that same instruction, you can answer. Be careful not
3 to disclose any privileged information.

4 A. My recollection is that I did prepare some
5 reports on validity. I believe it's sometimes referred
6 to as rebuttal invalidity, and sometimes I've heard it
7 referred to as invalidity, even though I believe my
8 report was, you know, rebutting invalidity.

9 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

10 Q. Do you recall the technology of the patents
11 that were involved?

12 A. Well, my recollection is it's the same patents
13 involved in the IPRs.

14 ATTORNEY LEE: Okay, I think we can take a
15 break.

16 (Recess taken.)

17 ATTORNEY LEE: Mr. Sturza, I'm going to hand
18 to you some exhibits from these proceedings. I'm
19 handing to you Exhibit 1001 from IPR2023-01209.

20 (Exhibit No. 1001(A), previously marked, was
21 referenced and indexed.)

22 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

23 Q. Do you recognize this document?

24 A. This appears to be what we have been referring
25 to as the '104 patent.

1 ATTORNEY LEE: I'm also going to hand to you
2 Exhibit 1001 from IPR2023-01210.

3 (Exhibit No. 1001(B), previously marked, was
4 referenced and indexed.)

5 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

6 Q. Do you recognize this document?

7 A. Yeah, this appears to be the '358 patent.

8 ATTORNEY LEE: And lastly, I'm going to hand
9 to you Exhibit 1001 from IPR2023-01211.

10 (Exhibit No. 1001(C), previously marked, was
11 referenced and indexed.)

12 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

13 Q. Do you recognize this document?

14 A. This appears to be the '494 patent.

15 Q. Okay. So I may refer to these three patents
16 collectively as the '104 patent family.

17 Is that fair?

18 A. I understand that.

19 Q. Okay. Have you read the '104 patent family?

20 A. Yes, I have read the patents.

21 Q. Have you read the patents cover to cover?

22 A. I have read the patents cover to cover, yes.

23 Q. Okay. What is the purpose of the '104 patent?

24 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

25 A. I would -- you know, I provided a summary in

1 my declarations in this matter of the '104 patent, so
2 I'd prefer to refer to that rather than trying to
3 recreate on the fly.

4 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

5 Q. Okay. But you have read these patents.
6 Correct?

7 A. Yes, I have read these patents, and I've read
8 a lot of documents. I've not memorized them.

9 Q. Sure.
10 Is there a particular technical problem that
11 the '104 patent family addresses?

12 A. Well, again, you know, I spent a lot of time
13 preparing my declaration and, you know, I hesitate to,
14 you know, just give you responses off the fly, you know,
15 when I've spent the time to, you know, document all my
16 thoughts in the reports --

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. -- and the declarations.

19 ATTORNEY LEE: Understood. I'm going to hand
20 to you Exhibit 2020 from IPR2023-01209.

21 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

22 (Exhibit No. 2020(A), previously marked, was
23 referenced and indexed.)

24 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

25 Q. Do you recognize this document?

1 A. This appears to be my declaration with regards
2 to the '104 patent.

3 ATTORNEY LEE: I'm also handing to you
4 Exhibit 2020 from IPR2023-01210.

5 (Exhibit No. 2020(B), previously marked, was
6 referenced and indexed.)

7 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

8 Q. Do you recognize this document?

9 A. Yes. It appears to be my declaration with
10 regard to the '358 patent.

11 ATTORNEY LEE: Okay. I'm handing to you
12 Exhibit 2020 from IPR2023-01211.

13 (Exhibit No. 2020(C), previously marked, was
14 referenced and indexed.)

15 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

16 Q. Do you recognize this document?

17 A. Yes. This appears to be my declaration on the
18 '494 patent.

19 Q. So you have your declarations before you now
20 and available to you. Correct?

21 A. Yes, I do. Thank you.

22 Q. Okay. You also have the '104 patent, the '358
23 patent, and the '494 patent in front of you.

24 Is that correct?

25 A. That is correct.

1 Q. Okay. So what is the purpose of the '104
2 patent family?

3 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to form.

4 A. The '104 patents and the other two related
5 patents disclose an invention for determining the
6 location of a mobile device for geolocating using signal
7 information from wireless communications networks, for
8 example, cellular signals.

9 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

10 Q. And is that the purpose of the '104 patent
11 family?

12 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to form.

13 A. Well, I'm not sure what you mean by the word
14 "purpose."

15 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

16 Q. What don't you understand about the word
17 "purpose"?

18 A. Well, I understand the patents, the '104 and
19 the other two patents that we're discussing, disclose
20 inventions. And the inventions, you know, relate to
21 determining a location of mobile devices, geolocating,
22 so I'm not sure if that's what you mean by "purpose."

23 Q. Is there a technical problem that the '104
24 patent family purports to address?

25 A. Yes. The '104 patent family, if you will, is

1 addressing the problem of -- that the observed network
2 measurement data varies based on conditions and other
3 variables, for example, environmental factors or signal
4 propagating indoors. And with the prior art, this
5 caused a issue with positioning accuracy.

6 And so the invention is to modify the observed
7 network measurement data and then compare the modified
8 network measurement -- the modified observed network
9 measurement data with the database of calibration data
10 to determine location of mobile devices.

11 Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn to the abstract,
12 which is at page 1 looking at the '104 patent. Tell me
13 when you get there.

14 A. Sure. I need my glasses for this one.

15 Q. The font is quite small, isn't it?

16 A. Yes, it is. Okay.

17 Q. Can you read the first sentence of the
18 abstract?

19 A. A method of modifying calibration data used to
20 geolocate a mobile station located in an indoor
21 environment is disclosed.

22 Q. And what is a mobile station?

23 A. In the context of these patents, a mobile
24 station's a mobile device.

25 Q. And what is a mobile device?

1 A. Something like a cellular phone or other kind
2 of mobile device.

3 Q. Are there any other examples of mobile
4 stations on -- with the devices?

5 A. Well, we see, you know, in Figure 1 maybe a
6 car is mobile. So it's not necessarily a handheld
7 device, but a device in motion.

8 Q. Can you turn to column 1, line 12 of column 1
9 underneath Background.

10 Do you see that?

11 A. Yes, I do.

12 Q. Can you read that first sentence?

13 A. The use of wireless communication devices,
14 such as telephones, pagers, personal digital assistants,
15 laptop computers, etc., hereinafter referred to
16 collectively as mobile appliances or mobile stations has
17 become prevalent in today's society.

18 Q. And so in this disclosure, the '104 patent
19 provides examples of mobile stations. Correct?

20 A. Yes, these are examples.

21 Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn back to the
22 abstract of the '104 patent. And the '104 patent uses
23 the term "calibration data."

24 Do you see that?

25 A. Yes, I do.

1 Q. What is calibration data?

2 A. I don't -- I don't recall providing an opinion
3 on that. Is there somewhere in my report where I
4 provide an opinion on that?

5 Q. Feel free to review your report.

6 A. All right. I don't, you know, recall
7 providing any opinion on that.

8 Q. Okay. So do you have an understanding of what
9 calibration data is?

10 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to scope.

11 A. Well, it -- again, it's nothing that I
12 provided an opinion on in this matter. It's -- there
13 may have been some claim construction in the district
14 court proceeding with regard to that. I'm not sure.
15 You know, the plain and ordinary meaning for calibration
16 data would be data used to calibrate.

17 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

18 Q. And so calibration data encompasses any data
19 that is used to calibrate?

20 Is that correct?

21 A. Well, you know, again, it's something I
22 haven't provided an opinion in this matter. And, you
23 know, I'd want to go back and look at the district court
24 claim construction to see if "calibration data" was
25 construed or not. And then I'd want to look through the

1 intrinsic record to see how it's used, you know, in
2 these patents.

3 Q. Okay. If you could turn to column 1, there's
4 a sentence that begins "Estimating."

5 Do you see that?

6 A. Yes, I do.

7 Q. Can you read that sentence aloud, please.

8 A. Estimating location or geolocating a mobile
9 station may require one or more types of calibration
10 data associated with the mobile station. For example,
11 signal strength, round-trip time, time difference of
12 arrival, TDOA, etc.

13 Q. Is signal strength an example of calibration
14 data?

15 A. Yes, it's, you know, given in an example here
16 of calibration data associated with a mobile device, the
17 mobile station.

18 Q. Is round-trip time an example of calibration
19 data?

20 A. Yes. It's also given as an example.

21 Q. Is time difference of arrival an example of
22 calibration data?

23 A. Yes. It's also given as an example here.

24 Q. I'd like you to turn to column 3, line 50 of
25 the '104 patent.

1 A. Okay.

2 Q. There is a sentence that begins "In general."
3 Do you see that?

4 A. Yes, I do.

5 Q. Can you read that sentence aloud, please.

6 A. In general, a nonuniform grid point, NUG,
7 N-U-G, may represent the signal characteristics and/or
8 calibration data over a given region.

9 Q. What is a nonuniform grid point?

10 A. So I've actually provided an opinion on that.
11 And, you know, nonuniform grid point is a point
12 associated with respective calibration data for an area
13 whose boundaries are determined from evaluation of the
14 calibration data.

15 Q. Okay. And can a nonuniform grid point
16 represent the signal characteristics and/or calibration
17 data over a given region?

18 A. I'm not sure how your question differs from
19 what I just said. Am I missing something?

20 Q. Yeah. So I'm reading from column 3, line 50
21 of the '104 patent. It's the disclosure that you had
22 just read aloud, the sentence beginning, "In general."

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And I'm asking you can a nonuniform grid point
25 represent the signal characteristics and/or calibration

1 data over a given region?

2 A. My opinion, in looking at the entirety of the
3 intrinsic evidence, is that a nonuniform grid point is a
4 point associated with representative calibration data
5 for an area whose boundaries are determined from
6 evaluation of the calibration data.

7 Q. Okay. And I understand that.

8 And my question to you is can a nonuniform
9 grid point represent the signal characteristics and/or
10 calibration data over a given region?

11 A. Well, the nonuniform grid point is associated
12 with representative calibration data for an area whose
13 boundaries are determined from evaluation of the
14 calibration data. So once the nonuniform grid point has
15 been defined, then it has boundaries and it represents
16 the calibration data or, you know, or is associated with
17 the calibration data for that area.

18 Q. So is it your testimony that the nonuniform
19 grid point cannot represent the signal characteristics
20 and/or calibration data over a given region?

21 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

22 A. That's not what I said. So, you know, going
23 back to a nonuniform grid point is a point associated
24 with representative calibration data for an area whose
25 boundaries are determined from evaluation of the

1 calibration data. A calibration data is used. It's
2 used to determine the boundaries of the nonuniform grid
3 point. And then that nonuniform grid point, you know,
4 is associated with that representative calibration data
5 for that area.

6 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

7 Q. Okay. And so my question to you again is can
8 a nonuniform grid point represent the signal
9 characteristics and/or calibration data over a given
10 region?

11 I'm essentially reading from the patent and
12 I'm wondering if you agree that a nonuniform grid point
13 can represent the signal characteristics and/or
14 calibration data in a given region.

15 A. Again, you know, my -- my understanding, you
16 know, looking at the totality of the intrinsic evidence
17 is, you know, that the nonuniform grid point's a point
18 associated with representative calibration data for an
19 area whose boundaries are determined from evaluation of
20 the calibration data.

21 And, you know, so nonuniform grid point, it's
22 associated with representative calibration data for an
23 area. An area could be a -- could be called a region.
24 Basically, synonyms. So the -- so the -- you know, to
25 the extent that the nonuniform grid point is associated

1 with the representative calibration data for an area,
2 then the nonuniform grid point may represent, I would
3 say, you know, "associated with" instead of "may
4 represent." And, you know, I -- I think that would be a
5 clearer way of saying it.

6 Q. What is the difference between "associated
7 with" and "may represent"?

8 A. Well, in my mind, "associated with" and "may
9 represent" are -- let me rephrase that.

10 That a nonuniform grid point may represent the
11 signal characteristics and/or calibration data over a
12 given region. And, you know, I would go further and say
13 the nonuniform grid point is a point associated with the
14 representative calibration data for an area whose
15 boundaries are determined from evaluation of the
16 calibration data. And I think that's a much clearer,
17 precise way of saying it.

18 Q. And you are -- you are reading from something,
19 are you, when you're --

20 A. I -- I was, yes. I was referring to my report
21 where I provided my opinion on the construction on the
22 nonuniform grid point. It's page 32 of the '104 report.
23 And, you know, I spent a lot of time thinking about this
24 and reviewing the entirety of the intrinsic record. And
25 I think that's the -- you know, in my opinion, what a

1 nonuniform grid point is.

2 And to the, you know, to the extent that's
3 what it's saying on line 50 of column 3, I would agree
4 with that. But it's -- it's not -- there may be some
5 ambiguity in column 3, line 50 so I would prefer, you
6 know, my construction.

7 Q. So I'm at page 32 of the declaration,
8 Exhibit 2020.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And opinion B says Construction of Nonuniform
11 Grid Point. Do you see that?

12 A. Yes, I see that.

13 Q. What data is contained in the nonuniform grid
14 point?

15 A. Well --

16 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

17 A. -- calibration data is used to construct the
18 nonuniform grid point to determine its boundaries.

19 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

20 Q. Right. So then after you use the calibration
21 data to determine the boundaries, you are left with a
22 grid point. Correct?

23 A. Yes. You have a nonuniform grid point.

24 Q. And my question to you is, what does -- what
25 data does that nonuniform grid point contain?

1 A. Well, a nonuniform grid point is a boundary on
2 a map. It's an area on a map, so it's -- a nonuniform
3 grid point contains some area on a map or some area on
4 the earth.

5 Q. So it's your testimony that a point is
6 actually an area. Is that correct?

7 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

8 A. Well, the way, you know, nonuniform grid point
9 is used in the patent and other intrinsic evidence, it's
10 not what we would classically think of as a point. It's
11 actually an area. A nonuniform grid point represents an
12 area.

13 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

14 Q. So when you say that a nonuniform grid point
15 represents an area, does that mean that the nonuniform
16 grid point contains data describing the area?

17 A. Well, the nonuniform grid point, you know,
18 it's associated with representative calibration data,
19 but the nonuniform grid point is -- there's an example
20 on page 36 of my declaration, Figure 6, which shows
21 examples of nonuniform grid points. And, you know,
22 they're areas, so they contain physical space.

23 Q. So a nonuniform grid point is an actual
24 physical area in the real world?

25 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection to form.

1 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

2 Q. Is that correct?

3 A. A nonuniform grid point is the boundaries that
4 define a physical area. And your question was with
5 regards to what it contains, and the boundaries are the
6 boundaries of an area.

7 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

8 Q. So a nonuniform grid point contains data
9 representing the boundaries of the area it represents?

10 Is that correct?

11 A. Well, it --

12 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection to form.

13 A. It's associated with the representative
14 calibration data.

15 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

16 Q. Okay. And it's your testimony that a
17 nonuniform grid point is a point associated with
18 representative calibration data for an area whose
19 boundaries are determined from evaluation of the
20 calibration data.

21 Is that correct?

22 A. Yes, that is correct.

23 Q. Okay. So there is an evaluation that needs to
24 occur in order to arrive at a nonuniform grid point.

25 Is that correct?

1 A. Yes, that's -- that's correct. It's
2 determined from an evaluation -- excuse me, evaluation
3 of the calibration data.

4 Q. Okay. After that evaluation occurs --

5 A. Uh-huh.

6 Q. -- you're talking about after the evaluation
7 occurs --

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. -- you are left with a nonuniform grid point.
10 What results is a nonuniform grid point.

11 Is that correct?

12 A. Yes. We've -- we can -- we have a nonuniform
13 grid point, yes.

14 Q. What data is contained in the nonuniform grid
15 point?

16 A. Well, there's calibration data associated with
17 the nonuniform grid point. I'm not sure what "contains"
18 means in this context.

19 Q. What type of data constitutes a nonuniform
20 grid point?

21 A. Well, so it's the representative calibration
22 data is used to determine -- you know, is evaluated to
23 determine the boundaries of the nonuniform grid point.

24 Q. During the evaluation. Is that correct?

25 A. Yeah. The boundaries are determined from

1 evaluation of the calibration data.

2 Q. So you obtain boundaries from the evaluation
3 of calibration data.

4 Is that correct?

5 A. Yes. You -- you -- the boundaries are
6 determined from evaluation of the calibration data, yes.

7 Q. Okay. And so are the boundaries the data that
8 constitutes a nonuniform grid point?

9 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

10 A. Well, so the -- the boundaries define the
11 nonuniform grid point, that they're the boundaries of
12 the point which, as I mentioned, is actually an area.

13 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

14 Q. Does the term "nonuniform grid point" require
15 any data?

16 A. Well, you need -- you know, it's a point
17 associated with representative calibration data, so
18 we -- we need calibration data to construct the
19 nonuniform grid point to determine its boundaries.

20 Q. Right. You're getting back to how a
21 nonuniform grid point is generated. Correct?

22 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

23 A. Well --

24 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

25 Q. What I'm talking about is after you generate a

1 nonuniform grid point --

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. -- you are left with something, the nonuniform
4 grid point. Do you follow me?

5 A. Yes. And you -- you have -- you know, there's
6 the associated -- the representative calibration data.

7 Q. And the associated calibration data, is that
8 part of the nonuniform grid point?

9 A. Well, it's -- it's what defines the nonuniform
10 grid point. The, you know, the boundaries are
11 determined from evaluation of the calibration data.

12 Q. Once the nonuniform grid point is generated
13 from evaluation, is that nonuniform grid point stored?

14 A. I don't believe I provided an opinion on that
15 in these declarations. I would, you know, have to refer
16 back to the claims.

17 Q. Okay. Well, how is the nonuniform grid point
18 used? What is its function in the claimed method?

19 A. So again, I would refer to the claim language
20 itself, that, you know, it's -- so we have, you know,
21 from Claim 2 depending on Claim 1 that the -- in the
22 '104 patent that the database comprises previously
23 generated calibration data for one or more nonuniform
24 grid points within said region.

25 And, you know, in Claim 1, we have providing a

1 database of previously gathered calibration data for a
2 predetermined region in a wireless network wherein said
3 network includes a first transmitter and a second
4 transmitter.

5 Q. And so it's your opinion that the calibration
6 database comprises previously gathered calibration data
7 for one or more nonuniform grid points.

8 Is that correct?

9 A. Yeah, I believe that was the claim language.

10 Q. And Claim 1 requires providing the database.

11 Is that correct?

12 A. Database is previously gathered calibration
13 data.

14 Q. So the nonuniform grid point is a part of the
15 database that is provided in the method of Claim 1.

16 Is that correct?

17 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

18 A. Well, the database is the previously gathered
19 calibration data.

20 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

21 Q. Does the database contain the nonuniform grid
22 point?

23 A. Database contains the representative
24 calibration data, which is used -- which is what defines
25 the nonuniform grid point or points.

1 Q. Does the database contain the nonuniform grid
2 point?

3 A. Well, the database contains the calibration
4 data. That calibration data is used to determine the
5 boundaries of the nonuniform grid point.

6 Q. Do you know if the database contains the
7 nonuniform grid point?

8 A. Well, I think you're asking a question with
9 regard to possible embodiments that, you know, it's --
10 again, it's something that I've not provided an opinion
11 on here.

12 Q. I see.

13 So because you have not provided an opinion on
14 the question of whether the database contains the
15 nonuniform grid point, is it true that your construction
16 does not require the nonuniform grid point to be a part
17 of the database?

18 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

19 A. Well, again, that's not something that I've
20 provided an opinion on.

21 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

22 Q. So you have not opined on whether the database
23 contains a nonuniform grid point. Correct?

24 A. That -- you know, my understanding is that
25 it's the calibration data. The representative

1 calibration data is what's in the database. Going
2 beyond that seems to be getting into possible
3 embodiments, which is something I've not formed an
4 opinion on.

5 Q. So you have not opined on whether Claim 2 of
6 the '104 patent requires the one or more nonuniform grid
7 points to be contained in a database. Correct?

8 A. Well, you know, just reading Claim 2: Wherein
9 said database comprises previously gathered calibration
10 data for one or more nonuniform grid points within said
11 region. So, you know, again, I haven't provided an
12 opinion on that. But, I mean, just, you know, reading
13 it, it says database comprises previously gathered
14 calibration data. And, you know, that's consistent with
15 my construction.

16 Q. I think I am clear on your opinion as to how
17 calibration data relates to the database.

18 My question is, how does the nonuniform grid
19 point relate to the database?

20 It's my understanding that you have not
21 provided an opinion as to how the nonuniform grid point
22 relates to the database. Is that correct?

23 A. I -- that's not -- I don't think that's quite
24 correct. You know, what I said is that a nonuniform
25 grid point is a point associated with the representative

1 calibration data for an area whose boundaries are
2 determined from evaluation of the calibration data.

3 Q. And does a -- does the -- strike that.

4 Does the database contain the nonuniform grid
5 point?

6 A. Well, the database contains the representative
7 calibration data. And, you know, and I haven't formed
8 any opinions beyond that.

9 Q. Does the nonuniform grid point require any
10 specific kind of data?

11 A. Well, it requires representative calibration
12 data.

13 Q. Is there any requirement as to the amount of
14 representative calibration data?

15 A. That's something I haven't provided an opinion
16 on.

17 Q. Can a representative calibration data include
18 1,000 calibration points?

19 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

20 A. I haven't, you know, thought about that. I
21 haven't, you know, provided an opinion on how big or
22 small the set of calibration data could be.

23 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

24 Q. So you do not have any opinion on how much
25 data is required to arrive at a nonuniform grid point.

1 Is that correct?

2 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Same objection.

3 A. That's fair. I haven't provided a -- any
4 opinion on the amount of calibration data, you know, or
5 anything related to amount of calibration data.

6 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

7 Q. Okay. I want to go back to the nature of the
8 data that constitutes a nonuniform grid point.

9 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope. Sorry,
10 strike that. Do you have a question first?

11 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

12 Q. Does a nonuniform grid point require any
13 specific type of data?

14 A. Well, it requires representative calibration
15 data.

16 Q. Is there a difference between representative
17 calibration data and calibration data?

18 A. Well, representative calibration data for an
19 area, calibration data for an area, you know, what
20 I've -- the, you know, opinion I formed was that the
21 nonuniform grid point is a point associated with
22 representative calibration data for an area whose
23 boundaries are determined from the evaluation of the
24 calibration data. So, you know, it can't be calibration
25 data from, you know, the other side of the world.

1 Q. Okay. And going back to the nonuniform grid
2 point, do you have an opinion as to whether a nonuniform
3 grid point requires any specific type of data?

4 A. Well, it requires representative calibration
5 data for an area.

6 Q. Is that representative calibration data for an
7 area stored in the database?

8 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

9 A. Yeah, it's not, you know, something I provided
10 an opinion on here.

11 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

12 Q. So you do not have an opinion as to whether
13 the representative calibration data is stored in the
14 database. Is that correct?

15 A. I don't recall providing an opinion on that.
16 If there's something you'd like to point me to, I'd be
17 happy to address that, but I -- I don't recall providing
18 an opinion on that.

19 Q. And so earlier, we looked at the '104 patent
20 and we looked at examples of types of data that can
21 constitute calibration data.

22 Do you recall that?

23 A. Yes, I do.

24 Q. And do you recall how signal strength was an
25 example of calibration data according to the '104 patent

1 family?

2 A. Yes, I do.

3 Q. Can signal strength be the data that
4 constitutes a nonuniform grid point?

5 A. Well, again, I haven't provided an opinion on
6 what could constitute calibration data.

7 Q. I'm asking about a nonuniform grid point.
8 I'll repeat the question.

9 Can signal strength be the data that
10 constitutes a nonuniform grid point?

11 A. Well, so, you know, the nonuniform grid
12 point's a point associated with representative
13 calibration data for an area whose boundaries are
14 determined from evaluation of the calibration data.

15 As we've discussed, you know, when we looked
16 at the '104 patent, I provided an example of a
17 calibration data and suggested signal-to-noise ratio,
18 among other examples.

19 Q. Can signal strength be the data that
20 constitutes a nonuniform grid point?

21 A. Well, I don't -- I'm having trouble parsing
22 the question.

23 Q. What don't you understand about the question?

24 A. So a nonuniform grid point is a point
25 associated with representative calibration data of an

1 area whose boundaries are determined from evaluation of
2 the calibration data.

3 So when you're asking if the nonuniform grid
4 point can be signal strength, I don't understand how
5 that could be, how that would work.

6 Are you asking if -- can the signal strength
7 be the calibration data?

8 Q. Well, let's start with that.

9 Can the signal strength be the data that
10 constitutes the representative calibration data?

11 A. Well, that was, you know, as we saw, an
12 example in one point in the '104 patent.

13 Q. Is that a yes or a no?

14 A. That signal strength could be a calibration
15 data? Again, it's, you know, not something that I've
16 provided an opinion on here.

17 Q. So you do not have an opinion as to whether a
18 signal strength can constitute calibration data.

19 Is that correct?

20 A. Well, you know, just in the, you know, what we
21 looked at in the '104 patent, you know, it's talking
22 about calibration data and providing an example of the
23 signal strength. I agree that could be an example of
24 calibration data as well as the time of arrival and the
25 other things mentioned there.

1 Q. Let's get back to the idea of the boundaries.
2 You mentioned boundaries in the construction.

3 Do you recall that?

4 A. Yes, I do.

5 Q. How are the boundaries determined?

6 A. Well --

7 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

8 A. -- they're determined from evaluation of the
9 calibration data.

10 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

11 Q. And after the boundaries are determined, are
12 they stored?

13 A. Well, you know, I think that's getting into
14 embodiments, which is something I haven't opined on.

15 Q. So the boundaries are not required to be
16 stored. Is that correct?

17 A. I -- you know, again, I haven't provided an
18 opinion on that. I don't recall if there's something in
19 the claim that goes one way or another on that. But,
20 you know, it's not something I've thought about, not
21 something I've provided an opinion on.

22 Q. Have you ever been a named inventor in a
23 US Patent?

24 A. Yes, I have. I have been a named inventor on
25 several patents.

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed a patent file history
2 before?

3 A. Yes, I have reviewed patent file histories.

4 Q. And you reviewed the file histories of the
5 '104 patent family.

6 Is that correct?

7 A. Yes, that is correct. At least the three that
8 we're discussing now and at least one more and possibly
9 some others.

10 Q. What were the other file histories that you
11 reviewed?

12 A. The -- there was, I believe -- well, there's
13 the -- I believe it's the '753 patent, and I think
14 that's right. Anything that I did review would be
15 included in my list of exhibits that I've looked at.
16 I'd refer back to that for exactly what I've looked at.

17 Q. Okay. I'd like you to have in front of you
18 Exhibit 2020 from IPR2023-01209, your declaration.

19 A. So this is the '104 patent?

20 Q. Oh, no, the second, declaration Exhibit --

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. -- 2020.

23 A. Right, that's --

24 (Simultaneous speaking)

25 Q. Right.

1 A. For the '104 patent.

2 Q. Yes.

3 A. Okay.

4 Q. And I'd like you to turn to paragraph 2 on
5 page 1.

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. And can you read that paragraph?

8 A. Sure. I have been asked to provide my
9 opinions on the technology claimed in and patentability
10 or nonpatentability of Claim 2 of the '104 patent. This
11 declaration is directed to Claim 2 of the '104 patent
12 and sets forth certain opinions I've formed, the
13 conclusions I have reached, and the basis for each.

14 Q. Okay. And so you have only provided opinions
15 concerning Claim 2 of the '104 patent in your
16 declaration. Is that correct?

17 A. Yes, that's correct.

18 Q. And your declaration does not address any
19 other claim of the '104 patent aside from Claim 2.

20 Is that correct?

21 A. Well, Claim 2 depends on Claim 1, so my
22 understanding is Claim 2 and -- also embodies Claim 1,
23 although that might not be correct words that I used.

24 Q. So aside from the relationship between Claim 2
25 and Claim 1, your declaration does not address any other

1 claim of the '104 patent.

2 Is that correct?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. I'd like to turn to paragraph 23 --

5 A. Sure.

6 Q. -- of your declaration. And can you read the
7 first sentence of paragraph 23?

8 A. I was a member of the team that developed the
9 SiRFstar 1, SiRFstar 2, and SiRFstar 4 GPS chipsets
10 including between 2006 and 2009.

11 Q. What was your role on the team?

12 A. I had a variety of roles. This is over a
13 multi-year period with a gap between SiRFstar 2 and
14 SiRFstar 4.

15 With SiRFstar 1, I was involved in
16 architecting the chipset, working on the RF section, the
17 digital signal processing, the software, pretty much
18 touched all aspects of it. SiRFstar 2, I think that
19 overlapped. By SiRFstar 4, I think I was more focused
20 on some of the algorithms and DSP, all of -- I -- I may
21 have touched, you know, other parts of it.

22 Q. So the work that you describe in paragraph 23
23 all relates to the development of GPS chipsets.

24 Is that correct?

25 A. Well, the first sentence that I read is -- was

1 all GPS chipsets. Also, you know, towards the bottom of
2 the paragraph, I investigated positioning and
3 geolocation techniques for GSM and UMTS mobile devices
4 and analyzed hybrid positioning techniques for mobile
5 devices.

6 Q. Can you describe your work in investigating
7 the positioning and geolocation techniques for GSM and
8 UMTS mobile devices?

9 A. Yes. Going back 20 years, so I don't have all
10 the details, but SiRF was developing GPS chipsets to be
11 embedded in mobile handsets, mobile phones, cellular
12 phones. And the standard bodies, GSM and UMTS at the
13 time, were also developing cellular-based positioning
14 techniques. This was all driven by the E911 mandates of
15 the time.

16 And my role was to understand the capabilities
17 and implementations of the cellular standards-based
18 positioning techniques and how to best integrate them
19 with the GPS positioning.

20 There was, you know, there was always -- there
21 was attention, at least at the time, in the mobile
22 devices, cellular devices for real estate, so the chips
23 that could do more capabilities. So doing more than
24 just GPS was a plus, and so we were looking at what
25 aspects of the standards-based positioning we could

1 incorporate into the SiRFstar chipsets and, you know,
2 how we could -- the best way to combine the positioning
3 from the standards with the GPS positioning.

4 Q. I'd like to go back once again to page 32 of
5 your declaration and again section B where you provide
6 your construction of a nonuniform grid point.

7 Do you see that?

8 A. Yes, I do.

9 Q. And so we earlier had talked about the
10 boundaries in your construction.

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And you indicated that a nonuniform grid point
13 is a point associated with representative calibration
14 data for an area whose boundaries are determined from
15 evaluation of calibration data.

16 Is that correct?

17 A. Yes, that is correct.

18 Q. Now, when you look at Claim 2, are the
19 boundaries used in the claimed process?

20 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to form.

21 A. Again, that's something that I don't recall
22 providing any opinion on.

23 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

24 Q. So you do not have an opinion on whether the
25 boundaries are used in the claimed methods.

1 Is that correct?

2 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Same objection.

3 A. Well, Claim 2, method of Claim 1, whereas said
4 database comprises previously gathered calibration data
5 for one or more nonuniform grid points within said
6 region.

7 And then in Claim 1, they're the -- well,
8 again, it's something that I, you know, haven't studied
9 and thought about. I don't recall providing an opinion
10 as to the -- you know, how it's used.

11 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

12 Q. I'd like you to turn to paragraph 53, page 15
13 of your declaration.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. And can you read the first sentence of
16 paragraph 53, please.

17 A. Sure. Some systems, like the '104 patent,
18 relied on collecting calibration data from mobile
19 devices moving through various geographic regions by
20 saving this information into a calibration database.

21 Q. So here, you are describing various systems
22 that collect calibration data from mobile devices.
23 Correct?

24 A. Yeah, relied on collecting calibration data in
25 mobile devices, yes.

1 Q. And how was the calibration data collected?

2 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

3 A. It could be, you know, the mobile device is
4 moving through the region collecting calibration data.
5 It could be done as something that was referred to as
6 war driving, where -- driving through neighborhoods to
7 collect calibration data.

8 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

9 Q. So is there any specific way that the
10 calibration data was required to be collected?

11 A. I don't recall that being a limitation of the
12 claim. And I don't recall providing an opinion on that.

13 Q. Right. So I'm talking about the state of the
14 art. You were saying in this paragraph, paragraph 53,
15 you mentioned some systems, like the '104 patent, relied
16 on collecting calibration data from mobile devices
17 moving through various geographic regions by saving this
18 information into a calibration database.

19 Do you see that?

20 A. Yes, I do.

21 Q. And so I -- my question is -- I'm trying to
22 get a better understanding of how this was done.

23 How did -- how is this calibration data
24 collected?

25 A. One example was what I mentioned was referred

1 to as war driving at the time where vehicles, cars,
2 trucks, were instrumented with various devices to
3 collect calibration data as well as recording GPS
4 position. And these vehicles drove through
5 neighborhoods. My recollection is originally it started
6 in the Bay area and spread, you know, across the
7 country --

8 Q. And would --

9 A. -- and the world. Sorry.

10 Q. And would the vehicles follow a predetermined
11 path, or would it be more of a random path or item?

12 A. Again going back 20-some years, I recall
13 routes being planned to make sure that every street was
14 covered, that kind of thing in neighborhoods. But there
15 may have been some ad hoc adjustments. I don't recall.

16 Q. Are there any other ways of collecting
17 calibration data aside from the -- is it war driving?

18 A. It was called war driving at the time mostly
19 because it was very tedious and -- but another -- you
20 know, again, you know, something I haven't written any
21 opinions in my report on but, you know, there are -- in
22 recent years, crowdsourcing has become a popular way of
23 collecting data, calibration data.

24 Q. What is crowdsourcing?

25 A. This is where a mobile device sends its

1 position and whatever calibration parameters it's
2 measuring to a central database.

3 Q. And so you use the location of various users'
4 mobile devices to develop a calibration database? I
5 want to be sure I understand that.

6 A. Well, it's the -- the measurements are the
7 calibration measurements so they are then incorporated
8 into a database, which is the calibration database.
9 Instead of going out and collecting the data points
10 yourself, it's using crowdsourcing. It's a much more
11 recent innovation.

12 Q. And would the crowdsourcing resemble kind of
13 more of a predetermined path of the various users or
14 would it be more of a random type situation?

15 A. Well, it's, you know, wherever people or
16 devices happen to be, so it's biased as to where there's
17 people.

18 Q. Are there any other ways in which you can
19 think of that calibration data was collected?

20 A. Nothing comes to mind.

21 ATTORNEY LEE: We can take a break now if that
22 works for you.

23 THE WITNESS: All right.

24 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Sure.

25 ATTORNEY LEE: Off the record.

1 THE REPORTER: Yes, we're off the record for a
2 break.

3 (Recess taken.)

4 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

5 Q. Mr. Sturza, I'd like you to turn to paragraph
6 67 of your declaration.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. And it starts on the bottom of page 23 and it
9 goes on to page 24, and there's a block quote from the
10 '379 provisional.

11 Do you see that?

12 A. Yes, I do.

13 Q. And can you read that block quote aloud,
14 please?

15 A. That's the first one towards the top of the
16 page?

17 Q. Correct.

18 A. The NUGs fully cover the region R, and each
19 NUG has statistically similar data accumulated into
20 itself. The geometrical shape and the amount of data
21 accumulated into each NUGs is seen to be variable, since
22 there are determined by the statistical similarity of
23 the data allocated to a NUG.

24 Q. So it's your opinion that the geometrical
25 shape and the amount of data accumulated into such NUGs

1 is variable.

2 Is that correct?

3 A. No, I believe what I just read is a quote from
4 the provisional, you know, not an opinion I provided.

5 Q. So I'm asking you, do you agree with the '379
6 positional on this point?

7 A. That the -- I'm sorry, could you repeat the
8 question, please.

9 Q. The geometrical shape and the amount of data
10 accumulated into the NUGs are variable.

11 Is that correct?

12 A. Yes, that's -- that's correct.

13 Q. And so the NUG can have any geometrical shape.

14 Is that correct?

15 A. Well, I don't know that I'd -- any geometrical
16 shape. I'm -- I'm not sure, you know, what that could
17 embody. But, you know, generally, you know, without --
18 with the possible exception of some corner cases as to
19 what a geometrical shape could be, yes, it can --
20 there's no predetermined shape for a NUG.

21 Q. And similarly, a NUG can contain any amount of
22 data. Is that correct?

23 A. This is where we get into what does
24 "contained" mean. So I would say that the -- you know,
25 any amount of data -- let me get this right. That, you

1 know, any amount of data could be in that associated --
2 the representative calibration data for the area.

3 Q. Could you repeat that?

4 A. That the -- sorry, I lost my place on the
5 block quote. Okay, so the amount of -- from the block
6 quote, the amount of data associated with -- accumulated
7 into each NUG is seen to be variable.

8 And what I was saying, to be clear, is that
9 the, you know, the NUG being a point associated with
10 representative calibration data for an area whose
11 boundaries are determined from the evaluation of the
12 calibration data, you know, I would -- I would say the
13 representative calibration data for the area is what's
14 being discussed in this block quote.

15 And so the data accumulated into such NUGs is,
16 you know, the data, the representative calibration data
17 for the area that you use to determine the boundaries.

18 Q. And this disclosure of the '379 provisional is
19 getting to the amount of data.

20 Do you see that?

21 A. Amount of data seen to be variable.

22 Q. Yes.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And so my question to you is, the amount of
25 data accumulated into the NUG is not limited to any

1 specific amount.

2 Is that correct?

3 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

4 A. Well, you know, again, not something I
5 provided an opinion on. But, you know, I'm not a -- you
6 know, off the top of my head, I'm not aware of any
7 limitation as to how much data, you know, could be used
8 or not used.

9 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

10 Q. Okay. And concerning the geometrical shape of
11 the NUG, is it your opinion that there are no
12 limitations to the geometrical shape of the NUG?

13 A. Well, I don't -- you know, again, something I
14 haven't opined on. Just off the top of my head, I --
15 you know, any geometrical shape, you know, I'm not sure
16 what that could encompass. But yeah, I'm not aware of
17 any requirement that, for example, a shape be a regular
18 polygon or something like that.

19 Q. What does it mean that the geometrical shape
20 is variable?

21 A. Well, I understand that to mean that the, you
22 know, the boundary is not fixed. It's, for example,
23 it's not necessarily a square or not necessarily a
24 triangle.

25 Q. So it's your opinion that the geometrical

1 shape being variable means the geometrical shape is not
2 limited to any particular shape.

3 Is that correct?

4 A. Right, because the boundaries of the NUG are
5 determined from the evaluation of the calibration data.

6 Q. I'd like you to turn to paragraph 93, which is
7 on page 39 of your declaration.

8 A. Okay. Got it.

9 Q. And can you read that paragraph aloud, please.

10 A. Sure. For the reasons I explain below, it is
11 my opinion that Claim 2 of the '104 patent is not
12 invalid under any of these grounds. In particular, each
13 of the prior art references in these grounds fails to
14 teach the nonuniform grid points required by Claim 2 of
15 the '104 patent.

16 Q. So your opinion is based on the fact that
17 Claim 2 requires, quote, "nonuniform grid points."

18 Is that correct?

19 A. That is correct.

20 Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn to paragraph 62.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. And can you read this paragraph for me,
23 please.

24 A. The claimed grid points of the '104 patent
25 usually will not be uniformly distributed throughout the

1 geographic region; and thus, the '104 patent uses the
2 term "nonuniform grid point," or NUGs, when describing
3 grid points.

4 Q. What does it mean that the grid points usually
5 will not be uniformly distributed?

6 A. Well, the -- you know, you might think of a
7 grid like lines of paper lined in both directions. And
8 that would be a traditional uniform grid. And because
9 the nonuniform grid points here, their boundaries are
10 determined for evaluation in the calibration data, so
11 they're not, you know, necessarily going to line up into
12 a uniform grid.

13 Q. What do you mean by -- strike that.

14 So you state that the boundaries may not
15 necessarily line up into a uniform grid.

16 Is that correct?

17 A. Well, since the boundaries are determined from
18 evaluation of the calibration data, the nonuniform grid
19 points, you know, will not necessarily -- in fact, will
20 typically not end up in a uniform grid pattern.

21 Q. Is it possible that the evaluation that you
22 speak of would result in boundaries that are uniform?

23 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

24 A. Well, I think that's a -- unlikely but, you
25 know, perhaps possible.

1 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

2 Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn to paragraph 68.

3 A. I'm sorry, 68?

4 Q. 68, yes.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. And can you read the first sentence of that
7 paragraph aloud, please.

8 A. A fundamental characteristic of a claimed grid
9 point is that the geographical boundaries of the area it
10 represents must be determined by evaluating the
11 calibration data collected in a region.

12 Q. And so a grid point must be generated based on
13 evaluation of calibration data.

14 Is that correct?

15 A. Well, the geographical boundaries, you know,
16 must be determined by evaluating the calibration data
17 collected in a region.

18 Q. So you state that the geographical boundaries
19 of the area is a, quote, "fundamental characteristic of
20 the claimed grid point."

21 Do you see that?

22 A. Yes. A fundamental characteristic of the
23 claimed grid point is that the geographical boundaries
24 of the area it represents must be determined by
25 evaluating the calibration data collected in a region.

1 Q. Are the geographical boundaries a required
2 element of the -- of the claimed nonuniform grid point?

3 A. So a nonuniform grid point is a point
4 associated with representative calibration data for an
5 area whose boundaries are determined from evaluation of
6 the calibration data.

7 Q. You state that the claimed grid -- a
8 fundamental characteristic of a claimed grid point is
9 that the geographical boundaries of the area it
10 represents must be determined by evaluating the
11 calibration data collected in a region.

12 Do you see that?

13 A. Yes, I do.

14 Q. How is this evaluation conducted?

15 A. There's a -- you know, for example, you read
16 the -- you asked me to read the block quote on the
17 previous page earlier. And since these are determined
18 by a statistical similarity of the data allocated to a
19 NUG so, you know, a statistical similarity is one way of
20 doing it.

21 Q. One way of conducting the evaluation is,
22 quote, "statistical similarity"?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Is that your opinion?

25 A. Yes, that, you know, the boundary's, you know,

1 determined by statistical similarity of the data.

2 Q. What is statistical similarity of the data?

3 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

4 A. Well, it's -- it's, you know, not something I
5 provided an opinion on here. I did -- you know, I did
6 mention, you know, at the bottom of page 25 statistical
7 similarity in the calibration data can be evaluated
8 using different types of statistical analysis, including
9 clustering tests, probability density function, the mean
10 and variance of the data, or other functions of the
11 calibration data.

12 Q. And you're at paragraph 69?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. So it's your opinion that clustering tests are
15 one example of how the statistical similarity of the
16 gathered calibration data can be evaluated?

17 A. Yes. Clustering tests are a way of
18 establishing the -- the statistical similarity.

19 Q. What is a clustering test?

20 A. Not something I addressed in my report. I've
21 implemented them in the past, but I can't, you know, off
22 the top of my head give you any algorithms.

23 Q. What is a clustering test?

24 Can you describe what a clustering test is?

25 A. Oh, it's a -- it's a test of statistical

1 similarity between data.

2 Q. Okay. And how is a clustering test performed?

3 A. And, you know, sitting here, it's not
4 something I've refreshed my memory on. It's something I
5 know I've used in the past, but I, you know, I can't
6 recall an algorithm.

7 Q. So it's your testimony that you have conducted
8 a clustering test in the past but you cannot describe
9 what a clustering test is.

10 Is that correct?

11 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

12 A. Yes, basically, that's correct. I haven't --
13 you know, I've done a lot of things over the last
14 45-plus years, and I do recall doing clustering tests,
15 and I -- I don't recall specific algorithms.

16 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

17 Q. When did you perform these clustering tests?

18 A. The last recollection I have of doing
19 something like that would have been the late '20 aughts
20 the early '20 teens --

21 Q. And --

22 A. -- something like that.

23 Q. And which company did you do the clustering
24 tests for?

25 A. I'm thinking it was involved with my work for

1 LinQuest.

2 Q. And can you describe how the clustering test
3 is performed?

4 A. Well, again, I haven't refreshed my memory on
5 clustering tests and limitations, so no, sitting here
6 today, I can't.

7 Q. Do you know what a clustering test is?

8 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Asked and
9 answered.

10 A. Well, you know, as I said, it's a test of
11 statistical similarity.

12 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

13 Q. Aside from parroting back the description of
14 what a clustering test is in the exhibit that you
15 cited --

16 A. Uh-huh.

17 Q. -- can you provide any additional description
18 of what a clustering test is?

19 A. No. Again, I haven't refreshed my memory on
20 the details of implementing a clustering test. It's,
21 you know, it's not something I've done in the context of
22 this report or anything related to it. So no, I can't
23 off the top of my head.

24 Q. You also mentioned a probability density
25 function in paragraph 69 of your declaration.

1 Do you see that? It's towards the end.

2 A. Yes. Yes, I do.

3 Q. How is a probability density function
4 performed?

5 A. So a PDF is a density function over some
6 number of dimensions, and it's looking for values that
7 occur more often, have a higher probability density.
8 And the function describes in one or two or more
9 dimensions the shape of the distribution. The
10 distribution's the highest, the most probable values.
11 And then, you know, characterizes what's the -- you
12 know, where the probability, the higher probabilities
13 lies.

14 Q. And how is a probability density function used
15 to evaluate the statistical similarity of the gathered
16 calibration data?

17 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

18 A. Well, again, it's not -- not something I
19 opined on here.

20 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

21 Q. Can a probability density function be used to
22 determine the statistical similarity of the calibration
23 data?

24 A. You know, again, not something I've thought
25 about how to implement here. But yes, probability

1 density functions, you know, can provide peaks, if you
2 will, and areas around peaks, and those can be used to
3 determine statistical similarity.

4 Q. And how would a probability density function
5 be used to determine the statistical similarity of the
6 data we're talking about in this case?

7 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

8 Q. The gathered calibration data.

9 A. So again, it's not something I've opined on
10 here. It's not something I've spent time thinking about
11 how to implement.

12 Q. You also mention the meaning and variance of
13 the data at paragraph 69 of your declaration.

14 Do you see that?

15 A. Yes, I do.

16 Q. How can the meaning and variance of the data
17 be used to determine the statistical similarity of the
18 gathered calibration data?

19 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

20 A. Here again, something, you know, I haven't
21 opined upon here, something I haven't thought about in
22 this context. I don't know.

23 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

24 Q. You mention other functions of the calibration
25 data. Do you see that at the -- close to the end of

1 paragraph 69?

2 A. Yes, I do.

3 Q. Can you name some examples of other functions
4 of the calibration data?

5 A. Again, you know, not something I've opined on
6 or thought about in this context, so no.

7 Q. What is a function of the calibration data?

8 A. Well, a function is something that has inputs
9 and provides outputs. It could be, you know, for
10 example, the function X squared where you put in an X
11 and you get X squared out. So it's some manipulation of
12 input data to provide output data.

13 Q. And so anything that takes input data and
14 outputs data -- strike that.

15 Anything that takes calibration data as an
16 input and outputs data would be considered a function of
17 the calibration data.

18 Is that correct?

19 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

20 A. Well, a function that you input calibration
21 data in and function combines the data in some fashion
22 and provides an output, so that's a function of the
23 calibration data. Not necessarily useful, you know, in
24 this context, but -- but yeah, function.

25 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

1 Q. In the first sentence of paragraph 69 you say
2 that: This evaluation involves determining which data
3 are statistically very similar.

4 Do you see that?

5 A. Yes, I do.

6 Q. How similar does the data have to be to
7 qualify as statistically very similar?

8 A. Well, you know, not something that I believe
9 I've opined upon here. It's -- you know, very -- "very
10 similar" is not a defined value, if you will.

11 Q. You then say: Using increasingly stringent
12 tests of statistical sufficiency.

13 Do you see that?

14 A. Yes, I do.

15 Q. What are increasingly stringent tests of
16 statistical sufficiency?

17 A. Just to be clear, these are, you know,
18 excerpts from various intrinsic evidence in the matter,
19 and it's not something, you know, that I've provided an
20 opinion on.

21 Q. Does the evaluation in your construction
22 require multiple stringent tests of statistical
23 sufficiency?

24 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

25 A. Well, you know, not something that I provide

1 an opinion on here. It's, you know, it's something
2 that, yeah, I would need to think about and, you know,
3 review the intrinsic evidence, not something, you know,
4 I could give you an answer here.

5 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

6 Q. Would the evaluation in your construction be
7 satisfied by performing a single stringent test of
8 statistical sufficiency?

9 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

10 A. Now, you know, again, not something I've, you
11 know, provided an opinion on here.

12 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

13 Q. What statistic is considered when determining
14 whether data is statistically similar?

15 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Same objection.

16 A. Again, not something I specifically opined
17 upon. At the -- the last sentence on this page of 69
18 that we looked at previously, statistical similarity in
19 the calibration data can be evaluated using different
20 types of statistical analysis, including the examples
21 that we've mentioned before.

22 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

23 Q. Is there any limit to the type of statistic
24 that is used to determine whether the data is
25 statistically similar?

1 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

2 A. Not something I provided an opinion on here.

3 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

4 Q. I understand that you do not provide an
5 opinion on that in your declaration, but do you have an
6 opinion on that today?

7 A. No. That is something I would need to -- you
8 know, just as I spent time developing the opinions in my
9 declaration, I would want to spend time reviewing the
10 intrinsic record before forming an opinion on that.

11 Q. So you mention -- you mentioned that a
12 nonuniform grid point should be construed as -- I'm at
13 page 32 -- a nonuniform grid point should be construed
14 as a point associated with representative calibration
15 data for an area whose boundaries are determined from
16 evaluation of the calibration data.

17 Do you see that?

18 A. Yes, I do.

19 Q. How is the evaluation performed?

20 A. I don't believe I provided an opinion as to
21 any specific form for evaluation. You know, as we have
22 been discussing, you know, from the record, you know,
23 there are several examples of using statistical
24 similarity with examples of algorithms for, you know,
25 determining statistical similarity.

1 Q. But you don't know how similar the data has to
2 be to qualify as being statistically similar. Correct?

3 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.

4 A. Well, you know, I haven't, you know, provided
5 an opinion on statistically similar, you know, in -- in
6 this matter. It's not something I spent, you know, time
7 thinking about. So at this point, I have no opinion on
8 that.

9 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

10 Q. Can the evaluation be performed by a human?

11 A. Again, it's not, you know, something I've
12 thought about. You know, I would -- I would need to
13 look at the, you know, record again on that. I don't --
14 you know, I don't have an opinion on that, no.

15 Q. Can the evaluation be performed as purely --
16 as a pure mental process?

17 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.

18 A. Well, you know, I'm not sure what does a pure
19 mental process means. But again, it's not something
20 that I've, you know, provided an opinion on or thought
21 about.

22 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

23 Q. Can the evaluation be fully performed in the
24 mind of a human?

25 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.

1 Calls for a legal conclusion.

2 A. Yeah, not, you know, not something, you know,
3 I've provided an opinion on, not something I've thought
4 about.

5 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

6 Q. Can the evaluation be performed by a computer?

7 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.
8 Calls for a legal conclusion.

9 A. So yeah, again, you know, not something that I
10 provided an opinion on here, not something that I've
11 thought about. I -- you know, to address that, I'd need
12 to spend, you know, time with the intrinsic record to
13 form an opinion. So no, I don't have one now.

14 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

15 Q. So sitting here today, you cannot tell me
16 whether the evaluation can be conducted by either human
17 or computer.

18 Is that correct?

19 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.
20 Calls for a legal conclusion. Counsel, this is --

21 ATTORNEY FEDOWITZ: Hey, counsel, quit
22 coaching the witness. State your objection if --

23 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: I didn't want to interrupt
24 your --

25 (Simultaneous speaking)

1 ATTORNEY FEDOWITZ: -- you have a problem with
2 the form. Huh?

3 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: -- this IPR.

4 (Simultaneous speaking)

5 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: And I don't know who's
6 talking, but they're not of record.

7 ATTORNEY FEDOWITZ: This is Matthew Fedowitz.
8 I'm counsel for Google. State your objection. Stop
9 coaching the witness.

10 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.

11 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

12 Q. So sitting here today, you cannot tell me
13 whether the evaluation can be conducted by either a
14 human or a computer.

15 Is that correct?

16 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.
17 Calls for a legal conclusion.

18 A. As I --

19 ATTORNEY FEDOWITZ: Stop coaching.

20 A. As I mentioned, you know, I've not provided an
21 opinion on that. It's not something, you know, that
22 I've considered in this matter. To provide an opinion,
23 I would need to spend time with the intrinsic record,
24 thinking about it, to provide a thoughtful answer as I
25 did for the opinions that I provided in my report.

1 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

2 Q. If the evaluation cannot be performed by a
3 human or a computer, how would the evaluation be
4 performed?

5 A. You know, I've provided, you know, no opinion
6 on how the evaluation could be performed. I don't
7 know -- you know, I -- I really can't provide an answer
8 to that without, you know, doing the work.

9 Q. So you do not have any opinion on how the
10 evaluation in your construction might be performed.

11 Is that correct?

12 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

13 A. That's correct. I would want to, you know,
14 consider that in light of the intrinsic record and form
15 a considered opinion before providing one.

16 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

17 Q. And sitting here today, you have no opinion as
18 to -- strike that.

19 ATTORNEY LEE: I'm handing to you Exhibit 1005
20 from IPR2023-01209.

21 (Exhibit No. 1005, previously marked, was
22 referenced and indexed.)

23 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

24 Q. Do you recognize this exhibit?

25 A. Actually, did you hand me two copies?

1 Q. Oh, thank you.

2 A. So this appears to be the provisional
3 application.

4 Q. Yes, I'll represent to you this is Provisional
5 Application No. 60899379. I may refer to this as the
6 '379 provisional application or the provisional.

7 Is that okay?

8 A. That's great, thank you.

9 Q. Have you read this document?

10 A. Yes, I have. I've -- yeah, it looks different
11 than the hard copy, but yes, I've read this.

12 Q. And you've read it cover to cover?

13 A. I have.

14 Q. So the provisional has two sets of page
15 numbers. If you turn to page 3 of 40, you can see that
16 there's a --

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. -- page number 1, but it also says page 3 of
19 40.

20 A. I see that.

21 Q. When I refer to page numbers, I'll be -- I'll
22 be referring to the page numbers of 40.

23 A. Okay, sure.

24 Q. I'd like you to turn to page 5 of the
25 provisional application.

1 A. Okay.

2 Q. And I'm at the second paragraph that starts
3 with Acronyms.

4 A. I see that.

5 Q. And can you read the first -- first entry
6 there?

7 A. Sure. NUG, N-U-G, nonuniform grid point.

8 Q. And so the '379 provisional application
9 discloses that an NUG is a nonuniform grid point.

10 Is that correct?

11 A. Yes. It's a -- defines an NUG as a nonuniform
12 grid point.

13 Q. I'd like to turn back to your declaration,
14 paragraph 67.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. At page 24, we talked about the first block
17 quote there from Exhibit 1005.

18 Do you see that?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And Exhibit 1005 is the supplemental
21 provisional.

22 A. Okay, thank you.

23 Q. And so you cite to a portion, this portion of
24 the '379 provisional at page 7.

25 Do you see that?

1 A. Yes, I do, though I'm not sure which page 7.

2 Q. It's the page 7 of 40.

3 A. Okay.

4 Q. And the language reproduced in the block quote
5 in your declaration I believe corresponds to the last
6 paragraph of page 7.

7 Do you see that?

8 A. Yes, I see that.

9 Q. Is this the only portion of the provisional
10 application that is relevant to determining the
11 construction of a nonuniform grid point?

12 A. You know, I -- I don't recall. It's a, you
13 know, 40-page document, and I believe nonuniform grid
14 point is discussed elsewhere. You know, for example,
15 the bottom three paragraphs of page 7 of 40 are talking
16 about nonuniform grid.

17 Q. Bottom three paragraphs of page?

18 A. 7 of 40.

19 Q. 7 of 40? Okay.

20 A. Right. They all seem to be referring to NUGs.
21 You know, there's also, for example, the bottom two
22 paragraphs of page 8 of 40. And so, you know, I don't
23 recall all the places where NUG is discussed in this
24 document. I see page 11 of 40.

25 Q. Did you consider the disclosures in the

1 provisional application beyond the block quote that you
2 produced -- reproduced in your declaration in coming to
3 your understanding of what a nonuniform grid point is?

4 A. Yes. I read the entire document, you know,
5 and considered it with the other documents in the
6 intrinsic record in forming my opinions.

7 Q. And you mentioned other portions of the
8 provisional application that mention NUGs as being
9 relevant to the construction.

10 Is that correct?

11 A. I don't believe that's what I said. I said
12 there were other places in the document where NUGs are
13 discussed. I would have to, you know, refresh my memory
14 on those as to, you know, which may or may not be
15 relevant to, you know, my understanding of or my opinion
16 as to, you know, what a nonuniform grid point is.

17 Q. So you mentioned the bottom two paragraphs of
18 page 8 of 40 as mentioning NUGs.

19 A. And yes, it's this one. You know, sitting
20 here, I'm just, in responding to your question, I was
21 pointing out that NUG appears in various places --

22 Q. Yeah.

23 A. -- including those two paragraphs.

24 Q. And, in fact, NUG appears in each of the
25 paragraphs at page 8 of 40.

1 Is that correct?

2 A. Yes, that appears to be the case.

3 Q. And NUG appears also in the bottom three
4 paragraphs at page 7 of 40.

5 Do you see that?

6 A. Yes, I do.

7 Q. So are -- you picked out the last paragraph of
8 page 7 of 40 as your support for your arriving at a
9 construction.

10 Is that correct?

11 A. That's the paragraph that I abstracted in my
12 declaration, yes.

13 Q. And you mentioned the other mentions of --
14 strike that.

15 You mentioned that the other references to
16 NUGs in the provisional application may or may not be
17 relevant to the construction of the term.

18 Is that correct?

19 A. Well, you know, I cite various places. You
20 know, that's not my only cite to that one block quote
21 that we looked at. It's not the only cite in this
22 section to the provisional. There are, you know, other
23 cites throughout the section.

24 Q. What are the cites?

25 A. Let's see. So start with the -- well, there's

1 a cite on page 20, three lines from the bottom.

2 There's, you know, there's a cite in page 23 about eight
3 lines from the bottom. There are numerous cites. For
4 example, page 25, page 26, so -- and throughout this
5 section, I've cited to, you know, various portions of
6 the original application.

7 Q. Were the portions of the provisional
8 application that were cited in your declaration the only
9 portions that were relevant to the construction of the
10 term "nonuniform grid point"?

11 A. This is the -- I believe we're in the
12 Background of The Technology section at this point. And
13 so these were, you know, providing the background of the
14 technology in the '104 and related patents. And I, you
15 know, as I mentioned, I did read the entire provisional,
16 you know. And that and the rest of the intrinsic record
17 is what I used to form my opinions.

18 And, you know, I've cited in various places to
19 various documents in the intrinsic record supporting my
20 opinions. And if, you know, if there were something
21 that I felt was, you know, particularly relevant to my
22 opinion, I tried to cite to it. But the fact that I
23 didn't, you know, cite to something doesn't mean that I
24 hadn't read it.

25 Q. Mr. Sturza, did you write your report?

1 A. Yes. It's my report. I've signed it. I've,
2 you know, provided it under, you know, penalty of
3 perjury. It's my report.

4 Q. My question was: Did you write your report?

5 A. Well, you know, there's a process involved in
6 creating the report. I discussed my opinions with
7 counsel. I, you know, developed a draft. Drafts went
8 back and forth. More discussion and drafts and, you
9 know, editing, cleanup, and getting the cites right.
10 And so yes, I mean, you know, as I said, it's my report.

11 Q. And how did you arrive at these particular
12 citations to the provisional application as being most
13 relevant to developing your understanding of
14 construction of the term "nonuniform grid point"?

15 A. So during, you know, my initial review and
16 then discussion with counsel, as I articulated my
17 thinking, and, you know, the results ended up as the
18 report.

19 Q. So during your initial review of the
20 provisional application, how did you arrive at the
21 specific parts of the provisional application that you
22 cited in your report?

23 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. I'll
24 instruct the witness not to reveal any potentially
25 privileged information.

1 A. So, you know, I don't, you know, recall how
2 each individual quote or citation arrived. It's -- you
3 know, some of them, I identified; some, you know, were
4 identified through the process. It was a, you know,
5 back-and-forth kind of editing process so I can't, you
6 know, specifically with regard to any citation, you
7 know, know exactly how it got there.

8 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

9 Q. So you mentioned that there are several
10 paragraphs, just moving to the page 7, page 8 subsection
11 of the provisional application, that mention the term
12 "NUG."

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. And so I'm trying to understand why -- why was
15 there a specific focus on this last paragraph on page 7,
16 which seems to be cited numerous times in your report?

17 A. Again, I don't have a detailed recollection of
18 how everything came together. But, you know, this is
19 a -- you know, really, the relevant section here as to,
20 you know, generating the location estimate using NUGs
21 obtained over a region. That's sort of the heading
22 above the bottom of -- oh, I'm sorry. I'm on the wrong
23 page.

24 So yeah, the heading here, which is on page 5
25 of 40, a method for generating nonuniform grid from

1 calibration data. And I'm -- this is a relative
2 illustrative paragraph that I included in my Technology
3 Overview section.

4 Q. So I believe you mentioned at page 5 -- strike
5 that.

6 I believe you were looking at page 5 of the
7 provisional application and the heading you mentioned,
8 is that what you mean?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And that heading says: A method for
11 generating nonuniform grid points (NUGs) from
12 calibration data.

13 Do you see that?

14 A. Yes, I do.

15 Q. And so what follows on four pages, essentially
16 pages 5 to page 8 --

17 A. Uh-huh.

18 Q. -- in the provisional application --

19 A. Sure.

20 Q. -- all of that disclosure in that section
21 relates to a method for generating nonuniform grid
22 points, NUGs, from calibration data. Correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. And so all those disclosures are irrelevant to
25 development of an understanding of what a nonuniform

1 grid point is. Correct?

2 A. Yes, you know, the entire document as well as
3 the other, you know, intrinsic evidence.

4 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Can we take a break when
5 you get a chance?

6 ATTORNEY LEE: We can take a break. Off the
7 record.

8 THE REPORTER: We're off the record for a
9 break.

10 (Recess taken.)

11 ATTORNEY LEE: Mr. Sturza, I'm handing to you
12 Exhibit 1008 from IPR2023-01209.

13 (Exhibit No. 1008, previously marked, was
14 referenced and indexed.)

15 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

16 Q. Do you recognize this document?

17 A. Yes. This is the '753 patent.

18 Q. Okay. So I'd like you to also pull up
19 Exhibit 2020 from IPR2023-01209 --

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. -- which is your declaration from the '104
22 proceeding.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And I'd like you to turn to paragraph 69.

25 A. Okay.

1 Q. So in paragraph 69, after the first sentence,
2 there is a citation to Exhibit 1005.

3 Do you see that?

4 A. Yes, I do.

5 Q. And then after that citation there is a
6 citation to Exhibit 1008.

7 Do you see that?

8 A. Yes, I do.

9 Q. And Exhibit 1008 is the '753 patent that I
10 just handed to you a moment ago.

11 Do you see that?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. And what portion of Exhibit 1008 do you
14 cite to there?

15 A. So this is a cite to 10-66 to 11-6.

16 Q. I was talking about the cite right before
17 that. It's on the fourth line of paragraph 69.

18 A. Yeah, okay. So 10-44 to 59.

19 Q. Yes. Okay.

20 And then there's another statement that
21 follows that citation. And then after that statement,
22 there's another citation to Exhibit 1005.

23 Do you see that?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And then there's a second citation to

1 Exhibit 1008 that began as the '753 patent.

2 Do you see that?

3 A. Yes, I do.

4 Q. Okay. And what does that second citation cite
5 to?

6 A. So that's the one to 10-66 through 11-6.

7 Q. Okay. So you'll note that you cite to column
8 10, lines 44 to 59.

9 A. Uh-huh.

10 Q. And you also cite to column 10, lines 66 to
11 column 11, line 6 of the '753 patent.

12 Do you see that?

13 A. Yes, I do.

14 Q. So there is a -- if you could turn to column
15 10 of the '753 patent --

16 A. Uh-huh.

17 Q. -- you do not cite to column 10, lines 60 to
18 65. Correct?

19 A. Not here, no.

20 Q. And do you recall if you considered this
21 disclosure, column 10 -- at column 10, lines 60 to 65 of
22 the '753 patent, when arriving at your opinion?

23 A. Let me take a look at that. In the overview
24 of the '104 patent section of my declaration, and at
25 the -- yeah, so 10-44 to 59 and 66 to 11-6, so these

1 cites are what I found that are relevant to providing an
2 overview of the '104 patent.

3 Q. Is column 10, lines 60 to 65, relevant to
4 developing an understanding of what a nonuniform grid
5 point is?

6 A. Well, I think the, you know, the totality of
7 the intrinsic evidence is relevant here and, you know,
8 this is part of that. If it had any, you know,
9 particular relevance, I would have cited it in the, you
10 know, discussion on the claim construction.

11 I didn't cite it in my section of my
12 declaration providing an overview of the '104 patent.
13 You know, I have -- you know, I don't believe I provided
14 an opinion as to the relevance of these particular
15 lines.

16 Q. Okay. And starting at column 10, line 61,
17 there's a sentence that starts "Thus, one."

18 Do you see that?

19 A. "Thus, one," yes.

20 Q. Can you read that sentence aloud, please?

21 A. Sure. Thus, one or more of the adjacent
22 calibration points may either wind up having all their
23 data accumulated into a single NUG or, at the other
24 extreme, each such calibration point may become the root
25 of a separate NUG.

1 Q. So based on this disclosure, each calibration
2 point can become the root of a separate NUG. Correct?

3 A. Yes. Each calibration point may become the
4 root of a separate NUG.

5 Q. I'd like you to turn to paragraph 64 of your
6 declaration.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. And there's a Figure 6 that is reproduced on
9 page 22.

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Do you see that?

12 A. Yes, I do.

13 Q. What does this figure show?

14 A. So this shows three NUGs: NUG1, NUG2, and
15 NUG3.

16 Q. And is NUG1 a nonuniform grid point?

17 A. Yes. NUG1 is a nonuniform grid point.

18 Q. Is NUG2 a nonuniform grid point?

19 A. Yeah, it's just -- you know, looking a little
20 above the figure, geographical region R having NUGs
21 represented by NUG1, NUG2, and NUG3.

22 Q. So is NUG2 a nonuniform grid point?

23 A. Well, just to be, you know, careful with
24 language, the nonuniform grid points are represented by
25 these colored areas on the map.

1 Q. And the NUG2 label in Figure 6 is pointing to
2 a particular colored area.

3 Is that correct?

4 A. Yes, that's correct.

5 Q. And the term "NUG2" refers to a nonuniform
6 grid point.

7 Is that correct?

8 A. Well, again, you know, quoting from the '784
9 patent where this figure comes from, the NUGs are
10 represented by NUG1, NUG2, and NUG3.

11 Q. Is a NUG a nonuniform grid point?

12 A. Yes, a NUG is a nonuniform grid point.

13 Q. Is NUG2 a nonuniform grid point?

14 A. Well, the drawing, which has -- in green,
15 which has the label NUG2, that -- that represents a
16 nonuniform grid point.

17 Q. So you earlier said that NUG1 is a nonuniform
18 grid point.

19 I am now asking you: Is NUG2 a nonuniform
20 grid point?

21 A. Well, you know, to be precise, I may have
22 misspoke earlier. And, you know, again looking at the
23 language from the '784 patent, we're told that NUG1,
24 NUG2, and NUG3 represent NUGs.

25 Q. So is NUG2 a nonuniform grid point?

1 A. Well, NUG2 represents a nonuniform grid point.

2 Q. Okay. Does NUG3 represent a nonuniform grid
3 point?

4 A. Yes. NUG3 represents a nonuniform grid point.

5 Q. Does NUG1 represent a nonuniform grid point?

6 A. Yes. NUG1 represents a nonuniform grid point.

7 Q. How many calibration points are in NUG1?

8 A. We have -- I have no idea. It's nothing that
9 I opined upon, it's nothing -- I don't recall anything
10 in the patent that provided a number of points. So
11 without referring to the '784 patent, which I don't
12 think provides any clarity, you know, I have no idea how
13 many points.

14 ATTORNEY LEE: I'm handing to you Exhibit 2013
15 from IPR2023-01209.

16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

17 (Exhibit No. 2013, previously marked, was
18 referenced and indexed.)

19 ATTORNEY LEE: I gave you two, actually. And
20 I'm also handing to you Exhibit 1007 from IPR2023-01210,
21 and IPR2023-01211. It uses the same exhibit as the
22 1007.

23 (Exhibit No. 1007, previously marked, was
24 referenced and indexed.)

25 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

1 Q. Do you recognize this document?

2 A. These appear to be the same document, and they
3 appear to be the '784 patent.

4 Q. Going back to your declaration at Figure 6,
5 and you now have the '784 patent in front of you.
6 Correct?

7 A. Yes, I do.

8 Q. And Figure 6 at page 22 of your declaration is
9 the Figure 6 of the '784 patent.

10 Is that correct?

11 A. Yes, although there's no color in the Figure 6
12 in this document.

13 Q. Right. So in NUG1 in Figure 6, there is an
14 element number 2.

15 Do you see that?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17 Q. What is element 2?

18 A. Looking at the '784 patent, I'm not seeing the
19 reference to that element.

20 Q. If you turn to column 6, line 21 of the '784
21 patent, that could be helpful.

22 A. Yes, thank you. So MS2, the mobile stations.
23 The MS are mobile stations, so 2 in Figure 6 appears to
24 be a mobile station.

25 Q. And what is element 21 in NUG1?

1 A. It appears to be a base station.

2 Q. And can you read the sentence beginning at
3 column 6, line 21 of the '784 patent beginning "A NUG
4 may be"?

5 A. A NUG may be generated to represent the signal
6 characteristics and calibration data over a given
7 region.

8 Q. And if you can skip down to line 24, can you
9 read the sentence beginning "The NUGs represent"?

10 A. The NUGs represent an area of collected
11 calibrate data based on data collected via data
12 collection devices and/or signals transmitted from the
13 mobile stations, MSs, identified within the geographical
14 region of the NUG, e.g., MS2 and BS21, NUG1.

15 Q. You can stop there.

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. So what is the calibration data that is in
18 NUG1?

19 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

20 A. So I don't believe that I, you know, as we
21 discussed, I provided an opinion on what calibration
22 data, you know, contains. We've kind of discussed some
23 examples from the various patents.

24 In this case, we see on line 35, column 6,
25 network measurements reports may be used to represent

1 the current estimated location or signal characteristics
2 of an MS. It doesn't exactly say calibration.

3 So, you know, as I mentioned earlier, there
4 are some examples in the intrinsic record of calibration
5 data. I'd have to reread more of the '784 to answer
6 specifically with respect to that.

7 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

8 Q. Is the calibration data associated with mobile
9 station 2 a calibration point?

10 A. The -- well, going back to column 6 around 24,
11 the NUGs represent an area of collected calibration data
12 based on data collected via data collection devices
13 and/or signals transmitted over the mobile stations
14 identified within the geographical region of -- and
15 that's where we see the MS2 reference.

16 So I'm not -- I didn't provide an opinion
17 on -- I don't believe I did, as to what -- whether point
18 2 in Figure 6 is a calibration data point. And I think
19 it's not -- you know, from the excerpt I just read from
20 column 6, you know, I would need to take more time to
21 study the patent and the rest of the record.

22 Q. I'd like you to turn to paragraph 70 of your
23 declaration.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. And I'd like you to go to the sixth line on

1 that paragraph.

2 A. Okay.

3 Q. And there's a sentence that starts "If
4 clusters."

5 A. I see that.

6 Q. Can you read that sentence for me, please?

7 A. If clusters of data collected at different
8 locations, referred to as calibration points, are
9 statistically very similar, then the data for those
10 calibration points is allocated to the same grid point.

11 Q. What is a calibration point?

12 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Scope.

13 A. Well, it's not -- a calibration point is not
14 something I provided an opinion on. Again, we're in the
15 overview of the '104 patent section, I believe. And so,
16 you know, really, it's nothing that I've provided an
17 opinion on.

18 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

19 Q. Do you have an understanding of what a
20 calibration point is?

21 A. Well, on this background section, you know,
22 referring to the sentence I just read, if clusters of
23 data collected at different locations (referred to as
24 calibration points) so, you know, this is indicating
25 that calibration points are data collected at different

1 locations. But that's just, you know, reading from this
2 background section and not, you know, an opinion I
3 provided.

4 Q. Well, you use the term "calibration point,"
5 don't you?

6 A. I do.

7 Q. But you don't understand what that --

8 A. Well, I --

9 Q. -- term means.

10 A. I use the -- the term "calibration data."

11 And, you know, a calibration point is data collected at
12 different locations, but that's not, you know, something
13 I provided a construction for.

14 Q. So do you have an understanding of what a
15 calibration point is?

16 A. Well, you know, I didn't, you know, provide an
17 opinion in my report as to what a calibration point is.
18 You know, as we've seen in this overview section, data
19 collected at different locations is referred to as
20 calibration points.

21 Q. Is data collected at a particular location a
22 calibration point?

23 A. Well, it's calibration data.

24 Q. In that sentence you just read, does it say
25 "calibration data" or "calibration points"?

1 A. Again, this is not an opinion I'm providing,
2 this is an overview of the technology section. And, you
3 know, what it -- what it says, you know, clusters of
4 data collected at different locations (referred to as
5 calibration points). But I'm not, you know, providing
6 any opinion on calibration points.

7 Q. Right. And I'm just trying to understand what
8 a calibration point is when you yourself used that term
9 in your declaration.

10 You used the term "calibration point" in your
11 declaration. You stated the term "calibration point" in
12 your declaration and so I'm just trying to get an
13 understanding of what your understanding was of the
14 meaning of the term you yourself used.

15 What is the meaning of the term "calibration
16 point"?

17 A. And, you know, again, I'm not providing an
18 opinion as to how to construct or construe "calibration
19 point." What I am saying in the technology overview is
20 that, you know, this is how calibration points are used,
21 you know, in this particular example from the intrinsic
22 record.

23 Q. Can the calibration point be the same as a
24 nonuniform grid point?

25 A. Well, it's not something I've thought about,

1 not something I've provided an opinion on. You know, in
2 my opinion, a nonuniform grid point is a point
3 associated with representative calibration data for an
4 area whose boundaries are determined from evaluation of
5 the calibration data.

6 Q. And the construction you just read, can that
7 be satisfied by a calibration point?

8 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to scope.

9 A. Well, I -- here again, I haven't provided any
10 opinion on calibration point so, you know, I really
11 can't answer that.

12 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

13 Q. So when you used the word "calibration point"
14 in your declaration, you did not have any specific
15 meaning of "calibration point" in mind.

16 Is that correct?

17 A. Well, again, I'm using it, you know, where we
18 have been looking in the over -- in the overview section
19 for the '104 patent, not in discussion of, you know,
20 forming my opinions.

21 And, you know, I'm using it referenced to the
22 various documents in the intrinsic record where, you
23 know, that term is used.

24 Q. In that same paragraph, we're at paragraph 70,
25 I'm looking at the fourth line from the bottom of the

1 paragraph. It begins "Because the."

2 Do you see that sentence?

3 A. Yes, I do.

4 Q. Can you read that sentence?

5 A. Because the geometrical shape of the area
6 represented by a grid point is defined by the union of
7 locations of calibration points allocated to it, this
8 process of evaluating the calibration data in order to
9 allocate it to different grid points defines the
10 boundaries of the area.

11 Q. What do you mean by, quote, "the union of
12 locations"?

13 A. Well, you know, again, we're in the technology
14 overview section, and this is a quote from -- I think
15 the 108 is the provisional, and then I don't recall what
16 the 105 is, but it's -- it's language that was used that
17 I'm showing here to provide an overview of the
18 technology.

19 Q. Do you have an understanding of the meaning of
20 "the union of locations"?

21 A. I've not provided an opinion on that.

22 Q. But do, you sitting here today, do you have an
23 understanding of the union -- strike that.

24 Sitting here today, do you have an
25 understanding of the meaning of the term "the union of

1 locations"?

2 A. Well, you know, I have a general
3 understanding --

4 Q. What is that general understanding?

5 A. -- of -- allow me to finish, please. I have a
6 general understanding of union of locations but, you
7 know, I haven't considered that in terms of the
8 intrinsic record here. So, you know, I'm prepared to
9 provide an opinion of what it means, you know,
10 specifically in this context.

11 You know, in general, a union of locations is
12 a collection of locations.

13 Q. So when you say, quote, "defined by the union
14 of locations of calibration points," what does that
15 mean?

16 A. Well, again, that's -- I don't believe these
17 are my words. I believe these are a quote from one of
18 the documents in the intrinsic record, or two of them.
19 And, you know, I'd have to go back and, you know,
20 evaluate that in the context, you know, to give you a,
21 you know, a -- to provide an opinion on that.

22 Q. So at the end of that sentence, you cite page
23 7 of Exhibit 1005 --

24 A. Uh-huh.

25 Q. -- and a portion of column 12 of Exhibit 1008.

1 Do you see that?

2 A. Yes, I do.

3 Q. I believe you have those exhibits before you.
4 Correct?

5 A. Yeah, I believe so. I think, let's see, the
6 105 I believe is the provisional, and I'm not sure which
7 one 108 is.

8 Q. The '753 patent.

9 A. Okay, I have those in front of me.

10 Q. So earlier, you stated that you would need to
11 go back and evaluate that in the context to provide an
12 opinion on the meaning of "union of locations of
13 calibration points." I'd like you to go back to the
14 context of the exhibits that you cited explicitly in
15 your declaration --

16 A. Uh-huh.

17 Q. -- and review the portions of the intrinsic
18 evidence that you relied on to make the statement that
19 you did and let me know what the meaning of "the union
20 of locations" is.

21 A. I'll take a look.

22 Well, taking a quick look at the '753 patent,
23 in column 12 around line 10 is: Union of locations of
24 calibration points assigned to the NUG.

25 And then, you know, going to the next

1 paragraph, starts: Additionally, one may also consider
2 the method of generating NUGs based on.

3 And so, you know, just limited review, this
4 appears to be an embodiment of NUGs, an embodiment of,
5 you know, of -- one embodiment of the invention in the
6 '753.

7 And, you know, again, I haven't, you know,
8 evaluated "union of locations of calibration points,"
9 you know, in the full context of the intrinsic record,
10 you know, nor have I provided an opinion as to, you
11 know, what that means.

12 Q. And so sitting here today, you do not have an
13 understanding of what the term "union of locations of
14 calibration points" means.

15 Is that correct?

16 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to the form.

17 A. Well, you know, again, this is the '104 Patent
18 Overview section where I'm providing, you know, a
19 technology overview of the '104 patent. I'm not
20 providing an opinion here. And, you know, I'm
21 providing -- I've included a quotation from the '753
22 patent, it appears to be. And, you know, beyond, you
23 know, what it says here, you know, I have no opinion as
24 to union of locations of calibration points.

25 Q. I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 2013 from

1 IPR2023-01209. It's the '784 patent.

2 A. Okay.

3 Q. Column 3, line 12.

4 A. Okay, the '784 patent, column 3, line 12.

5 Q. Column 3, line 12.

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. And there's a sentence that starts "Figure 6."
8 Do you see that?

9 A. Yes, I do.

10 Q. Can you read that sentence for me?

11 A. Figure 6 illustrates an exemplary nonuniform
12 grid, NUG, region R.

13 Q. And so Figure 6 illustrates an exemplary
14 nonuniform grid NUG region. Correct?

15 A. That's the figure title, yes.

16 Q. And do you agree with that?

17 A. Well, that's a brief description of the figure
18 which shows, you know, some regions that represent
19 nonuniform grid points. Seems like a fair
20 characterization.

21 Q. I'd like you to turn back to that figure.
22 We're at page 33, paragraph 84. I'm at page 33,
23 paragraph 84, and I'm about halfway down the page.
24 There's a sentence that begins "Thus, the intrinsic."
25 Do you see that?

1 A. "The intrinsic"?

2 Q. "Thus, the intrinsic."

3 A. Thus? Yes, I see that, "Thus."

4 Q. Can you read that sentence for me?

5 A. Thus, the intrinsic evidence supports a
6 construction that nonuniform grid point is a point
7 associated with representative calibration data for an
8 area whose boundaries are determined from the evaluation
9 of the calibration data.

10 Q. And so the only way for a point to be
11 considered to be a nonuniform grid point is that the
12 point must be associated with representative calibration
13 data for an area whose boundaries are determined from
14 evaluation of the calibration data.

15 Is that correct?

16 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to form.

17 A. Yeah, I believe that's correct. Nonuniform
18 grid point, a point associated with representative
19 calibration data for an area whose boundaries are
20 determined from evaluation of the calibration data.

21 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

22 Q. And my question is: And that is the only way
23 that a point can satisfy a nonuniform grid point.

24 Correct?

25 A point must have the characteristics that you

1 just described in order to be a nonuniform grid point.
2 That is the only way that a point can be a nonuniform
3 grid point. Is that correct?

4 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to form.

5 A. Well, so a nonuniform grid point is a point
6 associated with representative calibration data for an
7 area whose boundaries are determined from evaluation of
8 the calibration data so that that would be a nonuniform
9 grid point.

10 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

11 Q. Yeah, I understand that that would satisfy the
12 nonuniform grid point.

13 My question is: That is the only way to
14 satisfy a nonuniform grid point. Isn't that correct?

15 A. Well, I would say that is -- that is what a
16 nonuniform grid point is.

17 Q. And it's the -- it's the only way to satisfy
18 the term "nonuniform grid point."

19 Is that correct?

20 A. Well, I would say if that's -- if it's not,
21 you know, point associated with representative
22 calibration for an area --

23 (Reporter clarification.)

24 A. So if it's not a point associated with
25 representative calibration data for an area whose

1 boundaries are determined from evaluation of the
2 calibration data, then, you know, it -- it wouldn't be a
3 nonuniform grid point.

4 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

5 Q. It cannot be under any circumstances a
6 nonuniform grid point?

7 A. Well, I don't -- I don't believe I provided an
8 opinion on that, and that -- you know, I'm not sure if
9 that's -- if -- if there's some, you know, applicable
10 law involved in that.

11 You know, what I can say is that a, you know,
12 a nonuniform grid point is a point associated with
13 representative calibration data for an area whose
14 boundaries are determined from evaluation of the
15 calibration data. And, you know, that's what a
16 nonuniform grid point is.

17 Q. And what I'm trying to get an understanding of
18 is, this is the only way that a point can qualify as a
19 nonuniform grid point. Isn't that correct?

20 Or is it your opinion that there are other
21 ways that a point can be a nonuniform grid point?

22 A. I'm not --

23 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Object to form.

24 A. I'm not aware of other ways. I mean, this is,
25 you know, my construction based on my review of the

1 intrinsic record, and my experience. And this is what a
2 nonuniform grid point is. And if something is not a
3 point associated with representative calibration data
4 for an area whose boundaries are determined from
5 evaluation of the calibration data, then that's not what
6 I would consider a nonuniform grid point.

7 ATTORNEY LEE: Ready to take a break?

8 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Okay.

9 (Recess taken.)

10 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

11 Q. Mr. Sturza, I'd like you to turn to paragraph
12 49 of your declaration, Exhibit 2020 in IPR2023-01209.

13 A. 49, okay.

14 Q. The heading above that is Background Of The
15 Technology.

16 Do you see that?

17 A. Yes, I do.

18 Q. And here, you describe the background of the
19 '104 patent family.

20 Is that correct?

21 A. Yes, that's correct.

22 Q. And at paragraph 52, page 14 --

23 A. Uh-huh.

24 Q. -- could you read the first two sentences
25 there?

1 A. Sure. At the time of the invention,
2 geolocation using RF signals, e.g., cellular data as
3 opposed to, for example, GPS information, was of
4 interest in the field. Many methods attempted to use RF
5 signals to geolocate mobile devices but generally had
6 problems, including limited accuracy.

7 Q. Okay. Then if we go to paragraph 55, if you
8 could read that, the first sentence of paragraph 55,
9 please.

10 A. There are a number of reasons calibration data
11 may not correspond to the data observed by a mobile
12 device at the time it is seeking its location even where
13 the same stations are involved.

14 Q. If you could read the rest of that paragraph
15 for me, please.

16 A. For example, variations in the environment,
17 e.g., weather, can also affect the observed data. One
18 potential reason for discrepancies is that the mobile
19 data, the mobile device, was indoors when it collected
20 the observed data.

21 Q. And then finally, if you can read paragraph
22 56, please.

23 A. Sure. The inventors of the '104 patent
24 realized that calibration data is typically collected in
25 an outdoor environment because it is more time-consuming

1 to perform calibration procedures indoors due to the
2 required access to buildings and the inability to
3 utilize automated collection procedures designed for
4 outdoor environments. There's a reference. However,
5 many mobile devices that require geolocation are located
6 indoors where the signal strengths of signals received
7 from the serving and/or neighboring base stations tend
8 to be lower than the strength of the signals received by
9 a wireless device located outdoors. A reference. Thus,
10 calibration data collected outdoors may not necessarily
11 approximate signals received indoors; therefore,
12 geolocation for mobile devices located indoors may not
13 be accurate.

14 Q. Okay. And now we're at paragraph 57, and if
15 you could read the first two sentences there, please.

16 A. Sure. The inventors solved this problem by
17 modifying the data observed by a mobile device to have a
18 more accurate comparison to the stored calibration data.
19 A reference. As described below, the important part of
20 this solution is the generation and use of nonuniform
21 grid points, NUGs, of varying shapes and sizes as part
22 of the calibration database. And there's a reference.

23 Q. So referring to that very last statement that
24 you read, how is the generation and use of nonuniform
25 grid points an important part of the solution?

1 A. Well, this is a background section on the
2 invention, and a component of the invention is the use
3 of the nonuniform grid points of varying shapes and
4 sizes. And I'm not sure what more I can say about that.

5 Q. So you state that the generation and use of
6 nonuniform grid points is an important part of the
7 solution. Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And the solution is the solution to the
10 various technical problems that you got through reading.

11 A. Well, just --

12 Q. Do you understand that?

13 A. Yeah, just to be precise, it ended with: As
14 discussed below, an important part of this solution is
15 the geolocation -- generation and use of nonuniform grid
16 points, NUGs, of varying degree -- shapes and sizes as
17 part of a calibration database.

18 Q. Okay, right.

19 And so how is the generation and use of
20 nonuniform grid points of varying shapes and sizes as
21 parts of a calibration database, how is that an
22 important part to the solution to the various problems
23 that you led us through?

24 A. Well, you know, it's not something I
25 specifically opined on as part of my declaration. It

1 is, as I mentioned, you know, a key component of the
2 invention as described in the intrinsic record.
3 Nonuniform grid points are used throughout.

4 Q. And why is it important -- why is it an
5 important part of the solution? I'm just reading from
6 your declaration: An important part of this solution is
7 the generation and use of nonuniform grid points of
8 varying shapes and sizes as part of the calibration
9 database.

10 I'm just reading your declaration.

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. I'm just -- I'm wondering why. Why is the
13 generation and use of nonuniform grid points, why is
14 that a, quote, "important part" of this solution to the
15 problems that you just read?

16 A. Well, here again, it's an important part of
17 the intrinsic record. It's something that's claimed in
18 the inventions, particularly the claims that I looked
19 at. And it was, you know, clearly important to the
20 inventors. But I haven't done an independent analysis
21 of the relative importance of the various parts of the
22 invention so I have no opinion on that.

23 Q. So if something is claimed in the inventions,
24 is that something necessarily an important part to
25 addressing the technical problem?

1 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form.

2 A. Well, you know, it's -- it's certainly, you
3 know, from the intrinsic record is an important part of
4 this invention. A lot of time is spent on nonuniform
5 grid points in the intrinsic record, you know, and it's
6 something that's claimed. So, you know, I think it's
7 fair to say it's an important part.

8 As I said, I haven't done an independent
9 analysis of relative importance of the concepts or claim
10 terms.

11 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

12 Q. So I'm specifically focused -- you seem --
13 strike that.

14 You seem to be addressing a question of
15 whether a nonuniform grid point -- nonuniform grid point
16 is an important part of the invention, but I'm asking a
17 different question.

18 I'm asking you, how is the generation and use
19 of a nonuniform grid point an important part to the
20 solution of the technical problems that you describe in
21 your declaration?

22 That's the question I'm asking, not the
23 question of why is nonuniform grid point important to
24 the case. I'm asking you, why is a nonuniform grid
25 point important to -- an important part of the solution

1 to the problems that you describe?

2 That's my question.

3 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.

4 A. Yes, but again, it's not something, you know,
5 I provided an opinion on. It's not something in my
6 declaration.

7 BY ATTORNEY LEE:

8 Q. So sitting here today, you cannot provide any
9 explanation as to why the generation and use of a
10 nonuniform grid point is an important part to the
11 solution addressing the technical problems raised in
12 your declaration.

13 Is that correct?

14 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Objection. Form. Scope.

15 A. That's -- you know, I spent a lot of time with
16 the intrinsic record to form the opinions that I've
17 included in my declaration, and that's something that I,
18 you know, I did not form a conclusion on. It was not
19 something that I considered. So sitting here now, I
20 have, you know, no answer for you.

21 ATTORNEY LEE: Okay, I think we're done here.
22 Let's go off the record.

23 THE REPORTER: We are off the record.

24 (Discussion held off the record.)

25 ATTORNEY McBRIDE: Okay. Thank you,

1 Mr. Sturza. I have no further questions. I do want to
2 put on the record that I don't recall if this was at the
3 beginning of the IPR, but this transcript is for
4 IPR2023-001209, -001210 and -001211. After this
5 deposition concludes, the parties are going to proceed
6 for the deposition in IPR2023-001212 covering the '753
7 patent. And the parties have stipulated that the two
8 transcripts can be used across all four IPRs and what is
9 done in the 01212 IPR -- I'm sorry, what has been
10 covered in this transcript doesn't need to be re-covered
11 in the 01212 transcript. Thank you very much. That's
12 all that I have.

13 ATTORNEY FEDOWITZ: Okay, we can go off the
14 record.


15
16 (Deposition adjourned at 2:49 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE -

I, MARY ANN PAYONK, shorthand reporter and Notary Public, CA CSR No. 13431, do hereby certify that the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth was sworn by agreement of all parties, that the proceedings were reported stenographically by me, and that this transcript is a true, correct, and full record of the testimony given.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or by marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 30th day of August, 2024.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mary Ann Payonk", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and cursive.

MARY ANN PAYONK, CSR #13431

Virginia Notary Registration No. 219251

My Commission expires 8/21/2026

INDEX TO WITNESSES

WITNESS	PAGE
---------	------

MARK A. STURZA	
----------------	--

Examination by Attorney Lee	4
-----------------------------	---

INDEX TO REFERENCED EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. 1001(A) '104 patent	30
---------------------------------	----

Exhibit No. 1001(B) '358 patent	31
---------------------------------	----

Exhibit No. 1001(C) '494 patent	31
---------------------------------	----

Exhibit No. 1005 '379 provisional application	87
---	----

Exhibit No. 1007 '784 patent	103
------------------------------	-----

Exhibit No. 1008 '753 patent	97
------------------------------	----

Exhibit No. 2013 '784 patent	103
------------------------------	-----

Exhibit No. 2020(A) Sturza declaration re	32
---	----

'104 patent	
-------------	--

Exhibit No. 2020(B) Sturza declaration re	33
---	----

'358 patent	
-------------	--

Exhibit No. 2020(C) Sturza declaration re	33
---	----

'494 patent	
-------------	--

Exhibit No. 2021 Sturza CV	13
----------------------------	----

ERRATA SHEET FOR TRANSCRIPT OF: MARK A. STURZA

CASE CAPTION: Google vs. Geoscope

DEPOSITION DATE: August 27, 2024

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Page _____ Line _____ Reason _____
From _____ to _____

Signature of Deponent

Date

1 I,

2 MARK A. STURZA,

3 state that I have read the foregoing deposition and
4 declare under penalty of perjury:

5 That the foregoing is my deposition under
6 oath;

7 That I have read same and have made the
8 necessary corrections, additions or changes to my
9 answers that I deem necessary;

10 That my answers as indicated are true and
11 correct.

12
13 Executed at _____
14 this _____ day of _____, 2024.

15
16 _____
17 MARK A. STURZA
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

WORD INDEX			
< \$ >	13 99:1	13431 2:10	2024 1:21
\$1,000 9:3	112:25 128:12	4:3 127:3, 17	2:4 127:14
\$10,000 9:6	103 128:11, 13	14 119:22	129:3 130:14
\$500 8:18	104 30:25	15 63:12	20-some 65:12
	31:16, 19, 23	1578 1:25	21 17:7
	32:1, 11	1737 3:8	104:20, 25
	33:2, 22	19 24:21	105:3
	34:1, 4, 10,		219251 127:18
< 0 >	18, 23, 25	< 2 >	22 101:9
001210 126:4	35:12 36:18,	2 13:19, 21	104:8
001211 126:4	22 38:25	15:19 16:19	22182 3:15
01212 126:9,	39:21 42:22	23:23 48:21	22314 3:9
11	48:22 51:6	51:5, 8 59:4,	23 60:4, 7,
	54:19, 25	10, 11, 15, 19,	22 67:8 93:2
< 1 >	55:16 56:12,	21, 22, 24	24 67:9
1 23:22	21 58:5, 19	60:9, 13, 18	89:16 105:8
35:12 36:5,	59:1, 10, 11,	62:18 63:3	106:10
8 38:3	15, 19 60:1	71:11, 14, 17	25 75:6 93:4
48:21, 25	63:17 64:15	104:14, 17, 23	26 93:4
49:10, 15	71:11, 15, 24	106:9, 18	27 1:21 2:4
59:5, 21, 22,	72:1 93:14	2:49 126:16	129:3
25 60:9, 15	97:21 99:24	20 8:2 9:7	270 3:14
63:3, 7 88:18	100:2, 12	10:19 11:17	
1,000 52:18	107:15	15:4 27:1	
10 8:2 16:9	110:19	61:9 76:19,	< 3 >
25:15, 25	114:17, 19	20 93:1	3 17:19
26:4 99:8,	119:19	2004 28:10	19:25 21:2
10, 15, 17, 21	120:23 128:7,	2006 28:10	24:10 28:22
100:3, 16	15	60:10	38:24 39:20
113:23	10-44 98:18	2009 60:10	43:3, 5
100 8:8, 10	99:25	2013 103:14,	88:15, 18
9:16	105 111:16	17 114:25	115:3, 4, 5
1001 30:19	113:6	128:13	30 128:7
31:2, 9	10-66 98:15	2020 32:20	30th 127:14
1001(A 30:20	99:6	33:4, 12	31 128:8, 9
128:7	108 111:15	43:8 58:18,	32 42:22
1001(B 31:3	113:7	22 97:19	43:7 62:4
128:8	11 24:20	119:12	83:13 128:14
1001(C 31:10	90:24 99:11	2020(A 32:22	33 115:22
128:9	11-6 98:15	128:14	128:16, 18
1005 87:19,	99:6, 25	2020(B 33:5	35 105:24
21 89:17, 20	12 10:18	128:16	358 31:7
98:2, 22	11:17 36:8	2020(C 33:13	33:10, 22
112:23 128:10	112:25	128:18	128:8, 17
1007 103:20,	113:23 115:3,	2021 13:12,	36 44:20
22, 23 128:11	4, 5	13 128:20	379 67:10
1008 97:12,	13 128:20	2023 29:11	68:5 69:18
13 98:6, 9,			

88:6 89:8,
24 128:10
39 71:7

< 4 >

4 16:5, 6
17:2 60:9,
14, 19 128:4
40 88:15, 19,
22 90:2, 15,
18, 19, 22, 24
91:18, 25
92:4, 8 95:25
40-page 90:13
44 99:8
45 12:21
45-plus 76:14
49 119:12, 13
494 31:14
33:18, 23
128:9, 19

< 5 >

5 14:19, 21
17:6 26:12
27:7 88:24
95:24 96:4,
6, 16
50 8:12
38:24 39:20
43:3, 5
500 3:8
52 119:22
53 63:12, 16
64:14
55 120:7, 8
56 120:22
57 121:14
59 98:18
99:8, 25

< 6 >

6 17:6
18:18 27:25
28:4 44:20
99:11 101:8
102:1 104:4,

8, 9, 11, 13,
20, 23 105:3,
24 106:10, 18,
20 115:7, 11,
13
60 99:17, 21
100:3
60899379 88:5
61 100:16
62 71:20
64 101:5
65 99:18, 21
100:3
66 99:10, 25
67 67:6
89:14
68 73:2, 3, 4
69 75:12
77:25 79:13
80:1 81:1
82:17 97:24
98:1, 17

< 7 >

7 89:24
90:1, 2, 6, 15,
18, 19 92:4,
8 95:10, 15
112:23
7,561,104 1:12
70 106:22
110:24
753 58:13
97:17 98:9
99:1, 11, 15,
22 113:8, 22
114:6, 21
126:6 128:12
784 102:8, 23
103:11 104:3,
5, 9, 18, 20
105:3 106:5
115:1, 4
128:11, 13

< 8 >

8 90:22
91:18, 25
95:10 96:16
8,400,358 1:14
8,786,494 1:16
8/21/2026
127:19
8:50 2:5
84 115:22, 23
8607 3:14
87 128:10

< 9 >

9 25:25 26:3
93 71:6
97 128:12

< A >

a.m 2:5
ability 5:12
able 5:9
23:15
abstract
35:11, 18
36:22
abstracted
92:11
access 121:2
accumulated
67:19, 21, 25
68:10 69:6,
15, 25 100:23
accuracy 35:5
120:6
accurate 5:9,
12 121:13, 18
acknowledge
2:12
Acronyms 89:3
action 127:10
activities
16:4, 8 17:3,
21 18:11
actual 44:23
ad 65:15
adaptive 26:20

additional
16:10 77:17
Additionally
114:1
additions
130:8
address 34:24
54:17 59:18,
25 85:11
addressed
75:20
addresses
32:11
addressing
14:15 35:1
123:25
124:14 125:11
adjacent
100:21
adjourned
126:16
adjustments
65:15
administered
2:14
administrations
16:14
adoption 16:10
advanced 24:3,
6, 19
advisor 14:1,
5, 11 15:20
16:25 17:14,
18 18:5
affect 120:17
ago 8:7
15:4 16:9
17:7 26:19
27:1 29:20
98:10
agree 41:12
43:3 56:23
68:5 115:16
agreement 2:8
127:5
ahead 22:4

aircraft 25:9, 18	125:20	44:2, 3, 6, 11, 12, 15, 16, 24	aspects 60:18 61:25
ALAN 4:4, 14	answered 77:9	45:4, 6, 9, 18	assigned
Alexandria 3:9	answering 29:19	47:12 52:1	113:24
algorithm 76:6	answers 5:24	53:19, 22	assistants
algorithms	130:9, 10	54:5, 7	36:14
26:23 60:20	anybody 7:16,	55:13 56:1	associated
75:22 76:15	19 12:16	62:14 65:6	38:10, 16
83:24	anymore 23:4	69:2, 10, 13,	39:12 40:4,
Alliance	APPEAL 1:2	17 73:9, 19,	11, 16, 23
26:13, 16, 21	appear 28:10	24 74:5, 9	41:4, 18, 22,
allocate 111:9	104:2, 3	83:15 102:2	25 42:3, 6, 8,
allocated	APPEARANCES	105:10	13 44:18
67:23 74:18	3:1	106:11 110:4	45:13, 17
107:10 111:7	appears 13:18	111:5, 10	46:16 47:17
allow 112:5	28:10 29:11	116:8, 13, 19	48:6, 7
aloud 13:25	30:24 31:7,	117:7, 22, 25	51:25 53:21
38:7 39:5,	14 33:1, 9,	118:13 119:4	55:12, 25
22 67:13	17 88:2	areas 29:2	62:13 69:1,
71:9 73:7	91:21, 24	44:22 79:2	6, 9 74:4
100:20	92:2, 3	101:25	83:14 106:8
ambiguity 43:5	104:23 105:1	arrival 38:12,	110:3 116:7,
amount 52:13	114:4, 22	21 56:24	12, 18 117:6,
53:4, 5	appliances	arrive 45:24	21, 24 118:12
67:20, 25	36:16	52:25 94:11,	119:3
68:9, 21, 25	applicable	20	assume 5:20
69:1, 5, 6, 19,	118:9	arrived 95:2	attempted
21, 24 70:1	application	arriving 92:8	120:4
analysis	88:3, 5, 6, 25	99:22	attention
19:12, 15, 20	89:8 90:10	art 35:4	61:21
21:22 75:8	91:1, 8	64:14 71:13	ATTORNEY 4:9
82:20 123:20	92:16 93:6,	articulated	9:20 10:4, 6
124:9	8 94:12, 20,	94:16	13:10, 16
analyzed 61:4	21 95:11	aside 11:1	19:1, 7
and/or 39:7,	96:7, 18	59:19, 24	20:15, 17, 22
16, 25 40:9,	128:10	65:17 77:13	22:9 23:1, 6
20 41:9, 13	approximate	asked 21:5	28:3, 16
42:11 105:12	121:11	22:11 59:8	29:17, 23
106:13 121:7	architecting	74:16 77:8	30:1, 9, 14,
Ann 1:24	60:16	asking 4:23	17, 22 31:1,
2:9 127:2, 17	architecture	39:24 50:8	5, 8, 12, 24
answer 6:1	27:17	55:7 56:3, 6	32:4, 19, 24
7:24 22:21	area 29:7	68:5 102:19	33:3, 7, 11,
30:2 82:4	39:12 40:5,	124:16, 18, 22,	15 34:3, 9,
86:24 87:7	12, 17, 24	24	12, 15 37:10,
106:5 110:11	41:5, 19, 23	aspect 18:22,	17 40:21
	42:1, 14	24	41:6 43:16,

19 44:7, 13,	audibly 6:1	25:19, 21	74:16 89:16
25 45:1, 7,	oughts 76:19	41:24 76:12	90:4 91:1
12, 15 47:9,	August 1:21	basis 59:13	92:20
13, 22, 24	2:4 127:14	Bay 65:6	blood 127:10
49:17, 20	129:3	began 99:1	BOARD 1:2
50:18, 21	automated	beginning	bodies 61:12
52:19, 23	121:3	39:22 105:2,	bottom 24:21
53:2, 6, 9, 11	available	3, 9 126:3	27:8 61:1
54:8, 11	15:1 33:20	begins 38:4	67:8 75:6
57:7, 10	aware 7:15	39:2 111:1	90:15, 17, 21
62:20, 23	70:6, 16	115:24	91:17 92:3
63:2, 11	118:24	BEHALF 3:2, 11	93:1, 3
64:2, 8	< B >	believe 8:10,	95:22 110:25
66:21, 24, 25	back 11:15	14, 21 9:14	boundaries
67:4 70:3, 9	15:19 16:19	10:1, 13	39:13 40:5,
72:23 73:1	36:21 37:23	11:20, 24	13, 15, 25
75:3 76:11,	40:23 47:20	12:13 14:12	41:2, 19
16 77:8, 12	48:16 53:7	21:21 30:5,	42:15 43:18,
78:17, 20	54:1 57:1	7 48:14	21 45:3, 5, 6,
79:7, 19, 23	58:16 61:9	49:9 58:12,	9, 19 46:23,
80:19, 25	62:4 65:12	13 68:3	25 47:2, 5, 7,
81:24 82:5,	77:13 89:13	81:8 83:20	10, 11, 19
9, 12, 15, 22	94:8 104:4	90:5, 13	48:10 50:5
83:1, 3 84:3,	106:10	91:11 93:11	52:1 53:23
9, 17, 22, 25	112:19	96:4, 6	55:13 56:1
85:5, 7, 14,	113:11, 13	100:13	57:1, 2, 5, 11,
19, 21, 23	115:21	105:20	15 62:10, 14,
86:1, 3, 5, 7,	back-and-forth	106:17	19, 25 69:11,
11, 16, 19	95:5	107:15	17 71:4
87:1, 12, 16,	Background	112:16, 17	72:9, 14, 17,
19, 23 94:23	36:9 93:12,	113:3, 5, 6	22 73:9, 15,
95:8 97:4, 6,	13 107:21	116:17 118:7	18, 23 74:1,
11, 15 103:14,	108:2 119:14,	best 61:18	5, 9 83:15
19, 25 105:19	18 122:1	62:2	110:4 111:10
106:7 107:12,	backpack 25:22	better 64:22	116:8, 13, 19
18 110:8, 12	band 17:6	beyond 51:2	117:7 118:1,
114:16	bands 14:16	52:8 91:1	14 119:4
116:16, 21	base 105:1	114:22	boundary 44:1
117:4, 10	121:7	biased 66:16	70:22
118:4, 23	based 35:2	big 52:21	boundary's
119:7, 8, 10	71:16 73:12	bigger 14:9	74:25
124:1, 11	101:1 105:11	billed 8:23	brand 17:22
125:3, 7, 14,	106:12 114:2	billing 8:25	break 20:23
21, 25 126:13	118:25	bit 12:19	22:13 30:15
128:4	basically	29:6	66:21 67:2
attorneys 2:11	19:11 21:23	block 67:9,	97:4, 6, 9
		13 69:5, 14	

119:7 breaks 6:5 brief 12:23 115:17 BS21 105:14 BUCHANAN 3:7 budgets 17:23 buildings 121:2 business 27:16 < C > CA 2:10 127:3 calculations 19:22 calibrate 37:16, 19 105:11 calibration 35:9, 19 36:23 37:1, 9, 15, 18, 24 38:9, 13, 16, 18, 22 39:8, 12, 14, 16, 25 40:4, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 20, 24 41:1, 4, 9, 14, 18, 20, 22 42:1, 11, 14, 16 43:17, 20 44:18 45:14, 18, 20 46:3, 16, 21 47:1, 3, 6, 17, 18 48:6, 7, 11, 23 49:1, 5, 6, 12, 19, 24 50:3, 4, 25 51:1, 9, 14, 17 52:1, 2, 7, 11, 14, 17, 18, 22 53:4, 5, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24 54:4,	6, 13, 21, 25 55:6, 13, 14, 17, 25 56:2, 7, 10, 14, 18, 22, 24 57:9 62:13, 15 63:4, 18, 20, 22, 24 64:1, 4, 7, 10, 16, 18, 23 65:3, 17, 23 66:1, 4, 7, 8, 19 69:2, 10, 12, 13, 16 71:5 72:10, 18 73:11, 13, 16, 25 74:4, 6, 11 75:7, 11, 16 78:16, 22 79:8, 18, 24 80:4, 7, 15, 17, 20, 23 82:19 83:14, 16 96:1, 12, 22 100:22, 24 101:1, 3 103:7 105:6, 17, 21 106:2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 18 107:8, 10, 11, 13, 20, 24, 25 108:4, 10, 11, 15, 17, 20, 22, 23, 25 109:5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15, 18, 20, 23 110:3, 5, 7, 10, 13, 15 111:7, 8 112:14 113:13, 24 114:8, 14, 24 116:7, 9, 12, 14, 19, 20 117:6, 8, 22, 25 118:2, 13, 15 119:3, 5	120:10, 24 121:1, 10, 18, 22 122:17, 21 123:8 California 4:2 called 4:5 25:21 41:23 65:18 Calls 85:1, 8, 20 86:17 capabilities 19:13 61:16, 23 capability 19:17, 19 26:9 capacity 10:16 29:15 CAPTION 129:2 car 36:6 careful 30:2 101:23 CARMICHAEL 3:13 cars 65:1 Case 1:11, 13, 15 6:2, 18 18:15 29:9 79:6 92:2 105:24 124:24 129:2 cases 14:7, 8 68:18 category 22:16 caused 35:5 cellphones 25:7, 11, 13 26:7 cellular 13:6 34:8 36:1 61:11, 17, 22 120:2 cellular-based 61:13 Center 3:14 central 66:2 certain 59:12	Certainly 24:20 25:7 124:2 CERTIFICATE 127:1 certify 127:3, 9 chance 97:5 changes 130:8 channels 15:1, 8, 14 characteristic 73:8, 19, 22 74:8 characteristics 39:7, 16, 25 40:9, 19 41:9, 13 42:11 105:6 106:1 116:25 characterization 115:20 characterizes 78:11 check 7:23 8:13, 25 Chinese 23:20 chips 61:22 chipset 27:13, 19 60:16 chipsets 60:9, 23 61:1, 10 62:1 circumstances 118:5 citation 95:2, 6 98:2, 5, 6, 21, 22, 25 99:4 citations 94:12 cite 89:23 92:19, 20, 21 93:1, 2, 22, 23 98:14, 15, 16 99:4, 7,
---	---	---	---

10, 17 100:11 112:22 cited 7:8, 9, 14 77:15 93:5, 8, 18 94:22 95:16 100:9 113:14 cites 92:23, 24 93:3 94:9 100:1 claim 37:13, 24 48:19, 21, 25 49:9, 10, 15 51:5, 8 57:19 59:10, 11, 15, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25 60:1 62:18 63:3, 7 64:12 71:11, 14, 17 100:10 124:9 claimed 48:18 59:9 62:19, 25 71:24 73:8, 20, 23 74:2, 7, 8 123:17, 23 124:6 claims 48:16 123:18 clarification 28:2 117:23 clarify 5:17 clarity 103:12 classically 44:10 cleanup 94:9 clear 51:16 69:8 81:17 clearer 42:5, 16 clearly 123:19 close 79:25 clustering 75:9, 14, 17, 19, 23, 24	76:2, 8, 9, 14, 17, 23 77:2, 5, 7, 14, 18, 20 clusters 107:4, 7, 22 109:3 coaching 85:22 86:9, 19 collect 63:22 64:7 65:3 collected 64:1, 10, 24 66:19 73:11, 17, 25 74:11 105:10, 11 106:11, 12 107:7, 23, 25 108:11, 19, 21 109:4 120:19, 24 121:10 collecting 63:18, 24 64:4, 16 65:16, 23 66:9 collection 105:12 106:12 112:12 121:3 collectively 31:16 36:16 color 104:11 colored 101:25 102:2 column 36:8 38:3, 24 39:20 43:3, 5 99:7, 10, 11, 14, 17, 21 100:3, 16 104:20 105:3, 24 106:10, 20 112:25 113:23 115:3, 4, 5	combine 62:2 combines 80:21 comes 66:20 102:9 comfortable 19:13 coming 91:2 commercial 24:4 Commission 14:24 127:19 communication 27:22 36:13 communications 12:23 13:1, 7 14:3, 24 15:10, 16 16:11, 17 17:11 18:1, 15 20:4, 10, 14 21:8, 12, 20 22:8, 18 23:9 25:5 26:5, 9, 18 28:15 34:7 companies 14:7 company 14:6 16:20 22:14 24:15 27:16 76:23 compare 35:7 comparison 121:18 compensated 8:15, 16 compensation 8:20 complete 5:9, 12 component 15:13, 15 122:2 123:1 comprises 48:22 49:6 51:9, 13 63:4	computer 85:6, 17 86:14 87:3 computers 36:15 concepts 124:9 concerning 4:24 59:15 70:10 concludes 126:5 conclusion 85:1, 8, 20 86:17 125:18 conclusions 59:13 conditions 35:2 conducted 74:14 76:7 85:16 86:13 conducting 4:17 27:18 74:21 connection 8:24 connectivity 17:23 consider 87:14 90:25 114:1 119:6 considered 80:16 82:13 86:22 87:15 91:5 99:20 112:7 116:11 125:19 consistent 51:14 constitute 54:21 55:6 56:18 constitutes 46:19 47:8 53:8 55:4, 10, 20 56:10
---	--	---	--

construct 43:17 47:18 109:18	copy 88:11 corner 68:18 Corporation 28:5, 6	125:13 127:7 130:11	18:4 21:2, 25 22:12
construction 37:13, 24 42:21 43:6, 10 50:15 51:15 57:2 62:6, 10 81:21 82:6 87:10 90:11 91:9 92:9, 17 93:9 94:14 100:10 108:13 110:6 116:6 118:25	correct 6:21 14:20 15:21, 22 20:14 22:1 25:16 32:6 33:20, 24, 25 36:19 37:20 43:22 44:6 45:2, 10, 21, 22, 25 46:1, 11, 24 47:4, 21 49:8, 11, 16 50:23 51:7, 22, 24 53:1 54:14 56:19 57:16 58:6, 7 59:16, 17, 20, 23 60:2, 3, 24 62:16, 17 63:1, 23 67:17 68:2, 11, 12, 14, 22 70:2 71:3, 18, 19 72:16 73:14 75:13 76:10, 12 80:18 84:2 85:18 86:15 87:11, 13 89:10 91:10 92:1, 10, 18 95:13 96:22, 23 97:1 99:18 101:2 102:3, 4, 7 104:6, 10 110:16 113:4 114:15 115:14 116:15, 17, 24 117:3, 14, 19 118:19 119:20, 21	corrections 130:8 correspond 120:11 corresponds 90:5 counsel 2:9 5:17 9:21, 22 12:4, 6 19:1 29:17 85:20, 21 86:8 94:7, 16 country 65:7 couple 29:20 course 7:10 12:3 court 5:25 7:4 10:21, 24 29:9 37:14, 23 cover 31:21, 22 67:18 88:12 covered 65:14 126:10 covering 126:6 creating 94:6 cross- examination 6:10, 13, 25 7:13, 17, 22 8:5, 9 crowdsourcing 65:22, 24 66:10, 12 CSR 2:10 127:3, 17 current 106:1 customers 24:4 CV 10:13 11:15 13:8, 18 14:19 15:19 16:2, 5, 19 17:2, 4, 6, 13, 19	23:23 24:10, 20 25:15, 25 26:4, 12, 20 27:2, 6, 25 28:4, 22 128:20 < D > data 35:2, 7, 9, 19 36:23 37:1, 9, 16, 18, 24 38:10, 14, 16, 19, 22 39:8, 12, 14, 17 40:1, 4, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 20, 24 41:1, 4, 9, 14, 18, 20, 22 42:1, 11, 14, 16 43:13, 17, 21, 25 44:16, 18 45:8, 14, 18, 20 46:3, 14, 16, 19, 22 47:1, 3, 6, 7, 15, 17, 18 48:6, 7, 11, 23 49:1, 6, 13, 19, 24 50:4, 25 51:1, 10, 14, 17 52:1, 2, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 22, 25 53:4, 5, 8, 13, 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24, 25 54:3, 5, 6, 13, 20, 21, 25 55:3, 6, 9, 13, 14, 17, 19, 25 56:2, 7, 9, 10, 15, 18, 22, 24 57:9 62:14,
construe 109:18			
construed 37:25 83:12, 13			
contain 43:25 44:22 49:21 50:1 52:4 68:21			
contained 10:13 25:22 43:13 46:14 51:7 68:24			
contains 44:3, 16 45:5, 8 46:17 49:23 50:3, 6, 14, 23 52:6 105:22			
context 11:11 35:23 46:18 77:21 79:22 80:6, 24 112:10, 20 113:11, 14 114:9			
continued 29:10, 12			
contract 18:18			
copies 87:25			

15 63:4, 18, 22, 24 64:1, 4, 7, 10, 16, 23 65:3, 17, 23 66:9, 19 67:19, 20, 23, 25 68:9, 22, 25 69:1, 2, 6, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 21, 25 70:7 71:5 72:10, 18 73:11, 13, 16, 25 74:4, 6, 11, 18 75:1, 2, 7, 10, 11, 16 76:1 78:16, 23 79:6, 8, 13, 16, 18, 25 80:4, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 23 81:2, 6 82:14, 19, 24 83:15, 16 84:1 96:1, 12, 22 100:23 105:6, 11, 17, 22 106:5, 8, 11, 12, 18 107:7, 9, 23, 25 108:10, 11, 18, 21, 23, 25 109:4 110:3, 5 111:8 116:7, 9, 13, 14, 19, 20 117:6, 8, 25 118:2, 13, 15 119:3, 5 120:2, 10, 11, 17, 19, 20, 24 121:10, 17, 18 database 35:9 48:22 49:1, 6, 10, 12, 15,	18, 21, 23 50:1, 3, 6, 14, 17, 22 51:1, 7, 9, 13, 17, 19, 22 52:4, 6 54:7, 14 63:4, 20 64:18 66:2, 4, 8 121:22 122:17, 21 123:9 date 8:7, 23 11:25 12:1 29:11 129:3, 24 day 4:24 127:14 130:14 dealings 16:12 decades 25:7, 12 declaration 7:3, 8, 10, 11, 14 9:11 12:24 19:25 32:13 33:1, 9, 17 43:7 44:20 58:18, 20 59:11, 16, 18, 25 60:6 62:5 63:13 67:6 71:7 77:25 79:13 83:5, 9 89:13 90:5 91:2 92:12 93:8 97:21 99:24 100:12 101:6 104:4, 8 106:23 109:9, 11, 12 110:14 113:15 119:12 122:25 123:6, 10 124:21 125:6, 12, 17 128:14, 16, 18	declarations 6:14, 17, 18, 19 7:5 8:3 9:9, 14 12:3, 5, 18 32:1, 18 33:19 48:15 declare 130:4 deem 130:9 define 45:4 47:10 defined 40:15 81:10 111:6 112:13 defines 48:9 49:24 89:11 111:9 definitely 9:5 degree 122:16 density 75:9 77:24 78:3, 5, 7, 14, 21 79:1, 4 depending 25:10 48:21 depends 59:21 Deponent 129:24 deposed 11:9, 10, 12, 13 DEPOSITION 1:19 2:7, 11, 13 4:17 9:8 126:5, 6, 16 127:4 129:3 130:3, 5 depositions 11:18 Desari 12:8, 13, 14 describe 15:23 21:18, 21 60:22 61:6 75:24 76:8 77:2 119:18 124:20 125:1	described 20:10 117:1 121:19 123:2 describes 78:8 describing 44:16 63:21 72:2 description 20:5 21:1, 5, 25 77:13, 17 115:17 design 27:19 designed 17:6 121:3 detail 23:4 detailed 95:17 details 15:6 17:8 61:10 77:20 determine 19:16 23:16 35:10 41:2 43:18, 21 46:22, 23 47:19 50:4 69:17 78:22 79:3, 5, 17 82:24 determined 39:13 40:5, 13, 25 41:19 42:15 45:19 46:2, 25 47:6 48:11 52:2 53:23 55:14 56:1 57:5, 8, 11 62:14 67:22 69:11 71:5 72:10, 17 73:10, 16, 24 74:5, 10, 17 75:1 83:15 110:4 116:8, 13, 20 117:7 118:1, 14 119:4
---	--	---	---

determining	25 64:16	discusses	draft 94:7
34:5, 21	65:2 66:4,	24:10	Drafts 94:7, 8
81:2 82:13	16 105:12	discussing	drawing 102:14
83:25 90:10	106:12 120:5	12:18 22:6	Drive 3:14
develop 66:4	121:5, 12	34:19 58:8	driven 61:14
developed	difference	83:22	driving 64:6
17:23 19:17	38:11, 21	Discussion	65:1, 17, 18
24:3 60:8	42:6 53:16	20:20 94:8,	drove 65:4
94:7	different	16 100:10	DSP 60:20
developing	13:5, 8 24:9	110:19 125:24	due 121:1
19:18 20:7	75:8 82:19	dispute 18:19	duly 4:5
24:19 26:17,	88:10 107:7,	distributed	DVB-TH 27:11,
25 27:10, 13	23, 25 108:12,	71:25 72:5	12, 23
61:10, 13	19 109:4	distribution	
83:8 94:13	111:9 124:17	78:9	< E >
100:4	differs 39:18	distribution's	e.g 105:14
development	digital 36:14	78:10	120:2, 17
16:16 17:10	60:17	district 7:4	E911 61:14
19:10 21:7,	dimensions	10:21, 24	earlier 11:24
11 24:6	78:6, 9	29:9 37:13,	54:19 62:9
26:4 27:21	direct 27:1	23	74:17 102:17,
28:14 60:23	directed 59:11	document	22 106:3
96:25	directions	13:11, 17	113:10
device 15:16	72:7	30:23 31:6,	early 76:20
17:11 18:2	disclose 9:23	13 32:15, 25	earth 44:4
20:10 22:22	21:16 22:20	33:8, 16	editing 94:9
23:9, 16	23:5 30:3	88:9 90:13,	95:5
27:14 34:6	34:5, 19	24 91:4, 12	effective 27:5
35:24, 25	disclosed	97:2, 16	effort 25:19
36:2, 7	35:21	104:1, 2, 12	eight 93:2
38:16 64:3	discloses 89:9	documents	either 85:16
65:25 120:12,	disclosing	6:12, 16, 24	86:13 100:22
19 121:9, 17	19:5	7:2, 7, 9, 12	element 74:2
devices 14:16	disclosure	32:8 91:5	104:14, 17, 19,
15:10 16:17	36:18 39:21	93:19 110:22	25
18:21 20:4,	69:18 96:20	112:18	embedded 61:11
12, 14 21:8,	99:21 101:1	Doing 4:11	embodies 59:22
12, 20 22:8,	disclosures	19:3, 11	embodiment
12, 18, 19	90:25 96:24	27:17 61:23	114:4, 5
23:13, 14	discrepancies	74:20 76:14,	embodiments
25:5, 8 26:5,	120:18	18 87:8	50:9 51:3
7, 18, 22	discussed	domestic 16:3,	57:14
27:12, 22	55:15 69:14	7 17:20	embody 68:17
28:15 34:21	90:14, 23	18:11	empty 15:2
35:10 36:4,	91:13 94:6	Doppler 24:24,	encompass
13 61:3, 5, 8,	105:21, 22	25	70:16
22 63:19, 22,	122:14		

encompassed 28:20	evaluate 78:15 112:20	example 14:14 19:23 23:11	8, 9, 10, 11,
encompasses 37:18	113:11	25:11 34:8	12, 13, 14, 16,
ended 94:17 122:13	evaluated 46:22 75:7,	35:3 38:10,	18, 20
ends 29:11	16 82:19	13, 15, 18, 20,	exhibits 7:8
engagements 11:16	114:8	21, 23 44:19	30:18 58:15
engineering 19:12, 16, 20,	evaluating 73:10, 16, 25	54:25 55:16	113:3, 14
21, 23 21:22	74:10 111:8	56:12, 22, 23	128:6
24:1	evaluation 39:13 40:6,	64:25 70:17,	expected 5:5
entire 91:4	13, 25 41:19	22 74:15	experience 12:20, 22, 25
93:15 97:2	42:15 45:19,	75:15 80:10	13:3 19:22
entirety 9:10	23 46:2, 4, 6,	90:14, 21	20:24 23:25
40:2 42:24	24 47:1, 2, 6	93:4 109:21	28:23 119:1
entry 20:1,	48:11, 13	120:3, 16	expert 9:19
10, 11 26:13	52:2 53:23	examples 23:17 36:3,	10:3, 8, 10,
27:6 89:5	55:14 56:1	19, 20 44:21	16, 20, 23, 25
environment 35:21 120:16,	57:8 62:15	54:20 55:18	11:5, 11, 13,
25	69:11 71:5	80:3 82:20	16 18:14, 18
environmental 35:3	72:10, 18, 21	83:23, 24	29:2, 9, 14
environments 121:4	73:13 74:5,	105:23 106:4	expertise 18:12 22:3,
equipment 25:20	14, 21 81:2,	exceeded 9:16	16 29:13
ERRATA 129:1	21 82:6	exception 68:18	expires 127:19
ESQ 3:3, 4, 5,	83:16, 19, 21	excerpt 106:19	explain 19:8
6, 12	84:10, 15, 23	excerpts 81:18	71:10
essentially 41:11 96:15	85:6, 16	excuse 46:2	explanation 14:13 125:9
establishing 75:18	86:13 87:2,	Executed 130:13	explicitly 113:14
estate 61:22	3, 6, 10	exemplary 115:11, 13	extent 9:21,
estimate 19:23 95:20	110:4 116:8,	Exhibit 13:12,	24 24:13
estimated 106:1	14, 20 117:7	13 30:19, 20	41:25 43:2
Estimating 38:4, 8	118:1, 14	31:2, 3, 9, 10	extreme 100:24
Etsy 17:22	119:5	32:20, 22	< F >
European 23:19	evidence 40:3 41:16 44:9	33:4, 5, 12,	Facebook 14:2
	81:18 82:3	13 43:8	17:14, 18, 25
	97:3 100:7	58:18, 20	fact 71:16
	113:18 116:5	77:14 87:19,	72:19 91:24
	exact 7:24 9:12	21, 24 89:17,	93:22
	exactly 58:16 95:7 106:2	20 97:12, 13,	factors 35:3
	EXAMINATION 4:8 128:4	19 98:2, 6, 9,	fails 71:13
	examined 4:6	13, 22 99:1	fair 5:23
		103:14, 17, 20,	31:17 53:3
		21, 23 112:23,	115:19 124:7
		25 114:25	fairly 15:5
		119:12 128:7,	

family 31:16, 19 32:11 34:2, 11, 24, 25 55:1 58:5 119:19 famous 23:11 fashion 80:21 FCC 14:23 16:12 feasibility 19:12, 15 21:23 feasible 19:19 Federal 14:23 FEDOWITZ 3:6 85:21 86:1, 7, 19 126:13 feed 20:19 Feel 37:5 felt 93:21 field 120:4 Figure 36:5 44:20 101:8, 13, 20 102:1, 9 104:4, 8, 9, 11, 13, 23 106:18 115:7, 11, 13, 15, 17, 21 file 58:1, 3, 4, 10 finally 120:21 finish 112:5 firm 8:22 first 5:3 11:22 13:24 20:1 23:24 28:4 35:17 36:12 49:3 53:10 60:7, 25 63:15 67:15 73:6 81:1 89:5, 16 98:1 119:24 120:8 121:15	fixed 13:5 15:17 70:22 fly 32:3, 14 focus 95:15 focused 14:9 60:19 124:12 follow 48:4 65:10 follows 4:7 96:15 98:21 font 35:15 footer 13:20 foregoing 130:3, 5 Form 22:9 23:1 28:16 30:1 31:24 34:3, 12 40:21 43:16 44:7, 25 45:12 47:9, 22 49:17 57:7 62:20 64:2 70:3 72:23 76:11 80:19 83:1, 21 84:3, 17, 25 85:7, 13, 19 86:2, 16 87:14 93:17 94:23 105:19 114:16 116:16 117:4 118:23 124:1 125:3, 14, 16, 18 formed 51:3 52:7 53:20 59:12 forming 83:10 91:6 110:20 forth 59:12 94:8 127:4 found 100:1 founder 28:19 four 8:2 96:15 126:8	fourth 23:23, 24 28:23 98:17 110:25 frame 28:11 free 37:5 frequencies 14:25 15:2 16:11 26:23 front 33:23 58:17 104:5 113:9 full 4:12 114:9 127:7 fully 67:18 84:23 function 48:18 75:9 77:25 78:3, 5, 8, 14, 21 79:4 80:7, 8, 10, 16, 20, 21, 22, 24 functions 75:10 79:1, 24 80:3 fundamental 73:8, 19, 22 74:8 funded 27:17 further 19:4 21:15 42:12 126:1 127:9 < G > Galileo 23:19 gap 60:13 gathered 49:1, 6, 12, 18 51:9, 13 63:4 75:16 78:15 79:8, 18 general 19:8 21:18, 21 39:2, 6, 22 112:2, 4, 6, 11	generally 68:17 120:5 generate 47:25 generated 47:21 48:12, 23 73:12 105:5 generating 95:20, 25 96:11, 21 114:2 generation 121:20, 24 122:5, 15, 19 123:7, 13 124:18 125:9 geographic 63:19 64:17 72:1 geographical 73:9, 15, 18, 23 74:1, 9 101:20 105:13 106:14 geolocate 35:20 120:5 geolocating 34:6, 21 38:8 geolocation 61:3, 7 120:2 121:5, 12 122:15 geometrical 67:20, 24 68:9, 13, 15, 19 70:10, 12, 15, 19, 25 71:1 111:5 GEOSCOPE 1:8 8:21 13:11 28:23 29:7 129:2 getting 47:20 51:2 57:13 69:19 94:9 GigaBeam 28:5,
---	---	---	---

9, 13, 18	26:8 60:9,	95:25 96:11,	87:19 97:11
gigahertz 17:7	23 61:1, 10,	21 97:1	103:14, 20
give 4:2	19, 24 62:3	100:4 101:16,	handsets 61:11
32:14 75:22	65:3 120:3	17, 18, 22, 24	happen 66:16
82:4 112:20	great 88:8	102:6, 11, 12,	happy 54:17
given 38:15,	green 102:14	13, 16, 18, 20,	hard 88:11
20, 23 39:8,	grid 39:6, 9,	25 103:1, 2,	HARROD 3:4
17 40:1, 10,	11, 15, 24	4, 5, 6	20:17
20 41:9, 14	40:3, 9, 11,	107:10	head 6:1
42:12 105:6	14, 19, 23	109:24 110:2	16:1 70:6,
127:8	41:2, 3, 8, 12,	111:6, 9	14 75:22
glasses 35:14	17, 21, 25	115:12, 14, 19	77:23
global 23:12	42:2, 10, 13,	116:6, 11, 18,	heading 95:21,
GLONASS 23:19	22 43:1, 11,	23 117:1, 3,	24 96:7, 10
go 5:3	13, 18, 22, 23,	5, 9, 12, 14,	119:14
13:22 19:4	25 44:1, 3, 8,	16, 18 118:3,	heard 30:6
21:15 22:4	11, 14, 16, 17,	6, 12, 16, 19,	held 20:20
28:22 37:23	19, 21, 23	21 119:2, 6	125:24
42:12 53:7	45:3, 8, 17,	121:21, 25	helpful 104:21
62:4 106:25	24 46:9, 10,	122:3, 6, 15,	helping 27:16
112:19	13, 14, 17, 20,	20 123:3, 7,	hereinafter
113:11, 13	23 47:8, 11,	13 124:5, 15,	36:15
120:7 125:22	14, 19, 21	19, 23, 24	hereinbefore
126:13	48:1, 4, 8, 10,	125:10	127:4
goes 57:19	12, 13, 17, 24	ground 5:3	hereunto
67:9	49:7, 14, 21,	grounds 71:12,	127:13
going 4:23	25 50:1, 5, 7,	13	hesitate 32:13
13:10 15:19	15, 16, 23	GSM 61:3, 7,	Hey 85:21
16:19 30:17	51:6, 10, 18,	12	higher 78:7,
31:1, 8	21, 25 52:4,	GSO 18:12	12
32:19 40:22	9, 25 53:8,	guess 9:16	highest 78:10
51:1 54:1	12, 21 54:1,	11:16	high-level
61:9 65:12	3 55:4, 7, 10,	guidance 24:3,	19:11
66:9 72:11	11, 20, 24	7, 12, 14, 19	histories
104:4 106:10	56:3 62:6,	25:3	58:3, 4, 10
113:25 126:5	12 63:5	< H >	history 58:1
Good 4:10, 11	71:14, 17, 24	halfway 115:23	hoc 65:15
GOOGLE 1:5	72:2, 3, 4, 7,	hand 13:10	hour 8:18
14:2 15:20	8, 9, 12, 15,	30:17 31:1,	hours 8:1, 8,
16:2, 10, 15	18, 20 73:8,	8 32:19	11, 12 9:4, 7,
86:8 129:2	12, 20, 23	87:25 127:14	16
Google's 16:3	74:2, 3, 7, 8	handed 98:10	Huh 86:2
government	83:12, 13	handheld 26:7	human 84:10,
18:18 24:4	89:7, 9, 12	27:14 36:6	24 85:16
GPS 23:12, 14,	90:11, 13, 16	handing 30:19	86:14 87:3
15 24:22	91:3, 16	33:3, 11	hybrid 61:4
25:18, 22	93:10 94:14		

< I >	75:8 82:20	innovation	122:2 123:2,
idea 7:25	91:23 120:6	66:11	22 124:4, 16
9:1 57:1	incorporate	input 80:12,	inventions
103:8, 12	62:1	13, 16, 20	34:20 123:18,
identified	incorporated	inputs 80:8	23
95:3, 4	66:7	instruct 94:24	inventor
105:13 106:14	increasingly	instruction	57:22, 24
identify 28:19	81:11, 15	30:2	inventors
illustrates	independent	instrumented	120:23
115:11, 13	123:20 124:8	65:2	121:16 123:20
illustrative	INDEX 128:1, 6	integrate	investigated
96:2	indexed 13:14	61:18	61:2
impair 5:12	30:21 31:4,	interest 120:4	investigating
implement	11 32:23	interested	61:6
27:13 78:25	33:6, 14	16:10 127:11	involve 16:15
79:11	87:22 97:14	international	17:25 18:20
implementations	103:18, 24	16:3, 7	20:13 21:10
61:17	indicated	17:20 18:10	26:16 27:20
implemented	62:12 130:10	internationally	involved 9:18
75:21	indicates	16:13	10:2, 7, 9, 15,
implementing	17:13 18:4	interrupt	23 11:3
77:20	indicating	20:17 85:23	13:4, 6, 9
importance	107:24	intrinsic	15:11 17:10,
123:21 124:9	individual	38:1 40:3	12 18:24
important	95:2	41:16 42:24	19:18 21:20,
121:19, 25	indoor 35:20	44:9 81:18	22 24:6, 8
122:6, 14, 22	indoors 35:4	82:3 83:10	25:3, 8, 17
123:4, 5, 6,	120:19 121:1,	85:12 86:23	27:15 28:21
14, 16, 19, 24	6, 11, 12	87:14 91:6	29:21, 24
124:3, 7, 16,	industry	93:16, 19	30:11, 13
19, 23, 25	12:25 13:3	97:3 100:7	60:15 76:25
125:10	23:25	106:4 109:21	94:5 118:10
inability	inertial 24:21	110:22 112:8,	120:13
121:2	infantry 25:23	18 113:17	involves 9:22
include 10:21	information	114:9 115:24	81:2
22:8 23:14	9:23 19:6	116:1, 2, 5	involving
52:17	21:17 22:21,	119:1 123:2,	18:15
included	24 23:5, 8,	17 124:3, 5	IOSTAR 28:5,
10:14 21:6	13 25:1, 23	125:16	9, 13, 17
22:6 27:23	29:19 30:3	invalid 71:12	IP 3:13
58:15 96:2	34:7 63:20	invalidity	28:19, 21
114:21 125:17	64:18 94:25	29:15, 21, 25	IPR 4:16, 17,
includes	120:3	30:6, 7, 8	24 11:3, 19,
23:25 49:3	INGERSOLL 3:7	invention	21, 23 29:13
including	initial 94:15,	34:5 35:6	86:3 126:3, 9
9:10 60:10	19	114:5 120:1	IPR2023-001209
			126:4

IPR2023-001212

126:6

IPR2023-01209

1:11 4:18

13:12 30:19

32:20 58:18

87:20 97:12,

19 103:15

115:1 119:12

IPR2023-01210

1:13 4:19

31:2 33:4

103:20

IPR2023-01211

1:15 4:19

31:9 33:12

103:21

IPRs 10:25

11:4, 5

30:13 126:8

irrelevant

96:24

issue 20:19,

21 35:5

issues 29:16,

22

item 28:23

65:11

items 28:5**its 43:18**

47:19 48:18

65:25 120:12

< J >

Job 1:25**judgment 19:21**

< K >

KEANE 3:5**keep 11:16****key 28:19**

123:1

kind 19:11

27:4, 24

36:1 52:10

65:14 66:12

95:5 105:22

King 3:8**know 6:22**

7:7, 21 8:1,

19, 23 9:13,

15 10:18

12:21 14:8

15:13, 14

19:5, 18

22:5, 12, 15

25:6, 7

26:21 29:10,

12 30:8

31:25 32:12,

13, 14, 15

34:20 36:5

37:6, 15, 21,

23 38:1, 15

39:11 40:16,

22 41:3, 15,

16, 17, 21, 24

42:3, 4, 12,

23, 25 43:2,

6 44:8, 17,

21 46:22

47:16 48:5,

10, 15, 20, 25

50:6, 9, 24

51:8, 11, 12,

14, 24 52:7,

20, 21 53:4,

19, 20, 24, 25

54:9 55:11,

15 56:11, 15,

20, 21 57:13,

17, 20 60:21

61:1, 20

62:1 63:8,

10 64:3

65:6, 20, 21

66:15 68:4,

15, 16, 17, 24

69:1, 9, 12,

16 70:4, 5, 6,

7, 13, 15, 22

72:6, 11, 19,

25 73:15

74:15, 19, 25

75:4, 5, 6, 21

76:3, 5, 13

77:7, 10, 21

78:11, 12, 24

79:1, 20, 22

80:5, 9, 23

81:8, 9, 17,

19, 25 82:1,

2, 3, 10, 11

83:8, 21, 22,

24 84:1, 4, 5,

6, 11, 12, 13,

14, 18, 20

85:2, 9, 11,

12 86:5, 20,

21 87:5, 7, 8,

13 90:12, 13,

14, 21, 22

91:4, 13, 14,

15, 16, 19

92:19, 20, 22

93:2, 5, 13,

15, 16, 18, 20,

21, 23 94:2,

5, 7, 9, 10, 15,

17 95:1, 3, 4,

6, 7, 18, 19,

20 97:2, 3

100:6, 7, 8,

10, 13 101:19,

23 102:8, 21,

22 103:12

105:20, 22

106:3, 19, 20

107:16, 21, 24

108:1, 2, 11,

12, 16, 18

109:3, 5, 17,

20, 21 110:1,

10, 17, 19, 21,

23 111:13

112:2, 7, 8, 9,

11, 19, 20, 21

113:19, 25

114:3, 5, 7, 9,

10, 11, 17, 18,

20, 22, 23

115:18

117:21 118:2,

8, 9, 11, 15,

25 122:24

123:1, 19

124:2, 3, 5, 6

125:4, 15, 18,

20

< L >

label 102:1,

15

language

48:19 49:9

90:4 101:24

102:23 111:16

laptop 36:15**lastly 31:8****late 76:19****law 8:22**

118:10

led 122:23**LEE 3:3 4:9,**

15 10:4, 6

13:10, 16

19:7 20:22

23:6 28:3

29:23 30:9,

14, 17, 22

31:1, 5, 8, 12

32:4, 19, 24

33:3, 7, 11,

15 34:9, 15

37:17 41:6

43:19 44:13

45:1, 7, 15

47:13, 24

49:20 50:21

52:23 53:6,

11 54:11

57:10 62:23

63:11 64:8

66:21, 25

67:4 70:9

73:1 76:16

77:12 78:20

79:23 80:25	lines 72:7	20, 23 114:8,	129:1 130:2,
82:5, 12, 22	93:1, 3 99:8,	13, 24	17
83:3 84:9,	10, 17, 21	long 6:6	marked 13:11,
22 85:5, 14	100:3, 15	look 6:12	13 30:20
86:11 87:1,	link 17:23	7:2 37:23,	31:3, 10
16, 19, 23	LinQuest 24:2,	25 62:18	32:22 33:5,
95:8 97:6,	5, 8, 13 77:1	84:13 99:23	13 87:21
11, 15 103:14,	list 11:16	113:21, 22	97:13 103:17,
19, 25 106:7	16:21 24:15	looked 7:3, 5	23
107:18	58:15	54:19, 20	market 14:8
110:12	listing 24:11	55:15 56:21	Markman 7:4
116:21	litigation	58:15, 16	Mark's 23:25
117:10 118:4	10:22, 24	82:18 92:21	marriage
119:7, 10	little 12:19	123:18	127:11
124:11 125:7,	29:6 101:19	looking 10:17	Mary 1:24
21 128:4	Litton 24:2	15:6 16:2	2:9 127:2, 17
left 43:21	25:25 26:3	21:1 27:2	matter 6:15
46:9 48:3	live 20:18	35:12 40:2	9:22 10:14
legal 9:19	LLC 1:5	41:16 61:24	32:1 37:12,
10:7, 21	LNDS 13:7	78:6 96:6	22 81:18
85:1, 8, 20	located 35:20	101:19	84:6 86:22
86:17	121:5, 9, 12	102:22	127:12
license 4:2	locating 15:9	104:18	matters 10:3
lies 78:13	16:16 17:10	110:18, 25	11:1, 19, 21
light 87:14	18:1, 21	looks 88:10	14:3 29:13
limit 82:23	20:9, 14	Loran-C 24:23	MATTHEW 3:6
limitation	21:7, 11	lost 69:4	86:7
64:11 70:7	22:19 26:5,	lot 32:8, 12	McBRIDE 3:12
limitations	17 27:21	42:23 76:13	7:18 9:20
70:12 77:5	28:14	124:4 125:15	19:1 20:15
limited 69:25	location	lower 121:8	22:9 23:1
71:2 114:3	12:22 14:25	< M >	28:16 29:17
120:6	15:12, 15, 16	Magnavox 24:2	30:1 31:24
line 36:8	20:12 27:24	25:15, 17, 19	34:3, 12
38:24 39:20	29:3 34:6,	management	37:10 40:21
43:3, 5	21 35:10	24:1	43:16 44:7,
72:11, 15	38:8 66:3	mandates 61:14	25 45:12
98:17 99:11	95:20 106:1	manipulation	47:9, 22
100:16	108:21 120:12	80:11	49:17 50:18
104:20 105:3,	locations	Manpack 25:21	52:19 53:2,
8, 24 106:25	15:1 107:8,	map 44:2, 3	9 54:8 57:7
110:25	23 108:1, 12,	101:25	62:20 63:2
113:23 115:3,	19 109:4	MARK 1:19	64:2 66:24
4, 5 129:3, 6,	111:7, 12, 20	2:7 4:4, 14	70:3 72:23
9, 12, 15, 18,	112:1, 6, 11,	14:1 128:3	75:3 76:11
21	12, 14 113:12,		77:8 78:17
lined 72:7			79:7, 19

80:19	81:24	77:4, 19	11, 20	22:8,	nature	19:9
82:9, 15		91:13	11, 18, 22		21:19, 22	
83:1	84:3,	mental	23:9, 14, 16		53:7	
17, 25	85:7,	19	25:4, 7, 9, 10,		navigation	
19, 23	86:3,	mention	22 26:5, 17		12:22	24:3,
5, 16	87:12	75:6	27:11, 21		6, 12, 14, 19,	
94:23	97:4	24 83:11	28:14	34:6,	22, 24	25:3
105:19		91:8	21 35:10, 20,		26:11	
107:12	110:8	mentioned	22, 23, 24, 25		necessarily	
114:16		20:4	36:2, 3, 6, 16,		36:6	70:23
116:16	117:4	47:12	19 38:8, 10,		72:11, 15, 19	
118:23	119:8	57:2	16, 17	61:3,	80:23	121:10
124:1	125:3,	25 77:24	4, 8, 11, 21		123:24	
14, 25		82:21	63:18, 22, 25		necessary	
mean	25:8, 10	86:20	64:3, 16		130:8, 9	
26:1	34:13,	17 92:13, 15	65:25	66:4	need	6:2
22	44:15	93:15	104:22, 23, 24		35:14	47:16,
51:12	68:24	96:4, 7	105:13	106:8,	18	82:2
70:19, 21		106:3	13 120:5, 11,		83:7	84:12
72:4, 13		mentioning	18, 19	121:5,	85:11	86:23
75:9	93:23	91:18	12, 17		106:20	
94:10	96:8	mentions	modified	35:7,	113:10	126:10
111:11		Meta	8		needs	45:23
112:15	118:24	method	modify	35:6	neighborhoods	
meaning	37:15	48:18	modifying		64:6	65:5, 14
79:12, 16		63:3	35:19	121:17	neighboring	
109:14, 15		96:10, 21	moment	98:10	121:7	
110:15		114:2	morning	4:10,	network	35:1,
111:19, 25		methods	11		7, 8	49:2, 3
113:12, 19		120:4	motion	36:7	105:25	
means	46:18	Microsoft	moving	63:19	networks	34:7
71:1	84:19	14:2, 10, 11,	64:4, 17		NGSO	22:3, 7,
108:9	112:9	13, 17	95:10		17, 19	
114:11, 14		microwave	MS2	104:22	nod	6:1
measurement		mind	105:14	106:15	non-GSO	18:11,
35:2, 7, 8, 9		66:20	MSS	105:13	13	
measurements		110:15	multiple	81:22		
66:6, 7		missing	multi-year		nonpatentability	
105:25		misspoke	60:13		59:10	
measuring	66:2	102:22			nonuniform	
medication		Misstates	< N >		39:6, 9, 11,	
5:11		20:15	name	4:12, 15	15, 24	40:3,
member	60:8	mobile	80:3		8, 11, 14, 18,	
memorized	32:8	16, 18	named	57:22,	23	41:2, 3, 8,
memory	6:15,	17:11	24		12, 17, 21, 25	
22	76:4	21 20:4, 9,	names	12:6	42:2, 10, 13,	
		12, 14			22	43:1, 10,

13, 18, 23, 25 44:1, 2, 8, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23 45:3, 8, 17, 24 46:9, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 23 47:8, 11, 14, 19, 21 48:1, 3, 8, 9, 12, 13, 17, 23 49:7, 14, 21, 25 50:1, 5, 7, 15, 16, 23 51:6, 10, 18, 21, 24 52:4, 9, 25 53:8, 12, 21 54:1, 2 55:4, 7, 10, 11, 20, 24 56:3 62:6, 12 63:5 71:14, 17 72:2, 9, 18 74:2, 3 83:12, 13 89:7, 9, 11 90:11, 13, 16 91:3, 16 93:10 94:14 95:25 96:11, 21, 25 100:4 101:16, 17, 18, 22, 24 102:5, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 25 103:1, 2, 4, 5, 6 109:24 110:2 115:11, 14, 19 116:6, 11, 17, 23 117:1, 2, 5, 8, 12, 14, 16, 18 118:3, 6, 12, 16, 19, 21 119:2, 6 121:20, 24 122:3, 6, 15,	20 123:3, 7, 13 124:4, 15, 19, 23, 24 125:10 Notary 2:10 127:3, 18 note 99:7 noted 21:24 22:2 Notice 2:8 NUG 39:6 67:19, 23 68:13, 20, 21 69:7, 9, 25 70:11, 12 71:4 74:19 89:7, 9, 11 90:23 91:21, 24 92:3 95:12 100:23, 25 101:2, 4 102:11, 12 105:3, 5, 14 113:24 115:12, 14 N-U-G 39:7 89:7 NUG1 101:14, 16, 17, 21 102:10, 17, 23 103:5, 6, 7 104:13, 25 105:14, 18 NUG2 101:14, 18, 21, 22 102:1, 5, 10, 13, 15, 19, 24, 25 103:1 NUG3 101:15, 21 102:10, 24 103:2, 4 NUGs 67:18, 21, 25 68:10 69:15 72:2 90:20 91:8, 12, 18 92:16 95:20 96:11,	22 101:14, 20 102:9, 24 105:9, 10 106:11 114:2, 4 121:21 122:16 number 4:3 9:12 78:6 88:18 103:10 104:14 120:10 numbers 88:15, 21, 22 numerous 93:3 95:16 < 0 > oath 2:14 4:2 5:5 130:6 Object 23:1 34:3, 12 37:10 62:20 110:8 114:16 116:16 117:4 118:23 objection 2:13 9:20, 25 20:15 22:9 28:16 30:1 31:24 40:21 43:16 44:7, 25 45:12 47:9, 22 49:17 50:18 52:19 53:2, 9 54:8 57:7 63:2 64:2 70:3 72:23 75:3 76:11 77:8 78:17 79:7, 19 80:19 81:24 82:9, 15 83:1 84:3, 17, 25 85:7, 19, 22 86:8, 16	87:12 94:23 105:19 107:12 124:1 125:3, 14 observed 35:1, 6, 8 120:11, 17, 20 121:17 obtain 47:2 obtained 95:21 occasions 17:1, 5 18:16 occur 45:24 78:7 occurs 46:4, 7 OFFICE 1:1 oh 17:5 58:20 75:25 88:1 95:22 Okay 6:17 9:12, 18 10:5 11:14, 22 12:12, 16, 19 13:3, 10, 21, 23 14:10, 18, 22 16:7 21:24 23:7, 11, 22 28:22 30:14 31:15, 19, 23 32:5, 17 33:11, 22 34:1 35:11, 16 36:21 37:8 38:3 39:1, 15 40:7 41:7 45:16, 23 46:4 47:7 48:17 53:7 54:1 58:17 59:3, 6, 14 63:14 67:7 69:5 70:10 71:8, 20, 21 73:2, 5 76:2 88:7, 23 89:1, 15, 22 90:3, 19
---	--	--	---

97:18, 20, 25	74:24 75:5,	output 80:12,	96:4, 6, 16
98:13, 18, 19	14 81:20	22	101:9 104:8
99:4, 7	82:1, 11	outputs 80:9,	112:22
100:16 101:7	83:2, 5, 6, 10,	14, 16	115:22, 23
103:2 105:16	20 84:5, 7,	overlap 28:10	119:22 128:2
106:24 107:2	14, 20 85:3,	overlapped	129:3, 6, 9,
113:9 115:2,	10, 13 86:21,	60:19	12, 15, 18, 21
4, 6 119:8,	22 87:5, 9,	Overview 96:3	pagers 36:14
13 120:7	15, 17 91:15	99:23 100:2,	pages 25:25
121:14	93:22 99:22	12 107:15	26:3 96:15,
122:18	100:14	108:18 109:2,	16
125:21, 25	105:21	19 110:18	paginated
126:13	106:16	111:14, 17	13:20
Omega 24:24,	107:14, 17	114:18, 19	paid 8:22
25	108:2, 17	Owner 1:9	paper 72:7
Once 27:17	109:1, 6, 18	3:11 11:7	paragraph
40:14 48:12	110:1, 2, 10		13:22 16:20
62:4	111:21 112:9,	< P >	23:23, 24
ones 7:6	21 113:12	p.m 126:16	24:10 59:4,
operating	114:10, 20, 23	Pacific 2:5	7 60:4, 7, 22
14:16	118:8, 20	page 13:19,	61:2 63:12,
opined 50:22	123:22 125:5	21 14:19, 21	16 64:14
51:5 57:14	opinions	15:19 16:5,	67:5 71:6, 9,
70:14 78:19	29:24 52:8	6, 19 17:2, 6,	20, 22 73:2,
79:9, 21	59:9, 12, 14	19 18:17	7 75:12
80:5 81:9	65:21 83:8	19:25 21:2	77:25 79:13
82:16 103:9	86:25 91:6	23:22, 23	80:1 81:1
122:25	93:17, 20	24:10, 20, 21	89:2, 14
opinion 37:2,	94:6 110:20	25:15 26:12	90:6 92:7,
4, 7, 12, 22	125:16	27:7, 25	11 95:15
39:10 40:2	opposed 120:3	28:4, 22	96:2 97:24
42:21, 25	order 7:4	35:12 42:22	98:1, 17
43:10 48:14	45:24 111:8	43:7 44:20	101:5 106:22
49:5 50:10,	117:1	59:5 62:4	107:1 110:24
13, 20 51:4,	ordinary 37:15	63:12 67:8,	111:1 114:1
12, 16, 21	organizations	9, 16 71:7	115:22, 23
52:15, 21, 24	16:13	74:17 75:6	119:11, 22
53:4, 20	original 12:3,	82:17 83:13	120:7, 8, 14,
54:2, 10, 12,	5 93:6	88:14, 15, 18,	21 121:14
15, 18 55:5	originally	21, 22, 24	paragraphs
56:16, 17	65:5	89:16, 24	90:15, 17, 22
57:18, 21	outcome 127:12	90:1, 2, 6, 15,	91:17, 23, 25
62:22, 24	outdoor	17, 22, 24	92:4 95:10
63:9 64:12	120:25 121:4	91:18, 25	parameters
67:24 68:4	outdoors	92:4, 8 93:1,	66:1
70:5, 11, 25	121:9, 10	2, 4 95:10,	parroting
71:11, 16	outlined 26:3	15, 23, 24	

77:13	15, 19 60:1	perform 26:20	120:9, 15, 22
parsing 55:21	63:17 64:15	76:17 121:1	121:15
part 22:6	71:11, 15, 24	performance	PLLC 3:13
25:19 48:8	72:1 97:17	19:24 27:4	plus 61:24
49:14 50:16	98:9 99:1,	performed	point 13:5
100:8 121:19,	11, 15, 22, 24	76:2 77:3	39:6, 9, 11,
21, 25 122:6,	100:2, 12	78:4 83:19	15, 24 40:3,
14, 17, 22, 25	102:9, 23	84:10, 15, 23	4, 9, 11, 14,
123:5, 6, 8,	103:10, 11	85:6 87:2, 4,	19, 23 41:3,
14, 16, 24	104:3, 5, 9,	6, 10	8, 12, 17, 21,
124:3, 7, 16,	18, 21 105:3	performing	25 42:2, 10,
19, 25 125:10	106:21	27:2, 18 82:7	13, 22 43:1,
participating	107:15	period 9:13	11, 14, 18, 22,
2:11	110:19 113:8,	60:13	23, 25 44:1,
particular	22 114:17, 19,	perjury 94:3	3, 5, 8, 10, 11,
32:10 71:2,	22 115:1, 4	130:4	14, 16, 17, 19,
12 94:11	119:19	person 12:17	23 45:3, 8,
100:9, 14	120:23 126:7	personal 36:14	17, 24 46:9,
102:2 108:21	128:7, 8, 9,	Petitioner	10, 13, 15, 17,
109:21	11, 12, 13, 15,	1:6 3:2	20, 23 47:8,
particularly	17, 19	4:16 11:7	11, 12, 14, 16,
93:21 123:18	patentability	phone 27:13	19, 21 48:1,
parties 126:5,	59:9	36:1	4, 8, 10, 12,
7 127:5, 10	patents 6:15,	phones 61:11,	13, 17 49:14,
parts 60:21	20, 23 30:10,	12	22, 25 50:2,
94:21 122:21	12 31:15, 20,	physical	5, 7, 15, 16,
123:21	21, 22 32:5,	44:22, 24	23 51:19, 21,
PATENT 1:1, 2,	7 34:4, 5, 18,	45:4	25 52:5, 9,
9, 12, 14, 16	19 35:23	physically	25 53:8, 12,
3:11 11:7	38:2 57:25	2:12	21 54:2, 3,
29:15, 25	93:14 105:23	picked 92:7	16 55:4, 7,
30:25 31:7,	path 65:11	picture 14:9	10, 12, 20, 24
14, 16, 19, 23	66:13	place 4:1	56:4, 12
32:1, 11	PATRICK 3:5	69:4	62:6, 12, 13
33:2, 10, 18,	pattern 72:20	places 90:23	68:6 69:9
22, 23 34:2,	paying 8:19	91:12, 21	72:2 73:9,
10, 24, 25	Payonk 1:24	92:19 93:18	12, 20, 23
35:12 36:18,	2:9 127:2, 17	plain 37:15	74:2, 3, 8
22 38:25	PC 3:7	plan 27:16	83:12, 13, 14
39:21 41:11	PDF 78:5	planned 65:13	84:7 89:7, 9,
44:9 48:22	peaks 79:1, 2	please 4:13	12 90:11, 14
51:6 54:19,	penalty 94:2	13:25 38:7	91:3, 16
25 55:16	130:4	39:5 63:16	93:10, 12
56:12, 21	pending 6:6	67:14 68:8	94:14 97:1
57:23 58:1,	people 66:15,	71:9, 23	100:5, 24
3, 5, 13, 19	17	73:7 100:20	101:2, 3, 16,
59:1, 10, 11,		107:6 112:5	17, 18, 22

102:6, 11, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 25 103:1, 3, 4, 5, 6 106:9, 17, 18 107:10, 11, 13, 20 108:4, 11, 15, 17, 22 109:8, 10, 11, 16, 19, 23, 24 110:2, 7, 10, 13, 15 111:6 116:6, 10, 11, 12, 18, 23, 25 117:1, 2, 3, 5, 9, 12, 14, 16, 18, 21, 24 118:3, 6, 12, 16, 18, 19, 21 119:2, 3, 6 124:15, 19, 23, 25 125:10 pointing 91:21 102:1 points 44:21 48:24 49:7, 25 51:7, 10 52:18 63:5 66:9 71:14, 17, 24 72:3, 4, 9, 19 96:11, 22 100:22 101:24 103:7, 10, 13 107:8, 10, 24, 25 108:20, 25 109:5, 6, 20 111:7, 9 112:14 113:13, 24 114:8, 14, 24 115:19 121:21, 25 122:3, 6, 16, 20 123:3, 7, 13 124:5	point's 41:17 55:12 polygon 70:18 popular 65:22 portion 89:23 90:9 98:13 112:25 portions 91:7 93:5, 7, 9 113:17 position 12:22 23:16 65:4 66:1 positional 68:6 positioning 22:11 23:12, 13 25:23 26:7, 11 27:24 29:3 35:5 61:2, 4, 7, 13, 18, 19, 25 62:2, 3 positions 24:1 possibility 15:7 possible 19:17 50:9 51:2 68:18 72:21, 25 possibly 58:8 potential 120:18 potentially 29:18 94:24 precise 42:17 102:21 122:13 predated 26:6 predetermined 49:2 65:10 66:13 68:20 prefer 32:2 43:5 preparation 6:25 7:13 9:8	prepare 6:9, 11 30:4 prepared 14:14 112:8 preparing 6:12 7:16, 21 8:4, 6, 8 9:9, 13 12:3, 4, 17 15:5 32:13 present 2:12 pretty 60:17 prevalent 36:17 Previous 18:14 74:17 previously 11:3 13:11, 13 30:20 31:3, 10 32:22 33:5, 13 48:22 49:1, 6, 12, 18 51:9, 13 63:4 82:18 87:21 97:13 103:17, 23 prior 35:4 71:13 privileged 9:22, 23 29:18 30:3 94:25 probabilities 78:12 probability 75:9 77:24 78:3, 7, 12, 14, 21, 25 79:4 probable 78:10 probably 20:18 problem 32:10 34:23 35:1 86:1 121:16 123:25	problems 120:6 122:10, 22 123:15 124:20 125:1, 11 procedures 121:1, 3 proceed 126:5 proceeding 13:12 37:14 97:22 proceedings 4:16, 18, 21, 24 8:24 9:10, 19 10:8, 9, 11, 15, 21 11:23 30:18 127:6 process 62:19 84:16, 19 94:5 95:4, 5 111:8 processing 23:15 60:17 produced 91:2 product 19:10 projects 17:23 24:9 propagating 35:4 proprietary 18:23 19:2, 5 21:16 22:21, 23 23:5, 8 protect 28:19 provide 5:9, 12 19:23 22:2 23:13 27:4 29:12 37:4 59:8 62:5 77:17 79:1 80:12 81:25 83:4 86:22, 24 87:7 106:16 108:16
---	--	--	--

111:17 112:9, 21 113:11 125:8 provided 12:23 14:13 15:25 16:11 18:17 25:22 31:25 37:12, 22 39:10 42:21 48:14 49:15 50:10, 13, 20 51:11, 21 52:15, 21 53:3 54:9 55:5, 16 56:16 57:17, 21 59:14 68:4 70:5 75:5 81:19 82:11 83:2, 20 84:4, 20 85:3, 10 86:20, 25 87:5 94:2 100:13 103:10 105:21 107:14, 16 108:3, 13 110:1, 9 111:21 114:10 118:7 125:5 provides 36:19 80:9, 22 103:12 providing 5:4 10:2 18:12 22:16 27:11 29:8 37:2, 7 48:25 49:10 54:15, 17 56:22 62:22 63:9 64:12 87:15 93:13 100:1, 12	109:1, 5, 17 114:18, 20, 21 provisional 67:10 68:4 69:18 88:2, 4, 6, 14, 25 89:8, 21, 24 90:9 91:1, 8 92:16, 22 93:7, 15 94:12, 20, 21 95:11 96:7, 18 111:15 113:6 128:10 PTE 1:8 Public 2:10 127:3 pull 97:18 pure 84:16, 18 purely 84:15 purports 34:24 purpose 31:23 34:1, 10, 14, 17, 22 pursuant 2:8 put 80:10 126:2 < Q > qualify 81:7 84:2 118:18 question 5:15, 17, 21 6:1, 6 9:8 10:1, 4 14:15 21:9 29:19 39:18 40:8 41:7 43:24 45:4 50:8, 14 51:18 53:10 55:8, 22, 23 64:21 68:8 69:24 86:10 91:20 94:4 116:22 117:13	124:14, 17, 22, 23 125:2 questions 4:23 5:24 126:1 quick 113:22 quit 85:21 quite 35:15 51:23 quotation 114:21 quote 67:9, 13 68:3 69:5, 6, 14 71:17 73:19 74:16, 22 89:17 90:4 91:1 92:20 95:2 111:11, 14 112:13, 17 123:14 quoting 102:8 < R > radio 13:1 24:23, 25 raised 125:11 random 65:11 66:14 rapporteur 17:21 rate 8:17 rate's 8:18 ratio 55:17 reached 59:13 read 13:24 23:24 31:19, 20, 21, 22 32:5, 7 35:17 36:12 38:7 39:5, 22 59:7 60:6, 25 63:15 67:13 68:3 71:9, 22 73:6 74:15, 16	88:9, 11, 12 89:5 91:4 93:15, 24 100:20 105:2, 9 106:19 107:6, 22 108:24 110:6 111:4 115:10 116:4 119:24 120:8, 14, 21 121:15, 24 123:15 130:3, 7 reading 39:20 41:11 42:18 51:8, 12 108:1 122:10 123:5, 10 Ready 119:7 real 44:24 61:22 realized 14:24 120:24 really 19:4, 13 87:7 95:19 107:16 110:10 reason 5:8, 10 120:18 129:3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 reasons 22:13 71:10 120:10 rebuttal 30:6 rebutting 30:8 recall 10:14 11:2, 6, 18, 25 12:2, 6, 17 15:11, 12, 17 16:1 17:1, 5, 9, 12 20:25 24:13 25:6 26:9 27:23 28:17, 18, 20 29:14, 24 30:10 37:2, 6
--	--	---	---

54:15, 17, 22, 24 57:3, 18 62:21 63:9 64:11, 12 65:12, 15 76:6, 14, 15 90:12, 23 95:1 99:20 103:9 111:15 126:2 received 121:6, 8, 11 receiver 27:19 receivers 23:14 Recess 30:16 67:3 97:10 119:9 recognize 13:17 30:23 31:6, 13 32:25 33:8, 16 87:24 97:16 104:1 recollection 16:9 18:3 26:10 27:2 29:21 30:4, 12 65:5 76:18 95:17 record 4:12, 17 10:17 20:20 38:1 42:24 66:25 67:1 83:10, 22 84:13 85:12 86:6, 23 87:14 91:6 93:16, 19 97:7, 8 106:4, 21 109:22 110:22 112:8, 18 114:9 119:1 123:2, 17 124:3, 5 125:16, 22, 23,	24 126:2, 14 127:7 recording 65:3 records 7:24 8:13, 25 10:18 re-covered 126:10 recreate 32:3 refer 11:15 13:7 14:12 31:15 32:2 48:15, 19 58:16 88:5, 21 reference 9:9 104:19 106:15 121:4, 9, 19, 22 referenced 7:3, 4 13:14 30:21 31:4, 11 32:23 33:6, 14 87:22 97:14 103:18, 24 110:21 128:6 references 71:13 92:15 referred 15:2 30:5, 7 36:15 64:5, 25 107:8, 23 108:19 109:4 referring 9:15 14:19 17:19 24:20 30:24 42:20 88:22 90:20 103:11 107:22 121:23 refers 102:5 refresh 91:13 refreshed 6:15, 22 76:4 77:4, 19	regard 15:24 22:11 33:10 37:14 50:9 95:6 regards 33:1 45:5 region 39:8, 17 40:1, 10, 20 41:10, 14, 23 42:12 48:24 49:2 51:11 63:6 64:4 67:18 72:1 73:11, 17, 25 74:11 95:21 101:20 105:7, 14 106:14 115:12, 14 regions 63:19 64:17 115:18 Registration 127:18 regular 70:17 regulatory 14:3 16:3, 8 17:3, 21 18:11 relate 22:19 23:9 25:4 26:4 28:13 34:20 51:19 related 11:19, 20 17:5 24:14 29:15 34:4 53:5 77:22 93:14 127:9 relates 22:23 51:17, 22 60:23 96:21 relating 15:15 28:14 relationship 59:24 relative 96:1 123:21 124:9	relevance 100:9, 14 relevant 90:10 91:9, 15 92:17 93:9, 21 94:13 95:19 100:1, 3, 7 relied 63:18, 24 64:15 113:18 remember 7:6 8:7 15:5, 6 17:8 REMOTELY 1:20 2:7, 14 repeat 10:4 21:8 55:8 68:7 69:3 86:10 rephrase 42:9 report 14:15, 19 15:5 30:8 37:3, 5 42:20, 22 65:21 75:20 77:22 86:25 93:25 94:1, 3, 4, 6, 10, 18, 22 95:16 108:17 Reported 1:24 2:7, 9 127:6 Reporter 2:10, 12 4:1 5:25 28:2 67:1 97:8 117:23 125:23 127:2 REPORTER'S 127:1 reports 30:5 32:16 105:25 represent 4:16 39:7, 16, 25 40:9, 19 41:8, 13 42:2, 4, 7, 9,
---	--	---	--

10 88:4	64:10 71:14	64:13 66:23	51:13 88:18
102:24 103:2,	74:1 121:2	68:25 71:4	96:10 109:3
5 105:5, 9,	requirement	90:20 94:9	114:23
10, 25 106:11	52:13 70:17	98:16 104:13	scope 37:10
115:18	requires	109:7 122:18	50:18 52:19
representative	49:10 51:6	ROGER 3:3	53:9 54:8
40:4, 12, 24	52:11 53:14	4:15	75:3 78:17
41:4, 18, 22	54:3, 4 71:17	role 19:9	79:7, 19
42:1, 14	reread 106:5	60:11 61:16	81:24 82:9
44:18 45:13,	resemble 66:12	roles 60:12	84:3, 17, 25
18 46:21	resolve 20:20	room 2:13	85:7, 19
47:17 48:6	respect 28:17,	ROONEY 3:7	86:16 87:12
49:23 50:25	18 106:6	root 100:24	107:12 110:8
51:25 52:6,	respective	101:2, 4	125:3, 14
11, 14, 17	39:12	rough 7:25	second 20:18
53:14, 16, 18,	responding	9:1	49:3 58:20
22 54:4, 6,	91:20	round-trip	89:2 98:25
13 55:12, 25	responses	38:11, 18	99:4
56:10 62:13	32:14	routes 65:13	second-to-last
69:2, 10, 13,	responsibilities	rules 5:3	26:13
16 74:4	14:4 15:23	26:21 27:3	section 60:16
83:14 110:3	16:24 17:18	Russian 23:19	62:5 92:22,
116:7, 12, 18	19:9 26:25	< S >	23 93:5, 12
117:6, 21, 25	rest 93:16	SAMUEL 3:4	95:19 96:3,
118:13 119:3	106:21 120:14	satellite	20 99:24
represented	result 72:22	13:7 18:12,	100:11
11:6 101:21,	results 46:10	13, 15 22:3,	107:15, 21
24 102:10	94:17	7, 17, 19, 23	108:2, 18
111:6	reveal 19:2	23:9, 20	109:2 110:18
representing	29:18 94:24	satellites	111:14
45:9	review 7:12	23:12, 15	114:18 122:1
represents	37:5 58:14	satisfied	see 13:8
40:15 44:11,	82:3 94:15,	82:7 110:7	15:25 16:22
15 45:9	19 113:17	satisfy	17:2, 15
73:10, 24	114:3 118:25	116:23	18:6, 17
74:10 102:15	reviewed 6:14,	117:11, 14, 17	20:2 21:3
103:1, 4, 6	17, 20, 25	saving 63:20	24:11, 16
reproduced	7:8, 9 58:1,	64:17	26:13 27:7
90:4 91:2	3, 4, 11	saw 56:11	28:7, 11, 12,
101:8	reviewing	saying 19:14	25 29:4
require 38:9	42:24 83:9	23:3 42:5,	36:5, 10, 24
47:14 50:16	RF 60:16	17 43:3	37:24 38:1,
52:9 53:12	120:2, 4	64:14 69:8	5 39:3
81:22 121:5	right 37:6	109:19	43:11, 12
required	43:20 47:20	says 28:23	50:12 62:7
52:25 57:15	58:14, 23, 25	29:2 43:10	64:19 67:11
			69:20 73:21

74:12 78:1	served 14:1	signal-to-noise	sizes 121:21
79:14, 25	15:20 17:13	55:17	122:4, 16, 20
81:4, 13	18:14	Signature	123:8
83:17 88:15,	services 29:8	129:24	skip 105:8
20 89:4, 18,	serving 121:7	signed 94:1	small 35:15
25 90:7, 8,	set 52:22	similar 67:19	52:22
24 92:5, 25	127:4, 13	81:3, 6, 7, 10	society 36:17
96:13 98:3,	sets 59:12	82:14, 25	software 60:17
7, 11, 23	88:14	84:1, 2, 5	solution
99:2, 12	shape 67:20,	107:9	121:20, 25
100:18	25 68:9, 13,	similarity	122:7, 9, 14,
101:11	16, 19, 20	67:22 74:18,	22 123:5, 6,
104:15	70:10, 12, 15,	19, 22 75:1,	14 124:20, 25
105:24	17, 19 71:1,	2, 7, 15, 18	125:11
106:15 107:5	2 78:9 111:5	76:1 77:11	solved 121:16
111:2 113:1,	shapes 121:21	78:15, 22	sorry 9:24
5 115:8, 25	122:3, 16, 20	79:3, 5, 17	12:10 22:4
116:3 119:16	123:8	82:18 83:24,	53:9 65:9
122:7	sharing 26:21	25	68:7 69:4
seeing 17:4	27:3	similarly	73:3 95:22
104:18	SHEET 129:1	68:21	126:9
seeking 120:12	ship 25:9	simulation	sort 95:21
seen 67:21	Shorthand	17:24	sorts 24:23
69:7, 21	2:10 127:2	simulations	space 44:22
108:18	show 101:13	19:22 26:20	spaces 14:14,
sends 65:25	showing 111:17	27:3, 18	18, 23 15:3, 7
senior 24:1	shows 44:20	Simultaneous	SpaceX 14:2
sentence	101:14 115:18	58:24 85:25	16:21, 25
13:24 23:24	side 29:22	86:4	17:1, 2, 9
35:17 36:12	53:25	Singapore	speak 7:16,
38:4, 7 39:2,	signal 34:6	28:24	19 12:16
5, 22 60:7,	35:3 38:11,	single 22:13	72:22
25 63:15	13 39:7, 16,	82:7 100:23	speaking 12:2
73:6 81:1	25 40:9, 19	SiRF 61:10	58:24 85:25
82:17 98:1	41:8, 13	SiRFstar 60:9,	86:4
100:17, 20	42:11 54:24	13, 14, 15, 18,	specific 8:7
105:2, 9	55:3, 9, 19	19 62:1	11:25 12:1
107:3, 6, 22	56:4, 6, 9, 14,	sitting 76:3	52:10 53:13
108:24 111:2,	18, 23 60:17	77:5 85:15	54:3 64:9
4 112:22	105:5 106:1	86:12 87:17	70:1 76:15
115:7, 10, 24	121:6	91:19 111:22,	83:21 94:21
116:4 120:8	signals 23:15	24 114:12	95:15 110:14
sentences	24:25 34:8	125:8, 19	specifically
119:24 121:15	105:12	situation	7:6 11:2
separate	106:13 120:2,	66:14	24:11 82:16
100:25 101:2,	5 121:6, 8, 11	sixth 106:25	95:6 106:6
4			

112:10	stated 109:11	Street 3:8	support 16:12
122:25 124:12	113:10	65:13	18:17 92:8
spectrum 14:3	statement	strength	supported
16:3, 8 17:3,	98:20, 21	38:11, 13	16:2 17:2,
20 18:11	113:18 121:23	54:24 55:3,	20, 22
26:20 27:3	STATES 1:1	9, 19 56:4, 6,	supporting
spell 12:9, 11	station 14:25	9, 14, 18, 23	18:10 93:19
spend 8:8, 12	35:20, 22	121:8	supports 116:5
83:9 85:12	38:9, 10, 17	strengths	sure 9:17
86:23	104:24 105:1	121:6	11:21 12:10
spent 7:21	106:9	strictly 26:10	14:12 15:25
8:10 32:12,	stations 36:4,	strike 9:24	19:4 23:25
15 42:23	16, 19 104:22,	20:7 52:3	26:1 32:9
79:10 83:8	23 105:13	53:10 72:13	34:13, 22
84:6 124:4	106:13	80:14 87:18	35:14 37:14
125:15	120:13 121:7	92:14 96:4	39:18 46:17
spoke 7:18	station's	111:23 124:13	59:8 60:5
12:4, 7	35:24	stringent	63:17 65:13
spread 65:6	statistic	81:11, 15, 22	66:5, 24
square 70:23	82:13, 23	82:7	68:16 70:15
squared 80:10,	statistical	studied 63:8	71:10 84:18
11	67:22 74:18,	study 19:16	88:23 89:7
standard	19, 22 75:1,	21:23 106:21	90:1 96:19
17:22 27:12,	2, 6, 8, 15, 18,	STURZA 1:19	100:21 113:6
23 61:12	25 77:11	2:7 4:4, 10,	118:8 120:1,
standards	78:15, 22	14, 15 6:9	23 121:16
17:21 62:3	79:3, 5, 17	12:19 20:23	122:4
standards-based	81:12, 16, 22	30:17 67:5	swear 4:3
61:17, 25	82:8, 18, 20	93:25 97:11	sworn 4:6
start 8:4	83:23, 25	119:11 126:1	127:5
14:10 27:15	statistically	128:3, 14, 16,	synonyms 41:24
56:8 92:25	67:19 81:3,	18, 20 129:1	system 19:24
started 8:6	7 82:14, 25	130:2, 17	22:23 23:10,
11:23 65:5	84:2, 5 107:9	subsection	12, 19, 20
starting		95:10	24:24, 25
100:16	stenographically	sufficiency	25:22 27:16
starts 67:8	2:9 127:6	81:12, 16, 23	systems 12:22,
89:2 100:17	STEPHEN 3:12	82:8	23 13:5
107:3 114:1	stepping 23:7	sufficient 6:2	14:3 18:12,
115:7	stipulated	suggested	13 22:2, 3, 8,
startup 27:10	126:7	55:17	16, 17, 19
state 4:12	Stop 86:8, 19	Suite 3:8, 14	23:18, 21
64:13 72:14	105:15	summary 12:23	24:3, 7, 12,
73:18 74:7	stored 48:13	31:25	14, 19, 22
85:22 86:8	54:7, 13	supplemental	25:3, 9
122:5 130:3	57:12, 16	89:20	63:17, 21
	121:18		

64:15	20 21:7, 11	22:7, 22, 25	85:3, 11
< T >	26:5, 25	23:2 40:18	109:25
tactical 24:1	27:11, 21	44:5 45:16	thoughtful
take 6:5	28:14 30:10	76:7 127:8	86:24
30:14 66:21	59:9 93:12,	tests 75:9,	thoughts 32:16
97:4, 6	14 96:2	14, 17 76:14,	three 4:17,
99:23 106:20	109:2, 19	17, 24 77:5	20 9:15
113:21 119:7	111:13, 18	81:12, 15, 22	25:19 31:15
TAKEN 1:20	114:19 119:15	thank 4:11	58:7 90:15,
5:11 30:16	tedious 65:19	13:15 32:21	17 92:3
67:3 97:10	teens 76:20	33:21 88:1,	93:1 101:14
119:9	Teledyne 24:2,	8 89:22	time 6:5
takes 80:13,	15, 18 25:2,	103:16	7:21, 23
15	4, 8, 12	104:22	8:13 9:4, 13,
talked 62:9	telephones	125:25 126:11	15 14:6
89:16	36:14	thick 15:5	26:8 28:10
talking 9:7	tell 5:5, 20	thing 22:13	32:12, 15
20:24 46:6	11:22 12:19	65:14	38:11, 18, 21
47:25 56:21	24:5, 18	things 13:8	42:23 56:24
64:13 79:6	29:6 35:12	14:17 18:9	61:13, 15, 21
86:6 90:15	85:15 86:12	56:25 76:13	65:1, 18
98:16	tend 121:7	think 19:3	79:10 83:8,
TDOA 38:12	tens 8:1	20:18 26:9	9 84:6
teach 71:14	term 36:23	30:14 42:4,	85:12 86:23
team 60:8, 11	47:14 72:2	16, 25 44:10	106:20 120:1,
technical	92:17 93:10	50:8 51:16,	12 124:4
12:20 14:1,	94:14 95:11	23 57:13	125:15
4, 8, 11, 15,	102:5 108:4,	58:13 60:18,	time-consuming
18 15:20	9, 10 109:8,	19 66:19	120:25
16:24 17:14,	10, 11, 14, 15	72:6, 24	times 11:14,
18 18:5	110:23	82:2 100:6	17 95:16
20:21 27:18	111:25	103:12	title 115:15
32:10 34:23	114:13 117:18	106:18	today 4:10,
122:10	terms 26:22	111:14 113:5	18, 21 5:1, 9,
123:25	112:7 124:10	124:6 125:21	13 6:10, 13
124:20 125:11	test 75:19,	thinking	7:1, 8, 13, 17,
techniques	23, 24, 25	42:23 76:25	22 8:5, 9
61:3, 4, 7, 14,	76:2, 8, 9	79:10 84:7	77:6 83:6
18	77:2, 7, 10,	86:24 94:17	85:15 86:12
TECHNOLOGIES	14, 18, 20	third 13:22	87:17 111:22,
1:8 20:7, 9	82:7	16:20	24 114:12
24:9 26:17	testified 4:6	thought 26:19	125:8
28:20, 24	testifying	52:20 57:20	today's 36:17
technology	4:20	63:9 78:24	told 102:23
14:9 16:16	testimony 5:5,	79:21 80:6	tools 17:24
17:10 18:1,	9, 13 8:15	84:12, 20	19:22, 23
	10:2 20:16		

top 16:1 19:25 67:15 70:6, 14 75:22 77:23 totality 41:16 100:6 touched 60:18, 21 TRADEMARK 1:1 traditional 72:8 traits 27:19 transcript 126:3, 10, 11 127:7 129:1 transcripts 126:8 transmitted 105:12 106:13 transmitter 49:3, 4 transverter 17:7 TRIAL 1:2 triangle 70:24 tried 93:22 troops 25:23 trouble 55:21 trucks 65:2 true 50:15 127:7 130:10 TrueSpan 27:6, 9, 10, 12, 20 truth 5:6 trying 32:2 64:21 95:14 109:7, 12 118:17 turn 13:19 23:22 26:12 35:11 36:8, 21 38:3, 24 59:4 60:4 63:12 67:5 71:6, 20 73:2 88:15, 24 89:13	97:24 99:14 101:5 104:20 106:22 114:25 115:21 119:11 TV 14:16, 24 15:8, 14 two 9:4 11:4, 5 17:1, 5 34:4, 19 78:8 87:25 88:14 90:21 91:17, 23 103:19 112:18 119:24 121:15 126:7 type 21:19 46:19 53:13 54:3 66:14 82:23 typed 5:25 types 25:20 38:9 54:20 75:8 82:20 typically 72:20 120:24 Tysons 3:15 < U > Uh-huh 46:5 77:16 96:17 99:9, 16 112:24 113:16 119:23 Ultimately 8:21 umbrella 22:16 UMTS 61:3, 8, 12 unable 19:8 21:18 unclear 5:15 underneath 36:9 understand 4:21, 25 5:4,	16, 18, 19, 21, 22 6:3, 4, 7, 8 31:18 34:16, 18 40:7 55:23 56:4 61:16 66:5 70:21 83:4 95:14 108:7 109:7 117:11 122:12 understanding 37:8 41:15 50:24 51:20 59:22 64:22 91:3, 15 94:13 96:25 100:4 107:19 108:14 109:13 111:19, 23, 25 112:3, 4, 6 114:13 118:17 Understood 32:19 uniform 72:8, 12, 15, 20, 22 uniformly 71:25 72:5 union 111:6, 11, 20, 23, 25 112:6, 11, 13 113:12, 19, 23 114:8, 13, 24 UNITED 1:1 unlicensed 14:15 unused 15:8 use 26:23 36:13 43:20 66:3 69:17 108:4, 10 120:4 121:20, 24 122:2, 5, 15, 19 123:7, 13 124:18 125:9	useful 80:23 users 66:3, 13 uses 15:7 36:22 72:1 103:21 usually 71:25 72:4 utilize 121:3 < V > VA 3:15 validity 29:22, 25 30:5 value 81:10 values 78:6, 10 variable 67:21 68:1, 10 69:7, 21 70:20 71:1 variables 35:3 variance 75:10 79:12, 16 variations 120:16 varied 14:6 varies 35:2 variety 7:2 23:18, 20 24:8, 21 60:12 various 17:22 24:22 63:19, 21 64:17 65:2 66:3, 13 81:18 91:21 92:19 93:5, 18, 19 105:23 110:22 122:10, 22 123:21 varying 121:21 122:3, 16, 20 123:8
---	--	--	--

vehicles 65:1, 4, 10 Viasat 14:2 18:5, 8, 17, 20 20:1, 13, 24 21:2, 6, 10, 19, 25 22:15 video 27:11, 13 VIDEOCONFERENCE 1:20 2:8 Video's 27:14 Virginia 3:9 127:18 Virtually 25:2 vs 129:2 < W > want 9:20 37:23, 25 53:7 66:5 83:9 85:23 87:13 126:1 war 64:6 65:1, 17, 18 way 42:5, 17 44:8 57:19 62:2 64:9 65:22 74:19, 21 75:17 116:10, 22 117:2, 13, 17 118:18 127:11 ways 65:16 66:18 118:21, 24 weather 120:17 weeks 8:6 well 4:11 7:10 8:6 12:21 13:4 14:10 15:11 18:9 19:11 22:20 23:7 25:18 30:12 32:12 34:13,	18 36:5 37:11, 21 40:11 42:8 43:15 44:1, 8, 17 45:11 46:16, 21 47:10, 16, 23 48:9, 17 49:18 50:3, 8, 19 51:8 52:6, 11 53:14, 18 54:4 55:5, 11, 21 56:8, 11, 20, 24 57:6, 13 58:12 59:21 60:25 63:3, 7 65:3 66:6, 15 68:15 70:4, 13, 21 72:6, 17, 24 73:15 75:4 77:4, 10 78:18 80:8, 20 81:8, 25 84:4, 18 92:19, 25 94:5 97:2 100:6 101:23 102:8, 14, 21 103:1 106:10 107:13, 21 108:4, 8, 16, 23 109:25 110:9, 17 111:13 112:2, 16 113:22 114:17 115:17 117:5, 15, 20 118:7 122:1, 11, 24 123:16 124:2 went 94:7 we're 12:18 20:18 34:19 58:8 67:1	79:6 93:11 97:8 102:23 107:14 110:24 111:13 115:22 121:14 125:21 Westwood 3:14 We've 46:12 55:15 82:21 105:22 108:18 WHEREOF 127:13 white 14:14, 18, 23 15:2, 7 Wi-Fi 13:6 16:11 26:13, 16, 21, 22 WiMax 13:6 wind 100:22 wireless 34:7 36:13 49:2 121:9 witness 4:1, 3, 5 9:19, 21, 23 10:1, 5, 8, 16, 20 11:6, 11, 13 13:15 18:15, 18 19:2 29:2, 9, 15, 18 32:21 66:23 85:22 86:9, 10 94:24 103:16 127:4, 13 128:2 WITNESSES 128:1 wondering 41:12 123:12 word 34:13, 16 110:13 words 15:8 59:23 112:17 work 10:20, 21, 23, 25 16:15 17:9, 25 18:20	20:13 21:2, 6, 10, 14, 19, 25 22:5 23:4 24:5, 13, 18, 24 25:2, 4, 12, 14, 17, 24 26:3, 6, 16, 22 27:4, 18, 20 28:1, 9, 13 29:6, 14 56:5 60:22 61:6 76:25 87:8 worked 18:4, 16 24:21, 22, 23 working 11:23 13:1 14:7 17:1 25:20 26:8 28:18 60:16 works 66:22 world 44:24 53:25 65:9 write 93:25 94:4 written 65:20 wrong 95:22 < Y > yeah 9:12 10:4 11:24 24:12 31:7 39:20 46:25 49:9 54:9 63:24 70:16 80:24 82:2 85:2, 9 88:10 91:22 95:24 98:18 99:25 101:19 113:5 116:17 117:11 122:13 year 11:24 years 10:12 12:21 13:4
---	---	--	--

15:4	16:9
17:7	18:9
26:19	27:1
29:20	61:9
65:12, 22	
76:14	