

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1)
July 2020

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 2024-1003

Short Case Caption: Geoscope Technologies Pte. Ltd. v. Google LLC

Filing Party/Entity: Appellant: Geoscope Technologies Pte. Ltd.

Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
E.D. Va.	1:22-cv-01331	Patent Infringement

Relief sought on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

Reversal of the District Court's Opinion and Order granting Google LLC's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): ☐ None/Not Applicable

The District Court issued an Opinion and Order granting Google LLC's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:

The District Court's September 18, 2023 Opinion and Order granted Google LLC's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings on the ground that asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,561,104; 8,400,358; 8,786,494; and 8,406,753 claim patent-ineligible subject matter and are therefore invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Nature of judgment (select one):

Date of judgment: 10/6/23

☒ Final Judgment, 28 USC § 1295

☐ Rule 54(b)

☐ Interlocutory Order (specify type) _____

☐ Other (explain) _____

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2)
July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. ☐ None/Not Applicable

Geoscope Technologies Pte. Ltd. v. Apple Inc., No. 2024-1018

Issues to be raised on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

Plaintiff-Appellant Geoscope Technologies Pte. Ltd. seeks to overturn the District Court's Opinion and Order finding asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,561,104; 8,400,358; 8,786,494; and 8,406,753 invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If "yes," when were the last such discussions?

- ☐ Before the case was filed below
☒ During the pendency of the case below
☐ Following the judgment/order appealed from

If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If they were mediated, by whom?

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Explain.

The issues on appeal will likely need to be ruled upon by the Federal Circuit before the parties can have meaningful settlement discussions.

Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program.

Date: 10/16/23 Signature: /s/ Timothy K. Gilman

Name: Timothy K. Gilman