

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

META PLATFORMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ALMONDNET, INC. and INTENTIQ, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-01281
Patent 10,715,878 B2

Before KRISTEN L. DROESCH, STACEY G. WHITE, and
SCOTT B. HOWARD, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WHITE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Mark R. Weinstein
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On March 27, 2024, Petitioner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Mark R. Weinstein. Paper 9 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Petitioner also submitted a Declaration from Mr. Weinstein in support of the Motion. Ex. 1021 (“Declaration”). Petitioner attests that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 9, 1. For the reasons provided below, Petitioner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Weinstein has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 1021. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Weinstein. Mr. Weinstein will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Petitioner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Weinstein in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 1. Petitioner has

also filed a Mandatory Notice identifying Mr. Weinstein as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 2, 3.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion (Paper 9) for *pro hac vice* admission of Mark R. Weinstein is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Weinstein is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Weinstein be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Weinstein is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2023-01281
Patent 10,715,878 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Heidi Keefe
Phillip Morton
Andrew Mace
COOLEY LLP
hkeefe@cooley.com
pmorton@cooley.com
amace@cooley.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Reza Mirzaie
Jonathan Link
James Milkey
Amy Hayden
Philip Wang
RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT
rmirzaie@raklaw.com
jlink@raklaw.com
jmilkey@raklaw.com
ahayden@raklaw.com
pwang@raklaw.com